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THE HISTORY OF PROPHETS AND KINGS (Taʾrikh al-rusul waʾl-mulūk) by Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad b. Jarir al-Ṭabarī (839–923), here rendered as the History of al-Ṭabarī, is by common consent the most important universal history produced in the world of Islam. It has been translated here in its entirety for the first time for the benefit of non-Arabists, with historical and philological notes for those interested in the particulars of the text.

Ṭabarī's monumental work explores the history of the ancient nations, with special emphasis on biblical peoples and prophets, the legendary and factual history of ancient Iran, and, in great detail, the rise of Islam, the life of the Prophet Muḥammad, and the history of the Islamic world down to the year 915. The first volume of this translation will contain a biography of al-Ṭabarī and a discussion of the method, scope, and value of his work. It will also provide information on some of the technical considerations that have guided the work of the translators.

The History has been divided here into 38 volumes, each of which covers about two hundred pages of the original Arabic text in the Leiden edition. An attempt has been made to draw the dividing lines between the individual volumes in such a way that each is to some degree independent and can be read as such. The page numbers of the original in the Leiden edition appear on the margins of the translated volumes.

Al-Ṭabarī very often quotes his sources verbatim and traces the chain of transmission (isnād) to an original source. The chains of transmitters are, for the sake of brevity, rendered by only a dash
between the individual links in the chain. Thus, According to Ibn Ḥumayd—Salamah—Ibn Isḥāq means that al-Ṭabarī received the report from Ibn Ḥumayd who said that he was told by Salamah, who said that he was told by Ibn Isḥāq, and so on. The numerous subtle and important differences in the original Arabic wording have been disregarded.

The table of contents at the beginning of each volume gives a brief survey of the topics dealt with in that particular volume. It also includes the headings and subheadings as they appear in al-Ṭabarī’s text, as well as those occasionally introduced by the translator.

Well-known place names, such as, for instance, Mecca, Baghdad, Jerusalem, Damascus, and the Yemen, are given in their English spellings. Less common place names, which are the vast majority, are transliterated. Biblical figures appear in the accepted English spelling. Iranian names are usually transcribed according to their Arabic forms, and the presumed Iranian forms are often discussed in the footnotes.

Technical terms have been translated wherever possible, but some, such as dirham and imām, have been retained in Arabic forms. Others that cannot be translated with sufficient precision have been retained and italicized as well as footnoted.

The annotation aims chiefly at clarifying difficult passages, identifying individuals and place names, and discussing textual difficulties. Much leeway has been left to the translators to include in the footnotes whatever they consider necessary and helpful.

The bibliographies list all the sources mentioned in the annotation.

The index in each volume contains all the names of persons and places referred to in the text, as well as those mentioned in the notes as far as they refer to the medieval period. It does not include the names of modern scholars. A general index, it is hoped, will appear after all the volumes have been published.

For further details concerning the series and acknowledgments, see Preface to Volume 1.

Ehsan Yar-Shater
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Ya’qūbī begins his description of Sāmarrā thus: “We shall now speak of Surra Man Ra’ā (‘He who sees it rejoices’), the second capital of the Ḥāshimite caliphs. Eight caliphs have resided there.” The caliphs he mentions, after its founder al-Mu’taṣim, were all sons or grandsons of his. Three of them are treated in this volume, namely, al-Mu’taṣim’s son and successor al-Wāthiq, al-Wāthiq’s brother al-Mutawakkil, and al-Mutawakkil’s son al-Muntaṣir. This was the summit of what has been called “the Sāmarrā period.” The massive building projects in the new capital—quarters, palaces, mosques, gardens, markets, thoroughfares, canals, and so on—inaugurated by al-Mu’taṣim and carried on by al-Wāthiq, and especially by al-Mutawakkil, defined Sāmarrā’s physical character.

Al-Mu’taṣim is said to have founded Sāmarrā and to have moved upstream to his new capital in order to avoid the perennial clashes and friction between the Turkish troops and the Baghdad populace. In Sāmarrā, with wisdom that grows from experience, al-Mu’taṣim adopted a policy of isolating the Turkish (and related) military elite from the rest of the population. The Turkish officers—men such as Ashnās, Bughā the Elder, Bughā the Younger, Īṭākh, Waṣīf, and Simā (whom we shall meet on the following pages)—were assigned fiefs, as were civil officers and other members of the ruling elite. High Turkish officers were given palaces. The famous Jawśaq al-Khāqānī, which came to be a caliphal residence, had in fact been the palace of al-Faṭḥ b. Khāqān.

The Turkish presence in Sāmarrā cast long shadows. The ca-
The two mainstays of the administration—the military officers
and civil officials—worked hand in hand directing the affairs of the far-flung empire. The base of power was a praetorian-bureaucratic condominium, buttressed by the swords of the Turks and the pens of the secretaries. It would be a mistake to view the Turkish military elite as a purely martial force. The Turks often served as government administrators and provincial rulers. The cultural attainments of some members of this military aristocracy are noteworthy. The rapid acculturation of the Turkish immigrants to the 'Abbāsid realm is indeed striking. Fath b. al-Khāqān, son of a newcomer, was a first-generation, arabicized Turk. (Consider also the famous philosopher Abū Naṣr al-Fārābī [d. 950], who was born in Turkestān, in the district of Fārāb, to a father who came to Baghdad as an army commander.)

Al-Fath b. Khāqān was knowledgeable in the Arabic language, assimilated Arab culture, and amassed a great library that also served his friend and protégé, Abū 'Uthmān 'Amr b. Bahr al-Jāhiz. The latter's Fī manāqib al-Turk (On the Merits of the Turks) was addressed to al-Fath. In his brief treatise Jāhīz in fact concentrates on the horsemanship, bowmanship and, in general, upon the courage, pride, and military prowess of the Turks, although he cites (van Vloten, Tria opuscula, 47) exceptions, noting also that military prowess itself requires other virtues, including technical knowledge, cultural refinement and administrative competence (which al-Fath, we may add, evidently possessed). Tabari does not comment on the cultural pretensions and attainments of the Turks, but neither does he take interest in secular culture in general. This was the age of Hunayn b. Isḥāq and his school, translators of Greek science and philosophy into Syriac and Arabic, and yet not a whit of this is mentioned. Tabari does not evince the interest that (the Shi'ite historians) Ya'qūbī and Masʿūdī exhibit in these matters.

The Turks were apparently the primary cause of al-Mutawakkil's rather mysterious move to reestablish his capital in Damascus, which is described in our narrative. The transfer of the seat of power may be seen as an effort to elude their overwhelming influence. It failed for somewhat obscure reasons—an inclement climate and a mutiny by Turkish troops over pay allotments are alluded to.

In military affairs the Turkish officer class clearly proved itself
absolutely indispensable. When revolts broke out in distant provinces, a local commander or an Arab officer from the central government would often be dispatched initially to suppress it. These first efforts were generally futile. As a result, a Turkish general—like Bughā the Elder or Bughā the Younger—was usually called upon to establish order and loyalty to the central government authorities. During the reign of al-Mutawakkil, wars at the periphery of the empire were particularly rampant—in Upper and Lower Egypt, Ādharbayjān, Armenia and Asia Minor—and these energetic Turkish commanders were kept very busy. These military expeditions to maintain government jurisdiction in the remotest regions betoken caliphal authority rather than weakness.

The power and influence of the Turkish praetorian guard invaded the Caliphal Palace itself. Al-Mutawakkil, as stated, was assassinated by a cabal of Turks, with his son al-Muntaṣir taking part in the conspiracy. The latter had cosseted the Turkish officers, much to his father's annoyance and discontent. Caliphs had been assassinated before: regicide was not uncommon in the annals of Islamic history. But never before had regicide taken the form of a patricide. This was indeed shocking and unprecedented. When al-Muntaṣir thereafter succeeded to the caliphate, tongues wagged and heads shook in dismay.

At this place in his narrative, Tabarî injects a personal note, a reminiscence from his youth: "I often heard people say," he states, "when the caliphate passed to al-Muntaṣir, that from the time he acceded to rule until his death he would live for six months, the extent of life of Shirawayh b. Kisrā after he killed his father, and this was spread among the populace and notables alike."

Reading this volume the reader should bear in mind that the swirl of events described on its pages took place during Tabarî's lifetime. He was about three years old when al-Wāthiq came to power; about eight when al-Mutawakkil succeeded him; and about twenty-two when al-Muntaṣir supplanted his father. Thus, Tabarî lived through these events and had a personal connection with some of the action he describes. And if he did not experience events directly, he knew informants who did or who had their information from informants who did. His reports are often given
in vivid and striking detail. Moreover, he knew some of the protagonists personally. For instance, he was tutor to the sons of the wazir ‘Ubaydallāh b. Yaḥyā (for ten dinārs a month, it is said), and he studied with Ya’qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Dawraqī, who supported the revolt of Aḥmad b. Naṣr.

Ṭabarī is, then, writing "contemporary history." And although he did not experience the major events directly, he often reports the testimony of people who did—eyewitnesses and informants who were in touch with eyewitnesses. Thus, our volume, unlike previous ones, lacks isnāds (chains of transmission); it contains relatively few anonymous informants—individual and collective—and rather many identified as actual participants in the events reported. A brief survey of sources may illustrate this point and bring out others regarding Ṭabarī's sources and his striking attention to authentic evidence and detail. He was not an ingenuous annalist but a genuine historian who searched for witnesses and documentation. His informants are occasionally very simple people—a singer, a black slave, a Turkish woman, and the ladies of the harem. The most momentous occasion, in fact, the murder of the caliph al-Mutawakkil, is reported by the most ordinary people.

Close to the beginning of his narrative, Ṭabarī describes caliph al-Wāḥiq's arrest of government officials and confiscation of their property. For this purpose, he summons the testimony of a certain Ḥazzūn b. ‘Abd al-‘Azīz al-Ansāri, who reports (p. 1331) a conversation with the caliph in his court. Ḥazzūn attests that he was in al-Wāḥiq's company when a discussion took place on the reason for the fall of the famous Barmakids, namely, their inordinate control over Hārūn al-Rashīd and his purse. Al-Wāḥiq reportedly drew a lesson from his ancestor's experience and went on to deflate his own government officials.

During the period of al-Wāḥiq and al-Mutawakkil insurrections and disturbances—major and minor—erupted in the center of the empire, and serious revolts broke out in distant provinces. The central government's expedition against the Banū Sulaym tribal group in the Ḥijāz (pp. 1335ff.) is described by a spectator, Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Makhład (pp. 1341, 1358), who marched with the commander Bughā al-Kabīr on his expedition to suppress the revolt. The campaign waged against the Banū Numayr tribal
group in al-Yamamah is reported (p. 1358) by the same Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Makhld, who again rubbed shoulders with Bugḥā and observed the events. The account is expanded by another spectator, who described Bugḥā’s initial rout at the hands of the tribesmen (p. 1360). The insurgency of the religious leader Aḥmad b. Naṣr in Baghdad is reported (1343) on the authority of “some(one) of our shaykhs.” (“Some[one]” renders ba‘d, which may mean “one” or “some.”) The source knew a man who visited with Aḥmad, and thus he was able to name his associates.

The revolt of Muḥammad b. al-Ba‘ith in Adharbajjan is reported partly (p. 1379) by an eyewitness, Abū al-Agharr, son-in-law of Ibn al-Ba‘ith, who surrendered to the government forces and was brought to Sāmarrā, as was Ibn al-Ba‘ith after his capture. The poet ‘Ali b. al-Jahm was on hand (p. 1387) when Ibn al-Ba‘ith was presented to al-Mutawakkil, and quotes Ibn al-Ba‘ith’s poetry recited on the occasion, noting the man’s literary talent. Another (anonymous) spectator is also cited. Some accounts of prominent revolts are not assigned to a witness or authority, for instance, the revolt of the inhabitants of Armenia against Yūsuf b. Muḥammad (p. 1408) and its aftermath, namely, Bugḥā’s defeat of Ishaq b. Ismā‘il in Tiflis and the burning of the town (p. 1414). As a sequel to Aḥmad b. Naṣr’s rebellion, Ṭabārī relates how his body was taken down from the gallows in Sāmarrā and sent with his friends to Baghdad (p. 1412). In this case, Ṭabārī cites a report by the postmaster of Baghdad to the caliph concerning the behavior of the populace and a letter from al-Mutawakkil to Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir instructing him how to behave toward the crowds. The revolt of the inhabitants of Ḥimṣ against their chief of security police is related without reliance on (named) informants (p. 1420). The revolt of the Bujah tribesmen in Africa is described without a source for the events, but only a reference to the fact that Ya‘qūb b. Ibrāhīm, chief of Egyptian Post and Intelligence, wrote to al-Mutawakkil about the uprising (p. 1429). The Byzantine raid on Damietta, a memorable event, is related (p. 1417) without authorities, as is most of Ṭabārī’s (often fascinating) information concerning Byzantium. For instance, the Byzantine raid on Samosata is reported without an informant (p. 1447), as is the account telling that the inhabitants of Lulon prevented their (Byzantine) governor from entering the town. The caliph al-
Muntasir’s dispatching Waṣīf the Turk on a summer expedition to Byzantine territory is reported without source (p. 1480), although Ṭabari preserves a long letter of al-Mutawakkil to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir concerning Waṣīf’s campaign. The letter, containing pious sentiments about the holy war, was written by the wazīr Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb (p. 1485).

The prisoner exchanges that took place in our period between the Muslims and Byzantines are reported in engrossing detail and on the basis of eyewitness testimony. The prisoner exchange of 231 (845-46) is related primarily (p. 1351) on the authority of Aḥmad b. Abī Ḥaṭṭab, an associate of Khāqān al-Khādīm, the Muslim representative at the exchange. In addition, Aḥmad b. al-Ḥārīth’s attestation is cited (p. 1353)—he had questioned Ibn Abī Ḥaṭṭab and had also visited the Byzantine emperor. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Ṭarsūsī, a Muslim prisoner released by the Byzantines, describes (p. 1354) how the ransoming was actually carried out. A slightly different version (p. 1355) is offered by al-Sindi, mawla of Ḥusayn al-Khādīm, while Muḥammad b. Karīm, another Muslim prisoner, adds important details (p. 1356). The prisoner exchange of 246 (860) reported (p. 1449) by Naṣr b. al-Azhār al-Shī‘ī (“the Shi‘ite”), the emissary of al-Mutawakkil to the Byzantine emperor, contains a charming description of Naṣr’s visit to Constantinople and his audience with the emperor Michael III. The Shi‘īte ambassador relates [correctly] that Bardas, maternal uncle of the emperor, was in charge of the affairs of the realm.

The rather frequent occasions when officials—even high officials such as wazīrs—were arrested and tortured to death are communicated by our historian with great care and precision. The famous wazīr Ibn al-Zayyāt, for instance, was tortured in an iron maiden, an instrument of his own design. A certain al-Danānī communicates details of the wazīr’s discomfort (p. 1374) on the basis of the torturer’s own authoritative account. Further detail is provided by Mubārak al-Maghribī, who was also close to the scene.

The murder of Turkish commander İtākh is told by İbrāhīm b. al-Mudabbir (p. 1384). İbrāhīm says that he had gone out with the chief of security police, Iṣḥāq b. İbrāhīm, to meet İtākh on his return from Mecca, and he gives a careful eyewitness account of
the process leading to the murder. A man named Turk, a mawlā of Ishāq, reported (p. 1386) on a conversation he had with Ītākh while the latter was in prison.

The long account of Najāh’s demise is related by (p. 1400) al-Ḥārith b. Abī Usāmah—historian and traditionist—and others. Al-Ḥārith gives details of the punishment (p. 1442).

Ṭabari diligently preserves literatim documents concerning the events he portrays. While these documents, in a narrative setting, are not the same as archival records, their value is appreciable. Al-Mutawakkil’s decree concerning Dhimmis, for example, is presented (p. 1389) in its official form, and his letter concerning these regulations (p. 1390), sent to district governors, is also preserved. It was written by the secretary Ibrāhīm b. al−ʿAbbās al−Ṣūlī. Ṭabari was presumably in touch with circles of government officials, like al−Ṣūlī, who were in a position to make this kind of material available to him. The letter of investiture by al-Mutawakkil to his three sons (p. 1396) was made in four copies, three for the sons and one for the library of the caliph. Ibrāhīm b. al−ʿAbbās al−Ṣūlī recited a poem about the investiture (p. 1402), and it appears that he also wrote these letters. To be sure, investiture documents were formularies and thus of less interest than some other records. Private correspondence of the caliph is also preserved, such as al-Mutawakkil’s letter of condolence to Tāhir b. ʿAbdallāh b. Tāhir (p. 1406) on the occasion of the death of his relative, Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm. The account of the abdication of the princes al-Muʿtazz and al-Muʿayyad, brothers and heirs apparent of the caliph al-Muntasir (p. 1486), is accompanied by the text of the abdication (p. 1489), which had been read aloud by the wazir Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb. And the text of the long letter, signed by Aḥmad, which al-Muntasir writes to Abū al−ʿAbbās Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Tāhir, pertaining to the abdication, is also presented. It is a pity that al-Mutawakkil’s letters to the provinces prohibiting debate concerning the Qurʾān and the like have not been preserved (p. 1412).

Ṭabari evidently had access to police records and information of the Bureau of Post and Intelligence (barīd). For instance, the notice on the death of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl (p. 1406) is derived from a report of al-Qāsim b. Aḥmad al-Kūfī. Al-Qāsim was in the service of al-Fath b. Khāqān, who was in charge of Intelligence in Sāmarrā
and the Hārūni Palace. Another source for this notice was Ibrāhīm b. 'Aṭā', supervisor of Intelligence in Sāmarrā. A day later, Ṭabārī writes, a dispatch came from the chief of Intelligence in Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām) announcing the death of Muḥammad b. Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm (p. 1407). In describing (p. 1424) the flogging of Īsā b. Ja'far b. 'Āṣim, a Shiʿite who had defamed Abū Bakr, 'Umar, 'Ā'ishah and Ḥafṣah, in the Sharqiyyah Quarter of Baghdad, Ṭabārī tells how the information about īsā got to the authorities. The postmaster of Baghdad conveyed details to the wazir 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā, who transmitted them to al-Mutawakkil. The report of the judge in Īsā’s trial was evidently included in the postmaster’s communication. Ṭabārī preserves the detailed letter of the wazir 'Ubaydallāh in response to the judge’s report, spelling out exactly how Ibn 'Āṣim should be punished. Ṭabārī’s account of the appearance of Māhmūd al-Naysabūrī in Sāmarrā (p. 1394), a man who claimed to be Dhū al-Qarnayn and a prophet, was probably based on police or intelligence records.

Ṭabārī relates accounts of the death of the three caliphs treated in this volume and the transition of power predominantly on the basis of participants’ reports. The death of al-Wāthiq is based upon information (p. 1363) derived from a number of “our colleagues,” but reports about al-Wāthiq’s conduct prior to his death (p. 1365) are assigned to the poet al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḍahḥāk, who was present. The transition from al-Wāthiq to al-Mutawakkil is told (p. 1368) by more than one authority, although Saʿīd the Younger reports (p. 1370) on Mutawakkil’s relations with al-Wāthiq and events which preceded his being elevated to the caliphate. When al-Wāthiq placed his brother al-Mutawakkil in custody, Saʿīd was taken along with him.

The portrayal of the murder of al-Mutawakkil (p. 1452) is an outstanding narrative. On the fateful day, Ibn al-Ḥafṣi, the Singer, attended an audience with the caliph, and so was in a position to report (p. 1455) vital details. Ibn al-Ḥafṣi was accompanied by ‘Ath‘ath al-Aswad, a black slave, and a certain ṉasr b. Saʿīd al-Jahbadh (“the Government Banker”). He describes the caliph’s cheer that day and his gloomy premonitions. Ibn al-Ḥafṣi also reports on caliph’s ridicule of his son al-Muntasir one day earlier. Details of this treatment are assigned to Hārūn b. Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Ḥāshimi, who was informed by some(one) of the
women in the curtained off area. Then Bunān, a page and close associate of al-Muntasir, gives a report concerning al-Muntasir and his reaction to news of the caliph's assassination, hinting that he was taken by surprise (p. 1459). The black slave 'Ath'ath is the main observer who actually describes the assassination. His own role in defending the caliph, he says, was limited by the circumstance that he was struck by a blow on his head. An alternate description by Zurqān, deputy of the chamberlain Zurafāh, has it that 'Ath'ath fled headlong. Zurqān does not depict al-Muntasir as having been surprised (p. 1462). According to another report, a Turkish woman delivered a note regarding what the group planned to al-Fath b. Khāqān. Thus, he and the wazir 'Ubaydallāh knew of the plot but were overconfident and did not prepare a defense.

Abū 'Uthmān b. Sa'īd the Younger (p. 1471) places full responsibility for the murder plot on al-Muntasir, but says that it was a defensive act. He tells of the aftermath of the assassination, al-Muntaṣir's announcement of the murder, and the oath of allegiance to him. Al-Muntaṣir's final illness is reported (pp. 1495ff.) by some of the informants, with versions or details by Ibrāhīm b. Jaysh, Ibn Dihqānah, and Sa'īd b. Salāmah al-Nasrānī.

Although Tabari may have been affected by many of these events, personal accents are rarely audible. His primary task qua historian, as he conceived it, was to be a reliable transmitter of information, of tradition. He prefers just to give the facts without comment and fanfare. He does this conscientiously and with fine detail.

Although Tabari's orientation was that of a Baghdadian, a supporter of the central government and a Sunni Muslim (see Rosenthal, History, 134), these points of view are not intrusive in the narrative. If Tabari held a personal position on Mu'tazilism, it is not reflected in our text. He tells dispassionately how al-Wāthiq made confession of the Mu'tazilite creed a condition for ransom ing Muslim captives in the hands of the Byzantines—a policy that must have scandalized many Muslims. He describes with detachment the abortive revolt of Ahmad b. Naṣr al-Khuza'ī and his Traditionist supporters against al-Wāthiq and his pro-Mu'tazilah policy.

Indeed, his most intimate note is struck in connection with the
death of Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, which took place in 241 (856–57). Ṭabarī simply does not report it. His silence is eloquent. Ṭabarī’s opinion of Ibn Ḥanbal was allegedly reserved, and his relations with the Ḥanbali school were marred by strain and conflict. The discord brought on his head the wrath and abuse of Ḥanbalis and their many supporters among the populace (who eventually, it is reported, refused him a decent burial). Ṭabarī’s passing over the death of Ibn Ḥanbal in total silence was surely not accidental. Other historians took note. And Mas’ūdī, duly registering the event, even recorded the popular belief that the world had dimmed on the occasion, mentioning a meteor storm that took place during that year. Ṭabarī reports the meteor storm, which he says was visible in Baghdad, but no more. Indeed, a later historian, Ibn Kathīr, noting Ṭabarī’s silence, includes in his Bidāyah a long biographical encomium of Ibn Ḥanbal, almost by way of compensation. Ibn Kathīr observes that Ṭabarī did not in fact mark the death of any Ḥadīth scholars in 241, intimating that he went this far so as to avoid having to mention Ibn Ḥanbal.

We would not expect Ṭabarī, who was after all a pious Muslim, to have had much affection or sympathy for al-Mutawakkil. The caliph was a notorious tippler, sensual and ruthless, hardly an exemplar of God’s vicegerent on earth. Ṭabarī recounts his cruel destruction of the wazir Ibn al-Zayyāt and the Turk Itakh, as well as his virtually systematic elimination of men who had helped his father found Sāmarra. But he does not express a whit of criticism or bend his narrative to insinuate disapproval. On the contrary, he describes very movingly the scene when al-Mutawakkil returned to his palace after having viewed the populace that turned out to view him when he appeared in public. He took a handful of earth, Ṭabarī says, and sprinkled it upon his head, remarking, “I saw this great throng and, realizing that they were under my sway, I wished to humble myself before God.” And Ṭabarī describes the assassination of al-Mutawakkil with some sympathy for the victim, it seems. When he notes that al-Mutawakkil’s palace was demolished after his death, and that his canal project was never completed, this comes more as a statement of fact and sad comment on the frailty of grand human designs than as a judgment upon al-Mutawakkil himself.

Ṭabarī studiously transmits the material he received, preserv-
ing different points of view, various aspects and possibilities. He does not strain to give a consistent account; he strives to give conflicting versions a hearing. He is, of course, selective, as any historian must be. For example, he admits that he did not wish to relate unseemly things about al-Mutawakkil, which his son al-Muntasir had told a group of jurisprudents. And he states that he recounted the story of al-Mutawakkil’s assassination only in part. Some things the reader is not supposed to know.

As for the rest, the reader must often draw his own conclusions and offer his own interpretation. Ṭabarî gives different accounts of the same event much as he offers diverse interpretations of a verse in his Qurʾān commentary. A true interpretation, a final authorized version, need not be spelled out. It may be elicited from the various traditions and reports that are handed down, if at all. The historian, like the Ḥadîth scholar, is primarily obliged to preserve traditions for posterity. Ṭabarî was no more disturbed by contradictions in rival accounts than was the Biblical narrator. The value of this technique was commented upon by I. Goldziher long ago: “[Ṭabarî’s] method of ranking together, in an Oriental manner, the various and often contradictory items of his information, instead of amalgamating his inferences from them into a compact unity, is sure to diminish its literary value but for that it enhances its usefulness in the matter of sources, by having preserved many of them in their literal texts, without which we should be compelled to use unilateral information only” (Goldziher, “Historiography,” Gesammelte Schriften, III, 361).

Ṭabarî occasionally nudges the reader gently to understand the significance of events. He demonstrates his brand of sophistication by clever juxtaposition of material and by subtle understatement. The final anecdote in our narrative is a trivial one, like the final “trivial item [that] concludes [his] majestic work that deals with events that are among the greatest in world history” (Franz Rosenthal, The History of Ṭabarî, Vol. XXXVIII, 207, n. 978). He tells that al-Muntasir had promised something to his most intimate confidant—Bunān. The caliph’s untimely demise left Bunān empty-handed. Ṭabarî deftly records Bunān’s lament: “He died . . . and did not give me anything.”

The basis of the translation is the Leiden edition. The text from
III, 1329 to 1367 was edited by M. J. de Goeje. He used two manuscripts, referred to by sigla C and O.

\[
\begin{align*}
C &= \text{Constantinople, Köprülû 1041.} \\
O &= \text{Oxford Bodleiana Pocock 354.}
\end{align*}
\]

The text from III, 1367 to 1501 was edited by V. G. Rosen on the basis of the same manuscripts.

There is a considerable lacuna in Ms. O from 1358.12 to 1410.8 (232–237 A.H.).

Professor Stephen Humphreys kindly shared with me information about pertinent manuscripts found in Istanbul.

Ms. Ahmet III 2929, vol. 12, preserved in the Topkapi Saray, a thirteenth century manuscript, is said by Humphreys to be “of superb quality and in very good condition.” This manuscript was used by Muhammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhim for his edition of the text that concerns us (Cairo edition, vol. IX). The manuscript preserves some valuable readings as well as material that does not appear in Mss. C and O. For example, it contains a long passage at III, 1497 that does not appear in the recension preserved by Mss. C and O. There is reason to believe that the passage properly belongs to the text and is not an addition. I have used Ibrāhim’s edition for variant readings from this manuscript, to which Ibrāhim gives the siglum A.

Esad Efendi 2085, housed in the Suleymaniye, covers the years 224–251, which is pertinent to our material (the years 227–248). However, according to Humphreys, it is late (fourteenth century?) manuscript and does not add anything of importance, while abbreviating personal names and omitting some lines of poetry.

Ibrāhim also used Ms. Dar al-Kutub 1602 Ta’rikh, to which he gives the siglum D.

The notes in the Leiden edition begin to give variant readings at III, 1353.6 from Abū Bakr al-Ṣūli’s Kitāb al-awrāq fi akhbâr āl al-‘Abbās wa-ash’ārihim, preserved in a Leningrad manuscript that was identified and used in connection with Ṭabārî by V. R. Rosen. [See V. I. Belayev, in the Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Orientalists, held in Munich in 1957.]

The Kitāb al-awrāq covers the years from 227 to 256, and is
therefore most relevant to our text. I have cited al-Ṣūlī from the notes to the Leiden edition.

In addition, readings from al-'Ayni’s 'Iqd al-jumān fi ta’rikh ahl al-zamān are cited [from manuscript] beginning at III, 1369.18 and will be cited from the Leiden edition apparatus.

Fragmenta historicorum arabicorum, II, ed. M. J. de Goeje, which covers the years 196 to 251, follows Ṭabarī’s text very closely and is useful for variant readings, as is Ibn al-Athīr’s al-Kāmil fi al-ta’rikh.

Several friends and colleagues were generous with aid and advice, and I wish to express my gratitude to them. Professor Jacob Lassner was helpful in many ways. He clarified points of terminology, lent me books from his library in an hour of need, and edited the manuscript with professional skill. Professor Franz Rosenthal made many valuable improvements. A discussion with him was enlightening vis-à-vis the manuscript situation. This was then supplemented by Professor Stephen Humphreys, whose information about manuscripts in Istanbul is gratefully acknowledged here. My colleague Professor Joseph Sadan sat over the poetry with me and made many beneficial suggestions.

The project could not have been completed without the help and support of my wife, Roberta.

Joel L. Kraemer
Abbreviations

BSOAS: Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies
EI¹: Encyclopaedia of Islam, first edition
EI²: Encyclopaedia of Islam, new edition
GAS: Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. See Sezgin
IJMES: International Journal of Middle East Studies
JESHO: Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient
JSS: Journal of Semitic Studies
JRAS: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society
RSO: Rivista degli studi orientali
SI: Studia Islamica
WZKM: Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes
ZDMG: Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft
Table 1. Genealogy of 'Abbāsid Caliphs [A.D. Ninth Century]

5. Hārūn al-Rashid  
   (786–809)

7. al-Ma'mūn  
   (813–833)

8. al-Mu'tašīm  
   (833–842)

6. al-Amin  
   (809–813)

9. al-Wāthiq  
   (842–847)

10. al-Mutawakkil  
   (847–861)

Muhammad

12. al-Musta‘īn  
   (862–866)

13. al-Mu'tazz  
   (866–869)

15. al-Mu’tamid  
   (870–892)

al-Muwaffaq

16. al-Mu’taḍid  
   (892–902)

later caliphs
Table 2. Genealogy of the Khāqānids

Khāqān b. 'Urṭūj

Yahyā           al-Fath          Ja'far          Muzāhim         'Abd al-Rahmān

'Abdallāh       Zakariyyā        'Ubaydallāh      'Abd al-Rahmān    'Abdallāh

Abū 'Ali Muhammad

Abū al-Qāsim 'Abdallāh       'Abd al-Wāḥid

'Abd al-Wahhāb
Table 3. Genealogy of the Tāhirids

Ruzayq (Zurayq)

Muṣ'ab

al-Ḥusayn

Ibrāhīm

Muḥammad  Ishāq  Ismā'īl

2. Ṭalḥa

2. Ṭalḥa

3. ʿAbdallāh

Muḥammad  Husayn

Muḥammad  Husayn

Muḥammad

later Tāhirids
The Caliphate of Hārūn al-Wāthiq
Abū Ja`far
The Events of the Year

227 (cont’d)

[October 21, 841—October 9, 842]

On the day that al-Mu’tasim died, his son Hārūn al-Wāthiq b. Muhammad al-Mu’tasim received the oath of allegiance. This was on Wednesday, 8 Rabi’ I, 227 (December 26, 841). He was given the teknonym Abū Ja’far. His mother was a Byzantine slave named Qarāṭis.

In this year the Byzantine emperor Theophilus died following a reign of twelve years. His wife Theodora reigned after him, her son Michael son of Theophilus being but a youth.

1. 8 Rabi’ I, 227, actually fell on a Monday. The day of the week given by Tabari does not always correspond to the date mentioned.
2. An umm (al-)walad was a female slave, or concubine, who bore children to her master and was thus entitled to certain rights; see EII, s.v. Quite a few ‘Abbasid caliphs were the offspring of slave mothers; see Thālībi, Latā’if, 102. Qarāṭis is the plural of qirtās ("papyrus"), which is derived from Greek khartēs.
3. Theophilus, son of Michael II, reigned 829–42, and was succeeded by his son Michael III (842–67). They belonged to the Amorian, or Phrygian, dynasty. Michael ruled for fourteen years along with his mother, Theodora, and for ten years on his own. See Bury, History, Appendices, VI–VII, 465–71. According to C. Mango, "When Was Michael III Born?" his birth date was January 9/10, 840. Thus, he was two years old when his father died (January 20, 842), and he was crowned in the same year. See also below, n. 264.
Leading the pilgrimage this year was Ja'far b. al-Mu'tasim.\textsuperscript{4} Al-Wâthiq's mother accompanied him, intending to make the pilgrimage, but she died in al-Ḥirah on 4 Dhū al-Qa'dah (August 16, 842) and was buried in al-Kūfah in the palace of Dâwûd b. 'Īsâ.\textsuperscript{5}

\textsuperscript{4} Ja'far b. al-Mu'tasim, brother of al-Wâthiq, became the tenth caliph of the 'Abbâsid dynasty with the regnal title al-Mutawakkil; see below, pp. 61–191.

The
Events of the Year

228

(October 10, 842—September 29, 843)

Al-Wāthiq bestowed a crown upon Ashnās and adorned him with two ornamental belts in Ramaḍān (June—July 843).6

6. Abū Ja'far Ashnās [d. 230/844–45] was a Turkish army commander who had been purchased by al-Mu'tasim and served during the caliphates of al-Mu'tasim and al-Wāthiq; see Tabari, III, 1236–67 (Marin, Reign, Index, 134); Ya'qūbī, Buldān, 256=Wiet, Les pays, 45. He led the vanguard in the battle of Amorium; III, 1236 (Marin, 61). Ya'qūbī, Ta'rikh, II, 585, makes the ceremony mentioned here an act of appointment, said to be al-Wāthiq's first, and states that Ashnās was put in charge of territories from Sāmarrā (min bābīhi) to the Maghrib. Ashnās was governor of Egypt from 219 (834–35) to 230 (844–45); Kindi, Wulāh, 192, 194–96; Abū al-Mahāsin, Nujūm, II, 231, 255; Zambaur, Manuel, 27; see also Baladhuri, Futūh, 364. Abū Ja'far Ashnās al-Turki is not to be confused with Abū Ja'far Ashnās, Turkish page of Abū Ishāq b. al-Rashīd (Tabari, III, 1017) or with Ashnās, a page of Ismā'īl b. Ahmad; Tabari, III, 2203 [see Rosenthal, Return, 95]. Al-Mu'tasim had presented his Turkish mawlās with brocade, belts, and gold ornaments, attiring them differently from other soldiers; Mas'ūdī, Murūj, VII, 118; Tabari, III, 1169 (Marin, 7, n. 62). Hilāl al-Ṣābī, Rusūm, 93 [tr. Salem, 75], gives a list of honorary insignia bestowed upon army commanders. Crowns were occasionally presented to wazirs; Sourdel, Vizirat, 295, 677. For wishāḥ ("ornamental belt"), see Dozy, Vêtements, 429. Compare the Byzantine insignia, which included diplomas, gold-handled swords, tunics, and colored mantles and belts; Bury, Imperial Administrative System, pp. 22f.
In this year Abū al-Hasan al-Madā‘īnī died in the residence of Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣīlī.7

In this year the poet Abū Tammām Ḥabīb b. Aws al-Ṭā‘ī died.8

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir.9

In this year the prices on the Mecca Road were so high that a ratl of bread cost a dirham, and a water skin cost forty dirhams.10

At the Place for Standing (Mawqif) people were first stricken by intense heat and then by heavy rain mixed with hail, so that severe heat and cold afflicted them within a single hour.11 The downpour at Minā on the Day of Sacrifice (Yawm al-Nahr) was

---

7. Abū al-Ḥasan al-Madā‘īnī, the famous historian and traditionist, was born in al-Baṣrah, then went to al-Madā‘īnī, and thereafter to Baghdad, where he joined Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣīlī, who was his patron; EI2, s.v. al-Madā‘īnī; Sezgin, GAS, I, 314. The date of his death is variously given as 215, 225, 231, 234, 235. Sezgin accepts 235 (849-50). He does not mention the date given here. Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣīlī, noted musician and composer, son of Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣīlī, also a famous musician, died in Baghdad in 235 (849-50). He was a companion of the caliphs Hārūn al-Rashīd, al-Wathiq, and al-Mutawakkil; see EI2, s.v. Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm al-Mawṣīlī; Sezgin, GAS, I, 371; Farmer, History, 124-26 et passim.

8. Abū Tammām Ḥabīb b. Aws al-Ṭā‘ī, famous poet and anthology editor, died in 231 (845-46), according to his son, or in 232 (846-47), according to other sources, although Tabārî and others dependent upon him give 228 (842-43); EI2, s.v. Abū Tammām Ḥabīb b. Aws.

9. Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir, d. 265 (878-79), belonged to the Tāhirīd family/dynasty, which produced governors of Khurāsān and Baghdad in the third/ninth century, and participated actively in its cultural life; see Bosworth, “The Tāhirīds and Arabic Culture.” He became governor of Baghdad in 255 (868-69); see Tabārî, III, 1506, etc.; Zambaur, Manuel, 197-98. See Table 3.

10. A ratl (<Gr. litra) in the early Islamic period in Mecca was = 1.5 kg.; in Medina in the tenth century = 625 gr.; Hinz, Masse und Gewichte, 28. The standard weight of a dirham (silver) was 3.125 grams; Hinz, 3. Nominally, 13 dirhams = 1 dinār. To be sure, the exchange rate always varied. Ashtor, Prix, 49-50, notes that a similar quantity of bread in ninth- or tenth-century Iraq cost merely 1/20 dirham. See also EI2, s.v. Dirham.

11. The Place for Standing is the place of halting, or station (wuquf), in the valley of ‘Arafa, in front of Jabal al-Raḥmah, during the pilgrimage celebrations. The halting takes place on the ninth of Dhū al-Ḥijjah. The Day of Sacrifice, on the tenth of Dhū al-Ḥijjah, is commemorated by the sacrifice of animals, usually sheep and goats, at Minā. Jamrat al-Aqabah is a construction on the western side of the valley of Minā. The jamrah is stoned by pilgrims on the Day of Sacrifice prior to the festival sacrifice.
unprecedented. A mountain slide at Jamarat al-'Aqabah killed a number of pilgrims.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.12

12. The 'Abbāsid Muḥammad b. Dāwūd b. Ḥūsain b. Mūsā, son of Dāwūd b. Ḥūsain b. Mūsā [above, n. 5], was governor of Mecca during the caliphates of al-ʿAmin and al-Maʿmūn (221–33/835–47), and led pilgrimages during the caliphates of al-Muʿtaṣim and al-Wāthiq. He was governor of Mecca, 221–33 (835/6–847/8); Tabari, III, 982 (Bosworth, Reunification, 20–21); Marin, Reign, Index, 136; Zambaur, Manuel, 20.
In this year al-Wathiq bi-llāh imprisoned and fined the secretaries.¹³ He remanded Ahmad b. Isra’il to Ishāq b. Yaḥyā b. Muʿādh, captain of the guard, and ordered that Ishāq flog him ten [1331]

¹³ A number of sources ascribe al-Wathiq’s measures against the secretaries to the initiative of his wazir Ibn al-Zayyāt; see, for instance, Tanūkhi, Faraj, 102–03; Ibn al-Abbār, I’tāb, 136–37. And see Pseudo-Tanūkhi, Mustajād, 141. According to Tanūkhi, Ibn al-Zayyāt had the property of the secretaries confiscated in order to secure funds to maintain the Turks. Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 587, stresses the misdemeanors of the secretaries who were punished. See also Ibn Kathīr, Bidāyah, X, 301. As the secretaries were often in the employ of the Turkish military commanders and officials, the steps taken against them may have been aimed indirectly against the Turks as well. See also Ḥṣahānī, Aghānī, XXI, 162, where a version is given according to which al-Wāthiq’s caliphate depended upon the Turks Itakh (or Aytakh) and Ashnās and their secretaries Sulaymān b. Wahb and Ahmad b. al-Khaṣīb. According to this version, Ibn al-Zayyāt wrote an ode, which he ascribed to a military figure, denouncing the excessive wealth and power of Sulaymān b. Wahb and Ahmad b. al-Khaṣīb, and inciting al-Wāthiq to take action against the secretaries. This was done by comparing the caliph’s relationship to the secretaries to that of Hārūn al-Rashīd and the Barmakids. This version, as far as the analogy is concerned, is consistent with the account given below. In our text, however, Ibn al-Zayyāt is not presented as an instigator of the attack, but only as hostile to Ibn Abi Duʿād and others in charge of the mazālim courts.
lashes daily. He is said to have flogged him about a thousand lashes, whereupon he handed over 80,000 dinars. Al-Wāthiq seized 400,000 dinars from Sulaymān b. Wahb, secretary of Itākh, 14,000 dinars from al-Hasan b. Wahb, and he seized

14. Abū Ja'far Ahmad b. Iṣtā'īl al-Anbārī was later wazir of al-Mu'tazz; 252-55 (866/67-868/69). He was arrested and killed along with Abū Nūr b. Ibrāhīm in 255/869; see Tabari, III, 1720-23; Tanūkhi, Faraj, 52, 142, 173-74; Sourdel, Vizirat, 281, 290, 295-99; Index, 753. Ishaq b. Yahyā b. Mu'ādh was governor of Damascus in 191 (806-807) and of Egypt in 235 (849-50); Kindi, Wulāh, 198-99; Maqrizi, Mawā'izz, I, 338; Tabari, III, 1133 [Bosworth, Reunification, 223]; Zam- baur, Manuel, 27, 28. He became captain of the caliphal guard in 225 (839-40), replacing Ashshīn; Ta'rikh, II, 590; Tabari, III, 1303 [Marin, Reign, 110]. For his grant in Sāmarrā, see Ya'qūbī, Buldān, 260, 272-Wiet, Les pays, 52, 79. His father had been a commander in the war against Bābak (Tabari, III, 1233; Marin, Reign, 56). On Ishaq b. Yahyā and his genealogy, see also Crone, Slaves on Horses, 183-84. For his brother Ahmad, see below, n. 587.

15. The standard weight of a dinār (gold) was 4.233 grams; see Hinz, Masse und Gewichte, 1; Goitein, A Mediterranean Society, 1, 359. Goitein put the purchasing power of a dinar in medieval Egypt (ca. A.D. 1000) at about a hundred U.S. dollars (1967). See also EP, s.v. Dinār.

16. Sulaymān b. Wahb b. Sa'id, of the Banū Wahb, served as secretary of al-Ma'mūn, then of Itākh and Ashnās, and thereafter as wazīr under al-Muhtadī and al-Mu'tamīd. He was arrested with Itākh in 235 (849-50; Tabari, III, 1386, below, p. 85). And he was arrested under al-Muwaffaq and died in prison in 271 (884-85) or 272 (885-86); Tabari, III, 1315; Marin, Reign, 120, 123; Ibn al-Abbār, l'tāb, 138-44 (138, n. 2); Ibn al-Jawzī, Muntazam, V, 45; EI, s.v. Sulaymān b. Wahb; Amedroz, "Tales," 418ff.; Sourdel, Vizirat, 300-03, 310-13; Index, 782.

17. Itākh (Aytākh), a Turkish army commander, had been a Khazar slave, a cook, who belonged to Sallām al-Abrash al-Khādīm. He was purchased by al-Mu'taṣīm from Sallām in 199 (814-15; below, 1383, p. 81 and n. 281). He served as chief of the security police at Sāmarrā under al-Mu'taṣīm and al-Wāthīq, then as ḥājjīb (chamberlain) of al-Mutawakkil and commander of the royal guard. Itākh was made governor of the Yemen by al-Wāthīq in 229 (843-44), and after the death of Ashnās, in 230 (844-45), he became governor of Egypt. According to Ya'qūbī, Ta'rikh, II, 585, al-Wāthīq also made him governor of Khurāsān, Sīn, and the sub-provinces of the Tigris. He was later imprisoned by al-Mutawakkil and killed in 235 (849-50); see below, pp. 83-86. See Tabari, III, 1195; Marin, Reign, 27 and n. 162; Index, 135; Kindi, Wulāh, 196-97; Abū al-Mabhāsin, Nuğūm, II, 255-56, 275; Zambar, Manuel, 27; Sourdel, Vizirat, 264, n. 2; Index, 769.

18. Al-Hasan b. Wahb was a brother of the more influential Sulaymān b. Wahb; see the family tree of the Banū Wahb in Sourdel, Vizirat, 745; and see 256, n. 3; Index, 765. He was the main aide de camp of the wazīr Ibn al-Zayyāt. He was also a poet, and was in touch with Abū Tammām and al-Buhtūrī; some of his poetry is preserved by Mas'ūdī, Muruq, VII, 149, 152, 167. See also Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, XX, 54-55; Ibn al-Abbār, l'tāb, 138, n. 2.
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one million dinārs from Ahmad b. al-Khaṣīb and his secretaries;\(^{19}\) 100,000 dinārs from Ibrāhīm b. Rabāh and his secretaries;\(^{20}\) 60,000 dinārs from Najāh;\(^{21}\) and 140,000 dinārs from Abū al-Wazīr by way of settlement.\(^{22}\) This was aside from what he seized from financial agents on the basis of their revenues.\(^{23}\)

Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik\(^{24}\) evinced hostility to Ibn Abī

---

19. Ahmad b. al-Khaṣīb al-Jarjara'i belonged to the famous Jarjara'i family of government officials and wazirs. His father had been governor of Egypt. Under al-Wāthiq he was secretary to Ashnās. He became wazīr to al-Muntaṣir (247-48/861-63) as a result of the enmity he aroused among Turkish military commanders in Sāmarrā'. He was later exiled to Crete, in 248 (862-63), as a result of the enmity he aroused among Turkish military commanders in Sāmarrā', and he died there in 265/878-79; see Ṭabarī, III, 1262 [Marin, Reign, 80, and n. 379, 81]; 1471-73 [below, p. 195ff.]; 1508 [Saliba, 7]; Fragmenta, 557; Ibn Abbār, Ṭālāb 136, 138-39, 141, 166; Sourdrel, Vizirat, 287-89, Index, 753; EPr, s.v. al-ar jara'i.

20. Ibrahim b. Rabah b. Shabīb al-Jawhari was appointed over the Bureau of Expenditure under al-Ma'mūn. Under al-Wāthiq he was in charge of administration of the Bureau of Estates. His name is variously given as Ibn Rabah or Ibn Riyāḥ [an orthographic variant—one diacritic marks the difference]. See Dhahabi, Mushtabih, 302-03 [cited in Leiden edition, note ad loc.]. Dhahābī notes that the name was common among mawlās [cf., inter alios, Rabāh, who was a mawla of Muḥammad]. Ibn Abbār, I'tāb, 145; Sourdel, Vizirat, 240, n. 1, 262-63, 733.

21. Abu al-Faḍl Najāh b. Salamah was secretary under al-Wāthiq, and later secretary and in charge of inspection of fiscal officials under 'Ubaydallāh b. Yāḥyā, wazīr of al-Mutawakkil. He was executed in 245 [859-60]; see below, 1440 (p. 158); Ibn al-Abbār, Ṭālāb, 145; Sourdel, Vizirat, 240, n. 1, 262-63, 733.

22. Abu al-Wazīr Ahmad b. Khilīd was fiscal prefect of Egypt in 226 [840-41]; Kindî, Wulāh, 449; Sourdel, Vizirat, 263, n. 2, citing A. Grohmann, Arabic Papyri in the Egyptian Library [Cairo, 1938], III, 143. Abu al-Wazīr was involved in purchasing land for the construction of Sāmarrā', along with Ibn al-Zayyāt, Ibn Abī Du‘ād, and ‘Umar b. Farāj; Ya‘qūbī, Buldān, 258 = Wiet, Les pays, 48; Herzfeld, Sāmarrā', 93. The four disappeared from public life at about the same time; Wiet, Les pays, 48, n. 5. And see Ṭabarī, III, 1179 [Marin, Reign, 15]. He was briefly wazīr under al-Mutawakkil in 233 [847-48]. In the same year he fell from grace, and was removed from office; below 1378 (p. 74); Ya‘qūbī, Ta‘rīkh, II, 592; Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 148, 197; Zambaur, Manuel, 6; Amedroz, “Tales,” 423; Sourdel, Vizirat, 257, n. 1, 263, 268, 271.

23. For ‘umālāt = “revenues,” see Glossarium, CCCCLXXVII; Lane, Lexicon, 2159. Dozy, Supplément, II, 177, gives also “financial administration, district, province.”

24. Muḥammad b. ‘Abd al-Malik b. al-Zayyāt, at first chancellery secretary at the end of the caliphate of al-Ma’mūn, served as wazīr under al-Mu‘tasim, al-Wāthiq, and al-Mutawakkil. The name al-Zayyāt means oil merchant, a profession that his grandfather and father practiced. It is both a family name (Ibn al-Zayyāt) and a personal cognomen (al-Zayyāt), as he himself was apparently an oil
Du’ád²⁵ and others in charge of the *mazālim* [courts], as a result of which they were investigated and imprisoned. Called upon to preside, Ishāq b. Ibrāhim²⁶ deliberated their case. They were publicly displayed and treated harshly.

**The Reason for al-Wāthiq’s Action against the Secretaries This Year**

‘Azzūn b. ‘Abd al-‘Azīz al-Anṣārī²⁷ reportedly said: We were in al-Wāthiq’s company one evening this year. He remarked, ‘I have no desire for wine tonight; let’s have a discussion instead.’ He sat in his middle portico in the Harūni [Palace],²⁸ in the first edifice, merchant. He was later arrested and his property confiscated under al-Mutawakkil in 232 (846-47), and he died in 233 (847-48); see Tabari, III, 1183; Marin, *Reign*, 19 and n. 119, Index, 136; below, pp. 65ff.; Amedroz, “Tales,” 416ff.; Sourdel, *Vizirat*, 252-69, esp. 254, n. 3; Index, 788; Sezgin, *GAS*, II, 576; *EP*, s.v. Ibn al-Zayyāt.

²⁵. Abu ʼAbdallah Ahmad b. Abi Du’ád, the famous judge, who served under the caliphs al-Ma’mūn and al-Mu’taṣim (who appointed him chief judge) and al-Wāthiq, was a leading Mu’tazilite. He played an active role in the *miḥnah* (inquisition) and in the interrogation of Ahmad b. Hanbal, and had considerable influence upon al-Wāthiq, a strong upholder of Mu’tazilite doctrine. He and Ibn al-Zayyāt were staunch rivals. He died in 240 (854-55); below, 1421 (p. 131); and see earlier, Tabari, III, 1139. See also Sourdel, *Vizirat*, 258-60; Index, 753; *EP*, s.v. Aḥmad b. Abī Du’ād.


²⁷. ‘Azzūn b. ‘Abd al-‘Azīz al-Anṣārī’s name is given by Ibn al-Athir, *Kāmil*, VII, 6, as ʻArūd [with variants]. Tabari Ms. C has ʻAzūr, Ms. O reads ʻArūz. Shābushī, *Diyārāt*, 56, says that he was a boon companion of al-Mu’taṣim and al-Wāthiq. Tabari, III, 1503 [Saliba, 4] mentions a street named for Zurāfah [or Zarāfah] and ‘Azzūn; see Herzfeld, *Samarra*, 119, n. 1 [dār ‘Azzūn]. ‘Azzūn’s account of the reason for the fall of the Barmakids is not noted by Tabari in his description of this episode, where he lists different versions.

²⁸. The Hārūnī was a palace complex that al-Wāthiq constructed in Sāmarrā and named after himself. His full name was Abū Ja’far b. al-Mu’taṣim Hārūn al-
which Ibrāhīm b. Rabāḥ had erected. Over one of the sections of this portico was a very high dome that was white as an egg, except for what appears to be a cubit-thick belt around the middle, which was teak plated with lapis lazuli and gold. It was called the Dome of the Girdle, and the portico was called the Portico of the Dome of the Girdle.

'Azzūn said: We conversed the whole evening. Al-Wāthiq inquired, "Who among you knows the reason why my ancestor [Hārūn] al-Rashid assailed the Barmakids and removed them from favor (ni`mah)?"

'Azzūn said that he responded: By God, I'll tell you, Commander of the Faithful. The reason for this was that a slave girl (jāriyah) belonging to 'Awn al-Khayyat was mentioned to al-Rashid, whereupon he sent for her and had her presented. Pleased by her beauty, intelligence, and cultural refinement, he asked 'Awn what price he proposed.

'Awn replied, "Commander of the Faithful, the issue of her price is well-known. I have taken an irrevocable oath to free her and all my slaves and to donate my property to charity. I summoned legal representatives to witness that I would not set her price any lower than 100,000 dinārs, and I cannot circumvent this..."
by any legal subterfuge. This is her legal status.” The Commander of the Faithful responded that he was ready to purchase her for 100,000 dinārs.

He then wrote to Yahyā b. Khālid informing him about the slave girl and ordering Yahyā to send him 100,000 dinārs. Yahyā commented that this was a bad precedent, because if al-Rashid dared request 100,000 dinārs to pay for a single slave girl, he was liable to go on requiring commensurate sums of money. Thus, Yahyā wrote back informing him that he could not do it. Al-Rashid then became angry with Yahyā and exclaimed, “Aren’t there 100,000 dinārs in the treasury?” And he reiterated to Yahyā that he had to have the money.

Yahyā gave word that the sum should be drawn up in dirhams so that upon seeing it al-Rashid would consider it excessive and perhaps return it. Yahyā then sent the money in dirhams, stating that it was the equivalent of 100,000 dinārs. He ordered that the dirhams be placed in al-Rashid’s portico, which he passed through on the way to the place of the ritual ablution for the noon prayer.

‘Azzūn said: Al-Rashid went out around this time and came upon a pile of coins. When he asked what it was, he was told that it was the payment for the slave girl, and that as dinārs were unavailable an equivalent sum of dirhams was delivered. Al-Rashid thought that this was excessive. He summoned one of his servants (khādim) and asked him to take the money into his custody and to make a treasury (bayt māl) for him so that he

33. The famous Yahyā b. Khālid al-Barmakī, former governor of Adharbayjān, became tutor and secretary to al-Rashid while he was still a prince, and ascended to power alongside him. When al-Rashid became caliph, Yahyā was appointed wazīr, with his sons al-Fadl and Ja’far b. Yahyā serving in the government with him. He served as wazīr from 170 (786–87) to 187 (802–03); EI, s.v. Yahyā b. Khālid, E1, s.v. Barāmika.

34. The portico was presumably in the Khuld Palace (Qāṣr al-Khuld), where al-Rashid usually stayed when he was in Baghdad.

35. The word khādim may mean “eunuch,” and this is the primary and virtually universal meaning for the term as suggested by Prof. David Ayalon in many publications. The evidence adduced by Ayalon points in this direction, but as not every khādim was a eunuch, I have left the cognomen untranslated in the case of high-ranking officers (e.g. Itakh al-Khādim) and have otherwise rendered the noun khādim as “servant.” Whether the servant (or: slave) was a eunuch or not I therefore leave undetermined.
might store there what he wished. He called it the Treasury of the Bride (Bayt Māl al-ʿArūs). And he ordered that the slave girl be returned to ʿAwn.

He then began to investigate the treasury and discovered that the Barmakids had squandered it. Consequently, he began to suspect them but held back.

It was al-Rashīd's custom to send for companions and for a number of cultured men so as to pass the evening in conversation and repast with them. Among the attendants was a man renowned for his cultural refinement, known by his teknonym, Abū al-ʿUd. He was among those in attendance one night, and his conversation impressed al-Rashīd. He thereupon ordered one of his servants (khādīm) to go to Yaḥyā b. Khalīd when the latter arose in the morning and order Yaḥyā to give him 30,000 dirhams. He did so.

Yaḥyā said to Abū al-ʿUd, "I'll do it, but we have no ready cash today. Tomorrow, when money is available, we'll give it to you, God willing." He then put him off to the point of tediousness. Abū al-ʿUd began to angle for an opportunity to incite al-Rashīd against the Barmakids. Al-Rashīd's suspicions concerning their conduct had become public knowledge.

Abū al-ʿUd visited al-Rashīd one night, and as they conversed, Abū al-ʿUd kept turning the conversation deftly until he got al-Rashīd to the saying of ʿUmar b. Abī Rabiʿah:

Hind promised and it wasn't her custom to promise.
Would that Hind had fulfilled to us her promise.

Would that she had acted independently for once!
It is the weakling who does not act independently.

Al-Rashīd commented, "Yes, by God, only the weakling does not act independently." He went on this way until the session ended.

Yaḥyā had procured one of al-Rashīd's servants (khādīm) to provide him with information about the caliph. When Yaḥyā

36. Abū al-ʿUd is a nickname, ʿūd meaning "lute."
called upon al-Rashid in the morning, al-Rashid proclaimed upon seeing him, "I wanted to send you a poem yesterday that someone in my company recited to me, but then I refrained from disturbing you." He then recited the two verses to him. Yahyā remarked, "How beautiful they are, O Commander of the Faithful!--wondering what it was that he intended. Having departed, Yahyā sent a message to this servant, inquiring about the recitation of the poem. The servant replied that Abū al-‘Ud had recited it.

The wazir Yahyā thereupon summoned Abū al-‘Ud and said to him, "We withheld your money, but now we have funds ready at hand." He then told one of his servants (khādam), "Go and give him 30,000 dirhams from the treasury of the Commander of the Faithful, and give him 20,000 dirhams from my own funds for our having put him off. Go also to al-Faḍl and Ja‘far and tell them, 'This man deserves to be treated well. The Commander of the Faithful ordered that he be given money but I put him off. When the funds were available I ordered that they be handed over. I also gave him a donation from my own resources. I should like you to give him a contribution as well.'" When they asked how much the contribution should be, Yahyā replied: "20,000 dirhams." Whereupon each one gave him 20,000 dirhams, and Abū al-‘Ud left for his residence with all this money.

Al-Rashīd applied himself in earnest to the matter of the Bar makids until he eventually assailed them and removed them from favor (ni‘mah). He had Ja‘far killed as he did with all the rest.

Al-Wathiq remarked, "By God, my ancestor was right. The weakling does not act independently." And he launched into a discussion of disloyalty and what its perpetrators deserve.

‘Azzūn said: "I reckon that he will assault his secretaries." In less than a week he did so. He seized Ibrāhim b. Rabāḥ, Sulaymān b. Wahb, Abū al-Wazīr, Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb and the whole lot of them.

‘Azzūn said: Al-Wāthiq ordered that Sulaymān b. Wahb, secretary of Itakh, be imprisoned, and he confiscated 200,000 dirhams from him, or according to another version—dinārs.38 Sulaymān

---

38. According to the account above (p. 9), 400,000 dinārs were confiscated from Sulaymān b. Wahb. A description of Sulaymān b. Wahb's arrest and torture (by Ibn al-Zayyāt) appears in Pseudo-Tanūkhi, Mustajād, 85. See also Īṣfahānī, Aḥānī, XXI, 162.
was fettered and dressed in a woolen sailor's tunic,39 whereupon he handed over 100,000 dirhams, and requested that the balance be deferred for twenty months. Al-Wāthiq acceded to this. He ordered that he be set free and returned to the secretariat of Īṭākh, authorizing him to don black [garments].40

In this year Shār Bāmiyān governed the Yemen for Īṭākh.41 He departed for there in Rabi` II (December 28, 842–January 25, 843). In this year Muḥammad b. ʿAlī b. ʿAbd al-ʿAbbās became governor of Medina.42

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

39. The midraʿah was a woolen sleeveless tunic worn by slaves and the lower classes; Dozy, Vêtements, 181; El², s.v. Libās.

40. Dignitaries in the ‘Abbāsid court customarily wore black garments, and wearing black thus signified that an official was in caliphal service; see, e.g., Hilāl al-Ṣābiʿ, Rusūm, 91 (tr. Salem, 74); Aḥsan, Social Life, 51–52.

41. Harthamah Shār Bāmiyān, an associate of Īṭākh, is mentioned also below, 1373 (p. 69). Ibn al-ʿAdim, Taʾrīkh Ḥalab, I, 71–72, gives a list of the places he governed. See Zambaur, Manuel, 114. Shēr (Shīr or Shār) is a Persian word meaning “king,” and was the title of the rulers of Bāmiyān, a city in the Hindu Kush. In the ‘Abbāsid period descendants of the Bāmiyān dynasty served in the ‘Abbāsid court; see El², s.v. Bāmiyān.

42. For Muḥammad b. ʿAlī b. ʿAbd al-ʿAbbās b. Muḥammad b. ʿAlī al-Ḥāshimi, ‘Alid governor of Medina, see also below, 1336, 1341 (pp. 18 and 25); Zambaur, Manuel, 25. Al-Wāthiq tended to favor ‘Alids.
The
Events of the Year

230
(SEPTEMBER 18, 844–SEPTEMBER 6, 845)

One of the events was al-Wāthiq’s dispatching Bughā al-Kabīr to the Arab tribesmen who had created havoc in Medina and its environs.

An Account of the Dispatch of Bughā al-Kabīr to the Tribesmen

It is reported that the trouble began when the Banū Sulaym behaved insolently toward the people around Medina. Whenever

43. Bughā al-Kabīr (the Elder) was a military commander of Turkish descent [Bughā = “bull”] active during the reigns of al-Mu’tasim, al-Wāthiq, and al-Mutawakkil. He died in 248 [862–63]; Ṭabarī, III, 1174; Marin, Reign, 11 and n. 91; Index, 134; E3, s.v. Bughā al-Kabīr. Another Bughā, called al-Saghīr (“the Younger”), makes his appearance below.

44. The Banū Sulaym were a northern tribe, descendants of Qays ‘Aylan, very powerful east of the Medina–Mecca line. They consisted of both sedentary and nomadic [or seminomadic] elements; Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92; II, 517; Jamharah, 120a; EL1, s.v. Sulaim. See also Tanūkhi, Ta’rikh, II, 586–87. Dr. Michael Lecker has kindly answered a number of my queries about the Banū Sulaym.
the Banū Sulaym came to a market in the Hijāz they would fix its prices according to their whim. This matter went so far that they attacked members of the Banū Kinānah45 and Bāhilah46 in al-Jār,47 injuring some and killing others. This was in Jumādā II, 230 (February 13–March 13, 845). Their chief was 'Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭāb al-Sulami. As a result, Muḥammad b. Ṣāliḥ b. al-ʿAbbās al-Hāshimi, then governor of Medina—the city of the Messenger—dispatched Ḥammād b. Jarīr al-Ṭabarī against them.

Al-Wāthiq had sent Ḥammād to Medina along with an armed party48 consisting of 200 Shākiriyah horsemen to prevent the Arab tribesmen from infiltrating into the town. Ḥammād set out against them with a contingent of regular army troops and with volunteers from the Quraysh, the Anṣār and their clients, along with residents of Medina. While Ḥammād was heading for the Banū Sulaym, their scouts encountered him. The Banū Sulaym were reluctant to fight, but Ḥammād b. Jarīr gave orders to do combat with them. He attacked them at a place called al-Ruwaythah, three days march from Medina.49

The Banū Sulaym and their reinforcements then came from the desert, 650 altogether, along with all who joined them from those Banū ʿAwf50 belonging to the Banū Sulaym, including Ashhab b.

45. The Banū Kinānah b. Khuzaymah were related to Asad. They lived in the mountains north and northeast of Mecca and on the coast. Many were indigent and tended to indulge in plunder and warfare. See Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 3; II, 371; Jamharah, 4b; EP2, s.v. Kinānah b. Khuzaym.
46. The Bāhilah were a sedentary and semisedentary tribe in middle Arabia. Their center was Suḍ (Sawd). They occupied both sides of the road from Riyāḍ to Mecca; Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92, 137; II, 220; EP2, s.v.
47. Text: bi-l-Jār; Ms. C and Cairo ed.: bi-l-Hijāz (and cf. Ibn al-Athir, Kamil, VII, 8). Jār, half on the mainland and half on an island, was the port of Medina, see EP2, s.v. al-Djur. “Al-Jār” is the lectio difficilior; and “Hijāz” may be influenced by the occurrence of this word two lines above.
48. Literally, “as an armed party” [maslahatan]. For the term, see Glossarium, CCXCCV; Lane, Lexicon, 1403. It may also refer to one person. The function of a maslahah is to occupy an observation point, to go before an army and warn of an enemy approach, to look for spies, and to prevent enemies from entering Muslim territory. It is, then, a reconnaissance patrol or scouting unit.
49. Al-Ruwaythah, diminutive of al-Rawthah, was a station for watering animals on the pilgrimage route between Mecca and Medina in the territory of the Banū Sulaym; Yāqūt, Muʾjam, II, 875; Hamdānī, Ṣifat Jazīrat al-ʿArab, 171, 180, 181, 184; Bakri, Muʾjam, II, 685, s.v. Ruwaythah.
50. The Banū ʿAwf were a northern tribe, descendants of Sulaym; Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 265; II, 212; Jamharah, 205.
Duwaykil b. Yahyā b. Himyar al-‘Awfī, his paternal uncle Salamah b. Yahyā, and ‘Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭāb al-Labidi of the Banū Labid b. Sulaym. These were their commanders. Their cavalry consisted of 150 horses. Hammād and his forces fought them. Then 500 of their reinforcements joined the Banū Sulaym, having come from a place where their bedouins were located, called the heights of al-Ruwaythah, four mil (eight km.) from the battlefield. They fought fiercely. The blacks (sūdān) of Medina were thoroughly routed, whereas Hammād and his entire force, along with the Quraysh and the Anṣār, stood firm. They engaged in battle until Hammād and his entire force were killed, along with a substantial number of the Quraysh and Anṣār who had stood firm. The Banū Sulaym gained possession of sheep and oxen, weapons, and clothing.

The matter of the Banū Sulaym now became grave. The villages and watering places that lay between them and Mecca and Medina were looted to the point that no one could travel along this route. In addition, the bedouin tribes that were allied to them interdicted the roads.

Consequently, al-Wāthiq sent Bughā the Elder, Abū Mūsā the Turk, against them, along with the Shākiriyah, the Turks, and the Maghāribah. Bughā proceeded at their head to Harrat Bani Sulaym, with Tārduš the Turk at his vanguard, in Sha‘bān, 230 (April 13–May 11, 845), a few days before the end of the month. He encountered them at one of the watering places of the Harrah. The skirmish took place at Shaqq al-Ḥarrah, beyond al-Suwāri-
qiyyah—their village where they would take refuge. Al-Suwârî-qiyâh consists of strongpoints. Most of the Banû Sulaym who encountered Bughâ were of the Banû 'Awf, including 'Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭâb and Ashhab, their two commanders-in-chief at the time. Bughâ killed about fifty of their men, taking captive a like number, and the remainder were routed. As a result, the Banû Sulaym became vulnerable, and after the skirmish Bughâ invited them to accept a guarantee of safe conduct under the jurisdiction of the Commander of the Faithful al-Wâthiq.

Bughâ remained in al-Suwârî-qiyâh, and the Banû Sulaym came and rallied to him. He mustered them by the tens and fives and singly, and seized from among those gathered at al-Suwârî-qiyâh, aside from the Banû Sulaym, people of unknown origin. The lightly equipped among the Banû Sulaym fled, except for a few. These were the ones who would assail people and interdict the roads. Most of those who came into Bughâ's custody were of the Banû 'Awf who had remained steadfast. The last of those seized belonged to the Banû Ḥubshi of the Banû Sulaym. Those marked as evil and vicious—about 1,000 men—were imprisoned under Bughâ's jurisdiction. The rest he freed.

Bughâ then left al-Suwârî-qiyâh for Medina along with the Banû Sulaym captives and those given a guarantee of safe-conduct. This was in Dhū al-Qa'dah, 230 (July 10–August 9, 845). He incarcerated the captives in Medina in the palace complex named after Yazid b. Mu‘awiyyah. Bughâ made the pilgrimage to Mecca in Dhū al-Ḥijjah (August 9–September 6, 845). When the festive season ended, he headed for Dhat 'Irq. He dispatched someone

---

59. Al-Suwârî-qiyâh was a populous settlement on the pilgrimage route northeast of Mecca, in the territory of the Banû Sulaym. It was also called Qâriyat Banî Sulaym. Some of the Banû Sulaym resided in the town and some lived as bedouins in the surrounding area and infiltrated into the Ḥijâz and Najîd pilgrim road; Yâqût, Mu‘jam, III, 180; Bakrî, Mu‘jam, III, 764; Samhûdî, Wafâ, II, 325.

60. For the distributive sense of the numbers given here, see Glossarium, CDXCIV. Ms. O omits "two," which occurs in the text but appears out of place.


62. Dhat 'Irq is a town near 'Irq, a mountain slightly northeast of Mecca and on the Mecca Road. An 'irq is a vein formed by sand; see Yâqût, Mu‘jam, III, 651; Hamdâni, Sifat Jazîrat al-‘Arab, Index, 45; Bakrî, Mu‘jam, s.v.
to the Banū Hilāl, who proposed to them something along the lines of what was offered the Banū Sulaym. When the Banū Hilāl approached, he seized about 300 of their insurgents and violent men and freed the rest. He then returned from Dhāt 'Irq. It is a day's journey from al-Bustān (the Garden), and it is a two-day journey from Dhāt 'Irq to Mecca.

In this year Abū al-'Abbās 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir died in Naysābūr, on Monday, 11 Rabī' I [December 26, 844], nine days after the death of Ashnās the Turk. 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir died. He had been in charge of the security police (al-ḥarb wa-l-shurtah), al-Sawād, Khurāsān and its districts, al-Rayy, Tābaristān and its adjacent territories, and Kirmān. The tax of these districts was, on the day he died, forty-eight million dirhams. Al-Wāthiq appointed 'Abdallāh's son Tāhir, governor of all the districts of 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir.

Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ'ab went on the pilgrimage this year and was in charge of the events of the festive season.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

---

63. The Banū Hilāl were descendants of the eponymous ancestor Hilāl, whose genealogy is traced back to Muḍar, 'Aylān, and Qays. Some of the tribe emigrated to Egypt with the Banū Sulaym in the eighth century. In the tenth century they fought alongside the Carmathians. In the eleventh, they invaded North Africa along with the Banū Sulaym. They are related to the Banū Numayr; Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92; II, 282;Jamharah, 121a; E12, s.v. Hilāl.

64. The Garden is the Bustin Ibn 'Amir (or Ibn Ma'mar; Yaqut, Mu'jam, I, 611.

65. But 11 Rabī' I was a Wednesday. For the Tāhirid Abū al-'Abbās 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir, army commander, poet, companion of caliphs, and patron of the arts, son of the founder of the dynasty, See Tabari, III, 1044ff; Rothstein, "Zu as-Sabusti's Bericht über die Tāhiriden," 162–65; Zambauer, Manuel, 197, and Index, 318; Bosworth, "The Tāhirids and Arabic Culture," 58ff.; idem, The Tāhirids and Ṣaffarids," 97–101. His greatest protégé was the poet Abū Tammām al-Tā'ī. See Table 3.

66. Ms. C reads "Seven," as do Cairo edition Ms. A and D.

67. Chiefs of the security police (shurtah) are occasionally called "chiefs of war," or "chiefs of war and police" (wulāt al-ḥarb wa-l-shurtah); Tyan, Histoire, 578.

68. For Tāhir b. Abdallāh b. Tāhir, i.e. Tāhir II, see Bosworth, "The Tāhirids and Arabic Culture," 69–70; idem. The Tāhirids and Ṣaffarids, 98, 101. Ibn al-Athīr, Kaṁil, VII, 9–11, adds here a long passage on 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir. In the Cairo edition, a note suggests that the material may have gone back to Tabari, but this is doubtful. See Table 3.
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(September 7, 845—August 28, 846)

A prisoner exchange was carried out between the Muslims and the Byzantines by Khāqān al-Khādim in Muḥarram (September 7—October 6, 845). The number of Muslims allegedly came to 4,362.

It was in this year that members of the Banū Sulaym were killed in Medina in Bughā’s prison.

The Reason for the Liquidation of the Banū Sulaym and Its Consequences

It is reported that, when the Banū Hilāl came to Bughā in Dhat ‘Irq, and he seized those I mentioned, he went on the small pilgrimage (‘umrah) of al-Muḥarram. He then departed for Medina. All of the Banū Hilāl whom he had seized he imprisoned in his

69. A Khāqān al-Khādim had served Hārūn al-Rashid; Ṭabarī, III, 1140; Baladhūrī, Futūḥ, 381 (Kāqān al-Khādim al-Ṣughdī). On the prisoner exchange, see below, pp. 38ff. The number of Muslims given there is 4,460.
custody along with the members of the Banū Sulaym whom he had previously apprehended. He assembled all of them, in fetters and chains, in the palace complex named after Yazid b. Mu'āwiyah. The Banū Sulaym had previously been incarcerated for several months.

Bughā then went to the Banū Murrah.70 In the prison of Medina there were about 1,300 men belonging to the Banū Sulaym and Hilāl. They breached the palace in order to escape. But a Medinese woman noticed the breach and alarmed the inhabitants of Medina, who thereupon approached. The Medinese discovered that the prisoners had assaulted the prison custodians, killing one or two, and that some or all had come out, having seized the custodians' weapons. The Medinese, free (ahrār) and slave ('abid), rallied against them—the governor of Medina at the time being ‘Abdallāh b. Ahmad b. Dāwūd al-Hāshimi71—and prevented their escape. Their besiegers passed the night surrounding the palace until the morning.

Their assault had been on Friday evening. This was because 'Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭāb had said to the prisoners that he foresaw an evil omen for Saturday.

The Medinese kept pressing the battle, and the Banū Sulaym fought back. But the Medinese defeated them and killed every last one of them. 'Uzayzah would recite in rajaz verse:

A push is needed be the gate narrow.
I indeed am 'Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭāb.

Death is preferable to disgrace for a true man.
This, my Lord, is the gatekeeper's work.

He freed the fetter on his hand, and was then shot in the leg, falling over prostrate. They were all killed. The blacks of Medina killed the tribesmen they encountered in the lanes of Medina—those who had entered in order to bring provisions—until they met a tribesman emerging from the tomb of the Prophet and killed

70. On the Banū Murra, see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 125; II, 433; Jamharah, 162b.
71. An 'Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Dāwūd b. 'Isā b. Mūsā was governor of Mecca in 239 (853–54); see below, 1420 (p. 129); Zambaur, Manuel, 20.
him. He was one of the Banū Abī Bakr b. Kilāb, a descendant of ‘Abd al-'Azīz b. Zurārah.

Bughā was away. When he arrived and discovered that they had been killed, he was deeply distressed. It is reported that the gatekeeper had accepted a bribe from the prisoners in exchange for a promise that he would open the gate for them, but they came too soon, before the appointed time.

They would recite in rajaz verse while fighting:

Death is preferable to disgrace for a true man.

The gatekeeper took a thousand dinārs.

[1341] And they set about reciting when Bughā seized them:

O finest benefit (bughyah), sword of the vigilant,
Remover of wrongdoing, remote and perverse,

I do not hold with whoever of us is delinquent.
Do—may God guide you—what you are commanded.

He replied, “I have been commanded to kill you.”

When the comrades of ‘Uzayzah b. Qaṭṭāb, chief of the Banū Sulaym, were killed, he fled and entered a well. A Medinese fellow went in after him and killed him. The dead were heaped one on top of the other over the Gate of Marwān b. al-Ḥakam.

I have received an account on the authority of Ahmad b. Muḥammad that the muezzin of the Medinese summoned the Banū Sulaym to prayer during the night when they were under guard in order to warn them that the dawn was breaking, but that they had already been awake. The Arab tribesmen began to laugh, saying, “O tipplers of barley soup, you teach us about the night. We know it better than you.”

72. For the Banū Abī Bakr b. Kilāb, a subtribe of Kilāb, see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 93, 94; II, 222; Jamharah, 121b.
73. For ‘Abd al-'Azīz b. Zurārah, see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 94.
74. He is Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. Makhlad. See also below, 1358 [p. 45], and note 169.
75. Sawīq is dried wheat or barley, usually made into a heavy soup by mixing water, butter, honey, oil, etc. It was also eaten dry. Sawīq was often taken by travelers on journeys or by troops on campaigns. A skirmish between the Muslims and Meccans after the battle of Badr was called Ghazwat al-Sawīq. In our text, the Medinese, who indulged in this repast, are the butt of the bedouins’ derision; see Glossarium, CCCII; Lane, Lexicon, s.v.; Dozy, Supplément, s.v.; EI1, s.v. Sawīq; EP, s.v. Ghidhā, II, 1059.
A man of the Banū Sulaym recited:

When Ibn ‘Abbas was amir,
    The mere gnashing of his canine teeth clamored.

He oppressed with impunity,
    And attacked what was weak against his blow.

We were such as to repel oppression
    With swords unsheathed in our hands.

The Commander of the Faithful rose against us
    Like a lion bestirring from the thicket.

If he be gracious, we hope for God’s pardon,
    And if he kills, at least our killer is noble.

The reason for Bugha’s absence was that he had gone to Fadak to combat the Banū Fazarah and Murrah there who had conquered it. When he could see them, he sent a Fazārī to offer them a guarantee of safe conduct and to get information about them. When the Fazārī came to them, he warned them of Bugha’s impending assault and suggested that they escape, whereupon they fled for the open country, abandoning Fadak, except for a few who remained. Their destination was Khaybar and Janafā’ and the surrounding areas.

Bughā overcame some of them and offered a guarantee of safe conduct to others, the rest having fled with a chief of theirs called al-Rakkaḍ (“the Runner”) to a place in al-Balqā’, in the district of Damascus. Bughā remained for about forty nights in Janafā’, a village on the border of the district of Syria, adjacent to the Hijāz.

76. Ibn ‘Abbas is Muḥammad b. Șalih b. al-‘Abbās, ‘Alid governor of Medina, mentioned above, 1335 (p. 16). “‘Abbās” is one of the Arabic terms for “lion,” and also means “stern, austere, morose” (Lane, Lexicon, s.v.). Thus the images in our poem are quite apposite.

77. The word da‘if is used here as a substantive; see Glossarium, CCCXXXIV.

78. The Banū Fazarah were a subtribe of Ghaṭafān; Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92, 130; II, 246; Jamharah, 166b, EP, s.v. Fazārā.

79. Janafā’ is an oasis in the region of Syria, near the Hijāz; Yaḥūt, Mu’jam, II, 133; Thilo, Ortsnamen, 45.

80. Yaḥūt, Mu’jam, I, 728; EP, s.v. al-Balqā’.
He then departed for Medina with the Banū Murrah and Fazārah who had fallen into his hands.

During this year, a contingent from the tribes of Ghaṭafān, Fazārah, and Ashja' came to Bughā. He had sent emmissaries to them and to the Banū Tha'labah. When they came to him, it is reported, he ordered Muḥammad b. Ṣūf al-Ja'fari to have them swear a firm oath that they would not fail to show up when he summoned them; they did so.

Bughā then headed for Ẓariyyah to pursue the Banū Kilāb. He sent his emmissaries to them, and it is alleged that about 3,000 of their men rallied to him. He imprisoned about 1,300 of them, of those who were miscreants, and freed the rest. Bughā then brought them to Medina in Ramaḍān, 231 [May, 846] and imprisoned them in the palace complex of Yazid b. Mu'āwiyah. After that he went to Mecca and remained there until he witnessed the festive season.

The Banū Kilāb remained in custody. Nothing happened to them during the period of Bughā's absence until he returned to Medina. Then, when he arrived in Medina, he sent for the members of Tha'labah, Ashja' and Fazārah, and he required them to swear the oath. They did not respond, however, but dispersed throughout the countryside. He had them pursued, but not one was overtaken.

In this year a group rebelled in Baghdad, in the suburb (rabad) of 'Amr b. 'Āṭa', rendering the oath of allegiance to Ahmad b. Naṣr al-Khuza'i. 88

---

81. See EI², s.v. Ghaṭafān.
82. The Ashja' were a principal tribe of Ghaṭafān; see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92, 125; II, 201; Jamharah, 166a; EI², II, 1023, s.v. Ghaṭafān.
83. See Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 141; II, 552; Jamharah, 195a; EI¹, s.v. Tha'labah.
84. On Muhammad b. Ṣūf al-Ja'fari, see below, 1358–62 (pp. 46–50). He was a guide of Bughā in the campaign against the Banū Numayr and, according to the account, a member of that tribe.
85. Ẓariyyah is a village of the Banū Kilāb on the road from al-Baṣrah to Mecca; EI², s.v.
86. Banū Kilāb. Rabi'ah are a tribe of 'Āmir b. Ṣa'ṣa'ah, ultimately of Qays 'Aylān; see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 92, 93; II, 371; Jamharah, 121a; EI², s.v. 'Āmir b. Ṣa'ṣa'ah.
87. For kathir ahkan in this sense, see Glossarium, CVI.
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The Reason for the Rebellion of the Baghdad Group and the Consequence of Their Action and That of Ahmad b. Naṣr

The reason for this was that Ahmad b. Naṣr b. Mālik b. al-Haytham al-Khuzaʿi89 ... Mālik b. al-Haytham was one of the naqibs of the ‘Abbasids, and his son was occasionally visited by Ḥadīth scholars, such as Yahyā b. Maʿin,90 Ibn al-Dawraqi,91 and Abū Khaythamah.92 Ahmad b. Naṣr would openly express disagreement with the exponents of the doctrine of the Qurʾān’s creation, notwithstanding the position his father held with the governing authorities of the ‘Abbasid regime.93 He would excoriate those

---

89. Allmad b. Naṣr’s father was a close associate of the ‘Abbasids. The Market (suwayqah) of Naṣr, named for him, was given to him by al-Mahdi as a grant; Khatib, Taʾrikh Baghdad, V, 173; Le Strange, Baghdad, 214–15; Lassner, Topography, 78, 79, 104. Ahmad’s grandfather, Mālik b. al-Haytham, an agent (nagib) of the ‘Abbasids in Khurasan, was governor of Mosul in 142 (759–60); Azdi, Taʾrikh Mawwil, Index, 465; Zambaur, Manuel, 36. The sentence is an anacoluthon; part of the text may be lacking at this point, perhaps a comment on Naṣr b. Mālik; see the note in the Leiden edition. For Ahmad b. Naṣr and his ancestry, see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 181–83; Kennedy, The Early Abbasid Caliphate, 80–81; Daniel, Political and Social History, Index, 219.

90. Abu Zakariyya Yahyā b. Maʿin b. ‘Awn was a famous Ḥadīth scholar, an associate of Ahmad b. Ḥanbal; died in 233 [847] in Medina; see Sezgin, GAS, I, 106.

91. Abu ‘Ali Ahmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Kathir al-Dawraqi, a Ḥadīth scholar, died in 246 [860–61]; Sezgin, GAS, I, 112. Fragmenta, 529, has more ibnā al-Dawraqi, i.e., the two Ibn al-Dawraqis. According to the Khaṭīb, Taʾrikh Baghdād, V, 174, both Ahmad and Yaʿqūb al-Dawraqi were associated with Ahmad b. Naṣr. On Yaʿqūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Dawraqi, the older brother, see Khaṭīb, XIV, 277–80. Tabari is said to have studied with Yaʿqūb al-Dawraqi, see Introductio, LXIX.


93. From the time of al-Maʾmūn until al-Mutawakkil, the Muʿtazilite view that the Qurʾān is created was followed by ‘Abbasid caliphs, and a supporter of the regime was expected to embrace this doctrine. Ahmad b. Naṣr, however, adopted the Hanbalite position advocating the Qurʾān’s eternity. See Patton, Ahmed ibn Ḥanbal, passim; Madelung, “Origins.”
who held this doctrine, despite al-Wathiq's severity toward those who professed this, the inquisition he directed against them, and the prevailing influence Ahmad b. Abi Du'ad had upon him.94

I received an account from someone of our shaykhs, on the authority of the one who reported it. He had dropped in on Ahmad b. Nasr one of those days. A group of people was with Ahmad, and al-Wathiq was mentioned in his presence, whereupon he launched into saying, "Didn't this pig do . . . .?" Or he said, "This infidel (kāfir)." This behavior of his was bruited about. People made him afraid of the government authorities95 by saying they have learned of your business, and he got afraid of them.

Among his visitors there was reportedly a man named Abū Hārūn al-Sarrāj;96 another called Ṭālib; and another, who was a Khurāsānī and associate of Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ'ab, chief of the security police. These men openly professed to him adherence to his doctrine. The Hadith scholars who surrounded him, namely, Ahmad b. Naṣr and those Bagdadi who denied the doctrine of the Qurʾān's creation, incited Ahmad and induced him to agitate against this doctrine. In this matter they had recourse to him in particular because of the influence his father and grandfather had with the ʿAbbāsid regime and on account of his assets in Baghdad.

He was one of those to whom the residents of the East Side had given the oath of allegiance concerning "the promotion of the good, the discouraging of evil and obedience to Him." This was in 201 (816–17), when dissolute people were rampant in Madinat al-Salam and corruption out in the open, al-Maʾmūn being then in Khurāsān—we gave an account of this above.97 He persisted in

---

94. Masʿūdī, Murūj, VII, 146, says that al-Wathiq followed his father [al-Muʿtaṣim] and uncle [al-Maʾmūn] on the question of justice [a Muʿtazilite principle], and see Murūj, VI, 21. Ahmad b. Abi Duʿād, a staunch supporter of Muʿtazilism, is often said to have had great influence upon al-Wathiq.


96. The text of Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 14, gives the name pointed as al-Saddākh [variant: al-Sarrāj].

97. Ahmad b. Naṣr received the oath of allegiance in 201, according to Khatib, Taʿrikh Baghdād, V, 176; but Ibn Kathir says it was his father Naṣr b. Mālik;
this undertaking until al-Ma'mūn came to Baghdad in 204 [819-20]. They had hoped that the general populace would respond to his call, as he had acted for the reasons that have been mentioned.

It is reported that Aḥmad b. Naṣr reacted favorably to those who requested this of him, that those who strove on his behalf by summoning people to him were the two men whose names I mentioned previously, and that Abū Hārūn al-Sarrāj and Ṭālib distributed money among people, giving a dinār to each person.

Aḥmad b. Naṣr fixed with them an appointed night when a drum would be beaten, signaling a rallying together the next morning for an assault against the government authorities. Ṭālib was on the West Side of Baghdad together with those in league with him, and Abū Hārūn was on the East Side together with those in league with him. Ṭālib and Abū Hārūn gave dinārs to two sons of the commander Ashras, among others, for distribution among their neighbors. Some of them drank wine (nabīdhi), and a number of them gathered around to partake of it. Having become drunk, they beat the drum on the night of Wednesday, one night before the appointed time. The set time for this was the night of Thursday, 3 Sha'ban, 231 [April 4, 846]. They thought that it was the night of Thursday, the one that they had set for the uprising. They beat the drum vigorously, but no one responded.

Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm was away from Baghdad, his proxy there being his brother, Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm. The latter dispatched to them a page (ghulam) of his by the name of Rakhsh, who came and questioned them concerning their story. None of those men-

---

**Bidāyah, X, 303.** According to Ṭabarī, Naṣr b. Hamzah b. Mālik al-Khuzā'ī, was appointed governor over the East Side in 201; Ṭabarī, III, 1002 [Bosworth, Reunification, 47]. The Khaṭīb states that Aḥmad b. Naṣr acted [in 201] along with Sahl b. Salāmah al-Anṣārī. See Ṭabarī, III, 1009 [Bosworth, 57], where Sahl, Khalid al-Daryūsh, and other "good citizens" (ṣulāḥā) are said to have defended their neighborhoods from criminal elements and self-styled protectors. See Lapidus, "Separation," 375, 379-81, on the movement of al-amr bi-l-ma'rūf; and Kennedy, Early Abbasid Caliphate, 81.

98. The Tāhirid Muhammad b. Ibrāhīm b. [al-Ḥusayn b.] Muṣʿab, brother of Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm, died in 236 [850-51], see below, 1404 [p. 107]; and see Ṭabarī, III, 1336; Marin, Reign, 61 and n. 304; Index, 136; Zambaur, Manuel, 46, 197, 198; Bosworth, "The Tāhirids and Saffarids," 101, 102. See Table 3.

99. Rakhsh, a Persian name, means "lightning, the rainbow, reflection of light," etc., and "happy, fortunate, prosperous," as well as "mottled or swift horse" [the name of Rustam's steed], Steingass, Dictionary, 572.
tioned as having beaten the drum showed up. A man was pointed out who was a bathhouse attendant, afflicted in his eye, by the name of ‘Isa al-A’war ("the One-Eyed"). Rakhsh threatened to have him beaten. He consequently informed on the two sons of Ashras, on Aḥmad b. Naṣr b. Mālik and others whom he named.

Rakhsh searched for the group that very night, apprehending some of them. He seized Tālib, whose residence was in the suburb on the West Side, and Abū Hārūn al-Sarrāj, whose residence was on the East Side. And he pursued for several days and nights those whom ‘Isa al-A’war had named. They were taken into custody on the East Side and the West Side, each group being seized in its own section. Abū Hārūn and Tālib were each shackled with seventy ratis of iron. In the residence of the two sons of Ashras two green banners with red on them were found in a well. One of the supporters of Muḥammad b. ‘Ayyāsh brought them up. He was prefect of the West Side. The prefect of the East Side was al-‘Abbās b. Muḥammad b. Jibrīl al-Qā’id ("the Commander") al-Khurāsānī. Afterwards a eunuch (khaṣī) of Aḥmad b. Naṣr was seized and threatened. He thereupon confirmed what ‘Isa al-A’war had confessed.

Rakhsh went to Aḥmad b. Naṣr, who was then in the bath. He said to the government officers, "This is my residence. If you come upon a banner or equipment, or weapons for rebellion, you are free to expropriate them and to shed my blood with impunity." The residence was searched, but not a thing was found in it, whereupon he was brought to Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣṭ-‘ab. Two of his eunuchs and two of his sons were seized, as well as a man who often visited him by the name of Ismā‘īl b. Muḥammad b. Mu‘āwiyah b. Bakr al-Bāhili, whose residence was on the East Side.

---

101. Green was actually the color that had been adopted by al-Ma‘mūn after he appointed the ‘Alid ‘Ali al-Ｒiḍā as heir apparent. The choice of green may have signified paradise (al-janna) or the beginning of a new era. It was later used by the Ottomans, who claimed an ‘Abbāsid precedent. See Fāruq ‘Umar, Buḥūth, 242ff. Red was a color used by rebels (e.g. al-Muḥammīrah) against government authority, Hīlāl al-Ｓābi, Rusūm, 75 (to Salem, 61).
102. For al-‘Abbās b. Muḥammad and his ancestry, see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 179–80.
These six were brought on mules, having saddles without cushions underneath, to the Commander of the Faithful al-Wâthiq, who was in Sâmarra. Ahmad b. Naṣr was shackled in a pair of fetters. They were brought out from Baghdad on Thursday, 28 Sha'bân, 231 (April 29, 846).

Al-Wâthiq was informed about their whereabouts. He invited Ibn Abi Du'âd and his partisans and convened a public assembly for them so that they might undergo an open inquisition (im-tilân). The group was assembled in his presence. Ahmad b. Abi Du'âd was reportedly averse to having Ahmad b. Naṣr killed in public. 103

When Ahmad b. Naṣr was brought in, al-Wâthiq did not argue with him about the uprising, nor about the report of his intention to rebel against him. He asked him instead, "What do you have to say concerning the Qur'ân?"

He replied, "It is the word of God." Defying death, Ahmad b. Naṣr had smeared himself with a depilatory (tanawwara)104 and perfumed himself.

When al-Wâthiq asked, "Is the Qur'ân created?" Ahmad replied, "It is the word of God."105

When he asked, "What is your view concerning your Lord? Will you see Him on the Day of Resurrection?" Ahmad replied, "O Commander of the Faithful, according to traditions of the Messenger of God, he said, 'You will see your Lord on the Day of Resurrection as you see the moon. You will not be harmed by viewing Him.' We follow the tradition."106 He went on to say,

---

103. Ibn Abi Du'âd's reluctance may indicate that Naṣr had wide public support in Sâmarra, as he did in Baghdad. Ahmad b. Abi Du'âd's hesitancy here (and see below, 1348, p. 33) is occasionally adduced as a sign of his moderation.

104. See Glossarium, DXXXIV. And see Lane, Lexicon, s.v. nāra.

105. This is the very response that Ahmad b. Hanbal and his supporters gave under the inquisition in the days of al-Ma'mûn; Patton, Ahmed ibn Ḥanbal, 70–72. Note, however, that the reply dodges the question, as it is not stated that the Qur'ân is the uncreated or eternal word (or speech) of God.

106. The question relates to the vision of God (ru'yat Allâh) and ultimately to the issue of tashbih ("anthropomorphism"). One of the principles of Ahmad b. Hanbal was the vision of God on the day of Resurrection and the belief that the Prophet saw his Lord. See, for instance, McCarthy, Theology, Kitâb al-luma', pars. 68–81; and Appendix IV, p. 142 [from al-Ash'ârî, Maqâlât al-Islâmiyyin, I, 292]: "They [Aṣhâb al-Ḥadîth, Ahl al-Sunnah] hold that God will be seen by eyes on the Day of Resurrection as the moon is seen on the night of full moon." The Mu'ta-
"And I have received a tradition on sound authority from Sufyān b. 'Uyaynah\textsuperscript{107} that 'the heart of a human being is between two of God's fingers; He scrutinizes it.' The Prophet would pray, 'Scrutinizer of hearts, make my heart steadfast in your religion.'\textsuperscript{108}

Ishaq b. Ibrāhim\textsuperscript{109} said to Ahmad b. Naṣr, 'Watch what you are saying.' He replied, 'You ordered me to say this.' Disturbed by his remark, Ishaq asked, 'Did I really order you to say this?' He replied, 'Yes, you ordered me to give sincere counsel to him, as he is the Commander of the Faithful. My sincere counsel to him is not to controvert a tradition of the Messenger of God.'

Al-Wāthiq asked those around him what they had to say concerning Ahmad b. Naṣr. They went on quite a bit. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Ishaq\textsuperscript{110} said—he had been a judge on the West Side who was removed from office, and he was present, and Ahmad b. Naṣr was devoted to him—'O Commander of the Faithful, his blood may be shed with impunity.' Abū 'Abdallāh al-Armani, an associate of Ibn Abī Du'ād, said, 'Give me his blood to drink, O Commander of the Faithful.' Whereupon al-Wāthiq said, 'He will be killed as you wish.'

But Ibn Abī Du'ād commented, 'O Commander of the Faithful,
an infidel is asked to rescind thrice in case he has some infirmity or mental derangement."  

He was apparently loath to have Ahmad b. Nasr killed on his account. Al-Wathiq said, "When you see that I have gotten up to go toward him, let no one else rise along with me. I shall reckon my steps toward him." He called for al-$am^amah, the sword of 'Amr b. al-Ma'di Karib al-Zubaydi. It was in the storeroom, having been presented to Mūsā al-Hādi, who ordered Salm al-Khāsir, the poet, to describe it for him, which he did, whereupon the caliph gave him a present. 

Al-Wathiq took hold of al-$am^amah. It had a wide blade, joined at the base by three nails that connected the blade with the joint of the hilt. Al-Wathiq approached Ahmad, who was in the middle of the palace. He called for a leather mat, in the middle of which Ahmad b. Naṣr was placed, and a rope. Ahmad's head was bound and the rope stretched. Al-Wathiq gave him a blow that fell upon the rope that was on his shoulder. Then he gave him another blow on his head. At this point, Simā al-Dimashqī unsheathed his sword and struck the nape of Ahmad's neck, severing his head. Bughā al-Sharābī reportedly gave him another

---

111. An unbeliever [kāfir] who is an apostate [murtadd], as opposed to an unbeliever by birth [kāfir a$li = mushrik], who is confined to a choice of death or slavery if captured, must be asked to rescind three times according to Islamic law; see EI, s.v. Murtadd.

112. That is, go slowly and deliberately. Abū al-Ḥusayn, Ṭabaqāt, I, 81, adds "to this infidel who worships a Lord we neither worship nor recognize by the attributes which he ascribes to Him."

113. Abū Thawr 'Amr b. al-Ma'di Karib al-Zubaydi, a renowned Arab poet and warrior, fought with his famous sword named "al-$amsammah" in the Jāhiliyyah and continued wielding his weapon after converting to Islam; EI2, s.v. 'Amr b. Ma'dikarib. Salm b. 'Amr al-Khāsir, d. 186 [802] (Sezgin, GAS, II, 511), was a panegyrist of al-Mahdi and al-Hādi. According to Balādhuri, Futūh, 143, al-Wathiq had a polisher temper the sword.

114. Simā al-Dimashqī (the Damascene), also called Simā al-Turkī ("the Turk"), was purchased by al-Muṭaṣim from the wazir Faḍl b. Sahl; Tabari, III, 1325 (Marin, Reign, 129); Ya'qūbī, Ta'rikh, II, 591; Buldān, 256, 262 = Wiet, Les pays, 45, 54; Mas'ūdī, Murūjī, VII, 307; Ḥafṣānī, Aghānī, XVIII, 93. In the version of Abū al-Ḥusayn, Ṭabaqāt, I, 81, it is the caliph himself who kills Ahmad b. Naṣr, but the account there appears to be telescoped. Abū al-Ḥusayn adds "a marvelous report" according to which the severed head uttered, "There is no God but Allāh . . ." and relates other stories about the head reciting Qur'ān verses.
Al-Wathiq stabbed him with the point of al-Samṣāmah in his abdomen.

He was then carried seated backward to the enclosure in which Bābāk was. There he was suspended, with a pair of fetters on his feet, dressed in trousers (ṣarāwīl) and a shirt (qamīṣ). His head was brought to Baghdad, where it was displayed first on the East Side and then on the West Side, and thereafter returned to the East Side. The head was placed in an enclosure, a pavilion erected about it, and a guard placed over it. This spot was known as Ra's Aḥmad b. Naṣr. A note was placed upon Aḥmad's ear, on which was written:

This is the head of the infidel, polytheist, and deviant, namely, Aḥmad b. Naṣr b. Mālik. He was of those killed by God at the hands of ʿAbdallāh Hārūn al-Imām al-Wathiq bi-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, after the latter had given him proof concerning creation of the Qurʾān and denial of anthropomorphism (tashbīḥ). He offered Aḥmad repentance, making possible return to the truth. But he refused, save open opposition. Praise to God who dispatched him to His fire and to His grievous punishment. When the Commander of the Faithful interrogated him about this, he confirmed anthropomorphism and uttered infidelity, whereupon the Commander of the Faithful regarded his blood as licit and cursed him.

Al-Wathiq ordered that whoever was named as belonging to the associates of Aḥmad b. Naṣr, who was reported to be a follower of his, should be interrogated. They were put into prisons. After-

115. For Bughā al-Ṣaghīr al-Ṣharābī, see EP, s.v.; Sourdel, Vizirat, Index. His cognomen al-Ṣharābī apparently means “the Cupbearer” (and not “the Tippler,” as occasionally rendered). A sharābī is also a seller of juice or a preparer or seller of potions; Goitein, Mediterranean Society, I, 151; II, 46, 253, 261. On the cognamen, see also Shaban, Islamic History, II, 82–83 (< Shārīm).116. Bābāk is the famous heresiarch who revolted during the period of al-Muʿtaṣim; Marin, Reign, esp. 8–15, 21–57, 59; EP, s.v. He was killed and suspended on a gibbet in Sāmarrā, which became a favored spot for hanging religious deviants and criminals.

117. Sirwāl means “underdrawers,” and in the later Islamic period the term included also pantaloons, knee-breeches, long trousers, and close-fitting drawers”; EP, s.v. Libās, V, 733. A qamīṣ is a body shirt; ibid.; see also Ahsan, Social Life, 45–46, 55, 60 [sirwāl]; 10, 34, 36–39 [qamīṣ].
wards, about twenty men who had been named were placed in dark dungeons. They were prevented from receiving the alms given to prisoners and from having visitors, and were encumbered with irons. Abū Hārūn al-Sarrāj was brought along with others to Sāmarra. They were thereafter taken back to Baghdad and imprisoned.

The cause for apprehending the men who were seized on account of Ahmad b. Naṣr was that a certain man, a fuller, who was in the suburb, came to Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣʿāb and proclaimed that he would name the followers of Ahmad b. Naṣr. Ishāq sent men along with the fuller to pursue them. When they were rounded up, the pursuers found cause for imprisoning the fuller along with them. He had date palms in al-Mihrizār, which were cut down, and his residence was plundered. Among those imprisoned on account of Ahmad b. Naṣr was a group of people who were the offspring of ‘Amr b. Isfandiyār. They died in prison.

One of the poets recited the following concerning Ahmad b. Abi Duʿād:

Whenever you stray from Iyād
You become a punishment against mankind.

You belong, as you claim, to Iyād,
So be gentle to these men, Iyādite.

In this year, al-Wāthiq intended to go on the pilgrimage and prepared himself for it. He sent ‘Umar b. Faraj on ahead to put

---

118. For Mihrizār, Ms. O has M-b-d-r-ā-n. Read perhaps Mihrjān. See Schwarz, Iran (IV), 170; (V), 546. A place called Mihrāwān is mentioned by Yaḥūt, Mu’jam, IV, 697; Le Strange, Lands, 375.

119. The word for mankind is ‘ībād, lit. “servants” [of God]. There may be an allusion here to the connection of the tribe of Iyād with the Christian community of al-Hirah called Ṭūd; see note in Leiden edition. Some members of the tribe of Iyād had settled in al-Hirah. Ahmad b. Abi Duʿād claimed to belong to Iyād.

120. ‘Umar b. Faraj al-Rukhkhaṭjī was one of the officials who had purchased land for the founding of Sāmarra. He was later punished by al-Mutawakkil and his property confiscated in 233 (847-48); see below, 1377-78 (pp. 73-74). See also Baladhuri, Futūḥ, 357; Yaʿqūbī, Taʿrikh, II, 592; Buldān, 258 = Wiet, Les pays, 48 and n. 4; Masʿūdī, Murūj, VII, 148 (read ‘Umar), 228; Tanūkhī, Nishwār, II, 12-18, 20; Ibn al-Abbār, Ḥabak, 145; Pellat, “Une charge,” 38-39; Sourdél, Vizirat, 237, 237, n. 1, 259, n. 3, 263, 268, 280, n. 1. A palace in Baghdad was named for his father, Faraj al-Rukkhāṭjī; Lassner, Topography, 79. And see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 190, on both father and son.
the route in order. 'Umar returned and notified him that water was scarce, whereupon al-Wāthiq changed his mind.121

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.113

In this year al-Wāthiq appointed Ja'far b. Dinar governor of the Yemen.122 He went there in Sha'ban (April 2–May 1, 846). He and Bughā the Elder went on the pilgrimage. Bughā the Elder presided over the events of the festive season. Ja'far went to the Yemen accompanied by 4,000 horsemen and 200 infantry, and was given six months of service allotments.

In this year, Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik al-Zayyāt appointed, in the Caliphal Palace, Ishāq b. Ibrāhim b. Abi Khamiṣah, client of the Banū Qushayr, of the people of Uḏākh, over al-Yamamah, al-Bahrayn, and the Mecca Road adjacent to al-巴ṣra.124 Aside from the caliph, no one except Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik al-Zayyāt is reported to have made appointments in the Caliphal Palace.125

In this year, a group of brigands broke into the treasury, which was in the Public Palace (Dar al-'Ammah) situated in the interior of the palace complex.126 They took 42,000 dirhams and a small
amount of dinārs. They were subsequently apprehended. Yazīd al-Ḥulwānī, chief of the security police and deputy of Īṭākh, relentlessly pursued the brigands until he apprehended them.127

In this year, Muḥammad b. 'Amr al-Khāriji, of the Banū Zayd b. Taghlīb, along with thirteen men, rebelled in Diyār Rabī’ah.128 Ghanīm b. Abī Muslim b. Ḥumayd al-Ṭūsī went out to engage him with a like number [of fighters]—the latter was responsible for the security police of Mosul.129 Four of the rebels were killed. Muḥammad b. 'Amr was taken captive and sent to Sāmarrā, and subsequently to the Maṭbaq [Prison] of Baghdad.130 The heads of his followers and his banners were raised [for public display] near Khashabat Babak.131

In this year, Waṣīf, the Turk, arrived from the area of Iṣbaḥān, al-Ījābāl, and Fārs.132 He had gone in pursuit of the Kurds, for they had been trying to infiltrate into these areas. About 500 of the Kurds came with him, including young slaves (ghilmān), bound together in chains and fetters. Waṣīf ordered that they be impris-

---

Herzfeld, Samarra, 104–5; Creswell, Early Muslim Architecture, II, 230; Samarrā‘ī, Ta‘rikh, I, 82, 91; al-‘Amid, Architecture, 98ff.


128. See Ya‘qūbī, Ta‘rikh, II, 589 [Muḥammad b. ‘Amr al-Shaybānī al-Khāriji]. Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 16, gives the name as Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Khāriji al-Tha‘labī [Taghlībī]. Khārijīte “revolts” in Diyār Rabī’ah were fairly common, see also Tabārī, III, 1500 [below, p. 224], where the same man is said to have revolted in the area of Mosul. He was finally killed in Diyār Rabī’ah in 252 [866]; III, 1683 [Salība, 144]. The frequent Khārijīte uprisings in the area of the Jazīrah were often no more than disturbances by Arab nomads and seminomads; see Kennedy, Early Abbasid Caliphate, 21.


130. The Maṭbaq was a formidable prison built by al-Manṣūr in southwest Baghdad on the road leading to the al-Baṣrah and al-Kūfah gates; Le Strange, Baghdad, 27; Lassner, Topography, 243.

131. Khashabat Bābak was a section of Sāmarrā named after the gibbet where Bābak was hanged; Ya‘qūbī, Buldān, 259 = Wiet, Les pays, 51; Herzfeld, Samarra, 101, 103; Samarrā‘ī, Ta‘rikh, I, 44. See above, n. 116.

132. Waṣīf, a slave purchased by al-Muṭaṣim, was a Turkish army commander who served under him and later became a chamberlain (ḥājib) for al-Wāthiq and al-Mutawakkil; Tabārī, III, 1327; Marin, Reign, 62, and n. 313; Ya‘qūbī, Ta‘rikh, II, 591; Buldān, 256, 258, 262, 264 = Wiet, Les pays, 45, 52, 54, 58. Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 255, 300; Tanbih, 361–63. The name waṣīf means “servant” or “page.” Waṣīf had estates in Iṣbaḥān and the Jabal that al-Mutawakkil later sequestered in favor of al-Fath b. Khāqān, below, 1452 [p. 171].
The Caliphate of Hārūn al-Wāthiq Abū Ja'far

onden. He was awarded 75,000 dinārs and invested with a sword and apparel.

In this year, the prisoner exchange between the Muslims and the Byzantine ruler was carried out. The Muslims and Byzantines met near the Lamos River at Seleucia, a one day march from Tarsus.

The Reason for the Prisoner Exchange and How It Took Place

It is reported on the authority of ʿAḥmad b. Abī Qaḥṭabah, an associate of Khāqān al-Khādim, a servant (khādīm) of al-Rashid—he had been raised in a frontier town (thaghṛ) —that this Khāqān presented himself to al-Wāthiq, together with a group of prominent people of Tarsus and other places, lodging grievances against an official over them in charge of complaints (maʿālim) whose teknonym was Abū Wahb. The official was summoned, and Muhammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik kept confronting him with Khāqān and the others in the Public Audience Hall on Mondays and Thursdays after the notables had departed. They would remain until noon, when Muhammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik and they would leave. The official was deposed.

Al-Wāthiq ordered that the inhabitants of the frontier towns (ahl al-thughīr) be subjected to an inquisition (imtihān) concern-

135. Ahmad b. Abi Qahtahbah is mentioned here and on p. 1353 (Ibn Abi Qabtabah) as an associate of Khāqān al-Khādim. On p. 1354 an Abū Qaḥṭabah is mentioned as the emissary of Khāqān to the Byzantines, and Abū Qaḥṭabah al-Maghribi al Turṭūsi is cited in connection with the prisoner exchange of 241 (855-56) on 1427 (below, p. 139 and n. 462). But we assume that the same person is intended.
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ing the Qur'an. All except four individuals declared its createdness, whereupon al-Wathiq ordered that the four be decapitated unless they acknowledged it. He ordered that all the inhabitants of the frontier towns be given grants (jawā'iz) as Khāqān saw fit. The inhabitants of the frontier towns hastened to their places, Khāqān tarrying a bit after them.

Emissaries of the Byzantine ruler—he was Michael son of Theophilus, son of Michael, son of Leo, son of George136—came to al-Wathiq, requesting that the caliph ransom Muslim captives who were under the Byzantine ruler's jurisdiction. Al-Wathiq consequently sent Khāqān to carry out the transaction. Khāqān and his companions left in order to ransom the Muslim captives at the end of 230 (844–45), in keeping with the appointed time set between Khāqān and the emissaries of the Byzantine ruler, which was the Day of the 'Āshūrā',137 that is, 10 Muḥarram, 231 (September 16, 845).

Al-Wathiq thereafter appointed Ahmad b. Sa'id b. Salm b. Qutaybah al-Bāhili138 governor over the frontier towns (thughūr) and provinces ('awāsim), and ordered him to be present at the prisoner exchange. He departed with seventeen139 postal couriers. There was a dispute between the Byzantine emissaries who came to request the prisoner exchange and Ibn al-Zayyāt concerning the matter at hand. The Byzantines stated that they would not accept in exchange old women, old men, or children.140 This dispute went on between the emissaries and Ibn al-Zayyāt for a number of days until they consented to an equal exchange.

Al-Wathiq sent to Baghdad and al-Raqqah in order to buy

136. See above, n. 3, for Michael III [842–67], son of Theophilus [829–42], son of Michael II [820–29], who was, however, not his father, and Leo V was preceded by Michael I Rangabē [813–20].

137. The 'Āshūrā' is a voluntary fast day on the tenth of Muḥarram.

138. For Ahmad b. Sa'id b. Salm b. Qutaybah al-Bāhili and his ancestry, see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 136–38, and sources cited there; and see also Ibn al-'Adim, Ta'rikh Halab, I, 70. Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 17, has Muslim instead of Salm, an obvious error.

139. Ms. O: fifteen.

140. According to Bar Hebraeus, Chronography, 140–41, the Christian ambassador claimed that the Arab prisoners were all soldiers captured in battle, but that the Christian prisoners taken by the Arabs included, aside from soldiers, old men and women and very young boys and girls who were apprehended in villages.
mamlūk slaves available for sale. He purchased all those that he could, but the requisite number [for the prisoner exchange] was not reached. Al-Wāthiq therefore brought from his palace elderly Byzantine women\textsuperscript{141} and others until the right number was met. He then sent two men who were associated with Ibn Abī Du'ād, one named Yaḥyā b. Ādām al-Karkhī, who had the teknonym Abū Ramlah, and [the other] Jaʿfar b. al-Hadhdhā\textsuperscript{142}. He sent with them one of the secretaries of the military administration\textsuperscript{143} by the name of Tallīb b. Dāwūd. Al-Wāthiq ordered Tallīb and Jaʿfar to interrogate the captives. Whoever professed that the Qurʾān was created was to be ransomed, and whoever denied this was to be left in the hands of the Byzantines. Al-Wāthiq ordered that Tallīb be given 5,000 dirhams, and that all those ransomed professing that the Qurʾān was created be given one dinār from the money\textsuperscript{144} brought with them. The group then departed.

It is reported on the authority of Ahmad b. al-Ḥārith:\textsuperscript{145} I questioned Ibn Abī Qāṭābabah, an associate of Khāqān al-Khādīm—he was the intermediary between the Muslims and the Byzantines who had been sent to determine the number of Muslims in Byzantine territory. Ahmad had come to the Byzantine ruler and calculated their number prior to the ransoming. He reported that their number came to 3,000 men and 500 women.

Al-Wāthiq subsequently ordered that they be ransomed. He dispatched Ahmad b. Saʿīd posthaste to carry out the prisoner exchange, and he sent as well men to interrogate the Muslim prisoners. Those who professed that the Qurʾān was created, and that God cannot be seen in the Afterlife, were ransomed, and those who did not profess this were left in the hands of the Byzantines. There had not been a prisoner exchange since the days of Muhammad b. Zubaydah in 194 (809–10) or 195 (810–11).\textsuperscript{146}

\textsuperscript{141} Ms. O: Byzantine women and old women.
\textsuperscript{142} Text: al-Ḥadhāʾ. In Tabari, Index, 102, the name is given as Jaʿfar b. [Ahmad] al-Ḥadhdhāʾ. Yaʿqūbī, Taʿrikh (II, 588), has Jaʿfar b. Ahmad al-Ḥadhdhāʾ ("Shoe-maker") and says that he was šālib al-jaysh ("army commander"). See also Adenda, DCCLXXV.
\textsuperscript{143} The kuttāb al-ʿarḍ served in the diwān al-jaysh; EP, II, 507, s.v. Djaysh; Glossarium, CCCCLVII.
\textsuperscript{144} Text: min māl. Cairo edition Ms. A: min mālihi.
\textsuperscript{146} That is, the caliph al-ʿAmin, Muḥammad, son of Hārūn al-Rashīd by Zubaydah.
Ahmad b. al-Hārith said: On the Day of the ‘Āshūrā’, on 10 Muḥarram, 231 (September 16, 844), the Muslims and the non-Arab peasants (‘ulūj) with them met with two Byzantine officers, one called Anqās and the other, Telesios. The Muslims and the volunteers numbered 4,000, including horsemen and infantry. They met at a place called Lamos.

It is reported that Muḥammad b. Ahmad b. Saʾīd b. Salm b. Qutaybah al-Bahili received a letter from his father that stated that the Muslims and the Dhimmis with them who were ransomed numbered 4,600. Six hundred of them were women and children and fewer then five hundred were Dhimmis. The rest were men from various regions.

Abū Qaḥṭabah reported—he was the emissary of Khāqān al-Khādīm to the Byzantine ruler whose task was to examine the number of prisoners and to ascertain the accuracy of what Michael, the Byzantine ruler, claimed—that the number of Muslims prior to the exchange was 3,000 men, 500 women, and children who were in Constantinople and elsewhere, save those whom the Byzantines brought forth and Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Ṭarsūsī who was in their custody.

Abū Saʾīd b. Salm sent Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Ṭarsūsī and Khāqān, along with a number of prominent captives, to al-Wāthiq. Al-Wāthiq placed each one of them upon a horse and gave him 1,000 dirhams.

---

147. The term ‘ulūj is polysemous and thus difficult to translate by a single word. It means, according to Kazimirski, s.v., someone ignorant, savage, rustic, barbarian, or a non-Arab who is not a Muslim. One may say that the term refers to the autochthonic, as yet not arabicized, population. Thus, the terms “peasants” and “infidels” are occasionally employed to render the sense. ‘Ulūj occasionally means “peasants” in the pejorative sense, even when referring to Muslims, like English “rube” or “yokel.”

148. The first name may also be read Ayqās; Ms. C: Nifās? The second—Talsiyūs or the like—yields Telesios; Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 313.

149. Yaʾqūbī, Taʾrikh, II, 589, gives the number as more than 70,000 men.

150. Muḥammad b. Ahmad’s father is mentioned above, 1352 [p. 39]; and see n. 138.

151. The Dhimmis are the non-Muslim minorities, primarily Christians and Jews, living under Muslim protection, the beneficiaries of a contract (dhimmah) with the Muslims stipulating their obligations in return for this protection. See EI², s.v. Ahl al-Dhimma.

152. Leiden Ms. O gives his toponymic nisbah as Ṭūrtūsī. The difference determines whether he, or his family, hailed from Tarsus in Asia Minor (which is most likely) or from Tortosa in Spain; see also below, n. 462.
This same Muḥammad reported that he had been a captive in the custody of the Byzantines for thirty years, and that he was taken captive in the raid of Rāmiyah.\textsuperscript{153} He had been one of the sellers of provender when he was taken captive, and was among those ransomed in this exchange.

Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh said: We were ransomed on the Day of the 'Āshūrā', on the Lamos River at Seleucia, near the sea. The number of ransomed captives came to 4,460. There were 800 women, with their husbands\textsuperscript{154} and children, and more than one hundred Dhimmis of the Muslims. The exchange took place on a one-to-one basis, whether young or old. Khāqān endeavored to evacuate\textsuperscript{155} all the Muslims that could be located in Byzantine territory.

Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh continued: When they were assembled for the exchange, the Muslims stood on the east side of the river, the Byzantines on the west side. The river was fordable. Each party would send forth a man, and the two would meet in the middle of the river. When a Muslim reached the Muslims, he and they would exclaim, "God is the greatest." And when a Byzantine reached the Byzantines, they said something in their language equivalent to "God is the greatest."

It is reported on the authority of al-Sindi, mawlā of Husayn al-Khādim:\textsuperscript{156} The Muslims and Byzantines built bridges over the river. We would send a Byzantine over our bridge, and the Byzantines would send a Muslim over their bridge. The one would come to us and the other to them. He denied that the river was fordable.

\textsuperscript{153} The Index gives Rāmiyah as a toponym. For a Rāmiyah ca. 22 km, southeast of Tyre, see Dussaud, \textit{Topographie}, 11; Pauly-Wissowa, s.v. Rama. Vasiliev, on the other hand (\textit{Byzance}, I, 313), translates: "expédition de troupes légères."

\textsuperscript{154} The phrase "with their husbands" is omitted in Ibn al-Athir, \textit{Kāmil}, VII, 17. Tabari cites conflicting accounts of the number of Muslims freed: p. 1339 [4,362], 1354 [4,600], 1355 [4,460]. Yaʿqūbī gives a low number of 500 men and 700 women. Other sources stay in the neighborhood of 4,000; see Vasiliev, \textit{Byzance}, I, 202, n. 4.

\textsuperscript{155} The words "endeavored to evacuate" render \textit{istafragha}; see Glossarium, CDI.

\textsuperscript{156} Perhaps Ḥusayn al-Khādim 'Araq al-Mawt; see Tabari, III, 1841; Yaʿqūbī, \textit{Ta'rikh}, II, 621; Jahshiyārī, \textit{Wuzūrā}, 82.
we were restored to the Muslims, Ja'far and Yahyā interrogated us. We responded appropriately and were given two dinārs apiece. Muḥammad b. Karīm said: The two Byzantine Patrikioi who brought forth the captives did not mind associating157 with Ja'far and Yahyā.

He said: The Byzantines were disturbed by the number of Muslims, as they were few and the Muslims many, but Khāqān reassured them in this regard. He established a truce of forty days between the Byzantines and the Muslims, during which time the Byzantines would not be raided, so that they could reach their territory and place of safety. The exchange went on for four days. A large number of Byzantine captives remained with Khāqān, whom the Commander of the Faithful had designated for ransoming the Muslims. Khāqān turned over to the Byzantine ruler one hundred persons of those who remained with him. Thus, the Byzantines would be in arrears owing to the difference, thereby assuring an exchange for the Muslims who might be captured before the ransom period expired. He returned the rest to Tarsus, where he sold them.

Muḥammad b. Karīm said: About thirty ransomed Muslims who had converted to Christianity in Byzantine territory departed with us. When the forty-day period of truce between Khāqān and the Byzantines elapsed, Aḥmad b. Saʿīd b. Salīm b. Qutaybah undertook a winter raid. Snow and rain afflicted the men, and approximately 200 died. Many drowned in the Podandos [River],158 and about 200 were taken prisoner. The Commander of the Faithful al-Wāḥiq was angry with Aḥmad b. Saʿīd for this. The total number of men who died and were drowned came to 500.

A Patrikios who was one of the Byzantine commanders advanced upon Aḥmad b. Saʿīd, who was accompanied by 7,000 men. Aḥmad b. Saʿīd withdrew before him, whereupon the [Muslim] notables said to him. “An army of 7,000 men should not be frightened away. If you cannot face them head on, then at least

---

157. Text: la ba'sa bi-himā fi mu'āsharatihimā; see Glossarium, CXXVI.
158. Badandūn or Budandūn [Budhandūn] = Podandos of the Romans and Byzantines, now called Bozanti [Pozanti], is ca. 13 km. north/northwest of the Cilician Gates; Le Strange, Lands, 133–35; Honigmann, Ostgrenze, Index, 253; Minorsky, Hudūd, 78, 220; EI² s.v. Bozanti.
infiltrate their territory." So he seized about 1,000 head of cattle and 10,000 sheep and departed. As a result, al-Wâthiq deposed him and appointed Naṣr b. Ḥamzah al-Khuza‘i,159 on Tuesday, 16 Jumâdâ I of this year (January 18, 846).

In this year, al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥusayn, brother of Ṭâhir b. al-Ḥusayn, died in Ṭabaristân in Ramaḍân (May, 846).160

In this year, al-Khaṭṭâb b. Wajh al-Fals died.161

In this year, Abû 'Abdallâh b. al-A'râbî al-Râwiyah died on Wednesday, 13 Sha'bân (April 14, 846) at the age of 80.162

In this year, Umm Abîhâ bt. Mûsâ, sister of 'Alî b. Mûsâ al-Riḍâ, died.163

In this year, Mukhâriq al-Mughanni ("the Singer")164 died, as did Abû Naṣr Aḥmad b. Ḥâtim, the transmitter of al-Asma‘î,165 'Amr b. Abî 'Amr al-Shaybânî,166 and Muḥammad b. Sa’dân al-Naḥwî.167

---

159. For Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, a cousin of Aḥmad b. Naṣr, see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 183. He was appointed governor of Baghdad in 201 (816–17); Tabari, III, 1002 (Bosworth, Reunification, 47); and was later governor of Damascus.

160. For the Tâhirid al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥusayn b. Muṣ‘ab, see Tabari, III, 1066, etc.; Marin, Reign, 91, 93–106; Zambaur, Manuel, 198 (Tâhirids); Bosworth, "The Tâhirids and Šaffârds," 97, 100. And see Table 3.


162. He was a transmitter of poetry (râwiyah), grammarians, poet, etc. See Isfâhâni, Aghâni, III, 131–32, 138; Shâbûshi, Diyârât, 15–16, and n. 9 ad loc. for additional sources.

163. Umm Abîhâ bt. Mûsâ was the daughter of Mûsâ al-Kâzîm, seventh imâm of the Imâmî/Twelver Shi‘ites. ‘Alî b. Mûsâ al-Riḍâ, her brother, is the eighth imâm, who had been designated by al-Ma‘mûn as heir apparent.

164. Mukhâriq b. Yahyâ al-Mughanni, a famous court musician, was active from the time of al-Amin to that of al-Wâthiq; Tabari, III, 967, etc., Guidi, Tables, 613; Farmer, History, 121–22, Index, 254; Neubauer, Musiker, 199–201; Stig- elbauer, Sängerinnen, 36, 81, 111–13, especially 81, n. 537.

165. See Ibn al-Nadîm, Fihrist, 121; Suyûṭî, Bughyat al-wu‘âh, 130; Sezgin, GAS, II, Index, 728; EP, I, 718, s.v. al-Asma‘î.

166. 'Amr b. Abî 'Amr al-Shaybânî, a philologist, was son of the philologist and poetry expert, Abû 'Amr Isbâq b. Mirâr al-Shaybânî; Ibn al-Nadîm, Fihrist, 150; Sezgin, GAS, II, 88, 183, 354.

167. Abû Ja‘far Muḥammad b. Sa’dân al-Nahwî, a philologist and Qur’ân reader, was son of the philologist Ibrâhîm b. Muḥammad b. Sa’dân b. al-Mubârak; Ibn al-Nadîm, Fihrist, 154; Sezgin, GAS, IX, 135.
One of the events was Bughā the Elder's march against the Banū Numayr and his attack upon them.

**The Reason for Bughā the Elder's March against the Banū Numayr and What Took Place between Them**

I was informed by Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. Makhlad concerning most of their account. He was said to be with Bughā on this journey. But the rest of the account derives from someone else.

It is reported that the reason for Bughā's march against the Banū Numayr was that 'Umarah b. 'Aqīl b. Bilāl b. Jarīr b. al-Khaṭafi eulogized al-Wāthiq in an ode, and then visited him.

---

168. O begins with "Abū Ja'far said," i.e., Ṭabarī.
169. Text: Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. Khālid. C reads Makhlad (without points); and see also Index and Addenda, DCCLXXV; and Cairo edition (on basis of A and D).
170. A panegyrist of al-Wāthiq, 'Umarah was son of the poet 'Aqīl b. Bilāl; Ishāhānī, Aghānī, XX, 183–88; Sezgin, GAS, II, 559.
and recited the ode to him, whereupon al-Wāthiq ordered that he be given 30,000 dirhams and lodging. 'Umārah told al-Wāthiq about the Banū Numayr, informing him about their mockery and corruption upon earth, and about their making raids upon people, including al-Yamāmah and its adjacent territories. Al-Wāthiq thereupon wrote commanding Bughā to wage war against them.

Aḥmad b. Muhammad reported that when Bughā was ready to march against the Banū Numayr from Medina he brought with him Muḥammad b. Yūsuf al-Jaʿfari as a guide along the way. He headed for al-Yamāmah, and encountered a contingent of theirs in a place called al-Shurayf. They engaged him in battle, and Bughā killed about fifty of their men, taking forty captive.

Bughā then went to Ḥuzzayyān, and thereafter to a village of the Banū Tamim called Mar'ah in the district of al-Yamāmah. He encamped there, and then dispatched his emissaries to propose to the Banū Numayr a guarantee of safe-conduct, summoning them to obedience. They refused him, however, reviling his emissaries, and slipped away to do combat with him. This went on until he dispatched two last emissaries, one of the Banū 'Adi of Tamim and the other of the Banū Numayr. They killed the Tamimī and wounded the Numayrī.

Consequently, Bughā set out for them from Mar'ah. His march against them was on 1  Ṣafar, 232 (September 27, 846). He came to Baṭn Nakhl and kept marching until he entered Nukhaylah, whereupon he sent word to them that they should come to him.

The Banū  Dabbah of Numayr broke camp and ascended their mountain—on the left side of the Sawd Mountain—it was a mountain to the rear of al-Yamāmah, most of whose inhabitants

---

171. There were two places named Shurayf, one in al-Yamāmah and the other in the Yemen. For the Yamāmah Shurayf, where the Banū Numayr were located, see Yāqūt, Mu‘jam, III, 285.
172. For Ḥuzzayyān, see Yāqūt, Mu‘jam, II, 292; for Banū Tamim, see El‘, s.v. Tamīm; for Mar’ah in al-Yamāmah, see Yaqūt, Mu’jam, IV, 481; Hamdānī, Šifat Jazirat al-‘Arab, 140, 181.
173. For Baṭn Nakhl, see Yāqūt, Mu’jam, I, 667; Hamdānī, Šifat Jazirat al-‘Arab, 180, 189. There were many locations named Nukhayl (diminutive of nakhl, “date palm”) or Nukhaylah, including the well-known Nukhaylah close to al-Kūfah (Yāqūt, Mu’jam, IV, 771).
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were of the Bāhilah. Bughā sent emissaries to them, but they refused to come to him, so he sent a detachment against the Banū Numayr, which, however, failed to overtake them. As a result, he sent detachments that eventually caught up with them and took captives. Bughā then sent in their wake one of the contingents accompanying him. It consisted of about 1,000 men, aside from the weak (duʿafāʾ) and attendants who stayed behind in the army camp. He encountered the Banū Numayr, and they rallied to fight him, numbering then about 3,000 men. They were in a place called Rawḍat al-Abān and Baṭn al-Sīr, two days journey from al-Qarnayn and one day from Udākh. They routed his vanguard and put his left wing to flight, killing about 120 or 130 men of Bughā’s force and hobbling about 700 camels and 100 riding animals in his army camp, as well as plundering the impedimenta and some of the material that was with him.

I was informed by Ahmad b. Muḥammad: Bughā encountered them and attacked them, but the night came over him. Bughā thereupon began to implore and summon them to return to obedience of the Commander of the Faithful. Muḥammad b. Yūsuf al-Jaʿfari argued this with them.

They set about saying to him, “By God, Muḥammad b. Yūsuf, we brought you into the world, but you have not respected your blood relations. Now you come to us with these slaves and peasants (ʿulūj) with whom you fight us. By God, we’ll show you hot tears”—and such kind of talk.

When morning approached, Muḥammad b. Yūsuf said to Bughā, “Attack them before the light of dawn when they see how few we are and move boldly against them.” But Bughā refused him.

When dawn broke and the Banū Numayr took notice of the number of men with Bughā—they had placed their infantry in

174. Yāqūt, Muʾjam, III, 183, and Hamdānī, Siḥat Jazīrat al-ʿArab, 149, 169, mention Sawd (or Sūd) Bāhilah as a village belonging to the Bāhilah.

175. The term duʿafāʾ (pl. of daʿif), meaning “weak, infirm,” refers in this context to women, children, slaves, etc.

176. For Baṭn al-Sīr, see Yāqūt, Muʾjam, I, 666; Hamdānī, Siḥat Jazīrat al-ʿArab, 174, 176. And for al-Qarnayn [the Two Peaks], named for two small mountains, see Yāqūt, Muʾjam, IV, 73.


178. The word waladnāka, lit. “we gave birth to you,” has the sense of “your mother is of our folk”; see Glossarium, DLXVI.
front of them and their horsemen at their rear, with their live-stock—they attacked and routed us, all the way to our camp, and we were certain to be wiped out.

Ahmad b. Muhammad said: Bughā learned that some of the horses of the Banū Numayr were located at a certain place in their territory, and so he sent there about 200 horsemen from his force. Just as we were about done for, Bughā and his men having being routed, the contingent that Bughā had dispatched at night to these horses came on the scene. Coming from the place to which they had been sent from the camp, they arrived at the rear of the Banū Numayr, who had routed Bughā and his forces. Bughā's men blew their trumpets. And when the Banū Numayr heard the clarion, and spotted those who emerged against them at their rear, they exclaimed, “By God, the slave has deceived us!” They turned their backs in flight, their horsemen abandoning their infantrymen after having protected them to the utmost.

I was informed by Ahmad b. Muhammad: Not more than one of their infantrymen survived; every last one of them was killed. But the horsemen took off in flight on the backs of their steeds.

Someone aside from Ahmad b. Muhammad said that the rout of Bughā and his force went on from morning to noon, on Tuesday, 13 Jumādā II, 232 (February 4, 847). The Banū Numayr were then engaged in plundering and in hobbling the camels and horses until Bughā's men who had been put to flight returned to him, and those who were separated from him rejoined him. This force attacked and routed the Banū Numayr, and from noon until afternoon killed about 1,500 of their men. Bughā stayed at the site of the skirmish at the watering place known as Baṭn al-Sir180 until the heads of the Banū Numayr who were killed were gathered for him. He and his companions then rested for three days.

I was also informed by Ahmad b. Muhammad that the Numayrī horsemen who fled from the skirmish sent messengers to Bughā requesting a guarantee of safe conduct, which he granted them. Thus, they came to him; he bound them in fetters and went off with them.

179. Text: ‘udhr. Addenda, DCCLXXV; ghadara; see Glossarium, CCCIV; so Cairo edition on basis of D. “The slave” is pejorative for Bughā.
180. See Hamdānī, Sifat Jazīrat al-‘Arab, 145.
However, someone other than Ahmad b. Muhammad said: Bughā went from the place of the skirmish in pursuit of those who had eluded him, but he overtook only the weak who were exhausted and some livestock, and then returned to the fortress of Bāḥilah.

He said: Those of the Banū Numayr whom Bughā fought were the Banū ‘Abbāllaḥ b. Numayr, the Banū Busrah and Bilhajjaj, the Banū Qaṭān, the Banū Salāḥ, the Banū Shurayḥ, and clans that remained behind who belonged to the Banū ‘Abbāllaḥ b. Numayr.181 Only a few of the Banū ‘Āmir b. Numayr participated in the fighting. The Banū ‘Āmir b. Numayr are cultivators of date palms and ewes, and not horsemen. [The Banū] ‘Abdallah b. Numayr are the ones who fought the Arab tribesmen. ‘Umārah b. ‘Aqil recited to Bughā:

You left the rough ones and rugged clan,
And filled the prisons with refuse.

I was informed by Ahmad b. Muhammad that after Bughā had bound, arrested, and taken with him the Banū Numayr who received his guarantee of safe-conduct, they rioted along the way and tried to break their fetters and escape. He ordered that they be brought forward one at a time. As each one was presented, he had him beaten about 400 to 500 lashes. Ahmad182 b. Muhammad claimed that he witnessed their beating, and that not one of them gave vent to his pain. A shaykh of theirs was brought forth who had hung a text of the Qurʾān on his neck.183 Muhammad b. Yūsuf, who was seated next to Bughā, laughed at him, saying, "This is the most offensive thing that has happened—may God give you prosperity." This was when he hung the text on his neck. He then beat him 400 or 500 lashes but the shaykh did not give in to his pain or appeal for help.

181. On the Banū ‘Abbāllaḥ b. Numayr, see Caskel, Das genealogische Werk, I, 228; II, 116, Jamharah, 154. For the Banū Busrah and Bilhajjaj of Numayr b. ‘Āmir, see ibid., I, 92; II, 450, Jamharah, 121a. And for Banū Qaṭān, see ibid., I, 290; II, 468. On Shurayḥ and Salāḥ, see Kabharah, Mu’jam, II, 590, 539.
183. For other instances of Qurʾān texts suspended from the neck, see, for example, Ibn ‘Abd Rabbihi, ‘Iqd, I, 212; al-Mubarrad, Kāmil, III, 946 (‘Abbāllaḥ b. Khabbāb), which were brought to my attention by Dr. Khalil Athamina.
It is reported that a horseman of the Banu Numayr, called "the Madman" (al-majnūn), encountered Bughā and stabbed him in the course of the skirmish that I have described. One of the Turks shot the Madman, but he escaped and lived for three days before succumbing to his wound.

Then Wājin al-Ushrusani al-Šughdi joined Bughā, along with an auxiliary force of 700 men of the Ushrusaniyyah-Ish-tikhaniyyah. Bughā sent Wājin and Muhammad b. Yūsuf al-Ja’fari after the Banu Numayr. They pursued the latter relentlessly until they penetrated deep into the territory of the Banu Numayr, coming to Tabālah and its environs at the border of the district of the Yemen, but the Banu Numayr eluded him.

Bughā departed, having apprehended only six or seven of them, and then stayed at the fortress of Bahihal. Bughā sent detachments from Halan, Sawd, and other places in the district of al-Yamāmah to the mountains and plains of the Banu Numayr to fight those who accepted the guarantee of safe conduct and then held out. The detachments killed one contingent and took another captive. A number of the leaders of the Banu Numayr began to request a guarantee of safe conduct, each one for himself and for his own clan. Bughā acceded to them, and was magnanimous and conciliatory. He remained until all those suspected of being in these regions were rounded up for him. Seizing about 300 men, he fettered them with irons and brought them to al-Bagah, in Dhū al-Qa’dah, 232 [June 19–July 18, 847].

185. Wājin (or: Wajan) al-Ushrusani al-Šughdi (the Šughdian) was from Ushrusanah, the name of a province and a town east of Samarqand in Transoxania; Le Strange, Lands, 474–76. See also Tabari, III, 1306–07 [Marin, Reign, 113] and 1503 [Saliba, 3]; Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 602.
186. Text: Al-Ushrusaniyyah al-Ishtikhaniyyah. Ishtikhan is a town slightly to the north of Samarqand; Le Strange, Lands, 466. The compound term may refer to the citizens of the town of Ishtikhan in the province of Ushrusanah. But the two formed separate elements in the mixed population of military personnel living in Samarra, that is, the Faraghnah, Ushrusaniyyah, Ishtikhanijiyah and others, from various districts of Khurasan; see, e.g., Yāqūt, Mu’jam, 263 = Wiet, Les pays, 55. Perhaps the word “and” must be supplied or understood.
187. Tabālah is in northwest Yemen, in the interior of ‘Asūr; Yāqūt, Mu’jam, I, 816; El’, s.v.
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Bughā sent a dispatch to Šāliḥ al-‘Abbāsī to march with those of the Banū Kilāb, Fazārah, Murrah, Tha’labah, and others who were with him in the city and to meet up with him. Šāliḥ al-‘Abbāsī overtook Bughā in Baghdad, and both of them went to Samarrā in al-Muḥarram, 233 (August 17–September 15, 847).

The number of Arab tribesmen whom Bughā and Šāliḥ al-‘Abbāsī brought, aside from those who died, fled, and were killed in these skirmishes that we have described, were 2,200, including men of the Banū Numayr, Banū Kilāb, Murrah, Fazārah, Tha’labah, and Ṭayyi’.189

In this year, the pilgrims were stricken upon the return journey by fierce thirst at four stations to al-Rabadhāh.190 A drink fetched the price of a few dinārs. Many people died of thirst.

In this year, Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ’āb was appointed governor of Fārs.

In this year, al-Wāthiq gave orders to desist from tithing seagoing vessels.

In this year, the cold was so severe in Nīsān (April) that water froze on the fifth day of the month.

In this year, al-Wāthiq died.

An Account of the Illness from Which al-Wāthiq Died

A number of our colleagues informed me that the illness from which al-Wāthiq died was dropsy. He was treated by being seated in a heated oven, where he found relief and comfort.191 Al-Wāthiq ordered them the next day to increase the heat of the oven. This was done, and he sat in it longer than on the previous day. But he

---

188. On Šāliḥ al-‘Abbāsī al-Turki, see also below 1422 (p. 134), where the governor of Damascus sends him with troops to quell a revolt in Himṣ. Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 262 = Wiet, Les pays, 55, notes a street and palace named for him in Samarrā. See Herzfeld, Samarra, 112.
190. Al-Rabadhāh was an important station on the Mecca Road near Medina, about 6 km. from Dhāt ‘Irq; Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 312 = Wiet, Les pays, 146; Yāqūt, Mu’jam, II 748; Hamdānī, Ṣifat Jazīrat al-‘Arab, 142, 171, 185.
191. According to Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 590, the ground was dug out to form a cavity, which was then heated with tamarisk wood.
was overcome with heat, and was then removed from the oven and placed on a litter. Al-Faḍl b. Iṣḥāq al-Ḥāshimi, ‘Umar b. Faraj, and others were present. Then Ibn al-Zayyāt and Ibn Abi Du’ād arrived. They were unaware of the caliph’s death until his face struck the litter, at which point they realized that he had died.

According to another version, Aḥmad b. Abī Du’ād attended him, and was with him when he lost consciousness and died, whereupon Aḥmad approached to close his eyelids and fit him out [for burial]. His death was on 23 Dhū al-Ḥijjah (August 10, 847). He was buried in his palace in the Harūnī. The one who recited funeral prayers, attended to his burial, and took care of his affairs was Aḥmad b. Abī Du’ād. Al-Ḥāthiq had ordered Aḥmad b. Abī Du’ād to lead the public prayer on the Day of Sacrifice (10 Dhū al-Ḥijjah) in the oratory. Aḥmad led the festival prayers, for al-Ḥāthiq was very ill, and could not attend the oratory. He died of this illness.

A Portrayal of al-Ḥāthiq, His Years, and the Extent of His Caliphate

Someone who knew him personally reported that al-Ḥāthiq was fair, tending to a ruddy complexion, and was handsome, of medium height and well-built. His left eye was paralyzed and had a white fleck. He died, as some claim, at the age of thirty-six; according to others, he succumbed at the age of thirty-two. Those who claim that he was thirty-six say that he was born in 196

---


193. The word ghammada means “to close the eyelids of a dead person”; Glossarium, CCCXCII; Introducuntio, LXXXIX.8, and note d, which refers to Ṭabarī, III, 1136.13; see also Dozy, Supplement, II, 227.

194. For yuṣlihu min sha’nihī in the sense of “fit him out” or the like, see Glossarium, CCCXXV.

195. A fair (abyad) complexion connoted nobility, and ruddy (ahmar) was often used for northern people (Byzantines and Greeks), according to Fischer, Farb- und Formbezeichnungen, 338–39. For similar physical descriptions of al-Ḥāthiq, see also Mas‘ūdī, Tanbih, 361; Abū al-Maḥāsīn, Nujūm, II, 263; Suyūṭī, Ta‘rīkh, 342 = tr. Jarrett, 356. William Beckford, in his famous novel Vathek (1786), calls particular attention to al-Ḥāthiq’s terrible eye that no one could bear to behold. Beckford’s source was D’Herbelot’s reference to al-Ḥāthiq’s “œil si terrible” in Bibliothèque Orientale (1697), 912. On al-Ḥāthiq’s awesome glare, see, for example, Tha‘ālibī, Lātā‘if, 111.
[811–12] and that his caliphate lasted five years, nine months, and five days. And some of them say seven days and twelve hours. He was born along the route of the Mecca Road. His mother was a Byzantine slave named Qarātīs. His name was Hārūn, his tek-nomyn, Abū Jaˈfar.

It is reported that when he was stricken with the sickness from which he died—his abdomen had become afflicted with dropsy—he ordered that the astrologers be brought into his presence, and they were. Among those in attendance were al-Ḥasan b. Sahl, the brother of al-Faḍl b. Sahl,196 al-Faḍl b. Ishaq al-Ḥashimi,197 Is-māˈīl b. Nawbakht,198 Muhammad b. Mūsā al-Khwārazmī, al-Majūsī al-Qutrabbūlī,199 Sanad, the associate of Muḥammad b. al-Haytham;200 and all those engaged in astrology. They considered his illness, star, and horoscope, and predicted that he would live a long time, estimating fifty years in the future. But in less than ten days he died.

Some Reports about al-Wāthiq

Al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḍahḥāk201 reported that he saw al-Wāthiq a few days after al-Muˈtaṣim died. He was seated at the first soirée that

196. Al-Ḥasan b. Sahl was court astrologer and finance minister and governor for al-Maˈmūn; d. 236 (85o-51); Bosworth, Reunification, Index, 272; Sourdel, Vizirat, Index, 766, and especially 215–18; Sezgin, GAS, VII, 122; EI², s.v. His brother al-Faḍl b. Sahl, Dhu al-Riyāsatayn, was court astrologer for Hārūn al-Rashid and then wazīr of al-Maˈmūn, who had him killed in 202 (817–18) or 203 (818–19); Bosworth, Index, 271; Sourdel, Index, 761, and especially 196–213; Sezgin, GAS, VII, 115; EI², s.v. Sezgin cites our passage on p. 119.

197. Al-Faḍl b. Ishaq al-Ḥashimi was presumably the son of Ishaq b. Sulaymān al-Ḥashimi, who served in the court of al-Maˈmūn; Ibn Tayfūr, Baghdād, 1, 79; Sezgin, GAS, VII, 119.

198. See on him, Ibn Tayfūr, Baghdād, 164.


200. For the mathematician and astronomer/astrologer Sanad (or Sind) b. ʿAlī Abū al-Ṭayyib, see Sezgin, GAS, V, 242; VI, 138, VII, 119. For Muḥammad b al-Haytham b. ʿAdī al-Taʾī, see Tabarī, III, 1141, 1156 [Bosworth, Reunification, 232, 249]; and Ibn Tayfūr, Baghdād, 89, 144.

he convened. The first song at this soirée was rendered by Shariyah, the slave girl of Ibrāhīm b. al-Mahdi:202

When the bearers raised his bier they knew not
If it be for abiding or perdition.

May your female mourners say about you what they wish,
Morning and night.203

Al-Ḥusayn b. al-Dāḥḥāḳ: He wept and, by God, we wept to the point that the weeping totally possessed us. Then one of the singers proceeded to sing:204

Bid farewell, Hurayrah, for the cavalcade is departing.
O man, can you endure saying farewell?205

Al-Ḥusayn b. al-Dāḥḥāḳ said: And the caliph wept, by God, even more, exclaiming, “I have never heard a condolence206 for a father or an elegy as I did today.” Then the soirée dispersed.

Al-Ḥusayn b. al-Dāḥḥāḳ reported on the authority of ‘Abdallāh b. al-‘Abbās b. al-‘Aḍāl b. al-Rabī’ that ‘Alī b. al-Jahm recited concerning al-Wāṭhiq after he became caliph:207

well-known Bagrian poet, court poet of ‘Abbāsid caliphs, confidant of al-‘Amin, was a panegyrist of al-Wāṭhiq; d. 250 (864-65); EP, s.v. al-Ḥusayn b. al-Dāḥḥāḳ; Sezgin, GAS, II, 518-19.

202. Shariyah al-Jariyah, a famous singing girl, was freed by the ‘Abbāsid prince Ibrāhīm b. al-Mahdi, who then married her; Isḥāḥānī, Aḥāmī, XIV, 109-114; Shābushītī, Diyārāt, 8,100,110-11, 154; Ibn al-Zubayr, Dhakhā’īr, par. 144; Farmer, History, 134; Stigelbauer, Sängerinnen, Index, 173, especially 39-49.

203. Text: wa-waqt. Read wa-‘inda (with Ibn al-Āthīr and Šūlī). At this point the Leiden edition begins to cite variants from Abū Bakr al-Šūlī, Kitāb al-awrāq (see Translator’s Foreword).

204. Šūlī: fa-ghannā Mukhāriq (“Mukhāriq sang”).

205. This is the beginning of one of the most famous odes in Arabic literature. See Geyer, Zwei Gedichte von al-‘A’sā, II, 10. Hurayrah, a singing girl of Bishr b. ‘Amr of Ḥirah, is the beloved of the poet al-‘Aṣā.


207. ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Abbās b. al-‘Aḍāl b. al-Rabī’ was a singer and composer of melodies in caliphal courts from the time of Hārūn al-Rashid to that of al-Muntasīr; d. 227 (841-42); Isḥāḥānī, Aḥānī, XVII, 121-41; Farmer, History, 160; Neubauer, Musiker, 161. ‘Alī b. al-Jahm b. Badr was a well-known poet and sometime judge; d. 249 (863). He was court poet during the reign of al-Mutawakkil; EP, s.v. ‘Alī b. al-Djahm, Sezgin, GAS, II, 580-81. For the poem, see Isḥāḥānī, Aḥānī, XII, 117, and ‘Alī b. al-Jahm, Diwān, 188.
Both the worldly and the pious
Thrive during the regime of al-Wāthiq Hārūn.

He abounds with justice and generosity,
Sustaining this world along with religion.

Goodness prevails through his kindness,\(^{208}\)
And people are at comfort and ease.

How many wish him a long life
And how many intone "amen."

'Ali b. al-Jahm also recited concerning him:\(^{209}\)

People trust [wathiqat] the king al-Wāthiq bi-llāh.
With this monarch wealth is wretched, not the companion.\(^{210}\)

The sword is congenial to him,
The precious object alien.

A lion he, stern battle smiles at his might.
Sons of al-'Abbās, God wills that only you govern.\(^{211}\)

Qalam, the slave girl of Šāliḥ b. Ābd al-Wahhab, sang these two songs, and she rendered the song of Muḥammad b. Kunāsah:\(^{212}\)

I may be respectful and timid,
But when I sit with people of faith and honor


\(^{209}\) Ḣṣähānī, *Aghānī*, XII, 117, has the poem with slight variants; see also 'Ali b al-Jahm, *Dīwān*, 13.

\(^{210}\) The meaning is that his generosity puts the wealthy to shame, while his companion benefits.

\(^{211}\) Text: *ya'bi...illā*. Addenda, DCCLXXV: *ya'ba...illā*, Note the association here of the lion metaphor with the name 'Abbās. See above, n. 76.

I let my soul behave naturally
And say what I like without being shy.

When her tune was sung in al-Wathiq’s presence, he liked it and sent a message to Ibn al-Zayyāt: “Woe unto you, who is this Šaliḥ b. ‘Abd al-Wahhab? Summon him and have him bring along his slave girl.” Šaliḥ brought her to al-Wathiq, and she was introduced to him. Pleased with her singing, he sent to Šaliḥ asking that he state his price. Šaliḥ replied, “100,000 dinars, O Commander of the Faithful, as well as the governorship of Egypt.” So the caliph returned her. Then Ahmad b. ‘Abd al-Wahhab, brother of Šaliḥ, recited concerning al-Wathiq:

The house of the lovers does not wish you to separate,
What you see has made you a new helper for them.

The souls of those who loved Laylā were tormented
And remained unrequited and uncompensated.

Qalam, the slave girl of Šaliḥ, composed this song. And Zurzur the Elder sang it for al-Wathiq. The caliph asked whose song it was, and Zurzur responded that it was composed by Qalam. Al-Wathiq sent word to Ibn al-Zayyāt to bring Šaliḥ and Qalam along with him.

When she entered, al-Wathiq asked her if she had composed the song, and she replied, “Yes, O Commander of the Faithful.” He said, “May God bless you.” And he sent a message to Šaliḥ asking him to name a reasonable price.

Šaliḥ replied by message, “I give her as a gift to the Commander of the Faithful, and may God bless the Commander of the Faithful through her,” The caliph replied, “I accept her. [And turning to

213. Text: fa-ghannathu. The translation follows the reading suggested in the note (fa-ghunniya lahnuhā bayn yaday). In Ishfahani, Aghani, XII, 116, where the poem is cited and the following anecdote related, the caliph immediately asks who composed the music and is told that it was Qalam.

214. The translation is uncertain.

215. The allusion is to Laylā, the beloved of Majnūn, in the popular romance Majnūn Laylā. A certain Qays b. al-Mulawwah loved Laylā bt. Sa’d, but he could not have her and thus lost his mind. See EP, s.v. Majnūn Laylā.

Ibn al-Zayyāt, he said,] “Muḥammad, pay him 5,000 dinārs.” He called her Ightibāt (“Delight”).

Ibn al-Zayyāt put Ṣāliḥ off, and she went on singing, “The house of the lovers does not wish...”

Al-Wāthiq said to her, “May God bless you and the one who taught you.”

She replied, “Sir, and how does the one who taught me benefit?” You have ordered that he be given something that he has not received.” Al-Wāthiq said, “Sammānah, the inkwell!” He wrote to Ibn al-Zayyāt: “Pay Ṣāliḥ b. ‘Abd al-Wahhāb the price for Ightibāt, 5,000 dinārs, and double it.”

Ṣāliḥ said: I went to Ibn al-Zayyāt, who received me well. He said, “Take this first 5,000. As for the other 5,000, I shall pay you in a week. If you are asked [about this], say that you have received the money.”

Ṣāliḥ said, “I didn’t want to be asked and have to acknowledge that I received the money, so I hid in my house until he paid me. When Sammānah asked me if I had received the money, I said yes.”

Ṣāliḥ left government service and used the money for business ventures until he died.

---

The Caliphate of Ja'far al-Mutawakkil ʿalā-llāh

Portrait of al-Mutawakkil from a medallion dated 241/855. Photo courtesy Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna
In this year Ja'far al-Mutawakkil was given the oath of allegiance as caliph. He is Ja'far b. Muhammad b. Harun b. Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Muhammad Dhū al-Thafināt b. 'Alī al-Sajjad b. 'Abdallāh b. al-'Abbās b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib.\(^{218}\)

**The Occasion of Ja'far's Becoming Caliph and the Period of His Caliphate**

I was informed by more than one authority that when al-Wāthiq died Aḥmad b. Abī Du'ād, Itakh, Waṣīf, 'Umar b. Faraj, Ibn al-Zayyāt, and Aḥmad b. Khālīd Abū al-Wazīr were present in his

---

They decided to render the oath of allegiance to Muḥammad b. al-Wāthiq, who was a beardless lad. They dressed him in a black lined robe (durra'ah) and a Ruṣāfī cap. It turned out that he was too small.

Waṣīf said to them, "For God's sake, can you assign the caliphate to someone like this, who is not even permitted to lead public prayer?"

My authorities said: They went on arguing about whom they would appoint, mentioning several candidates. One of those present in the palace with them reportedly said, "I left the place where I was and passed by Ja'far al-Mutawakkil, who was dressed in a shirt and trousers, sitting with the sons of the Turks. He asked me what news there was, and I replied that their deliberation was still going on. They thereafter summoned him."

Bughā al-Sharābī gave him the news and brought him. Al-Mutawakkil responded that he feared that al-Wāthiq had not died.

My authorities said: Al-Mutawakkil passed by al-Wāthiq and saw that he was garbed in a shroud, whereupon he came and sat. Ahmad b. Abi Du'ād dressed Ja'far with a tall hat, affixed a turban and kissed him between his eyes, saying, "Peace upon you, O Commander of the Faithful, and God's mercy and blessings." Then al-Wāthiq was washed, the funeral prayer was offered, and he was buried. Following this, they went immediately to the Public Audience Hall. Al-Mutawakkil had not yet been given his regnal title.

On the day that al-Mutawakkil received the oath of allegiance, he was reportedly twenty-six years old. He set aside pay allot-
ments for the regular army for a period of eight months. Muḥammad b. Ṭabd al-Malik al-Zayyāt drafted the oath of allegiance for him. He was responsible at the time for the Bureau of the Chancellery (diwān al-rasāʾil).

They assembled afterward to choose a regnal title for the caliph. Ibn al-Zayyāt suggested that they call him al-Muntaẓir bi-llāh. The notables discussed the matter thoroughly until they came to a definite conclusion. Ahmad b. Abī Duʿād came to al-Mutawakkil early the next day and said, "I've come up with a regnal title that I hope will be appropriate, God willing. It is al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh." Al-Mutawakkil then gave word that this regnal title should be adopted. He summoned Muḥammad b. Ṭabd al-Malik and ordered that this be conveyed in writing to the notables. Letters were dispatched to them bearing the following text:

In the name of God, the merciful, the compassionate.
The Commander of the Faithful, may God give him long life, has ordered, may God preserve you, that his official title be on his pulpits and in his letters to his judges, secretaries, officials, and functionaries in his government bureaus and others with whom he is customarily in correspondence, "From 'Abdallāh Jaʿfar al-Imām al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh, Commander of the Faithful." I await your response indicating your readiness to execute this order and informing me of my letter's successful arrival, God willing.

It is reported that when the caliph ordered that the Turks be given service allotments for four months, and that the regular army, the Shakiriyah, and those of a like status among the Hāshimites be given allotments for eight months, he ordered that the Maghāribah be given allotments for three months. They, however, refused to accept. The translation follows the Leiden text. But in the Mss. used for the Leiden edition the Shakiriyah appear after the words "eight months." The reading of the Leiden text is based upon a collation of Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, 23; Fragmenta, 536, ll. 12–13; and 'Ayni, 'Iqd al-lumān (which the notes begin to cite here from manuscript). In these sources, however, it is stated: "He commanded to give the Shakiriyah of the regular army [allotments] for eight months."
among you is a slave (mamlūk) shall go to Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād to be sold, and whoever is free will be treated by us along the lines of the regular army." They accepted this. Waṣīf persuaded them until they were brought around. They were given three months’ service allotments, and then were paid along the lines of the Turks.

Al-Mutawakkil received the notables’ oath of allegiance at the hour of al-Wāthiq’s death, and [he received] the public oath of allegiance at sunset on the same day.

It is reported on the authority of Saʿīd the Younger226 that before al-Mutawakkil was made caliph he told Saʿīd and a number of people who were with him that he dreamt that Sulaymānī sugar227 would descend upon him from heaven, on which was written "Jaʿfar al-Mutawakkilʿalā-llāh." He discussed the dream’s significance with us. We said, “It is, by God, O amir—may God strengthen you—the caliphate.”

Saʿīd said: When al-Wāthiq learned of this, he held Jaʿfar in custody, and Saʿīd along with him, and harassed Jaʿfar because of it.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

226. Abū ʿUṯmān Saʿīd al-Ṣaghir appears below as confidant of al-Muntaṣir; below, 147ff. (pp. 195ff.); see also p. 1743, where he is mentioned as belonging to al-Muntaṣir.

227. Sugar is called sukkar Sulaymānī at the second stage of preparation, according to El1, s.v. Sukkar. See also Ahsan, Social Life, 100ff., especially 101, n. 208 [citing N. Dear, The History of Sugar. London, 1949, 1. 68ff., 74ff.; Rodinson, EP, s.v. Ghidhā'; idem. IESH0, 7 [1964], 57–72].
The
Events of the Year

233
(AUGUST 17, 847–JULY 7, 848)

One of the events was al-Mutawakkil’s anger at Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik al-Zayyāt and his incarcerating him.

The Reason for al-Mutawakkil’s Anger at Muḥammad b. al-Zayyāt and Its Consequences

The reason for al-Mutawakkil’s anger at him was reportedly as follows:

Al-Wāthiq had made Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik al-Zayyāt wazir and delegated affairs to him. Al-Wāthiq had become angry with his brother Jaʿfar al-Mutawakkil for certain things and authorized ʿUmar b. Faraj al-Rukhkhaṭī and Muḥammad b. al-ʿAlāʾ al-Khāḍīm to observe him at all times and record information about him.228

228. Muḥammad b. al-ʿAlāʾ al-Khāḍīm is said to have been active under al-Maʿmūn along with the police chief ʿAyyāsh; Herzfeld, Samarra, 174, n. 2. Al-Wāthiq’s annoyance was presumably triggered by the suspicion that his brother Jaʿfar wished to follow him in the line of succession, thus displacing al-Wāthiq’s own son.
Ja'far went to Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik to request that he intercede with his brother al-Wathiq on his behalf so that he might be restored to favor. After entering, Ja'far remained standing before Muḥammad for a while without being addressed. Then Muḥammad motioned for him to be seated, at which point he sat down. When Muḥammad was finished going over his correspondence, he turned to Ja'far in a menacing way and inquired why he had come.

He replied, "I came so that you might ask the Commander of the Faithful to look upon me with favor."

Muḥammad commented to those around him, "Look at this one. He infuriates his brother and then asks me to restore him to favor. Go, and if you make amends, then you will be reinstated."

Ja'far rose, dejected and morose as result of the poor audience and his having been slighted. He left Muḥammad's company and approached 'Umar b. Faraj to request that he sign his chit for receipt of pay allotments. But 'Umar b. Faraj let him down. He took the chit and tossed it into the courtyard of the mosque. It was 'Umar's custom to sit in a mosque.

Abū al-Wazīr Ahmad b. Khalid was present. When he got up to depart, Ja'far rose along with him. Ja'far inquired, "O Abū al-Wazīr, did you see what 'Umar b. Faraj did with me?" Abū al-Wazīr replied, "What may I do for you?"

Ja'far said, "I am 'Umar's steward,229 and yet he does not sign my chit without my importuning and groveling. Send me your agent." Abū al-Wazīr sent Ja'far his agent and paid him 20,000 dirhams, telling him, "Use this for spending until God straightens out your affairs."

Ja'far took the money and sent Abū al-Wazīr's messenger back to him a month later asking Abū al-Wazīr for help. Abū al-Wazīr sent him 10,000 dirhams.

Immediately after leaving 'Umar, Ja'far went to Ahmad b. Abi Du'ād. When he entered, Ahmad rose to meet him. He received him at the door of the house, kissed him, and acted obligingly toward him.

229. Text: zamīmām. Addenda, DCCLXXV: zīmām. See Glossarium, CCLXXIX ("inspector, controller"). The zīmām was the Bureau of Control, that is, an auditing body, as of taxes or expenditures; see Hilāl al-Ṣābi, Wuzarā', 284, 380; Sourdel, Vizirat, Index, 759.
Ahmad asked him, "Why have you come? What may I do for you?" Ja'far replied, "I have come to try and secure the favor of the Commander of the Faithful." Aḥmad said, "It is my pleasure and honor to do it [for you]."

Aḥmad b. Abi Du'ād then spoke to al-Wāthiq about this, and, although he promised [to restore Ja'far to favor], he was still not receptive to him. On the day of the races, Aḥmad b. Abi Du'ād spoke with al-Wāthiq.230

Aḥmad said, "I am ever-mindful of al-Mu'tasim's kindness, and Ja'far is his son. I have spoken to you about him, and you promised to extend your grace. You owe it to al-Mu`tasim, 0 Commander of the Faithful, to show favor to him." Al-Wāthiq immediately showed kindness to him and attired him. Al-Wāthiq then departed. As Aḥmad b. Abi Du'ād had spoken flatteringly of Ja'far, causing his brother to show him favor, Aḥmad b. Abi Du'ād was in good stead with Ja'far when the latter assumed rulership.

Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik had reportedly written to al-Wāthiq when Ja'far left him: "Commander of the Faithful, Ja'far b. al-Mu'tasim came to me, requesting that I ask the Commander of the Faithful to be kind to him. He was, however, dressed in an effeminate fashion and had long hair in the back."

Al-Wāthiq wrote to Muḥammad, "Send for him and have him presented. Order someone to cut the hair in the back, then give word to someone to take some of his hair231 and strike his face with it, and send him back to his residence."

It is reported on the authority of al-Mutawakkil: When al-Wāthiq's emissary came to me, I was wearing a new black garment, and I came to him, hoping that he would be favorably disposed toward me.

When I arrived he said, "Page, summon me a cupper (ḥājījām)," whereupon one was summoned. He said, "Cut his hair232 and gather it together." The cupper sheared it upon the new black

231. The expression akhadha min sha'rihi, lit. "to take [from] his hair," may mean "to cut his hair"; Glossarium, CVII; Lane, Lexicon, 28. But here the first sense fits better.
232. See previous note.
garment, not bothering to catch it on a piece of cloth [mandil].

He took Ja'far's hair, including the hair from the back, and struck him in the face with it.

Al-Mutawakkil said: I was never so distressed by anything as I was when al-Wâthiq had my hair sheared upon the new black garment. I came to him so attired, hoping to please him, but he had my hair cut upon it.

When al-Wâthiq died, Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik nominated al-Wâthiq's son as his successor. The matter was discussed while Ja'far was in another room, not where they were deliberating the investiture. [Ja'far remained in that other room] until he was sent for, and he was invested [with the symbol of the caliphate] there. [His preference for al-Wâthiq's son] was the cause of Ibn al-Zayyāt's demise. Bughā al-Sharābī was the emissary who summoned Ja'far. On the way, he greeted him as caliph, and they invested him [with the symbol of the caliphate] and rendered him the oath of allegiance.

Ja'far bided his time until Wednesday, 7 Șafar, 233 (September 22, 847). Resolved to hurt Ibn al-Zayyāt, al-Mutawakkil ordered Itakh to seize and punish him. So Itakh sent for him. Ibn al-Zayyāt thought that he was being summoned [for an audience]. He rode out early the next day, supposing that the caliph had summoned him, but, when he was opposite the residence of Itakh, he was told to turn in the direction of Abu Manṣūr's (Itakh's) residence. He turned apprehensively, and, when he came to Itakh's residence, he was diverted to the right, and thus he sensed something ominous. Ibn al-Zayyāt was then brought into a room. His sword, ornamental belt, cap, and lined robe [dur-
rā'ah\textsuperscript{238} were confiscated. His pages were paid and told to leave, which they did, confident that he was staying with Ìtākh to drink wine.

[My informant] said: Ìtākh had prepared for the arrival of Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik two of his eminent companions, named Yazīd b. 'Abdallāh al-Ḥulwānī and Harthamah Shār Bāmiyān. When Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik arrived, they galloped off to his palace with their troops and Shakiriyah. Muḥammad's pages asked them, "Where do you want to go? Abū Ja'far [Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik] has ridden off." At that, they fell upon his palace and seized everything in it.

Ibn al-Ḥulwānī reportedly said: I came to the house in which Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik usually resided, and I noticed that it was shabby in appearance and sparsely furnished. I beheld there four carpets (\textit{ṭanāfīs})\textsuperscript{239} and flasks (\textit{qanānī ṭuṭliyyāt}) that contained a beverage. I also saw a house where his slave girls slept, in which there were mats (\textit{būriyyān})\textsuperscript{240} and cushions (\textit{makhādd}) stacked at its side, although his slave girls slept there without household effects.

It is reported that on the same day al-Mutawakkil sent someone to seize the contents of Muhammad's residence, including furniture, livestock, slave girls, and pages. He had all of this brought to the Hārūnī [Palace]. The caliph sent Rashīd al-Maghribī\textsuperscript{241} to Baghdad to confiscate Muhammad's property and slaves that were there. And he ordered Abū al-Wazīr to seize his estates and those belonging to his family wherever they were located. What was in Sāmarrā was brought to the storehouses of Masrūr Sammānah\textsuperscript{242} after it was purchased for the caliph.

\textsuperscript{238} Ahsan, \textit{Social Life}, 39, points out that the \textit{durrā'ah} was the main part of the vizier's garb, along with an ornamental belt (\textit{wīshāh}) and sword.

\textsuperscript{239} For \textit{ṭanāfīs} [sg. \textit{ṭinfīsah} or \textit{ṭantfūsah}], see Lane, \textit{Lexicon}, 1886; Sadan, \textit{Mobilier}, 113, note 440, Index, 176 ("tapis, selle, coussin [!]").

\textsuperscript{240} Būrī is an arabicized form for Persian \textit{būriyā/būriya}, meaning a woven mat made of reeds; see Lane, \textit{Lexicon}, s.v. Bārā; Steingass, \textit{Dictionary}, s.v.

\textsuperscript{241} For Rashīd al-Maghribī's grant in Sāmarrā, see Ya'qūbī, \textit{Buldān}, 261 = Wiet, \textit{Les pays}, 53. And on him, see Ṭabarī, III, 1749; Herzfeld, \textit{Samarra}, 103, 107. He had a brother named Mubarak al-Maghribī; see below, n. 246.

\textsuperscript{242} Masrūr Sammānah al-Khādīm had a grant and was in charge of the storehouses in Sāmarrā, according to Ya'qūbī, \textit{Buldān}, 261 = Wiet, \textit{Les pays}, 53. He may be identical with Masrūr al-Khādīm al-Kabīr, an official of Hārūn al-Rashīd. See below, n. 567. The storehouses are also known as the \textit{bayt al-māl}; see above, 1350 [p. 366]; Herzfeld, \textit{Samarra}, 91, 103, 104; Sāmarrā'ī, \textit{Ta'rikh}, 82, 91.
Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Malik was told to delegate an agent to sell his furnishings. They brought to him al-'Abbās b. Aḥmad b. Rashid, the secretary of 'Ujayf,243 and Muḥammad made him his agent. Muḥammad remained in prison for several days unfettered. Then it was ordered that he be bound, and so he was. He refrained from eating; he would not taste a thing. He was extremely dependent in prison, wept copiously, talked little, and pondered much. He remained this way for a few days, and then was forced to stay awake and prevented from sleeping. This was done by pricking him with a large needle. Then he was left alone for a day and night, and he slept. When he awoke, he expressed a desire for fruit and grapes, and so they were brought in and he took refreshment. He was then forced to stay awake again, and after that it was ordered that he be placed in a wooden oven-like chest that had iron spikes.244

It is reported on the authority of Ibn Abī Du'ād and Abū al-Wazīr that Muhammad had been the first to order use of [an iron maiden]. He tortured Ibn Asbāṭ al-Miṣrī with it to the point that he extracted from him all that he had.

Ibn al-Zayyāt was then afflicted and tortured in [the iron maiden] for a few days. Al-Dandānī245 reports on the authority of the keeper deputized to torture Ibn al-Zayyāt: I would go out and lock the door on him, and he would stretch both hands upward so that his shoulders were narrowed. Then he would enter the chest and sit. The chest had iron spikes in it, and in the middle there was a cross board on which the victim would sit when he wanted some

243. 'Ujayf b. 'Anbasah was an army commander who served under al-Mu’tasim; see Marin, Reign, Index, 137, and p. 4, n. 32. He was governor of Adharbayjān in 217 [832–33]; Zambaur, Manuel, 177. And see Ibn Ṭayfūr, Baghdad, 146–47; Ya'qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 570ff.; Buldān, 260, 294 = Wiet, Les pays, 52, 112; Ḩishānī, Aghānī, V, 74–75; XVIII, 179; etc. [Guidi, Tables, 478]; Herzfeld, Samarra, 107.

244. According to several sources, the tannūr [lit. “oven”] was made of iron. See, for instance, Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 194; Khaṭīb, Ta’rikh Baghdād, II, 343; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt, III, 254; and Ibn al-Tiqqāq, Fakhrī, 235. The poetic justice of Ibn al-Zayyāt’s fall became thematic in historical and belletristic literature; see e.g. [in addition to the above] Ya‘qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 591; Ibn ‘Abd Rabbīhī, Ḥaq, I, 147; Ibn al-Nadīm, Fihrist, 268; Tānūkhi, Faraj, 102–03; Nishwār, I, 17; Ḩishānī, Aghānī, XX, 49; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt, IV, 187; and Ya‘qūt, Irshād, VI, 57.

245. Text: al-Dandānī. Mss. used in the Leiden edition have al-Ladhaydānī [I], which the note ad loc. says may also represent al-Raydānī or al-Kuzburānī.
relief. He would sit on the board for a while. Then the keeper would come, and, when the victim heard the sound of the door opening, he would stand as before. Then they would intensify the torture.

His torturer said: I fooled him one day, I led him to think that I had locked the door without actually doing so. I merely closed it with the bolt and waited for a while, at which point I pushed the door by accident, and seeing that he was sitting in the chest on the board I commented, "I see that you are doing this thing!" When I went out afterward, I tightened his strangling cord so that he was unable to sit, and I pulled out the board so that it would be between his legs. He tarried after that for only a few days before he died.

There were different opinions concerning the cause of death. One version had it that he was thrown prostrate and whipped fifty times on his abdomen, and was then turned over and beaten likewise on his posterior, and thereby died under the beating without their being aware. He died, his neck having been twisted and his beard pulled out. According to another version, he died without having been beaten.

It is reported on the authority of Mubārak al-Maghribi:246 I think that during the entire time of his incarceration he ate only one loaf of bread, and he would eat one or two grapes.

[His torturer] said: I would hear him talking to himself, this being two or three days before he died, "O Muhammad b. `Abd al-Malik, you were not content with prosperity, choice horses, fine palaces, and splendid clothes. You were in wonderful shape until you sought the wazirate. Now taste what you have brought upon yourself." He would go on repeating this to himself. A day before he died his self-reproach ceased and he merely recited the confession of faith and invocation of God. When he died, his two sons, Sulaymān and `Ubaydallāh, were present.247 They too were under arrest. He had been thrown upon a wooden door in the shirt that

---

246. On Mubārak al-Maghribi, see Yāqūt, Buldān, 261 = Wiet, Les pays, 53 [his grant and small market in Sāmarrā]. Mubārak's residence became the scene of an attack by the populace against the Turks in 248 (862–63), III, 1505 (Saliba, s). He was brother of Rāshid al-Maghribi; above, n. 241.

he wore when he was imprisoned. It had become filthy. His sons said, "Praise God who has given relief from this criminal." His corpse was turned over to them, and they washed it on the wooden door and buried him in a shallow grave. It is reported that dogs dug him up and ate his flesh.

Ibrāhīm b. al-`Abbās was governor of Ahwāz, and Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik was a friend of his.248 Muḥammad sent to him Aḥmad b. Yūsuf Abū al-Jahm,249 who made a public example of Ibrāhīm,250 so that the latter reached a settlement with him at the cost of 1,500,000 dirhams.

Ibrāhīm recited:251

You were my brother in the brotherhood of fate,252
But when the time came, you became constant war.

I used to complain of fate to you,
And now it is because of you that I complain of fate.

I used to consider you for help in adversity,
Now I seek safety from you.

And he recited:253

Because of the opinion of Abū Ja`far
I have fallen into a state boding loss.

Without any crime save
Enmity of the heretic to the Muslim.

After Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik's property was confiscated [in Sāmarrā], he was brought with Rashid al-Maghribī to Baghdad

248. Ibrāhīm b. al-`Abbās b. Muḥammad b. Şūl (al-Şūl), of a Turkish mawlā family, a great-uncle of Abū Bakr al-Ṣūlī, was a well-known secretary and poet. He died in Sāmarrā in 243 [857-58]; Sourdel, Vizirat, Index, 767; Sezgin, GAS, II, 578.


250. The expression aqāmahu li-l-nās stands for aqāmahu `alā al-bulūs; Glossarium, CDXXXIX; Lane, s.v. bulūs.

251. The poem appears in Isfahānī, Aghānī, IX, 28, with minor variations [not noted in apparatus of Leiden edition]. See also Ibrāhīm b. al-`Abbās al-Ṣūlī, Diwān, 166.

252. The "you" here is Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik.

253. See Diwān, 165.
in order that his property there might also be confiscated. When he arrived, he took Rawh, his page, who was also his household manager \( (qahramân) \), in charge of his funds, with which he did business. He also took a number of his family and with them the packload of a mule. Buildings of his were discovered in Baghdad containing all kinds of merchandise, including wheat, barley, flour, grain, olive oil, raisins, figs, and one filled with garlic. Everything that was confiscated of his, along with the value of what was found, was assessed at 90,000 dinars.

Al-Mutawakkil had Muḥammad arrested on Wednesday, 7 Saftar [September 22, 847], and he died on Thursday, 19 Rabī‘ I [November 2, 847].

In this year, al-Mutawakkil turned his wrath upon `Umar b. Faraj, in the month of Ramadān [April 9–May 8, 848]. `Umar was turned over to Ishaq b. Ibrāhim b. Muṣ‘ab and was imprisoned in his custody. The caliph sent word to have `Umar's estates and property sequestered. Najāḥ b. Salamah went to his house but found there only 15,000 dirhams. Masrūr Sammānah, who was present, seized his female slaves. `Umar was fettered with thirty \( ratl \)s [of chain], and his \( mawل \) Naṣr brought from Baghdad. The former had 30,000 dinārs of his own property delivered, and Naṣr delivered 14,000 dinārs more. Forty thousand dinārs belonging to `Umar were also found in Ahwāz, along with 150,000 dinārs belonging to his brother Muḥammad b. Faraj. Sixteen camel loads of furnishings \( (furush) \) were delivered from his palace along with jewels worth 40,000 dinārs. His provisions and furnishings were brought on fifty camels that made several return trips. `Umar was dressed in a woolen long-sleeved robe \( (farajiyyah) \) and fettered, and remained this way for a week before being released. His palace was confiscated and the members of his family were seized and searched. There were one hundred slave girls. A settlement was then made for ten million dirhams on condition that only his estates seized in Ahwāz would be returned to him. The woolen tunic \( (jubbah) \) and fetters were removed. This was in Shawwāl [May 9–June 6, 848].

---

254. For \( farajiyyah \), see Dozy, \( Vêtements \), 327, where it is said to be a long cloak with sleeves beyond the fingers and lacking slits. See also Hilāl al-Ṣābi, \( Rusūm \), 96–97 [tr. Salem, 77]; \( EI^2 \) s.v. Libās, V, 741.
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Bring a message to Najāh, hero of the secretaries,
Which the wind will carry to and fro.

The property will not depart from 'Umar's hands on its own,
Unless a sword be sheathed in his temples.

The Rukkhkaji men will not fulfill what they promised,
Nor will the Rukkhkaji women fail to keep an appointed time.256

And 'Ali b. al-Jahm also recited, satirizing 'Umar:257

You joined two things which together effaced prudence
The vanity of kings (mulūk) and the behavior of slaves (mamlālik).

You wished to receive thanks without showing kindness and generosity;
You have indeed traveled an untrodden path.

You thought your honor would not meet calamity,258
But I see that you will not be passed over.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil ordered that Ibrāhīm b. al-Junayd al-Naṣrānī, the brother of Ayyūb, secretary of Sammānah, be beaten with poles. [This was done] until he confessed to [having stashed away] 70,000 dinārs. Al-Mutawakkil sent Mubārak al-Maghribī along with him to Baghdad to appropriate the sum from his residence. Ibrāhīm was brought [back to Sāmarrā] and incarcerated.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil turned his wrath upon Abū al-

255. See Ḥifāhīnī, Aghānī, IX, 114; and 'Ali b. al-Jahm, Diwān, 124.
256. 'Umar b. Faraj was from Rukkhaj (in Sijistān). For the place, see Le Strange, Lands, 339, 345.
258. The note in the Leiden edition cites Şūlī who has: za'amta annaka lā tu'la bi-dahiyyāḥ “You thought that a calamity would not befall you.” Ms. C and Ḥifāhīnī, Aghānī, have yurmā for yuqrā' of the text.
Wazīr, in Dhū al-Hijjah (July 7–August 4, 848), and ordered that accounts be settled with him. About 16,000 dinārs were delivered, and tens of thousands of dirhams and jewelry. Sixty-two baskets of Egyptian furnishings were confiscated, as well as thirty-two pages (ghulām) and many carpets. Because of Abū al-Wazir’s betrayal, Muḥammad b. ‘Abd al-Malik—he was the brother of Mūsā b. ‘Abd al-Malik—as well as al-Haytham b. Khalīd al-Naṣrānī and his nephew Saʿdūn b. ‘Ali were all arrested. A settlement was made with Saʿdūn for 40,000 dinārs, and with his two nephews, ‘Abdallāh and Aḥmad, for about 30,000 dinārs. Their estates were simultaneously confiscated.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil made Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Jarjarāʾī secretary.259

In this year, on Wednesday, 17 Ramadān (April 25, 848), al-Mutawakkil removed al-Fadl b. Marwān260 from the Bureau of Taxation (diwān al-kharāj) and appointed over it Yahyā b. Khāqān al-Khūrāsānī, a mawlā of the Aẓd.261 On the same day, he appointed Ibrāhīm b. al-ʿAbbās b. Muḥammad b. ʿSūl over the Bureau of Controlling Expenditures (diwān zimām al-nafaqāt) and removed Abū al-Wazir.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil appointed his son Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir over the two sanctuaries [Mecca and Medina], the Yemen and al-Ṭāʾīf, tying the banner of his office for him on Thursday, 11 Ramadān (April 19, 848).

In this year, Aḥmad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād had a paralytic stroke on 6 Jumādā II (January 17, 848).

259. That is, wazir. For Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Jarjarāʾī, d. 250 (864–65), see Zambaur, Manuel, 6; Sourdel, Vizirat, 271–73, 275–76, 293; EI2, s.v. Djardjarāʾī [1]. A gifted poet and writer, he had been secretary to al-Fadl b. Marwān. He returned to the wazirate in the days of al-Muṣṭaʿīn in 249 (863).


261. Yahyā b. Khāqān al-Khūrāsānī, mawlā Aẓd, was a member of the Khāqānid family, brother of al-Faṭḥ and Jaʿfar; see Zambaur, Manuel, 12; Sourdel, Vizirat, 273 and n. 3; Index, 787. He had been secretary of al-Hasan b. Sahl during the caliphate of al-Maʿmūn. For the circumstances of this appointment, see Yaʿqūbī, Taʾrikh, II, 592–93. See Table 2.
In this year, Yahyā b. Harthamah came to Mecca from Medina, along with 'Ali b. Muḥammad b. 'Ali al-Riḍā b. Mūsā b. Ja'far. Yahyā was in charge of the Mecca Road.

In this year, Michael, son of Theophilus, assailed his mother, Theodora, exposed her, and placed her in a convent. He also killed the Logothete (al-Lughuthi), for it was on his account that Michael suspected her. She had ruled for six years.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

---

262. Yahyā b. Harthamah, son of Harthama b. A'yan (Crone, Slaves on Horses, 177–78), was later rewarded for a steadfast stand against the Turks in 251 (III, 1560; Saliba, 47). And in the same year (III, 1621; Saliba, 91) we find him defeating Abū al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh. See on him, Qummi, Ta'rikh Qumm, 103, 185, 201.

263. 'Ali b. Muḥammad b. 'Ali al-Riḍā b Mūsā b. Ja'far, or Abū al-Ḥasan 'Ali al-Hāḍi, was the tenth imām of the Shi'ites. He died on 25 Jumādā II, 254 (June 21, 868), according to Ta'ribi, III, 1697 (Saliba, 155). See Ya'qūbī, Ta'rikh, II, 591–92, where Yahyā b. Harthamah is said to have escorted him from Medina to Baghdad, whence he was brought to Sāmarrā. According to Ya'qūbī, al-Mutawakkil summoned him from Medina because of popular support there for his cause.

264. Ta'ribi alludes here to the fall of the Byzantine empress Theodora. This took place when her son Michael became old enough to conspire (with the backing of his uncle Bardas) against his mother and her chief minister, Theoktistos the Logothete. A plot was hatched in 836, which led to 'Theoktistos' murder and Theodora's deposition and banishment to a monastery. Michael had ruled for fourteen years along with Theodora; Bury, History, 157ff., 469ff. Theodora's fall was in 856–58 not, as here, in 847–48. Note also that Ta'ribi has Theodora as empress in 241 (855–56), below, 1426 (p. 138 and n. 456).
One of the events was the escape of Muḥammad b. al-Baʿith b. Halbas. He had been brought as a prisoner from Adharbayjān and was subsequently incarcerated.265

The Reason for Muḥammad b. al-Baʿith’s Escape and the Consequences of His Affair

It is reported that the reason for this was [as follows]: Al-Mutawakkil had taken ill this year. Now there was a man who served Ibn al-Baʿith by the name of Khalifah.266 Khalifah informed Ibn al-Baʿith that al-Mutawakkil had died, and prepared horses for him. Ibn al-Baʿith and Khalifah, who had given him the news, fled to Ibn al-Baʿith’s place of residence in Adharbayjān, namely, Ma-

265. Muḥammad b. al-Baʿith b. Ḥalbas, a brigand and rebel chief, followed in the footsteps of his ancestors and fortified Marand. Once an ally of the rebel here-siarch Bābak, he later contributed to his defeat; Ṭabarī, III, 1171–72, 1190, 1193 (Marin, Reign. 9, 10, 24–26); and see Yāqūt, Muʿjam, IV, 503.

266. On the slave Khalifah, who belonged to Muḥammad b. al-Baʿith, see also below, 1387 [p. 86].
It is said that he had two fortresses, one called Shāhi and the other Yakdur. Yakdur was outside the lake, and Shāhi was in the midst of it. The lake is about fifty farsakhs (three hundred km.), extending from the border of Urmia toward the district of Dākharraqān, the country of Muḥammad b. al-Rawwād. Shāhi is a fortified stronghold of Ibn al-Baʿith, surrounded by water. People sail by way of Lake Urmia from the outer limits of Marāghah to Urmia. It is a lake lacking fish and resources.

It is reported that Ibn al-Baʿith had been in the prison of Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣʿab. Bughā al-Sharābī spoke in his favor and secured about thirty guarantors of good behavior on his behalf, including Muḥammad b. Khālid b. Yazid b. Mazyad al-Shaybānī, such that Ibn al-Baʿith could move about freely in Sāmarrā. Afterward he escaped to Marand, where he hoarded food, and where springs of water dog; Yaqūt, Muʿjam, I, 513; Le Strange, Lands, 160.

274. Ẓabārī, III, 1577–78 and 1615 [Saliba, 59, 87], describes two encounters in which Muḥammad b. Khālid fled from battle. Zambaur, Manuel, 181, lists him as Shirwānshāh, i.e. as belonging to the lords of Shirwān (= Shirvān, Sharvān); Minorsky, History, Index, 183. He had been governor of Adharbayjan, Armenia, and Arrān. See also Crone, Slaves on Horses, 170. He was a descendant of Maʿn b. Zāʿida al-Shaybānī/Rabiʿah.
water were also available. Ibn al-Ba’ith repaired its dilapidated walls. Those seeking to rebel—about 2,200 men—came to him from all sides, from the Rabi’ah and others.275

The governor of Ādharbayjān, Muḥammad b. Ḥātim b. Harthamah,276 failed in his pursuit of Ibn al-Ba’ith. Al-Mutawakkil then appointed Ḥamdawayh b. ‘Ali b. al-Faḍl al-Sa’dī governor of Ādharbayjān,277 sending him from Sāmarrā posthaste. When Ḥamdawayh arrived there, he rallied the regular army and the Shākiriyah and those who responded to his call. They numbered 10,000 men.

Ḥamdawayh advanced toward Ibn al-Ba’ith, forcing him to take refuge in the town of Marand. It is a town whose circumference is two farsaks (twelve km.). There are many gardens within the town, and outside it is surrounded by trees, except for where its gates are located. Ibn al-Ba’ith amassed siege instruments inside the town, where there were springs of water.

After Ibn al-Ba’ith had held out for a long time, al-Mutawakkil sent Zirak the Turk278 against him along with 200,000 Turkish horsemen, but Zirak accomplished nothing. Al-Mutawakkil then sent against him ‘Amr b. Saysil (?), b. Kāl279 along with 900 of the Shākiriyah, but to no avail. Al-Mutawakkil thereupon sent against him Būghā al-Sharābi, along with 4,000 troops, comprising Turks, Shākiriyah, and Maghāribah. Ḥamdawayh b. ‘Ali, ‘Amr b. Saysil and Zirak advanced upon the city of Marand. They cut down about 1,000 trees surrounding it, as well as other trees of the thicket, and they set up twenty mangonels against the city. Opposite the city they erected a parapet. Ibn al-Ba’ith set up mangonels in a similar manner. The non-Arab peasants (‘ulūj) in his districts would shoot with slingshots, preventing anyone from

---

275. Ya’qūbī, Taʾrīkh, II, 594, describes Ibn al-Ba’ith’s supporters as lawbreakers or brigands (ṣa’ālik). Muḥammad’s family was of the tribe of Rabi’ah.

276. See Zambaur, Manuel, 177, who makes him governor of Ādharbayjān as of 233 (847–48; following Ibn al-Athīr). For his family ties, see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 177–78.

277. See Zambaur, Manuel, 177. And for a similar account, see Ya’qūbī, Taʾrīkh, II, 594.

278. Zirak al-Turkī makes his first appearance here; see also below 1414–16 (pp. 122ff), and see Ya’qūbī, Taʾrīkh, II, 594.

approaching the walls of the town. In the course of eight months, about one hundred supporters of the central government were killed fighting Ibn al-Ba'ith, and about four hundred were wounded. A similar number of Ibn al-Ba'ith's forces were killed and wounded.

Ḥamdawayh, 'Amr, and Zirak kept pressing the battle. The wall in front of the city was low, about twenty dhirā' (ca. ten meters) from the foundation. A contingent of Ibn al-Ba'ith's men, bearing spears, were lowered by ropes and gave battle. When the forces of the central government attacked them, they retreated to the wall. They occasionally opened a gate called the Water Gate, from which a number of men would exit, fight, and then return.

When Bughā al-Sharābī approached Marand, he reportedly sent ʿĪsā b. al-Shaykh b. al-Salīl al-Shaybānī,280 bearing letters of safe-conduct for the prominent followers of Ibn al-Ba'ith and for Ibn al-Ba'ith, on condition that they and he surrender to the authority of the Commander of the Faithful. If not, Bughā would fight them, and if he defeated them no one would be spared. Whoever surrendered, however, would be granted safe-conduct. The vast majority of those who were with Ibn al-Ba'ith belonged to the Rabi'ā'ah, of the folk of ʿĪsā b. al-Shaykh. Many of them came down [the walls] by ropes. Ibn al-Ba'ith’s son-in-law Abū al-Agharr surrendered.

It is reported on the authority of this Abū al-Agharr: They then opened the gate of the city, and the forces of Hamdawayh and Zirak entered. Ibn al-Ba'ith fled from his house trying to escape from another direction, but a contingent of the army caught up with him. They had along with them Mansūr, his household manager. Ibn al-Ba'ith was riding a horse, with a sword about his neck, and he was attempting to get to a river, near which was a millstone, so that he could hide therein. They took him prisoner, and the regular troops plundered his house, the houses of his companions, and some of the houses of the townsmen.

When the people had finished plundering, it was announced that the perpetrators would be exempt from responsibility. They seized two of Ibn al-Ba'ith's sisters, three daughters, and his maternal aunt, the rest being female slaves. The central government

---

280. ʿĪsā b. al-Shaykh died in 269 (882-831); Ṭabarī, III, 2048.
authorities acquired possession of thirteen women of his female entourage. And, of his eminent companions who have been mentioned, they seized about two hundred men, the rest having fled.

Bughā al-Sharābī showed up the next day, and his herald announced that plunder was forbidden. Bughā al-Sharābī took personal credit for the victory.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil went out to al-Madā‘īn in Jumādā‘ī (December, 848).

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Īṭākh. He was in charge of Mecca and Medina and the festivities, and his name was invoked on the pulpits.

The Reason for Īṭākh’s Pilgrimage This Year

Īṭākh was reportedly a Khazar slave (ghulām), a cook, belonging to Sallām al-Abraš. Al-Mu‘tasim bought Īṭākh from Sallām in 199 (814–15). As Īṭākh was manly and intrepid, al-Mu‘tasim, and al-Wāthiq after him, promoted him to the position where many of the administrative functions (a‘māl) of the central government were assigned to him. Al-Mu‘tasim appointed him governor of the security police (ma‘ūnah) of Sāmarrā along with Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm. Both Īṭākh and Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm were represented by deputies. Whoever al-Mu‘tasim or al-Wāthiq wished to have killed would be imprisoned and killed by Īṭākh. Among them were Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Malik al-Zayyāt, the sons of al-Ma‘mūn whose mother was Sundus, Ṣālīh b. ʿUjayf, and others.

When al-Mutawakkil took office, Īṭākh retained his rank, being responsible for the regular army, the Maghāribah, the Turks, the mawlaṣ, the Postal and Intelligence Service (barīd), the office of

---

281. Īṭākh was, then, one of the first Turkish slaves acquired by al-Mu‘tasim, who made them into a formidable military unit; see Kennedy, Early Abbasid Caliphate, 167. Sallām al-Abraš is cited as a translator of scientific works by Ibn al-Nadim, Fihrist, 587; Qiftī, Ta‘rīkh, 196 [al-Abraš]. But a Sallām al-Abraš al-Khādīm is mentioned by Ṭabarī as having led an army uprising in Jumādā‘ī I, 207 [III, 1065; Bosworth, Reunification, 134]. See also Ya‘qūbi, Buldān, 256 = Wiet, Les pays, 45. Abrash = “Speckled” (or for Abras, “Leprous”).

282. Al-Mu‘tasim had turned over sons of al-Ma‘mūn born to Sundus to Īṭākh, who incarcerated them in a vaulted cellar of his residence; Ṭabarī, III 1267 [Marin, Reign, 85]. Ṣālīh, mentioned only here, maybe a son of ʿUjayf b. ʿAnbasa, mentioned above, 1374 (p. 70).
chamberlain, and the Caliphal Palace. Once firmly ensconced in the office of the caliphate, al-Mutawakkil went out for a vacation to the district of al-Qāţūl.283 While drinking one night, the caliph picked a quarrel with Īṭākh, as a result of which the latter thought to kill him. When al-Mutawakkil awoke the next morning, he was told what had taken place. He apologized to Īṭākh and was conciliatory toward him, saying, "You are my father and you have reared me."

When al-Mutawakkil returned to Sāmarrā, he got someone to suggest that Īṭākh ask permission to go on the pilgrimage. He did so, and was given permission. Al-Mutawakkil made him amīr of every town he entered [on the way to Mecca] and bestowed a robe of honor upon him. All the army commanders rode with him. Many of the Shākiriyyah, army commanders, and pages went out with him, aside from his own pages and retinue. After he departed, the office of chamberlain was turned over to Wašīf. This was on Saturday, 18 Dhū al-Qa’dah (June 13, 849).

Another version holds that this episode concerning Īṭākh took place in 233 (847-48), and that al-Mutawakkil turned over the office of chamberlain to Wašīf on 17 Dhū al-Ḥijjah, 233 (July 23, 848).

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd b. ʿĪsā b. Mūsā.284

283. The Qāţūl district, according to Yaʿqūbī, Buldān, 256 = Wiet, Les pays, 46, was north of Shammāsiyyah and south of Sāmarrā. See also Yaʿqūt, Muʿjam, IV, 922; Herzfeld, Samarra, 71; and the discussion by Rogers, "Samarra," 130, n. 21.

The Events of the Year

235
(July 26, 849–July 14, 850)

One of them was the killing of Itakh al-Khazari.285

How Itakh Was Killed

It is reported that, when Itakh left Mecca heading back to Iraq, al-Mutawakkil sent to him Sa‘id b. ’Alī the Chamberlain286 with attire and precious gifts, ordering Sa‘id to meet Itakh in al-Kūfah or somewhere along his route. Al-Mutawakkil had already broached his order concerning Itakh to his chief of security police in Baghdad.

Ibrahim b. al-Mudabbir reportedly said:287 I went out with Ishaq
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b. Ibrāhīm as Ītākh was approaching Baghdad. Ītākh intended to take the Euphrates Road to Anbār and then proceed to Sāmarra. Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm wrote to him: “The Commander of the Faithful—may God give him long life—has ordered that you come to Baghdad, that the Hashimites and notables meet you, and that you hold an audience for them in the palace of Khuzaymah b. Khāzīm, and have rewards given to them.”

Ibrāhīm b. al-Mudabbir said: We went as far as al-Yāsirīyyah. Ibn Ibrahim stationed the regular army and the Shākirīyyah on the bridge and went out with his special commanders. A bench (ṣuffah) was set down for him at al-Yāsirīyyah, upon which he sat, until he was told that Ītākh was approaching. Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm then rode out to receive him. When Ishaq saw Ītākh, he was ready to dismount, but Ītākh implored him not to do so.

Ibrāhīm b. al-Mudabbir continued: Ītākh was accompanied by 300 of his men and pages. He was wearing a white sleeved robe (qābā'), and was girded with a sword and sword belts. Both Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm and Ītākh marched as far as the bridge. Ishaq then preceded him and passed over [the bridge], going to the door of [the palace of] Khuzaymah b. Khāzīm. He said to Ītākh, “Enter, may God cause the amir to prosper.” Whenever one of Ītākh’s pages passed by the guards at the bridge, they let him proceed until Ītākh remained with his intimate pages. A group of men then moved in front of Ītākh. The palace of Khuzaymah had been fitted out for him.

Ishaq remained behind and ordered that only three or four of

288. The palace of Khuzaymah b. Khāzīm was located where the road begins at the Main Bridge (al-jiṣr) connecting the West Side of Baghdad with Bāb al-Ṭāq. The land had been granted as an allotment by al-Mahdi to Khuzaymah b. Khāzīm, for whom see Crone, Slaves on Horses, 180; Kennedy, Early Abbasid Caliphate, Index, 235; see Le Strange, Baghdad, 218 and Map V, facing p. 107, no. 59; Lassner, Topography, 76, 78, 280, n. 1, 281, n. 6.

289. Al-Yāsirīyyah is said by Yaqūt (Mu'jam, IV, 1002) to be a large village on the bank of Nahr 'Isā, 6 km. from Baghdad. He notes its fine masonry bridge (qantarah). Ṭabarī mentions here a pontoon bridge (jiṣr). Fragmenta, 543, speaks of “the two pontoon bridges” (jiṣrayn). One might suppose that what was a pontoon bridge at the time of Ṭabarī became a masonry bridge by the time of Yaqūt. On the other hand, sometimes jiṣr and qantarah mean the same thing.

290. The qābā' was a sleeved, close-fitting coat, and was typical attire of the Turks, according to Ahsan, Social Life, 41–42.

291. The Main Bridge in Baghdad is intended, not the bridge in al-Yāsirīyyah.
Itakh's pages should enter the palace, and that the doors be seized. And he ordered his guardian escort on the side of the river embankment to wreck all the stairs leading to the palace of Khuzaymah b. Khazim. When Itakh entered, Ishāq locked the door behind him, at which point Itakh looked around and, realizing that only three pages were with him, he exclaimed, "They have done it!"

Were he not seized in Baghdad, they would have been unable to apprehend him. Had he entered Sāmarrā and wanted his men to kill all his opponents, he would have been able to accomplish this.

Ibrāhim b. al-Mudabbir went on: Food was brought around evening, and Itakh ate. He stayed for two or three days. Then Ishāq came, sailing in a fast boat (ḥarrāqah), and prepared another for Itakh.292 He sent Itakh a message for him to come to the boat, and ordered that Itakh's sword be confiscated. They lowered him into the vessel, and Ishāq sent an armed escort with him. Ishāq then traveled upstream as far as his residence. Reaching Ishāq's palace, Itakh was taken out of the boat and brought into a section of it. He was thereafter bound and fettered, irons being placed on his neck and feet.

At that, Itakh's two sons, Mansūr and Muẓaffar, and his two secretaries, Sulaymān b. Wahb and Qudāmah b. Ziyād al-Naṣrānī, were brought to Baghdad.293 Sulaymān was responsible for the administrative functions (a'māl) of the central government; Qudāmah, for the estates of Itakh in particular. They were imprisoned in Baghdad, and Sulaymān and Qudāmah were flogged. Qudāmah embraced Islam; Mansūr and Muẓaffar were imprisoned.

Turk, mawlā of Ishāq, reportedly said:294 I stood at the door of

292. A ḥarrāqah is a light all-purpose craft, used in warfare as a fire ship. It was convenient for travel on the Tigris; Kindermann, "Schiff," 22. Itakh and his captors had to travel upstream on the Tigris from the area of the Main Bridge to that of the Upper Bridge.

293. Sulaymān b. Wahb is mentioned above [n. 16]. His arrest and torture are related in detail by Tanūkhī, Faraj, I, 51, on the basis of Sulaymān's own report transmitted by his son 'Ubaydallāh. Qudāmah b. Ziyād, a Christian secretary, was father of the distinguished secretary Ja'far b. Qudāmah and grandfather of the famous Qudāmah b. Ja'far, EI2, s.v. Kudamā b. Dja'far.

294. For Turk, the mawlā of Ishāq b. Ibrāhim, see Tabari, III, 1194 [Marin, Reign, 27]; Ibn Tayfūr, Baghdād, 147.
the chamber in which Īṭākh was imprisoned. He called to me, "O Turk." I replied, "What do you want, Ābū Mansūr?" He said, "Give the amīr greetings and tell him, 'You know what al-Muṭaṣīm and al-Wāṭhiq ordered me to do in your case. But I protected you as far as I could. This should put me in good stead with you. As far as I am concerned, I have experienced adversity and comfort, and I don't care about what I eat and drink. But these two young men have lived in luxury and are not used to misery. So give them soup and meat and something from which to eat.'"

Turk continued: I stood at the entrance to the audience hall of Iṣḥāq. He asked me, "What is it, Turk? Do you wish to discuss something?" I answered that I did, and that Īṭākh had said to me such and such.

Turk went on: The rations of Īṭākh were a loaf of bread and a cup of water. Iṣḥāq ordered that Īṭākh's sons be given a table on which were seven loaves of bread and five ladles of water. This remained in effect during Iṣḥāq's life. I do not know what was done with them afterward. As for Īṭākh, he was shackled with eighty raṭlās [of iron] about his neck and a heavy fetter. He died on Wednesday, 5 Jumādā II, 235 (December 21, 849). Iṣḥāq called Abū al-Ḥasan Iṣḥāq b. Thābit b. Abī `Abbad295 to attest to his death, along with the chief of the Post and Intelligence of Baghdad and the judges. He showed them that Īṭākh had not been beaten or marked.

I was informed by some[one] of our shaykhs that Īṭākh's death resulted from thirst. That is, he was fed, and when he asked for water it was withheld until he died from the lack of drink. His two sons remained in prison during the lifetime of al-Muṭawakkil. When al-Muntaṣīr acceded to rule, he freed them. Muẓaffar lived after he was freed from prison for only three months before he died, Mansūr surviving him.

In this year, Bughā al-Sharābī delivered Ibn al-Baʿīth in Shawwal (April 18–May 16), as well as Khalifah and Abū al-Agharr296 and

295. An Abū al-Ḥasan b. Abī `Abbād, a secretary, is mentioned by Ṭabari, III, 1180 (Marin, Reign, 16).

296. Text: wa-bi-khalifatihi Abī al-Agharr ["and with his deputy Abū al-
Agharr"] . Ms. C [without points]; wa-bi-Khalifah. The note suggests wa-bi-
Khalifah wa-Abī al-Agharr ["and with Khalifah and Abū al-Agharr"]). Ṭabari, Index, 172, implicitly identifies this Khalifah with Khalifah, the ğbulām of Ibn al-
the two brothers of Ibn al-Ba‘ith, Ṣaqr and Khālid—they had surrendered in return for a guarantee of safe-conduct—and a son of Ibn al-Ba‘ith called al-‘Ala‘ who gave himself up for a guarantee of safe-conduct. Bughā al-Sharabī delivered about 180 of the prisoners, the rest of them having died before they arrived. When they approached Sāmarra, they were placed upon camels so that the people could view them. Al-Mutawakkil ordered that Ibn al-Ba‘ith and the others be imprisoned, and he had Ibn al-Ba‘ith shackled in irons.

‘Alī b. al-Jahm reportedly said: Muḥammad b. al-Ba‘ith was brought to al-Mutawakkil. He ordered that he be decapitated, whereupon he was thrown down upon a leather mat. The swordsmen came and brandished their swords at him.

Al-Mutawakkil spoke to him harshly, “What prompted you to do what you did, Muḥammad?”

He replied, “Distress. You are the rope stretched between God and His creatures. I have two notions concerning [what] you [will do]. And I consider preferable what is most proper for you, namely, a pardon.” He then launched into the following:297

People wish only that you be my killer today,

Imām of right guidance, whereas forgiving people298 is better.

I am merely a sinful creature,

While your pardon is created of the light of prophecy.

---

297. The poem appears also, with variants, in Ṣuli, Awrāq; Mas‘ūdi, Murūj, VII, 279; Fragmenta, 541; and Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 32; see note in the Leiden edition. For Ibn al-Ba‘ith’s literary talent and the significance of his Persian poetry as evidential of Persian poetry in northwestern Iran in the ninth century, see Barthold, “Early Persian Poetry.”

298. Text: bi-l-nās. Mas‘ūdi, Murūj VII, 279: bi-l-hurr = “for a free man.” Fragmenta: fi-l-lāh. Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil: bi-l-mar = “for a man.” Both bi-l-hurr and bi-l-mar’ fit here. Ṣuli: wa-l-suḥb awlā wa-a’mal = “but reconciliation is more fit and finer,” and he then adds another line of verse: “My sin is diminished a bit by your pardon/ Whoever is pardoned by you finds pardon better.”
You are the best of those who proceed to glory,
And the best of the two options you shall surely carry out.

'Ali b. al-Jahm said: Then al-Mutawakkil turned to me and said that Ibn al-Ba'ith possessed literary talent (adab). I hastened to say [to Ibn al-Ba'ith], "The Commander of the Faithful will carry out the best of the two [options] and will favor you." Al-Mutawakkil said, "Return to your residence."

I have received a report on the authority of . . . . 299 "A group of shaykhs in Maraghah recited to me Persian poems by Ibn al-Ba'ith, and they noted his literary talent and courage. There are many stories about him."

I was informed by someone who reportedly was a witness when Ibn al-Ba'ith was brought to al-Mutawakkil, and Ibn al-Ba'ith spoke with him as he did. Al-Mu'tazz interceded for him while sitting with his father, al-Mutawakkil. Al-Mu'tazz asked that Ibn al-Ba'ith be turned over to him, which he was, and he was pardoned.

When Ibn al-Ba'ith fled he recited: 300

How many things have I achieved that others have shirked,
And now that failure has begun to stifle me,

Do not rebuke me with what does not avail me.
Go, leave me, my fate is already sealed.

I shall squander money whether in hardship or in ease;
The generous person gives though having nothing.

When Ibn al-Ba'ith fled, he left behind in his residence three sons of his, called al-Ba'ith, Ja'far, and Halbas, as well as slave girls. They were imprisoned in Baghdad in the Gold Palace (Qaṣr al-Dhahab). 301 After the death of Ibn al-Ba'ith—he died a month after he entered Sāmarrā—Bughā al-Sharābī spoke on behalf of Abū al-Agharr, his son-in-law, whereupon the latter was released.

299. There is a lacuna in the text at this point instead of the informant's name.
300. See Les Séances de Hariri, ed. S. De Sacy (Paris, 1847), 75–76, note [on bi-l-kazm] [cited in note ad loc. in Leiden edition].
301. The Gold Palace was in the Round City, Lassner, Topography, 49; Index, 322.
A maternal aunt of Ibn al-Ba'ith was released from the prison and died from joy that very day. The rest of his household remained in prison.

A hundred *ratīls* [of iron] had reportedly been placed upon the neck of Ibn al-Ba'ith, and he remained bent over face forward until he died.

When Ibn al-Ba'ith was seized, those who were imprisoned as his guarantors were released. Some of them had died in prison. The rest of his family was thereafter freed. His sons—Halbas, Ba'ith, and Ja'far—joined the number of Shākirīyyah who were with 'Ubaydallah b. Yahyā b. Khāqān. They were treated as guests.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil ordered that the Christians and all other Dhimmis wear yellow hoods (*ṭayālisah*) and *zunnār* belts, ride on saddles with wooden stirrups, affix two pommels at the rear of their saddles, and place two buttons to the caps.

---

302. Abū al-Ḥasan 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān, of the Banū Khāqān [Zambaur, *Manuel*, 12; Sourdel, *Vizirat*, 746], appears here for the first time. 'Ubaydallāh later became wazir under al-Mutawakkil [236–47] and then under al-Mu'tamid (256–63). He died, according to Ibn al-Jawzi (*Muntazam*, V, 45), from a blow received in a polo match, on 10 Dhū al-Qa'dah, 262 [August 5, 876]. See also Zambaur, *Manuel*, 7–8, 12; Herzfeld, *Samarra*, 213; Sourdel, *Vizirat*, 274–86, 305–09, etc. [Index, 785]. Tabari was employed as a tutor for his sons. See Table 2.


Bar Hebraeus, *Chronography*, 141, noting al-Mutawakkil's "hatred for Christians," relates the following decrees with his leveling the tomb of al-Ḥusayn b. 'Ali [see below, 1407, p. 110]. In other words, the caliph's decrees vis-à-vis the Dhimmī minority were, in his view, connected with his hostility toward Shi'ites.

304. *Ṭayālisah* [sg. *ṭaylasān*] is translated here as "hoods." Ashtor, "Social Isolation," uses "mantle." According to Y. Stillman, *EI*, s.v. Libās, the *ṭaylasān* in this period was evidently a cowl. See also A. Arazy's Introduction to *Suyūṭi, Aḥādīth*, Ahsan, *Social Life*, 42–43. According to Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, *Aḥkām ahl al-dhimmah*, II, 738 [cited by Ahsan, 63], Jews were permitted to wear the *ṭaylasān* because it was traditional gear of theirs.

of those who wore them, which were to be of a different color from the cap worn by Muslims. [He also ordered them] to put two patches on their slaves' outer garment, the color of which was to differ from that of the outer garment, that one of the patches be in front of his chest and the other on his back, and that each patch be four finger spans (eight cm.) in diameter and be yellow. [Those Dhimmis] who wore a turban ('imāmah) should wear one whose color was also yellow. The [Dhimmī] women who went out and showed themselves in public should only appear in a yellow wrap (izār). Al-Mutawakkil also ordered that their slaves wear zunndr belts and prohibited them from wearing those of a decorative variety (manātīq). In addition, he ordered that their renovated places of worship be destroyed, and that one-tenth of their residences be seized. If the location was sufficiently spacious, it was to be turned into a mosque. If it was not suitable for a mosque, it was to be [destroyed and] the area made an open tract of land.

And he ordered that wooden images of devils be nailed to the doors of their houses in order to distinguish between their residences and those of the Muslims.

Al-Mutawakkil prohibited the employment of Dhimmis in government bureaus and in official functions, in which their authority would be exercised over Muslims. He prohibited their children from studying in Muslim elementary schools (katātib), or being taught by Muslims. And he prohibited their displaying

306. The qalansuwah (pl. qalānis) might be a close-fitting cap or a tall cap of conical shape; see above, n. 222.
307. An iṣba' ("finger") = 2.078 or 2.252 cm. [Hinz, Masse und Gewichte, 54].
308. On izār, see Dozy, Vêtements, 24; N. A. Stillman, Jews of Arab Lands, 167, n. 4; Lichtenstädter, "Distinctive Dress," 41, n. 22; Ashtor, "Social Isolation," 80. Y. Stillman, El², s.v. Libās, V, 732, describes it as "a large sheet-like wrap worn both as a mantle and a long loin cloth or waist cloth." She compares the term to late-Biblical ēzōr.
309. Dozy, Vêtements, 420, explains minṭaqah as being a girdle or waist belt fastened by a buckle or clasp; see also Lichtenstädter, "Distinctive Dress," 41, 22; N. A. Stillman, Jews in Arab Lands, 167, n. 5; Ahsan, Social Life, 62.
310. Tritton, Caliphs, 50, claims that al-Mutawakkil was the first caliph to ban renovation of Christian and Jewish places of worship by law. See also Ashtor, "Social Isolation," 86.
311. For Shayātīn, 'Aynī (citing Sibt b. al-Jawzī, Mir'at al-zamān) has asāṭīn ("columns"). See also Ashtor, loc cit.
312. See Tritton, Caliphs, 23.
crosses on their Palm Sundays and holding religious processions. In addition, he ordered that their graves be made level with the ground so as not to resemble the graves of the Muslims.\footnote{313} Thus, he wrote to his district governors:

In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate. Now then, God—may He be blessed and exalted—by His might which cannot be gainsaid and by His power to do whatever He wills has chosen Islam and wished it for Himself. Through Islam He has honored His angels, sent His messengers, and assisted His saints. He embraced Islam with righteousness, encompassed it with succor, protected it from infirmity. He gave Islam victory over other religions, and made it free from doubts, immune from faults, endowed with outstanding virtues. He distinguished it among the religious laws by making it the most pure and virtuous, among precepts by making it the most pristine and noble, among statutes by making it the most just and convincing, and among actions by making it the most beautiful and fitting. He has honored its professors by what he has permitted and prohibited for them. He expounded for them His laws and statutes, set down for them His rules and customs, and prepared for them His expansive reward and recompense.

He says in His Book, by which He commands and prohibits, urges and counsels: “Verily Allah commands justice and kindness, and giving to kindred, and He forbids indecency and disreputable conduct and greed: He admonishes you, mayhap you will be reminded.”\footnote{314}

He says, prohibiting His people from partaking of offensive food,\footnote{315} drink and sexual relations, exalting them above this, purifying their religion, and making His people superior over others: “Forbidden to you are the dead (carcass), blood, the flesh of swine, that over which any}

\footnote{313. Muslim graves were supposed to be made level with the earth, but were in fact more often raised and even constructed in the form of mausoleums.  
314. Qur’an 16:90/92. The Qur’an translations throughout are from R. Bell.  
315. Text: \textit{umita}. Addenda, DCCLXXV, suggests \textit{tu’ima}. Cairo edition \textit{ghumita} is followed here.}
other [name] than Allâh has been invoked, that which has been strangled," etc.  

Then He concludes, referring to what He prohibits them to partake of, with this verse, protecting His religion from whoever opposed it and perfectly benefiting His chosen people. God—may He be powerful and exalted—says: "Today those who have disbelieved despair of your religion; fear them not but fear you Me. Today I have perfected your religion for you," . . . etc.  

God—may He be powerful and exalted—also says: "Forbidden to you are your mothers, your daughters," etc.  

And God says: "O you who have believed, wine, may-sir, stone altars, and divining arrows are simply an abomination, some of Satan's work," etc.  

God thereby forbids Muslims to partake of the most abominable and impure foods of members of other religions and to imbibe the drink of theirs that most arouses enmity and hatred and that most impedes mentioning God's name and praying. And He forbids the most sinful and the most unlawful of their marriages in the eyes of the discerning.  

He gives Muslims good qualities and noble virtues, and makes them professors of faith, fidelity, virtue, mercy, certainty, and veracity. And He has not posited in their religion division and opposition, nor fanaticism, haughtiness, treachery, perfidy, oppression, and injustice. He rather commands the former and forbids the latter, and promises for them His paradise and fire, His reward and punishment.  

The Muslims, through God's favor by which He has elected them, and the superiority He gave them by the religion He chose for them, are distinguished from members of other religions by their righteous laws, their fine  

---  

319. Qur'ân 5:90/92.
and upright statutes, and their evident proof. They are distinguished through God’s purifying their religion by what He permits and forbids them, by God’s decree to strengthen His religion, by His determination to manifest His truth decisively, and by His volition to perfect His benefit to His people, “and that those who perished might perish because of an Evidence [of Allah’s intervention] and that those who remained alive might remain alive because of an Evidence”;320 and that God may give victory and a fortunate outcome to the pious and disgrace in this world and the next to the unbelievers.

The Commander of the Faithful decided—may his success and guidance be through God—that he would compel all the Dhimmis, elite and common, in his presence and in his near and distant provinces, to make their hoods (țayâlisah)—which some of their merchants, secretaries, their old, and young wear—the color of yellow clothing. None of them shall evade this.

And those of their humble followers beneath these in station, whose circumstance prevents them from wearing hoods, shall affix two pieces of cloth of the same color [to their clothing]. The circumference of each piece shall be a complete span,321 and shall be affixed in like manner on the outer cloak that he wears, front and rear. And all of [the Dhimmis] shall fasten buttons to their caps having a color different from that of the caps. They shall protrude where they are fastened, so that they not adhere and be hidden, and so that what is affixed by plaiting not be concealed.

They shall also attach wooden stirrups to their saddles and fix protruding pommels to their saddlebows. They shall not be permitted to remove the pommels from their saddle bows and place them farther back on their sides. What they do shall be inspected to ensure that the orders of the Commander of the Faithful are carried out by their

320. Qur’ān 8:42/44.
321. A span [of the hand; shibr] = about 10 cm.
clear compliance. The inspector should be able to spot compliance readily, it being immediately apparent.

Their male and female slaves, and those of this class who wear girdles, shall wear zunnâr belts and kustīj girdles\(^{322}\) in place of the girdles that were on their waists.

You shall instruct your officers concerning the orders of the Commander of the Faithful, and do so in such a way that they are motivated to carry out their examinations as commissioned. And you shall warn them about circumventing and deviating from [these regulations], and about punishing whoever of the Dhimmis contravenes these regulations, be it by opposition, neglect or whatever, so that all of them, regardless of class or profession, hew to the path that the Commander of the Faithful has ordered, God willing.

Know this, whoever considers the Commander of the Faithful and his order. And dispatch to your officers in the regions of your province the letter of the Commander of the Faithful, that is, the letter that you have received concerning what you shall do, God willing.

The Commander of the Faithful asks God, his Lord and Patron, to bless His servant and Messenger Muḥammad and His angels, and to preserve him, having made him caliph for His religion. May he carry out God's commission, which he rightly executes only with God's help, so that he fulfills what God has appointed him to do, and attains his consummate reward and most excellent recompense. For God is the Noble, the Compassionate.

Ibrâhîm b. al-ʿAbbās wrote this in Shawwâl, 235 [April 18–May 17, 850].

ʿAlî b. al-Jahm recited:\(^{323}\)

The yellow things divide
Between the righteous and the errant,
What cares the wise if the errant increase? All the more for the booty!

In this year, a man named Maḥmūd b. al-Faraj al-Naysābūrī appeared in Sāmarrā. He claimed to be Dhū al-Qarnayn. He had with him twenty-seven men in the vicinity of Khashabat Bābak. Two of his companions appeared at the Public Gate (Bāb al-ʿĀmmah). And in Baghdad, in the mosque of its administrative center, there were two others who claimed that Maḥmūd was a prophet and that he was Dhū al-Qarnayn. He and his companions were remanded to al-Mutawakkil, who ordered that Maḥmūd be beaten with scourges. He was flogged severely and died thereafter from this beating.

Maḥmūd’s companions were imprisoned. They had come from Naysābūr and had with them some [text] that they recited. They brought their families with them. Among them was a shaykh who bore witness to Maḥmūd’s prophecy, claiming that the latter had received a revelation from Gabriel.

Maḥmūd was flogged one hundred strokes, but did not disclaim his prophecy under the beating. The shaykh who had vouched for him was flogged forty times, whereupon he disavowed Maḥmūd’s prophecy under the whipping. Maḥmūd was brought to the Public Gate (Bāb al-ʿĀmmah), where he recanted.

The shaykh declared that Maḥmūd had duped him, and ordered Maḥmūd’s companions to slap him, which each of them did ten times. A text was taken from Maḥmūd containing words that he composed. He stated that it was his Qur’ān, and that Gabriel—peace upon him—had revealed it to him. He died thereafter on Wednesday, 3 Dhū al-Ḥijjah of this year (June 18, 850), and was buried in the Jazirah.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil confirmed the oath of allegiance for his three sons as heirs apparent: Muḥammad, whom he called

324. For kathura in the sense of “increase,” see Glossarium, CDXLVIII.
325. Dhū al-Qarnayn ("the Two-Horned") appears in Qur’ān 18:83/82ff. and is often identified with Alexander the Great by both Muslim exegetes and Western scholars. Muslims regard Dhū al-Qarnayn as a believer who warned people of God’s reward and punishment. See Paret, Koran: Kommentar, p. 318. Maḥmūd b. al-Faraj presumably regarded Dhū al-Qarnayn as a prophet.
326. Nabia Abbott, "Arabic Papyri," discusses the appointment of the three sons of al-Mutawakkil as heirs apparent and the division of the empire. Muḥam-
The Caliphate of Ja'far al-Mutawakkil ‘alā-llāh

[1395] al-Muntaṣir, Abū ‘Abdallāh b. Qabiḥah—there was a difference of opinion concerning his proper name: according to one view his name was Muhammad; according to another it was al-Zubayr—whom he gave the honorific title al-Mu'tazz; and Ibrāhim, whom he called al-Mu’ayyad.

This was said to have been on Saturday, 26 Dhū al-Hijjah (July 11, 850), or, according to another version, on 27 Dhū al-Hijjah (July 12, 850). He tied two banners for each, one black, as the banner of investiture, the other white, as the banner of the province. He assigned to each of his sons the province that I shall mention.

The caliph assigned to his son Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir: Ifriqiyyah and the entire Maghrib, from 'Arish Misr to where his sovereignty extended in the west; the district (jund) of Qinnasrin; the Syrian and Jazīrah border provinces and towns; Dīyār Muḍar, Dīyār Rabī‘ah, Mosul, Hit, 'Ānāt, al-Khābūr, and Qarqisīyā; the districts of Bājarmā and Takrit; the subdistricts of the Sawād; the districts of the Tigris, the two holy places, the Yemen, 'Akk, Ḥadramawt, al-Yamāmah, al-Baḥrayn, al-Sind, Makrān, Qandābil, and Fārj Bayt al-Dhahab; and the districts of al-Ahwāz. He also gave him the annual income from produce in Samarra; Mali al-Kūfah, Māḥ al-Baṣrah, Māsābadhān, Mihrājān Qaddāq, Shahra-zūr, Darābādih, al-Ṣāmīgḥān, Iṣbahān, Qumm, Qāshān, Qazwīn; the affairs of al-Jabal and the estates affiliated to al-Jībāl; and the income from alms taxes of the Arab tribesmen in al-Baṣrah.

He assigned to his son al-Mu’tazz: The districts of Khūrāsān and its affiliated territories, Tabaristān, al-Rayy, Armenia, Adharmār, and the districts of Fārs. In 240 (845–855), al-Mutawakkil mad al-Muntaṣir received the largest share, and Ibrāhim, the last in line of succession, the smallest. See the accounts in Ya’qūbī, Ta’rīkh II, 594–95; Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 32–33; Abū al-Maḥāsin, Nujūm II, 280; Ibn Khaldūn, ‘Ibar, III, 275. They differ in details concerning the provinces assigned to each. Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir was thirteen [see below, 1471, where he is said to be twenty-five years old in 274/861–62]; Ibrāhim had not reached puberty; and al-Zubayr was only three. This meant that agents had to be appointed to administer their territories. The papyri published by Abbott concern village surveys ordered by Abū b. al-Mudabbir, agent for the Syrian region assigned to Ibrāhim al-Mu’ayyad. Abū b. al-Mudabbir was a brother of Ibrāhim b. al-Mudabbir (above, n. 287).

327. Śuli gives the date as 1 Muḥarram, 236 (July 15, 850).
assigned to al-Mu'tazz the contents of the treasuries in all the districts, and the mints, and ordered that his name be stamped on dirhams.

He assigned to his son al-Mu'ayyad the districts (jund) of Damascus, Hims, al-Urdunn and Filastin.

Abū al-Ghuṣn al-A'rābī recited:

The majestic rulers of the Muslims
Are Muḥammad, then Abū 'Abdallāh.

Then there is Ibrāhīm, disdainful of baseness,
Blessed among the sons of God's caliph.

Al-Mutawakkil wrote a letter to his sons, the text of which is as follows:

This is a letter written by the Servant of God, Ja'far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh, The Commander of the Faithful, calling upon God and those who were present, including the members of his household, partisans (shi-'ah), army commanders, judges, trusted deputies, jurists, and other Muslims, to witness its entire contents.

To Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, and to Abū 'Abdal-lāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, and Ibrāhīm al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, sons of the Commander of the Faithful, in the full competence of his judgment, complete health of his body, thorough understanding, free volition, and striving for obedience to his Lord and the well-being of his subjects, their compliance and the amplitude and integrity of their community.

This was in Dhu al-Ḥijjah 235 [June 16–July 14, 850].

To Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of Ja'far al-

---

328. For the poet Abū al-Ghuṣn al-A'rābī, see, for instance, Ibn Qutaybah, 'Uyun, IV, 22.
329. The word shi-'ah in this context has the sense of "followers, assistants, officers, etc."); Dozy, Supplément, I, 811. The letter is also translated by Chejne, Accession, 123 ff.
330. Cairo edition adds annahu ja'ala, on the basis of Mss. A and D, according to which the translation would be: "It was in Dhu al-Ḥijjah . . . that he made Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir . . . ."
Imām al-Mutawakkil ‘alā-llāh, the Commander of the Faithful, heir apparent of the Muslims during al-Mutawakkil’s life and caliph over them after him:

Al-Mutawakkil commended to al-Muntasir piety, which protects whoever cleaves to it, saves whoever takes refuge in it, and is the might and glory331 of whoever contents himself with it. Good favor is attained by obedience to God, and mercy flows from God. God is forgiving and merciful.332


And the Servant of God, Ja’far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil ‘alā-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, imposed upon Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bi-llāh and Ibrāhim al-Mu’ayyad bi-llāh, the two sons of the Commander of the Faithful, obedience and sincere counsel, alliance with [al-Muntasir’s] friends and enmity toward his foes, secretly and publicly, whether in anger or favor, whether withholding or giving. He also enjoined them to uphold their oath of allegiance to al-Muntasir and to show loyalty to his investiture. They should not seek his ruin, nor should they endeavor to deceive him or make common cause against him. They should not act independently of al-Muntasir in any way that violates his investiture by the Commander of the Faithful as heir apparent, either while al-Mutawakkil lives or as caliph after him.

The Servant of God, Ja’far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil ‘alā-

---

331. Text: ‘izz. The note ad loc. suggests ‘izzah, which may mean “glory” here, but the terms are virtually identical in meaning. I have used two words to encompass the possible semantic range.

llāh, the Commander of the Faithful, has imposed [the following] upon Muhammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, with respect to Abū 'Abdallāh al-Muṭazz bi-llāh and Ibrāhim al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, the two sons of the Commander of the Faithful: Fidelity to what al-Mutawakkil has appointed for them and invested them with, namely, the caliphate after Muhammad al-Muntagir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, the living succession being Ibrahim al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, becoming caliph after Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful. Al-Mutawakkil enjoins fulfillment of this.

Al-Muntaṣir, in turn, should not renounce any one of them, nor make an investiture excluding any one of them, be it by rendering the oath of allegiance to a son of his or to anyone else among all mankind. The order of succession shall not be altered. Al-Muntaṣir shall not violate any [of the arrangements] concerning the offices that the Servant of Allāh Ja'far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh has assigned to each of them, including: [the supervision of] prayer, police services,333 judgeships, mazālim courts, taxes, estates, booty, income from alms taxes, and other privileges of their offices, and the office of each of them, including post,334 [the monopoly on] embroidery (tu-ruz),335 the treasury, subsidies, the mint houses, and all the offices that the Commander of the Faithful has assigned and will assign to each of them.

And al-Muntaṣir shall not transfer anyone servicing them in their districts, including commanders, troops, Shākiriyah, mawlās, pages, etc. And he shall not interfere with them by reducing anything of their estates and fiefs and other properties and treasures and all that they

---

333. For the term ma’āwin in this sense, see Bosworth, Reunification, 99, n.
334. Or: post and intelligence, see EI2, s.v. Barid.
335. For tiriz (sg. of turtuz), see R. B. Serjeant, "Islamic Textiles," Ars Islamica, 9 [1942], 60ff.; EI1, s.v.; Ahsan, Social Life, 68–70.
possess, as well as what they own of inherited and newly acquired wealth, old and renovated property, and all that they will acquire and will be acquired for them. And he shall not dispossess, harass, or interfere with any one of his partisans [ṣi`ah], secretaries, judges, slaves, agents, followers, and all their circumstances by [demands for] supervision and accounting or in any other way. And he shall not nullify what the Commander of the Faithful confirmed for the two of them in this contract and investiture by altering it, postponing its execution, or contradicting anything of it.

The Servant of God, Jaʿfar al-Mutawakkil ʿalā-llāh, the Commander of the Faithful, imposed upon Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muʿtazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, the same conditions regarding Ibrāhīm al-Muʿayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, as he imposed upon Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, should the caliphate pass to him after Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful. As caliph after Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muʿtazz bi-llāh must behave toward Ibrāhīm al-Muʿayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, according to the conditions al-Mutawakkil set down for Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, including all that al-Mutawakkil designated in this letter, according to what he expounded, concerning loyalty on the part of Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muʿtazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful.

Inasmuch as the Commander of the Faithful al-Mutawakkil assigned the caliphate to Ibrāhīm al-Muʿayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muʿtazz bi-llāh must see to it that the agreement is fully carried out, in accordance with what he owes God and with what the Commander of the Faithful ordered him to do. He must not violate the agreement by dismissing it or substituting [provisions with it].

God threatens whoever opposes His command and de-
viates from His path in His perfect Book: “Then if any alter it having heard it, the guilt rests upon those who alter it; verily God is One Who hears and knows.”

Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, and Ibrāhīm al-Mu’ayyad bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, have a guarantee of safe-conduct from Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, whether one or both of them are staying with him or are absent from him. That is, while Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, is not in his governorship of Khurāsān and its adjacent dependencies, those falling within the jurisdiction of Khurāsānī rule, and Ibrāhīm al-Mu’ayyad bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, is not in his governorship in Syria and its districts (ajnād).

It is incumbent upon Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, to transfer Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, to Khurāsān and its adjacent dependencies, those falling within the jurisdiction of Khurāsānī rule, and to deliver to him the governorship of Khurāsān and all of its provinces (a’mal) and districts (ajnād), as well as the subdistricts (kuwar) included in what Ja’far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil ‘alā-llāh, the Commander of the Faithful, has assigned Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bī-l-lāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful.

Al-Muntaṣir shall not keep Abū 'Abdallāh from them or detain him in his presence or in any land except Khurāsān and the districts and subdistricts falling under Khurāsānī rule. He must see to it that Abū 'Abdallāh gets to Khurāsān as governor over it and all its provinces, serving independently, with all its provinces assigned to him, so that he may reside where he wishes in the subdistricts of his province. Al-Muntaṣir shall not transfer al-Mu’tazz from them. All those whom the Commander of the Faithful has attached and will attach to his service shall go with him, including his mawlās, commanders,

Shâkiriyyah, companions, secretaries, agents, slaves, and the various people who follow him, along with their wives, children, families, and property. Al-Muntaṣār shall not detain anyone from going to al-Muˈtazz nor cause anyone else to share in anything of his administrative offices. He shall not send [to oversee him] a confidant, secretary, or courier. He shall not chastise him for any reason.

And Muḥammad al-Muntaṣār bi-llāh shall free Ibrāhīm al-Muˈayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, to go out to Syria and its districts, along with those whom the Commander of the Faithful has attached and will attach to his service, including his mawlās, commanders, slaves, troops, Shâkiriyyah, companions, agents, female slaves, and the various classes of people who follow him, including their wives, children, and property. He shall not detain anyone from going to them. He shall deliver to him his governorship (wilāyah), and all its provinces (aˈmāl), and districts (junūd). Al-Muntaṣār shall not prevent al-Muˈayyad from having access to them or detain him in his presence, nor in any of the lands aside from them. He shall see to it that al-Muˈayyad gets to Syria and its districts (ajnād) to govern them, and he shall not transfer him from them. He is responsible to him for those he attached to his service, including commanders, mawlās, pages, troops, Shâkiriyyah, and various classes of people in all circumstances. His responsibilities are governed by conditions similar to those set for Muḥammad al-Muntaṣār bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, with regard to Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muˈtazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, in Khūrāsān and its provinces, according to what has been prescribed, elucidated, adumbrated, and expounded in this letter.

If the caliphate passes to Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muˈtazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, and Ibrāhīm al-Muˈayyad bi-llāh stays in Syria, al-Muˈtazz must confirm Ibrāhīm there, whether he is in his presence or is absent from him. He must let Ibrāhīm go to his province (ˈamal) in Syria, and hand over to him its districts (ajnād), governorship (wilāyah), and all of its provinces (aˈmāl).
He shall not keep İbrahim from them nor detain him in his presence nor in any land aside from it. He must see to it that İbrahim gets to Syria quickly so as to govern it and all its provinces, in accordance with the conditions set down for Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, with regard to Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, in Khurāsān and its provinces, that is, according to what was prescribed, described, and stipulated as a condition in this letter.

The Commander of the Faithful will not permit any of those to whom these stipulations apply—Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, and İbrahim al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, sons of the Commander of the Faithful—to expunge anything that we have made a condition in this letter and affirmed. Fidelity to it is incumbent upon all of them. God will accept only this from them. Adherence is accepting God's compact concerning this matter and that alone.

Ja'far al-İmām al-Mutawakkil, Commander of the Faithful, called God, Lord of worlds, and the Muslims who were in his presence as witnesses to all that is in this letter, that he (al-Mutawakkil) will execute what pertains to Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, and İbrahim al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, sons of the Commander of the Faithful, along with all that he designated in it. God is sufficient as witness and helper to whoever obeys hopefully and is faithful to His compact out of fear and after taking careful measure. God punishes those who oppose Him or strive to shun His command.

This letter was written in four copies. The attestation of the witnesses in each copy was made in the presence of the Commander of the Faithful. There was a copy in the library of the Commander of the Faithful, a copy in the possession of Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir, son of the Commander of the Faithful, a copy in the possession of Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, and a copy in the possession of İbrahim al-Mu'ayyad bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful.
Ja'far al-Imām al-Mutawakkil made Abū 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, governor of the provinces of Fārs, Armenia, and Ādharbayjān, as well as the provinces and subdistricts of Khurāsān, its adjacent dependencies, and those falling within the jurisdiction of Khurāsānī rule. Al-Mutawakkil imposed on Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, son of the Commander of the Faithful, protection of al-Mu’tazz’s life and firm commitment to the provinces in his jurisdiction and all the people who have recourse to him in Khurāsān and its adjacent dependencies and those falling within the jurisdiction of Khurāsānī rule, according to what is designated in this letter.

Ibrāhīm b. al-‘Abbās b. Muḥammad b. Ṣūl recited, praising the three sons of al-Mutawakkil—al-Muntaṣir, al-Mu’tazz, and al-Mu’ayyad:

The bonds of Islam, linked with Victory, power and confirmation,

Join now a Hāshimite caliph and three Heirs apparent surrounding the caliphate.

He is a moon (qamar) around which satellites (aqmār) turn, Surrounding the ascent of its good fortune with good fortunes.

The ancestors protect them and are protected by them,

They are distinguished by their noble souls and ancestry.

He is also the author of a poem about al-Mu’tazz bi-llāh:

The rising light of the east becomes bright by [the presence of] al-Mu’tazz bi-llāh.

337. See Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, IX, 32. And see Ibrāhīm al-Ṣūlī, Dīwān, 131, which omits the third verse, as does Abū Bakr al-Ṣūlī, Kitāb al-awrāq.

338. Aghānī has “Fate has elevated them, and they are raised by it [read: bihā].”

339. The word ḥudn is a double entendre (jīnas), meaning both “ancestors” and “good luck.”


341. Abū Bakr al-Ṣūlī, Kitāb al-awrāq, adds: “the investiture became so bright that it caused dawn to break at night, By it God has bestowed on the nation justice and kindness.”
Al-Mu'tazz is indeed perfume
   Sent to mankind giving fragrance.

And he is the author of another poem about them:342

It is God who has made his religion victorious
   And strengthened it with Muḥammad.343

God ennobled with the caliphate
Ja'far b. Muḥammad.344

And God confirmed his investiture
Of Muḥammad and Muḥammad.345

And the one confirmed [Mu'ayyad] by those two confirmed,
   Back to the Prophet Muḥammad.

In this year, Ishaq b. Ibrahim, Supervisor of the Bridge, died.346
   This was on Tuesday, 23 Dhū al-Ḥijjah (July 8, 850) or, according
   to another version, on 22 Dhū al-Ḥijjah (July 7, 850). Ishaq had
   appointed his son as his successor. The latter was bedecked with
   five robes and girded with a sword. When al-Mutawakkil heard
   news of Ishaq's illness, he sent his son al-Mu'tazz to visit him
   along with Bughā al-Sharābī and a contingent of commanders and
   soldiers.

The waters of the Tigris reportedly turned yellow347 this year for
   three days. People were alarmed at this. They then turned to the
   color of flood water. This was in Dhu al-Ḥijjah (June 16–July 14).

In this year, al-Mutawakkil brought Yaḥyā b. 'Umar b. al-Ḥu-

342. Ibrāhīm al-Ṣūlī, Diwān, 132.
343. Muḥammad is apparently the caliph Abū Ishaq Muḥammad al-Mu'taṣīm
   (rather than the Prophet Muḥammad).
345. The two Muḥammad(s are Abū Ja'far Muḥammad al-Muntaṣīr and Abū
   'Abdallāh Muḥammad al-Mu'tazz. The next line alludes to their brother Mu'
   ayyad.
346. Text: šāḥib al-jisr. Ishaq b. Ibrahim b. Muṣ'ab was chief of security police
   (šāḥib al-shurtah), as Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 35, notes here, as though this were
   the correct reading. On the other hand, Tabari reports, III, 1062 (Bosworth, Re-
   unification, 139), that in 206 (821–22) he was put in charge of "the two bridges." 
   Thus, he was called šāḥib al-jisr.
347. The color asfār/sufra may be yellow, beige, orange, or yellowish brown;
   Fischer, Farb- und Formbezeichnungen, 358.
sayn b. Zayd b. 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. 'Ali b. Abi Ṭalib\textsuperscript{348} from one of the provinces. He had reportedly assembled a group [of supporters]. 'Umar b. Faraj flogged him eighteen lashes, and he was incarcerated in Baghdad in the Maṭbaq [Prison].

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

The Events of the Year

236
(July 15, 850–July 4, 851)

Among them was the killing of Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ‘ab b. Zurayq, brother of Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm, in Fars.

_The Killing of Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ‘ab and How It Took Place_

I have been informed by more than one authority that when Muḥammad b. Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm’s father, Ishaq, learned that Muḥammad was an insatiable glutton, who demanded exorbitant amounts of food, Ishaq sent a message summoning him.349 Ishaq ordered Muḥammad to eat, explaining, “I want to see how you consume food.” He devoured a quantity that astounded Ishaq. Then, when it seemed that he had reached his surfeit, a roast lamb was served, which he ravaged until only the bones remained. When he had consumed it, Ishaq remarked, “My son, your father’s money will not suffice to feed your belly. Go and associate with the Commander of the Faithful; he can better afford to support you than I.”

349. For the Ṭāhirid Muḥammad b. Ishaq b. Ibrāhīm, see Table 3.
Ishaq sent Muhammad to the gate [of the caliph] and made him stay there. Muhammad was in the service of the central government during the lifetime of his father and was his father's proxy at the gate [of the caliph] until his father Ishaq died.

Al-Mu'tazz appointed Muhammad b. Ishaq over Fars, and al-Muntasir appointed him over al-Yamamah, al-Bahrayn, and the Mecca Road in Muharram (July 15 – August 13, 850) of this year. Al-Mutawakkil assigned him all the districts of his father. Al-Muntasir added the governorship of Egypt. This was because he reportedly delivered to al-Mutawakkil and his heirs apparent the contents of his father's storehouses, including jewelry and precious objects, thus gaining their favor so that they elevated his rank.

When Muhammad b. Ibrahim learned what was done in the case of his nephew Muhammad b. Ishaq, he became hostile to the central government, and al-Mutawakkil discovered objectionable things about him.

I was told by someone of my informants that Muhammad b. Ibrahim's hostility was directed only against his nephew Muhammad b. Ishaq, the reason for it being as follows: The taxes [kharāj] of Fars had been delivered to Muhammad b. Ibrahim. Now Muhammad b. Ishaq complained to al-Mutawakkil about the hostility of his uncle, Muhammad b. Ibrahim, whereupon al-Mutawakkil gave the former latitude to treat his uncle as he saw fit.

At that, Muhammad b. Ishaq appointed al-Husayn b. Ismā'il b. Ibrahim b. Muṣ'ab governor of Fars and deposed his uncle. Then Muhammad b. Ishaq approached al-Husayn b. Ismā'il about killing his uncle Muhammad b. Ibrahim. It is reported that when al-Husayn b. Ismā'il went to Fars, he presented his uncle with gifts, including sweets, on the day of Nayruz. Muhammad b. Ibrahim

---


351. Muhammad b. Ibrahim, uncle of Muhammad b. Ishaq, was the brother of Ishaq b. Ibrahim b. Muṣ'ab, above, n. 98. See Table 3.

352. Al-Husayn b. Ismā'il b. Ibrahim b. Muṣ'ab was a cousin of Muhammad b. Ishaq b. Ibrahim and [like him] a nephew of Muhammad b. Ibrahim; Zambaur, Manuel, 46, 197, 198. He was very active as a military commander in the ensuing period, see Saliba, Index, 176.

353. Nayruz is the Arabic form of the Persian Nawrūz, the ancient Iranian (Achaemenid) New Year, which was celebrated into Islamic times, and with particular enthusiasm in the period of al-Mutawakkil. On the days of Nawrūz, sweets were prepared and given as presents, for instance, šābūniyyah and lauwzīnaj (basically, sugar and almonds); EI1, s.v. Nawrūz; Ahsan, Social Life, 99–100, 287.
partook of them. Then al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl entered and ordered that he be brought into another place and that sweets be offered to him again. Muḥammad b. Ibrāḥīm ate more of them so that he became thirsty and wanted to drink, but water was withheld. He tried to leave the place where he was brought, but was denied exit. Muḥammad survived for two days and nights, and then he died. His property and family were carried to Sāmarrā on one hundred camels.

When al-Mutawakkil heard the announcement of Muḥammad b. Ibrāḥīm's death, he ordered that a letter concerning him be written to Tāhir b. ʿAbdallāh b. Tāhir expressing condolences, and it was written as follows:

Now then. The Commander of the Faithful offers you, with every benefit and favor, felicitation for the gifts of God and consolation for the calamities of His destiny. God has determined for Muḥammad b. Ibrāḥīm, mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful, His decree for mankind (ʿibād) so that they have transience and He have permanence. The Commander of the Faithful consoles you for [the loss of] Muḥammad, as God gives His rich reward and recompense to whoever acts according to His command in his misfortunes. May God and what brings you near Him take precedence in all your circumstances. With thanks to God comes His abundance; and with submission to God's command, His pleasure. And God gives success to the Commander of the Faithful. Peace.

In this year, al-Ḥasan b. Sahl died, according to one informant, on the first of Dhū al-Hijjah [June 5, 851]. The informant who stated this said that Muḥammad b. Ishāq b. Ibrāḥīm died on the twenty-fifth of this month (June 29, 851).

Al-Qāsim b. Ahmad al-Kūfī reportedly said: I was in the service of al-Fatḥ b. Khāqān in 235 (849–50), while al-Fatḥ held administrative offices for al-Mutawakkil, including intelligence (akhbār) concerning the elite and commoners in Sāmarrā and the Hārünī [Palace] and what was adjacent to it.  

---

354. Al-Ḥasan b. Sahl had been finance minister and governor under al-Maʿmūn; see above, n. 196.
355. He is al-Fatḥ b. Khāqān, son of Khāqān b. ʿUrtūj [Ghurtūj]. The Khāqānids were of Turkish stock, and were the ruling dynasty in Farghānah [see Zambaur,
A dispatch came from Ibrahim b. 'Atā', supervisor of Intelligence in Sāmarrā, reporting the death of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl, stating that he took an overdose of medicine on the morning of Thursday, 25 Dhū al-Qa'dah [May 20, 851], that he died at noontime on this day, and that al-Mutawakkil ordered that his funeral equipment be furnished from his treasuries. When he was placed on his litter (sarīr), a number of merchants who were creditors of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl hung onto it, holding up his burial. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān, Ibrahim b. 'Atāb, and a man known as Barghūth mediated their case. They resolved the matter, and he was buried.

The next day a dispatch arrived from the chief of Intelligence in Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām) with an announcement of the death of Muhammad b. Ishaq b. Ibrahim on the afternoon of Thursday, 5 Dhū al-Ḥijjah [June 9, 851]. Al-Mutawakkil grieved deeply over him. He said, "May God be great and exalted. How fate has overtaken al-Ḥasan [b. Sahl] and Muhammad b. Ishaq at the same time!"

In this year, al-Mutawakkil ordered that the grave of al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī and the residences and palaces surrounding it be destroyed. The site of his grave was to be ploughed, sown, and irrigated, and people were to be prevented from visiting it. It is reported that

---

356. Yahyā b. Khāqān was the brother of al-Fath and Ja'far (Zambaur, Manuel, 12).
357. For qaṭā' a amrahum in this sense, see Glossarium, CDXXVIII.
358. The grave of al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī (Qabr al-Ḥusayn, Mashhad al-Ḥusayn) is located at Karbalā', where al-Ḥusayn and his followers were massacred by the Umayyads in 860. Al-Mutawakkil's obliteration of the site was part of his anti-Shī'ite policy. By 200 (977), when Ibn Hawqal visited Karbalā', during the rule of the Shiʿite Buwayhid dynasty, he found a large shrine over the tomb; it had once again become an attraction for pilgrims; see Ibn Hawqal, Masālik, p. 166, EI2, s.v. Karbalā'. According to a tradition, the caliph was punished for destroying the tomb in such a way that after his death hardly a trace remained of Sāmarrā; see Qazvini, Nuzhat al-qulūb, 32, 42; tr. 39, 49.
an agent of the chief of security police announced in the area: "Whomever we find near al-Ḥusayn's grave after three days we shall send to the Maṭbaq [Prison]." People fled and refrained from going to the grave. This place was ploughed, and the area around it was sown.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil appointed as secretary 'Ubaydallāh b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān and dismissed Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl al-Jarjarāʾi.359

In this year, Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir went on the pilgrimage. His grandmother, Shujāʾ, mother of al-Mutawakkil, went on the pilgrimage with him, al-Mutawakkil accompanying her as far as al-Najaf.

In this year, Abū Saʿīd Muḥammad b. Yūsuf al-Marwāzī al-Kabāḥa Fāhu perished.360 It is reported that Fāris b. Bughā al-Sharābī—he was the deputy of his father—appointed this Abū Saʿīd, a mawlā of Ṭayyī, as governor of Ādharbayjān and Armenia. He encamped in al-Karkh, that is, Karkh Fayruz.361 He died suddenly on 7 Shawwal (June 28, 851) while he was in al-Karkh. He had put on one of his shoes and reached out to put on the other when he fell over dead.

359. Al-Jarjarāʾi had followed Ibn al-Zayyāt in the wazirate. 'Ubaydallāh’s appointment [Zambaur, Manuel, 6] indicates the growing power and influence of the Khāqānids.

360. The cognomen al-Kabāḥa Fāhu, if correct, means "The One Who Bridled His Mouth." See Ṣabārī, Index, 235; al-Thaqāfī al-Kabāḥa Fāhu. Ms. C has al-Kakh [?]. But the reading is uncertain. Cairo edition’s text reads: al-Kabāḥa fūjā'atān [the second word appears in the text farther on]. See Fragmenta, 546: fūjā'atān [after Yūsuf]. He was an army commander from Khurāsān [Marw], who made his first appearance at the time of al-Maʾmūn, in 210 [816–17; Ṣabārī, III, 1093], when he participated in a campaign against the rebellious citizens of Qumm, along with [his uncle] ‘Alī b. Hishām [al-Marwāzī]. His cognomen there is given as al-K-ḥ-b-q-w-s [see Bosworth, Reunification, 166]. He executed his uncle Ḥusayn b. Hishām in 217 [832–33] in the name of al-Maʾmūn [Ṣabārī, III, 1107; and Ibn Ṭayfūr, Baghdād, 147]. But Bosworth, 192, n. 598, does not identify him with our man. He was active under al-Muʿtaṣim and participated in the campaign against Bābak; Marin, Reign, Index, 133. See also Balāḏurī, Futūh, 198; Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, XVIII, 47, 108, 169–70; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt, III, 658 [with Abū Tamām and al-Buḥtūrī< Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, 169–70]. According to Yaʿqūbī, Taʾrīkh, II, 598, he died on his way to a campaign in Armenia.

361. The words “that is Karkh Fayruz” appear to be a gloss. A Karkh Quarter existed in Baghdad, and a Karkh Fayruz in the area of Sāmarra, which was situated between the villages of Karkh Fayruz (or Karkh Bājadāh) on the north and al-Maṭirāh on the southeast. Karkh Fayruz was a military cantonment occupied by a number of the caliphal Turkish guards, who were isolated from the local citizens. See Yaḥūt, Muʿjam, IV, 255; EI², s.v. al-Karkh.
Al-Mutawakkil appointed Abū Saʿīd's son Yūsuf over the security police (al-ḥarb), over which his father had been in charge. Following that, he assigned to him the taxes of the province [of Ādharbayjān and Armenia] and its estates. He went to the province, took control of it, and sent his administrative officers to every area.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was al-Muntaṣir Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar al-Mutawakkil.
One of the events was the revolt of the inhabitants of Armenia against Yusuf b. Muhammad.362

The Cause of the Revolt by the Inhabitants of Armenia against Yusuf b. Muhammad

We have previously mentioned the reason for al-Mutawakkil’s appointing this Yusuf b. Muhammad governor of Armenia. The reason for the revolt of the inhabitants of Armenia against him was reportedly as follows:

When he went to his administrative prefecture in Armenia one of the Patrikioi named Buqrāṭ b. Ashūt, called the chief Patrikios,

362. Yusuf, son of Abū Saʿid Muḥammad b. Yusuf al-Marwazi, was governor of Armenia in 235 [849–50] and of Adharbayjān in 237 [851–52]; Baladhuri, Futūh, 248; Zambaur, Manuel, 177, 178. Armenia was the scene of periodic insurrections in the 'Abbasid period; the rebellion against al-Mutawakkil reported here was the most serious; see also Ya’qūbi, Taʾrikh, II, 598, and in general, EI², s.v. Arminiya.
rebelled, seeking to take rule. Yusuf b. Muhammad seized him, bound him, and deported him to the gate of the caliph. Buqrāt and his son then converted to Islam.

It is reported that when Yusuf deported Buqrāt b. Ashūt, the latter's nephew and a number of Armenian Patrikioi rallied against Yusuf. Snow had fallen in the town where Yusuf was situated. It is said to have been Ṭarūn. When the snow settled, the Armenians besieged it from every side, surrounding Yusuf and those who were with him in the town. Yusuf went out to the gate of the city and fought them. [The Armenians] killed him and all those who fought alongside him. Those who did not fight alongside him were told to strip and escape naked. Many of them discarded their clothing and escaped nude and barefoot. Most of them died from the cold, but some escaped, losing their fingers.

When Yusuf deported Buqrāt b. Ashūt, the Patrikioi took an oath to kill Yusuf and vowed to shed his blood. Mūsā b. Zurārah went along with them in this. He was responsible for the daughter of Buqrāt.

Sawadah b. 'Abd al-Ḥamid al-Jahhafi discouraged Yusuf b.
Abī Saʿīd from remaining where he was and told him the news he heard concerning the Patrikioi. Yūsuf, however, refused to comply, and the [Armenians] caught up with him in the month of Ramaḍān. They surrounded the wall of the town, the snow being up to twenty dhiraʾ (ca. ten meters) in the vicinity of the town and as far as Khilāt and Dabīl.368 Everything was snowed in.369

Before this, Yūsuf had divided his forces among the villages [rasāṭīq] of his province, groups of his forces heading [to be stationed in] each of its regions. A contingent of the Patrikioi and their allies were sent against each group and killed them in a single day. They had surrounded Yūsuf in the town for several days, at which point he went out to [confront] them. He fought until he was killed.

Al-Mutawakkil consequently sent Bugḥa al-Sharābī to Armenia, seeking vengeance for Yūsuf.370 He headed for Armenia from the direction of the Jazirah. He began in Arzan371 [by attacking] Mūsā b. Zurārah—he is Abū al-Ḥurr—and he had sisters and brothers, [namely] Ismāʿīl, Sulaymān, Aḥmad, Ṭās, Muḥammad, and Hārūn. Bugḥa deported Mūsā b. Zurārah to the gate of the caliph. He then proceeded to lay siege in the mountain of the Khuwaythiyyah.373 They constituted the majority of the inhabitants of Armenia and were the killers of Yūsuf b. Muḥammad. Bugḥa fought and defeated them, killing about 30,000 and taking [1410]
many captive. He sold them in Armenia. He then went to the territory of Aghbagh\textsuperscript{374} and took prisoner Ashūt b. Ḥamzah, Abū al-ʿAbbās, ruler of Aghbagh. Aghbagh was a subdistrict of al-Busfurrajān. Bughā also built al-Nashawā.\textsuperscript{375} He then went to the city of Dabil in Armenia and stayed there for a month, after which he went to Tiflis.\textsuperscript{376}

In this year, ‘Abdallāh b. Isḥāq b. Ibrāḥīm was appointed governor of Baghdad and over the security police of the Sawād.\textsuperscript{377}

In this year, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir\textsuperscript{378} came from Khurāsān [to Sāmarrā] on 22 Rabi’ ʿ II (October 2, 851), and was appointed over the security police, the poll tax (jizyah), and the administrative districts of the Sawād, and he was made the representative of the Commander of the Faithful in Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām). He then proceeded to Baghdad.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil removed Muḥammad b. ʿAbd b. Abī Duʿād from the mazālim courts and appointed Muḥammad b. Yaʿqūb, known as Abū al-Rabiʿ.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil showed favor to Ibn Akθam.\textsuperscript{379} He


\textsuperscript{375} The text reads: wa-banā ("he built"). Al-Nashawā is a town north of the Aras River in Adharbayjān; see Le Strange, \textit{Lands}, 167.

\textsuperscript{376} On Tiflis, capital of Georgia, see El, s.v. Bughā occupied all of Armenia systematically, beginning in the south with Taron, reaching the basins of the Araxes and the Kur Rivers, and going as far as Albania in the east and Georgia in the west (below, 1415; p. 122), Laurent, \textit{L'Arménie}, 118–19.

\textsuperscript{377} ‘Abdallāh b. Isḥāq b. Ibrāḥīm was apparently son of Isḥāq b. Ibrāḥīm, the Ṭāhirid, but he does not appear in Zambaur, \textit{Manuel}, 197, 198, or in other references to the Ṭāhirids.

\textsuperscript{378} For the Ṭāhirid Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir, d. 253 [867–68], see El, s.v. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, Zambaur, \textit{Manuel}, 20, 25, 197, 198. See also Bosworth, "The Ṭāhirids and Their Culture," 46, 68; \textit{idem}, "The Ṭāhirids and Ṣaffarids," 102, 103.

was in Baghdad, and was sent to Sämrä and made chief judge. He was then appointed also over the *mažālim* courts. Al-Mutawakkil removed Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād from the *mažālim* courts of Sāmrä on 19 Șafar this year (August 22, 851).

In this year, al-Mutawakkil became angry with Ibn Abī Duʿād and ordered that his estates be put in trust on 24 Șafar (August 27, 851). His son Abū al-Walīd Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād was imprisoned on Saturday, 3 Rabiʿ I (September 4, 851), in the Bureau of Taxation; and his brothers were imprisoned in the custody of ʿUbaydallāh b. al-Sārī, deputy chief of security police. On Monday (4 Rabiʿ, September 6), Abū al-Walīd delivered 120,000 dinārs and jewels valued at 20,000 dinārs. A settlement was made thereafter in the amount of sixteen million dirhams. The sale of all their estates was witnessed. Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād had been paralyzed. On Wednesday, 4 Shaʿbān (January 31, 852), al-Mutawakkil ordered that the children of Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād be remanded to Baghdad.

Abū al-ʿAtāhiyah recited:

If your judgment were sound,  
Your decision apposite,  
Jurisprudence, were you content, would have diverted you  
From professing that God’s Word is created.

How can you do this when the root of religion creates consensus,  
And ignorance and foolishness make one follow a branch.

Aktham was a fervent proponent of Sunnism and enemy of Muʿtazilism, and his appointment was clearly related to the deposition of the pro-Muʿtazilite Ibn Abī Duʿād, which is reported immediately below. Ibn Kathir, *Bidāyah*, X, 315–16, 319–29, records that Ibn Ḥanbal recommended Yahyā to the caliph. Indeed, in this year al-Mutawakkil invited Ibn Ḥanbal to visit Sāmrā; see *Tarjamat al-Imām Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal*, in Musnad, ed. Shākir, 110ff.

380. For ʿUbaydallāh b. al-Sārī, see Ṭabarī, III, 1086–87 (Bosworth, *Reunification*, 159–60, and Index, 280). He was chief of police and then governor of Egypt [820, 822]. See also Zambaur, *Manuel*, 27. And see Crone, *Slaves on Horses*, 75; 255, n. 578.

381. See Abū al-ʿAtāhiyah, *Diwān*, 354.
Al-Khalanji was displayed in public in Jumada II (November 30–December 28, 851).382

In this year, Ibn Aktham appointed Hayyan b. Bishr383 over the judiciary of the East Side [of Baghdad], and he appointed Sawwar b. 'Abdallah al-'Anbari384 over the West Side. Both of them were blind in one eye.

Al-Jammaz recited:385

I have seen among grave offenses two judges,
Who are the gossip of East and West.

They divided blindness into two equal halves,
As they divided the judgship of the two sides [of Baghdad].

You would think the one of them who nods his head
To look into inheritances and debts

Were like someone upon whom you placed a wine jug386
Whosebungyou opened for a single eye.

They augur the demise of Yahya
If he begins his judgeship with two one-eyed men.

In this year, on the Day of Breaking the Fast, al-Mutawakkil
ordered that the corpse of Aḥmad b. Naṣr b. Malik al-Khuzá‘ī be taken down and turned over to his friends.

What Was Done with Aḥmad b. Naṣr and the Result of This

Al-Mutawakkil reportedly ordered that Aḥmad b. Naṣr's corpse be turned over to his friends for burial, and this was done. When al-Mutawakkil had become caliph, he prohibited debate concerning the Qur’ān and so on, and sent letters about this to distant regions. When he was considering the removal of Aḥmad b. Naṣr from his gallows, the mob and riffraff assembled at the site, where they grew in number and talked [boldly] among themselves. When al-Mutawakkil heard about this, he sent Naṣr b. al-Layth to them. Naṣr seized about 20,000 of them, and flogged and imprisoned them. Al-Mutawakkil gave up the idea of removing Aḥmad b. Naṣr from his gallows when he learned of the growing involvement of the populace in his cause. Those who were seized on Aḥmad b. Naṣr's account remained in prison for some time, after which they were released.

When Ibn Naṣr's body was turned over to his friends at the time that I have mentioned, his nephew Mūsā brought it to Baghdad. It was washed and buried, and the head was brought together with the body. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ḥamzah brought his body in an Egyptian cloth to his own residence, covered it in a shroud, and offered a funeral prayer. A merchant called al-Abzārī, along with some members of Aḥmad b. Naṣr's family, undertook its burial.

The postmaster in Baghdad, who was named Ibn al-Kalbi, wrote from a place in the region of Wāṣīt called al-Kaltāniyyah to al-
Mutawakkil concerning the populace and how they had gathered, and their wiping the funeral bier, that is, the bier of Ahmad b. Naṣr, and the wood where his head had been.\textsuperscript{391} Al-Mutawakkil then asked Yahyā b. Aktham how Ibn al-Abzārī had been admitted to the grave despite the great number\textsuperscript{392} of the Khuza'ah. He replied, "Commander of the Faithful, he was Ahmad's friend."

Al-Mutawakkil ordered that a letter be sent to Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir restraining the populace from assembling and agitating in this manner. Someone among them had nominated his son to alarm the populace at the time of Ahmad's death. Al-Mutawakkil consequently sent a dispatch prohibiting public assembly.

'Ali b. Yahyā al-Armani led the summer campaign this year.\textsuperscript{393} Leading the pilgrimage this year was 'Ali b. 'Īsā b. Ja'far b. Abī Ja'far al-Manṣūr. He was governor of Mecca.\textsuperscript{394}

\textsuperscript{391} Text: wa-mijassat ra'sihi. Cairo edition: bi-khashabat ra'sihi, which is followed here.

\textsuperscript{392} Text: kibrah. Cairo ed. vocalizes kubrah and cites variant (Ms. A) kathrah, which is accepted here. On the Khuza'ah in early Islam, see the detailed article in EI\textsuperscript{2}, s.v. Ahmad b. Naṣr was, of course, from this tribe.

\textsuperscript{393} 'Ali b. Yahyā al-Armani ["the Armenian"] engaged in several campaigns against the Byzantines. He was finally killed in battle in 249 (863-64); Tabari, III, 1309 (Saliba 9-10). He had been governor of Egypt in 226 (840-41) and again in 234 (848-49); see Kindī, Wulāh, 195, 197; Abū al-Mabāsin, Nujūm, II, 245, 246, 248, 257, 274, 278. 'Ali b. Yahyā was governor of Adharbayjān and of Armenia, with his residence in Dabil in 248 (862-63); Zambaur, Manuel, 27, 177, 178. See also Baladhuri, Futūḥ, 201, according to whom he built Sisīyyah, the administrative center of 'Ayn Zarbāh.

\textsuperscript{394} 'Ali b. 'Īsā was a Hāshīmīte, a great-grandson of the caliph al-Manṣūr; see Zambaur, Manuel, 20.
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(JUNE 23, 852–JUNE 11, 853)

One of the events was Bughā’s defeat of Ishāq b. Ismā‘il, mawlā of the Umayyads, in Tiflis and Bughā’s burning the town.395

Bughā’s Role in the Defeat of Ishāq b. Ismā‘il

It is reported that when Bughā went to Dabil because of the murder of Yūsuf b. Muḥammad by the inhabitants of Armenia, he stayed

395. Ishāq b. Ismā‘il, mawlā of the Umayyads, namely, of the caliph Marwān b. Muḥammad, was said to have been of Qurayshite descent. He governed Armenia from its capital, Tiflis. Ya’qūbi, Ta’rikh, II, 598, tells that, when Ishāq once refused to answer Bughā the Elder’s summons, the latter attacked him. ‘Arib, Tabari continuatus, 153, refers to a Risālah on the killing of Ishāq b. Ismā‘il. Ibn Khurānadhbih, Masālik, 63, reports that when he passed through Armenia, bearing a letter of commendation from al-Wāthiq, Ishāq b. Ismā‘il was the ruler there. Bughā’s campaign against Ishāq b. Ismā‘il is actually a continuation of the campaign of 237 (851–52) described above, pp. 1408–10 (pp. 113–16). The reason for the break in narrative is simply the annalistic form of Tabari’s History. Ishāq was an energetic ruler, who had subdued the Abasges, Georgians, and Khazars and defeated a Byzantine army in 837 near Kars, as well as two other armies in 842. See Laurent, L’Arménie, 320–21; and Grousset, Histoire, 359ff. And see Minorsky, History, 25, where it is stated that the revolt of Ishāq lasted thirty-five years before he was finally executed.
there for a month. On Saturday, 10 Rabi’ I, 238 [August 30, 852], Bughā sent Zirak the Turk, who crossed the Kur [River]. It is a large waterway, the size of the Sarāt [Canal] in Baghdad, even larger, and it is between the town [of Dabil] and Tiflis on the west side and Sughdibil on the east side. The camp of Bughā was on the east side [of the river]. Zirak crossed the Kur to the Hippodrome (meydān) of Tiflis. Tiflis had five gates: the Hippodrome Gate, the Qarīs Gate, the Small Gate, the Suburb Gate, and the Sughdibil Gate. The Kur is a river that flows down along the town.

[1415] Bughā also sent Abū al-‘Abbās al-Wāthī al-Nāṣrānī\(^{396}\) against the inhabitants of Armenia, Arab and non-Arab alike. Zirak assaulted them near the Hippodrome [Gate],\(^{397}\) and Abū al-‘Abbās, near the Suburb Gate. Isḥāq b. Ismā’īl went out to [confront] Zirak and engaged him in battle, while Bughā stood on a hill overlooking the town near the Sughdibil [Gate] to see what Zirak and Abū al-‘Abbās were doing. Bughā sent fire hurlers (naffātin), who bombarded the town with fire. The [buildings in the] town [were made] of pine wood, and the wind fanned the flames, which ignited the pine.

When Isḥāq b. Ismā’īl came to the town to observe [what was happening], he noticed that the fire, which had engulfed his palace and surrounding area,\(^{398}\) had trapped him. Then the Turks and Maghāribah attacked him, took him captive, seized his son ‘Amr, and brought them to Bughā. Bughā ordered that Isḥāq be remanded to the Gate of Thorns, where he was decapitated. His head was brought to Bughā, and his body was suspended on a cross at the Kur [River].\(^{399}\)


397. It appears that the word bāb must be supplied or understood here and immediately below.

398. Text: Wa-jawārihi ("and his female slaves"). The combination of palace and female slaves—or place where they were—is possible but rather odd. Read: wa-jiwārihi.

399. The History of Sharvān and al-Bāb, tr. Minorsky, History, 25, says that Ishaq was suspended at the gate of Sughd in Tiflis. His head, according to Ibn ‘Abd Rabbihi, ‘Iqd, I, 136, was sent to the caliph in Samarra. See also Ya’qūbi, Ta’rikh, II, 598. In Georgian [according to Minorsky] the name is Sagodebeli, meaning “place of lamentations.” See above, 1414, where a Sughdibil Gate is mentioned.
Ishaq b. Ismail was a stocky old man and had a large head. He was tattooed with blue (indigo) markings, and was ruddy, bald, and cross-eyed. His head was raised over the Gate of Thorns. Barghamush, deputy of Bughä, supervised his execution. About 50,000 men were burned in the city. The fire burnt itself out in a day and a night, for it was a pine-wood fire that does not last. The Maghärribah arrived in the morning. They took the living captive and plundered the dead.

The wife of Ishaq lived in Şughdbil. It is opposite Tiflis, on the east side, and is a town that Kisra Anûshirwan built. Ishaq had fortified it, dug its defensive trench, and manned it with Khwaythiyyah warriors and others. Bughä gave them a guarantee of safe-conduct, stipulating that they lay down their arms and go where they wished. The wife of Ishaq was the daughter of the Lord of the Throne (Ṣâhib al-Sarîr).

Then Bughä reportedly sent Zirak to the fortress of Jardmän, which is between Bardha’ah and Tiflis, along with a contingent of his troops. Zirak conquered Jardmän and took captive its Patrikios, al-Qitrij, on the Jardmän Road, and brought him to the army camp.

400. For shaykh mahdiʿr, see Glossarium, CLXXXV.
401. Text: Ghâmish. The note ad loc. suggests Barghamush (unpointed); see Yaʿqûbi, Buldân, 262 = Wiet, Les pays, 54.
402. Ms. O has on Wednesday through the night.
403. Yaqût, Muʿjam, III, 396, describes Şughdbil as a town on the east of the Kur River opposite Tiflis, and says that it was built by Kisra Anûshirwan near the place where he founded Bâb al-Abwâb [Darband]. Yaqût also relates the account of Bughä’s attack on Tiflis, his burning the city and killing Ishaq. And he notes that Ishaq had married the daughter of Şâhib al-Sarîr. His report is presumably derived from Tabari.
404. He is also called Wahrazân-Šâh. The district of Sarîr is named after him, in the middle of the Koy-Su valley in southern Dâghistân; EI², s.v. al-Ḳabk. See also Minorsky, Ḥudûd, 447; and see especially the account in the History of Shîrûn and the Bâb, tr. Minorsky, History, 97, 155.
405. For the fortress of Jardmän or Gardmän, see Vardan, Universal History, tr. Muyldermans, 123, n. 5. Ms. C: al-Khazarmân; ʿAyni and Ibn al-Athîr, Kâmil: al-Ḥarazmân.
406. Bardha’ah [Armenian Partav and modern Barda] was the former capital of Arrân, 28 km. from the Kur river, EI², s.v. Arrân, the present-day Soviet republic of Azarbayjan, is ancient Caucasian Albania; EI², s.v.
407. He is Ktriñ, prince of Gardmän; Vardan, Universal History, tr. Muyldermans, 123, n. 5.
Bughā then attacked Ḫisā b. Yūṣuf, the nephew of Stephanos.408 He was in the fortress of Xtiš, belonging to the subdistrict of al-Baylaqān.409 Xtiš is ten farsakhs (sixty km.) from al-Baylaqān and fifteen farsakhs (ninety km.) from Bardha'ah. Bughā made war against him and conquered [his fortress]. He seized Ḫisā b. Yūṣuf and deported him along with his son and father. He deported Abū al-'Abbās al-Wāthi—his name was Sunbāt (Smbat)—and along with him, Mu‘awiyah b. Sahl b. Sunbāt (Smbat),410 Patrikios of Arrān, and Adhamarse b. Ishaq al-Khashini.411

In this year, 300 Byzantine vessels came, bringing Ooryphas, Nikētiatēs (?) and Martinakios (?).412 They were naval commanders. Each of them commanded one hundred vessels.413 Nikētiatēs besieged Damietta.414 Between Damietta and the coast is a

408. See Ṭabarī, III, 1224, 1228 [Marin, Reign, 48, 51], where he is called Ḫisā b. Yūṣuf b. Iṣṭifānūs. Read perhaps: b. ukht Iṣṭifānūs. On p. 1232 [Marin, 56], Ḫisā b. Yūṣuf is said to be the nephew of Stephanos by the latter’s sister. In the History of Sharvān and the Bāb, he is called Abū Mūsā, see Minorsky, History, 165, and “Caucasia IV,” 512ff. He began to reign in 841, after the defeat of Bābak, and ruled for another thirteen years.

409. For the fortress Xtiš, Arabic Kithish, see Minorsky, History, 165, n. 4. For Baylaqān, the town and district of Arrān, south of the Caucasus, see idem, “Caucasia IV,” 513; EI², s.v. Minorsky places the fortress, according to its location given here, in present-day Shusha [Shushi].

410. Mu‘awiyah’s father, Sahl b. Sunbāt, had succeeded in dominating Arrān. See Ṭabarī, III, 1232 [Marin, Reign, 55], where Sahl sends Mu‘awiyah with Bābak to Ašfin. On Sahl, b. Sunbāt, see Minorsky, “Caucasia IV,” 506ff. Minorsky contends [p. 510], on the basis of Armenian sources, that it was rather Sahl b. Sunbāt who was deported, along with Armenian princes, and that his name was mistakenly replaced by that of his son.


412. For the reading Ooryphas, see note on the text, and Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 214, n. 3. The second name is written clearly as Ibn Qatūnā. Vasiliev [apud Grégoire] proposes that it represents Greek Nikētiatēs [lb[n] Nqatūnā], i.e. Sergios ho Nikētiatēs, who had commanded an expedition to Crete. Two other possibilities mentioned are Photinos [E. W. Brooks] and Kontomutēs. The third name, it is said [here and 315, n. 1], may be either Bardas [W-r-d] or Martinakios. See Grégoire, “Études,” 516–17. And see Christides, Conquest, 164, n. 53; 165, n. 54. Christides rejects Grégoire’s identification of Nikētiatēs and regards the others as mere guesswork.

413. Ya’qūbī, Ta’rīkh, II, 596, says that there were eighty-five vessels.

414. Damietta is a well-known town in Lower Egypt, situated near the egress of the Nile. Its location exposed it to periodic naval raids. [Following the attack
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Whoever crosses it to the land is safe from sea vessels. A group of people crossed it to safety, but many of the women and children drowned. Those who were able to take ships escaped [by going] to Fustat. Fustat is a four-day journey from Damietta.

‘Anbasah b. Ishāq al-Dabbiti was the chief of the security police (ma‘ūnah) of Egypt. When the festival approached, he ordered the troops that were in Damietta to come to Fustat to help celebrate it. Thus, Damietta was vacated of troops. The vessels of the Byzantines arrived from the direction of Shaṭa, where shaṭawī cloth is manufactured. One hundred shalrandiyah vessels besieged Damietta, each carrying between fifty and one hundred men. The Byzantines attacked Damietta and burned whatever of its houses and reed huts they reached. They carted away the weapons there that the [Muslims] intended to ship to Abū Ḥafs, ruler of Crete—about 1,000 lances along with their equip-

415. Between Damietta and the coast is the northern part of Lake Manzala, where there are sandbars, inlets, and shallow water.

416. ‘Anbasah b. Ishāq al-Dabbiti was governor of Egypt as of 237 (851-52) or 238 (852-53); Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 596-237; Kindi, Wulāh, 200-02; Abu al-Mahāsīn, Nujum, II, 293 [sub anno 238]; Maqrizi, Mawā‘iz, IV, 139; Zambaur, Manuel, 27. According to some of these sources he was accused of tyranny and ineffective strategy against the enemies of the Muslims. ‘Anbasah had also been sent to govern Sind by Itākh, according to Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 585. It is possible that the Byzantine attack was timed with ‘Anbasah’s absence from Damietta, as he and his garrison had left for Cairo, see Christides. Conquest, 164 (citing J. Shayyal, al-Mujmal fi ta’rikh Dimyāt [Alexandria, 1949], 10).

417. Shaṭa, a few miles from Damietta on the western side of Lake Manzala, was famous for its fine shaṭawī cloth, mentioned here.

418. Shalrandiyah vessels, also called Sharandī and Shalanandiyah (<Greek chelandon) were often used by the Byzantines as warships, particularly troop carriers, as in our text; see Kindermann, “Schiff.” 51 (where our text is cited).
They killed whomever of the men they could, and took furnishings, candy [\textit{qand}] and flax, which had been loaded for transport to Iraq. They took captive about 600 Muslim and Copt women. There were said to be 125 Muslim women, the rest being Copts.

There were reportedly about 5,000 Byzantines in the \textit{shalan-diyah} vessels that besieged Damietta. They loaded their ships with furniture, property, and women, and burned the storehouse containing the sails—they are ship sails—and set fire to the Friday Mosque and churches in Damietta. The women and children, who tried to escape and were thought to have drowned in the lake of Damietta, outnumbered those whom the Byzantines took captive. The Byzantines thereafter withdrew from Damietta.

It is reported that Ibn al-Akshaf, who had been incarcerated in the prison of Damietta by 'Anbasah, broke his bonds and went out to fight the Byzantines. A group of men helped him, and he killed a number of the Byzantines.

Following that, the Byzantines proceeded to Ushtûm [near] Tinnis. As they could not manage to sail [as far as Tinnis], and were afraid of running aground, they proceeded to Ushtûm. It is an anchorage four \textit{farsakhs} (twenty-four km.), or somewhat less, from Tinnis. Ushtûm has a wall with two iron gates, which
Muʿtaṣim had ordered to have built. The Byzantines destroyed all of it, burned the town with mangonels and ballistas, and carted away its two iron gates. They then headed for their own territory without any interference.

In this year, on Monday, 5 Jumādā ʿA (November 22, 852), al-Mutawakkil left Sāmarrā, heading for al-Madāʾin. He arrived at al-Shammāsiyyah on Tuesday, 13 Jumādā ʿA (November 30, 852), and stayed there until Saturday. He crossed in the evening to Qutrabbul and then returned, entering Baghdad on Monday, 18 Jumādā ʿA (December 5, 852). He went through its bazaars and thoroughfares and then halted at al-Zaʿfarāniyyah, thereafter going on to al-Madāʾin.  

ʿAli b. Yaḥyā al-Armani led the summer expedition.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ʿAli b. ʿĪsā b. Jaʿfar b. Abī Jaʿfar.

425. Al-Shammāsiyyah is the well-known quarter in northeast Baghdad; Le Strange, Baghdad, 169–76, 199–216.
426. Qutrabbul is a district northwest of the Round City, opposite the Shammāsiyyah Quarter. Al-Mutawakkil thus crossed the Tigris from east to west.
427. Al-Zaʿfarāniyyah is a village near Baghdad below Kalwādhā; Yāqūt, Muʿjam, II, 931. Herzfeld, Samarra, 201, identifies it with modern Zimberāniyyah. Al-Madāʾin was the famous city, founded on the site of Ctesiphon and adjacent towns, along the Tigris, about 32 km to the southeast of Baghdad; EI², s.v.
In al-Muharram (June 12–July 11, 853) this year al-Mutawakkil ordered that the Dhimmis affix two yellow sleeves to their outer cloaks.\footnote{This regulation follows the above edicts (p. 1389, p. 89) by about four years. The word *dhīrāʾ*āyn, sg. *dhīrāʾ*, means “forearm,” “cubit,” but also “sleeve,” as is appropriate here; Lane, *Lexicon*, 962; Ahsan, *Social Life*, 62.} Then in Safar (July 12–August 9) he ordered that they restrict their mounts to mules and donkeys and avoid riding and pack horses.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil banished ‘Ali b. al-Jahm b. Badr to Khurasan.\footnote{Tabari typically does not give a reason for the dismissal. ‘Ali b. al-Jahm was a strong supporter of Ahmad b. Hanbal and the Sunnite camp, and thus, he was welcomed as a court companion of al-Mutawakkil, but his loose tongue and the envy of rivals landed him in trouble and led to his imprisonment and banishment; *EI*², s.v.}

In this year, the ruler of the Şanarıyyah was killed at the Public Gate in Jumādā II (November 7–December 6).\footnote{The Şanarıyyah [Armenian ‘Tsăr-n-k’; Georgian Ts’anar] were Christians of a martial bent who lived in the central Caucasus area north of Georgia; Minorsky, *Hudūd*, 400–02; *idem*, *History*, 162, and Index, 183; “Caucasia IV,”, 506. According to Ya’qūbī, *Ta’rikh*. II, 598, Bughā was defeated by them.}
In this year, al-Mutawakkil ordered that churches and synagogues newly built under Islam be destroyed. In Dhū al-Ḥijjah (May 3–June 1, 854) this year Abū al-Walīd Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Duʿād died in Baghdad.

In this year, ʿAlī b. Yaḥyā al-Armani led the summer expedition.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ʿAbdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Dāwūd b. ʿĪsā b. Mūsā b. Muḥammad b. ʿĀli. He was the governor of Mecca.

In this year, Jaʿfar b. Dīnār went on the pilgrimage. He was the supervisor of the Mecca Road near al-Kūfah, and he was appointed over the events of the festive season.

In this year, Palm Sunday of the Christians and the Day of Nayrūz coincided on Sunday, 20 Dhū al-Qaʿdah (April 22, 854). The Christians reportedly claimed that these two days would never coincide under Islam.431

431. See below, n. 508.
One of the events was the revolt of the inhabitants of Ḥims against their chief of security police (maʿūnah).

The Cause for the Revolt of the Inhabitants of Ḥims and Its Result

It is reported that their chief of security police (maʿūnah) killed a man who was one of their leaders. The chief at the time was Abū al-Mughith al-Rāfīʿī Mūsā b. Ibrāhīm. As a result, the inhabitants of Ḥims revolted in Jumāda II (October 28–November 25) of this year and killed a number of his men. They then expelled him along with the tax supervisor from their town. When al-Mutawakkil heard this, he sent against them ʿAttāb b. ʿAttāb, dispatching with him Muḥammad b. ʿAbdawayh Kirdās al-Anbārī. The

432. Yaʿqūbī, Taʾrīkh, II, 599, describing this revolt, calls Abū al-Mughith the ʿāmil (governor or fiscal administrator) of Ḥims. See also Abū al-Mahāsin, Nujūm, II, 249ff., 301.

433. ʿAttāb b. ʿAttāb al-Qāʾid appears in Ṭabarī, III, 1822, as a commander of the Shākiriyyah and is identified (p. 1826) as a Khurāsānian commander. See also
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The caliph ordered 'Attāb to tell them that the Commander of the Faithful had replaced al-Mughith by Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh and that, if they obeyed and acquiesced, then the latter would be appointed over them. But if they refused and persisted in opposition, then [al-Mutawakkil ordered 'Attāb], "Stay put, and write to the Commander of the Faithful to send you Raja' or Muḥammad b. Rajā' al-Ḥiḍārī or some other cavalryman to wage war against them."  

'Attāb b. 'Attāb left Sāmarrā on Monday, 24 Jumādā II (November 20, 854). They acquiesced to Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh, whereupon al-Mutawakkil appointed him over them, and he accomplished wonders with them.

In al-Muḥarram (June 2–July 1) of this year, Ahmad b. Abī Du‘ād died in Baghdad after his son Abū al-Walīd Muḥammad, his son having died there twenty days before him, in Dhū al-Ḥiǧjah (May 3–June 1, 854).

In this year al-Mutawakkil removed Yaḥyā b. Aktham from the office of judge in Sa‘far (July 2–30, 854). He confiscated from him what he had in Baghdad, in the amount of 75,000 dinārs. He took 1,000 dinārs from Yaḥyā’s columned arcade in his palace, and he also seized 4,000 jarībs of land in al-BAṣrāh.

---

Ya’qūbi, Ta’rikh, II, 594 [where he appears as one of the commanders sent against Muḥammad b. al-Ba‘ith], Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 291 [in charge of the diwān al-šaykh al-shākirīyah]; and Tanūkhī, Faraj, 89–90. As for Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh (b. Jabalah), see Ya’qūbi, Ta’rikh, II, 599. Ya’qūbi mentions him earlier (p. 586) in connection with revolts in Damascus and Palestine.

434. Rajā’ b. Ayyūb al-Ḥiḍārī, father of Muḥammad b. Rajā’ al-Ḥiḍārī, had been an army commander at the time of al-Mu’tasim; Tabārī, III, 1194 [Marin, Reign, 27], and III, 1320 [Marin, 125]. He was governor of Damascus in 226 [840–41]; Zambaur, Manuel, 28. His son, Muḥammad b. Rajā’ al-Ḥiḍārī [Leiden edition, Ms. O: al-Ḥiṣārī], was also an army commander. He led the rear guard of Waṣīf against the Byzantines in 248 [862–63]. In 252 [866–67] he appears along with "Baghdadian commanders," including 'Attāb b. 'Attāb. In 254 [868] he was governor of al-BAṣrāh (Tabārī, III, 1745); Zambaur, Manuel, 41, gives 255 [868–69].

435. Ms. C: Rabi’.

436. As usual, Tabārī does not give a reason for the dismissal. See Tabārī, III, 1139 [Bosworth, Reunification, 230], on the "infamous conduct" of Ibn Aktham; and Marzubānī, Mu‘jam, 431, for a poem on the same. He was renowned for his licentious behavior and pederasty.


438. A jarīb = 1592 sq.m., according to Hinz, Masse und Gewichte, 65.
In Safar (July 2–30, 854) of this year, al-Mutawakkil appointed Ja'far b. 'Abd al-Wahid b. Ja'far b. Sulayman b. 'Ali as chief of the judiciary.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was 'Abdallah b. Muhammad b. Dawud. Ja'far b. Dinār went on the pilgrimage and was in charge of the events of the festive season.
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(May 22, 855–May 9, 856)

Among them was the revolt of the inhabitants of Ḥims against their chief of security police, Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh.439

The Role of the Inhabitants of Ḥims in the Revolt against Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh and Its Consequence for Them

It is reported that the inhabitants of Ḥims revolted in Jumādā 2 (October 17–November 14, 855) of this year against Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh, their chief of security police, with some of the Christians of Ḥims supporting their revolt. Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh sent a dispatch about this to al-Mutawakkil.

Al-Mutawakkil then wrote ordering Muḥammad to resist them, and reinforced him with troops from the garrison440 of

439. The population of Ḥims was frequently restive from the time of Ḥārūn al-Rashīd. This was the second year in succession that they rebelled. The uprising this time included elements of the Christian population. The inhabitants of Ḥims repeated in 250 (864–65); Ṭabarī, III, 1533 (Saliba, 27).

440. For rāṭibah, see Glossarium, CCLVIII.
Damascus, along with Šāliḥ al-‘Abbāsi the Turk, who was governor there, and troops from al-Ramlah. Al-Mutawakkil ordered Mūḥammad b. ʿAbdawayh to seize three of their chiefs and flog them to death. And when they died, he was to suspend them on crosses at the doors [of their residences] and thereafter to take twenty of their notables and flog each of them thirty times and bring them in iron fetters to the gate of the Commander of the Faithful.

[The caliph also ordered Mūḥammad to] destroy the churches and places of worship in Himṣ, to join the house of worship⁴⁴¹ that was adjoined to the mosque of Himṣ to it, to expel every single Christian from the city, and to make a prior announcement among them to the effect that whoever he found there after three days would be severely chastised.⁴⁴²

Al-Mutawakkil ordered that Mūḥammad b. ʿAbdawayh be given 50,000 dirhams, and that his officers and eminent companions be given gifts. And he ordered that his deputy, ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn, be given 15,000 dirhams and his officers 5,000 dirhams each. The caliph also ordered that robes of honor be presented [to them].

Mūḥammad b. ʿAbdawayh seized ten people from Himṣ, and wrote that he had seized them, and that he had deported them to the palace of the Commander of the Faithful, but he did not flog them.⁴⁴³ Al-Mutawakkil sent one of the associates of al-Fath b. Khāqān by the name of Mūḥammad b. Rizqallāh to restore to Himṣ those whom Ibn ʿAbdawayh had deported—Mūḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Ḥamid al-Ḥaydī and al-Qāsim b. Mūsā b. Fūʿūs⁴⁴⁴—and to flog them to death and suspend them on crosses over the gates of the city. Mūḥammad b. ʿAbdawayh returned them, flogged them to death, and then suspended them over the Himṣ Gate. He had the rest brought to Sāmarrā. There were eight of them. When they

---

⁴⁴¹. The term biʿah may refer to a synagogue, but here a Christian place of worship is intended.
⁴⁴². Ms. 0: b-l-d-y-h; superscript: adabahu; read: taʿ dibahu (note ad loc.).
⁴⁴³. Text: wa-lam yadribhum. Ms. 0: wa-amara bi-darbihim, “and ordered that they be flogged.”
⁴⁴⁴. The reading Fūʿūs follows Ms. C. Ms. O and ʿAyni: Farʿūsh. Read perhaps Qarṣūsh, or Turkish Qarghūsh [for Qarāqūsh; note ad loc.].
⁴⁴⁵. Cairo text adds bi-Naṣibin.
arrived in Naṣībin, one of them died,445 whereupon al-Mutawakkil had them take his head, and had the seven others brought to Sāmarrā along with the head of the deceased. Muhammad b. 'Abdawayh thereafter wrote that he seized ten of the inhabitants of Ḥims after this and flogged five to death. He then flogged five more, but they did not die.

Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh wrote afterward that he defeated one of their rebels by the name of 'Abd al-Malik b. Ishaq b. 'Imarah. He was reportedly one of the leaders of the rebellion (fitnah). He flogged him at the Ḥims Gate until he died, and suspended him on a cross above a fortress called Tall al-'Abbās.

In this year, people in Sāmarrā reportedly had abundant rain in Āb (August, 855).

In this year, in Muḥarram (May 22–June 21, 855), al-Mutawakkil appointed Abū Ḥassān al-Ziyādī as judge of the Sharqiyyah [Quarter].

In this year, al-Mutawakkil flogged Īsā b. Ja’far b. Muḥammad b. ‘Āṣim, the proprietor of Khān ‘Āṣim in Baghdad.447 He was flogged, it is said, 1,000 stripes.

The Reason for Flogging Īsā b. Ja’far b. ‘Āṣim and the Caliph’s Role in This

The reason for this was that seventeen men testified before Abū Ḥassān al-Ziyādī, judge of the Sharqiyyah [Quarter], against Īsā b. Ja’far to the effect that the latter had defamed Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, ‘A’ishah, and Ḥafṣah. Their testimonies reportedly differed in this regard.448

446. Abū Ḥassān al-Ziyādī, al-Ḥasan b. ‘Uthmān, was a traditionist, historian, and judge under al-Mutawakkil. He died, according to Sezgin, GAS, I, 316, in 243 (857–868). But Ṭabarī, III, 1434, says that he died in Rajab, 242 [November 3–December 2, 856; see below, p. 147]; see on him, Tanūkhī, Faraj, 159–63; Nishārār, II, 234; VI, 64; Ibn Kathīr, Biddāh, X, 344; Massignon, “Cadis et naqibs,” 108. For location of the Sharqiyyah suburb east of the Bāṣrah Gate, see Lassner, Topography, 248, 275.

447. An alternative name given below, 1426 [p. 137], is Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. ‘Āṣim. The Khān ‘Āṣim was a caravanserai in the Market of the Perfumers (Ṣūq al-Rayhānīyyīn); Le Strange, Baghdad, 271. See also Abū al-Maḥāsin, Nujūm, I, 304.

448. The cursing of Abū Bakr, etc., indicates that Īsā b. Ja’far was a Shi‘ite.
The postmaster of Baghdad wrote about this to 'Ubaydallâh b. Yahyâ b. Khâqân, and he transmitted it to al-Mutawakkil. Al-Mutawakkil then gave orders for 'Ubaydallâh to write to Muham-mad b. 'Abdallâh b. Tâhir, instructing him to flog this 'Isâ, and [to see to it that] when 'Isâ died he should be tossed into the Tigris, and that his body not be handed over to his folk. 'Ubaydallâh replied to al-Hasan b. 'Uthmân's letter to him concerning 'Isâ:

In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate.
May God give you long life, protect you and extend His beneficence to you. Your letter has arrived concerning the man named 'Isâ b. Ja'far b. Muham-mad b. 'Aşim, the proprietor of the caravanserais, and the witnesses' testimony as to his defaming the companions of the Messenger of God, cursing them, declaring them infidels, accusing them of grave sins and ascribing hypocrisy to them, and so on, by which he came out in opposition against God and His Messenger. [And the letter mentioned] your verification of these witnesses and their testimony, your validation of the probity of those who were qualified witnesses and their testimony that was evident to you, and your exposition of this in a note that went along with your letter.

You presented this to the Commander of the Faithful, may God give him power, whereupon he ordered that a letter be sent to Abû al-'Abbâs Muham-mad b. 'Abdallâh b. Tâhir, mawlâ of the Commander of the Faithful, may God give him long life, concerning the ideas of the caliph, may God give him long life, about protecting the religion of God, reviving its tradition, and taking revenge upon those who deviate from it. And he ordered that the man ['Isâ b. Ja'far] be flogged as a fixed punishment \(\text{hadd}\)\(^{450}\) for public defamation and be given 500 stripes beyond the

\(^{449}\) Al-Hasan b. 'Uthmân = Abû Hassân al-Ziyâdî. He had apparently written a letter that was forwarded by the postmaster, who as chief of Intelligence included an account of his own.

\(^{450}\) The \text{hadd} punishments are those that are sanctioned in the Qur'an for crimes committed against God \(\text{EI}^3\), s.v. \text{Hadd}. Defamation \(\text{shatm}\) is occasionally considered one of them.
fixed punishment for the grave things he dared to undertake. And, if he dies, he should be thrown into the river without a funeral prayer, thus deterring every deviant in religion who abandons the community of Muslims. I have informed you of this so that you shall know it, God—the exalted—willing. Peace upon you and the mercy and blessings of God.

It is reported that after this ʿĪsā b. Jaʿfar b. Muḥammad b. ʿĀšim—one informant said that his name was Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. ʿĀšim—was flogged; he was left in the sun until he died and was then thrown into the Tigris.

In this year, there was a meteor storm visible in Baghdad. This was on Thursday night, 1 Jumādā II (October 117, 855).452

In this year, an epidemic took place, so that horses and cattle perished.

In this year, the Byzantines raided ʿAyn Zarbah and took captive the Zuṭṭ who were there along with their wives, children, buffaloes, and cattle.454

In this year, there was a prisoner exchange between the Muslims and Byzantines.455

451. Ms. O omits this comment, which may, in fact, be an intrusive gloss or a supplementary parenthetical remark. The confusion in Ms. C points in this direction.

452. Masʿūdi, Muruj, VII, 230, mentions the meteor storm immediately after noting the popular belief that after Ibn Ḥanbal's death the world darkened. See also Yaʾqubi, Tarikh, II, 600. Tabari does not mention Ibn Ḥanbal's death. In fact, he generally overlooks Ibn Ḥanbal (see Translator's Foreword). Sensitive to the silence, Ibn Kathir, Bidayah, X, 325, observes that Tabari did not mention the death of any Ḥadith scholars this year (so as to avoid mentioning the death of Ibn Ḥanbal). Ibn Kathir then gives a long eulogy of Ibn Ḥanbal.

453. See Glossarium, CCCCXXIII; Lane, s.v. sidām.

454. The Zuṭṭ (Zott), Arabicized form of Jāṭ (see EI², s.v. Djāṭ), were an Indian people who raised buffaloes. They were brought by Muʿāwiyah or al-Walid to Antioch and the area north of the city, see Baladhuri, Futūḥ, 192. Many were settled by al-Ḥallāj in the marshes (al-Baṣṭīhah) in southern `Irāq, where they indulged in robbery and plunder, and eventually revolted in the area of al-Baṣrah from 205–20 [820–35]. The caliph al-Muʿtaṣim defeated them and transplanted them [220/835] to ʿAyn Zarbah on the frontier, where they were occasionally raided by the Byzantines; see Tabari, III, 1167–70 [Marin, Reign, 4–8]. See also Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 223.

455. On the prisoner exchange of 241 [855–56], see Vasiliev, 222ff.; 317ff.
The Reason for the Prisoner Exchange

It is reported that Theodora, empress of Byzantium, mother of Michael, sent a man named George, son of Cyriac, to request an exchange of Muslims who were in the hands of the Byzantines. The number of Muslims approached 20,000. Al-Mutawakkil sent a Shi‘ite by the name of Naṣr b. al-Azhar b. Faraj to determine the exact number of Muslim captives in the hands of the Byzantines, so as to order their exchange. This was in Sha‘ban (December 15, 855–January 12, 856) of this year. [Naṣr returned], having remained with the Byzantines for some time.

It is reported that after Naṣr’s departure, Theodora ordered that her prisoners be passed in review, and that conversion to Christianity be proposed to them. Those who converted were to be on an equal footing with those who had done so previously, but those who refused [to convert] were to be killed. She reportedly had 12,000 prisoners killed. Another view is that it was Theoktistos the Eunuch who had them killed, without her having ordered this.

The governors of the frontier towns of Syria and the Jazirah...
received a letter from al-Mutawakkil stating that negotiations had taken place between Shunayf al-Khādir and George, emissary of the Byzantine emperor, concerning the prisoner exchange, and that an agreement had been reached between them. This George had requested an armistice from 5 Rajab, 241 [November 19, 855], until 22 Shawwal of this year [March 5, 856], in order to assemble the prisoners and give the Byzantines sufficient time to get to their place of sanctuary. The letter concerning this arrived on Wednesday, 5 Rajab [November 19], and the prisoner exchange took place on the Day of Breaking the Fast this year [1 Shawwāl [February 12, 856]].

George, the emissary of the Byzantine empress, departed for the region of the frontier towns on Saturday, 22 Rajab [December 6, 855] with seventy mules that were leased to him. Abū Qaḥtabah al-Maghribī al-Ṭurtūşı went along with him, so that they might observe the time of the Breaking of the Fast. A number of Patrikioi and pages belonging to George came with him, about fifty men.

Shunayf al-Khādir departed for the prisoner exchange in the middle of Sha‘bān [ca. 30 December, 855], along with one hundred horsemen, thirty Turks, thirty Maghārib, and forty Shakiriyah horsemen. Ja‘far b. ‘Abd al-Wāhid, who was chief judge, requested that he be permitted to attend the prisoner exchange, and that someone be deputized to take his place. He was given permission, and 150,000 dirhams were allocated for him as a subsidy and 60,000 for service allotments. He deputized Ibn Abī al-

---

461. The ‘Īd al-Fīṭr is celebrated at the conclusion of Ramadān.

462. An Abū Qaḥtabah is said to be the emissary of Khāqān al-Khādir in the prisoner exchange of 231 [845-46], see above, 1352 [p. 38] and n. 135. The toponymic nisbah al-Turtūsī indicates a provenance from Tortosa, Spain. [Consider also the nisbah al-Maghribī.] But the reading “al-Tarsūsī” may also be considered.


Shawarib, who was then a young man. Ja'far departed and met Shunayf. And a group of leading Baghdadians departed. The exchange of prisoners reportedly took place in Byzantine territory, on the Lamos River, on Sunday, 12 Shawwal, 241 (February 23, 856). The number of Muslim prisoners was 785 men and 125 women.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil made the subdistrict of Shimshāt tithe ('ushr) land, transferring its inhabitants from land tax (khardj) to a tithe, and he sent them a letter to this effect.

In this year, the Bujah attacked a military guard (haras)

---


466. In today’s Arabic awsal al-nās means “the middle class.” Here it means “important people”; see Glossarium, DLVI (optimi); and Dozy, Supplément, II, 801; “les grands.”

467. Mas’ūdī, Tanbih, 191, says that there were 2,200 men and 100 women.

468. Shimshāt is ancient Greek Arsamosata, north of Āmid, on the Arsanas River. Yāqūt, III, Mu’jam, 319, warns the reader to distinguish it from Sumaysāt (Samosata). These two toponyms were indeed occasionally confused by scribes. See also Le Strange, Lands, 116–17; and see Minorsky, Hudūd, 393, on the confusion between Shimshāt and Sumaysāt, which is often spelled Shumaysāt. Bālādūrī, Futūḥ, 219, says that al-Mutawakkil transformed the territory of Shimshāt from kharāj to tithe land so that the area would be on the same footing as other thughūr. See also Bālādūrī, 203, on these thughūr. The ‘ushr rate amounted to 1/10 of the produce; the kharāj from 1/5 to 2/3, most often 1/2; see Løkkegaard, Islamic Taxation, 72ff.

469. The Bujah, a people of Hamitic origin, were nomadic tribes that lived between the Nile and the Red Sea. They had submitted to Muslim control by treaty, but periodically withheld the annual tribute and mounted raids. See especially Mas’ūdī, Murūj, III, 32–34; Maqrizi, Mawā’iz, II, 267–80; Abū al-Maḥāsīn, Nujūm, I, 295ff. Ibn Ḥawqal, Masālik, 53, gives an account of the episode described in our text. See Levtzion and Hopkins, Corpus, 21, 31, 40–41, 44, 184, 199, where classical sources concerning the Bujah and related groups are cited. See also EI2, s.v. Beḍa; Hasan, The Arabs and the Sudan, Index, 281. Abū al-Maḥāsīn places the blame for the Bujah’s effrontery at this time upon the weak governorship of ‘Anbasah b. Ishaq al-Dabbi.

470. For haras, see Glossarium, CLXXXIX.
from Egypt, and al-Mutawakkil dispatched Muḥammad b. 'Abdal-lāh al-Qummi to wage war against them.

The Affair of the Bujah and Its Consequences

It is reported that the Bujah did not raid the Muslims, nor did the Muslims raid them because of the long-standing armistice between them, which we have mentioned previously in our book. They are of the stock of the Ḥabash (Abyssinians), in the West. Among the black peoples (ṣūdān) in the West are the Bujah, the Nūbah, and the people of Ghānah, al-Ghaf-r, ṭ-y-n-w-r, ṭ-r-wīn, F-r-ww-iyah, B-k-sūm, M-kār-h, ṭ-k-r-m and al-Khams. There are gold mines in the territory of the Bujah. They bind by oath those who work in them. The Bujah deliver annually from their mines to the agents of the Egyptian government 400 mithqāls of gold ore, prior to smelting and refining. From the time of al-Mutawakkil’s reign the Bujah refrained from delivering this tax for several consecutive years. Al-Mutawakkil reportedly appointed over the Egyptian Postal and Intelligence Service one of his servants (khadam) named Ya’qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Bādhghīsī, mawlā of al-Hādi. He was known as Qawwāh. Al-Mutawakkil assigned to him the Intelligence Service of Cairo, Alexandria, Barqah, and the provinces of the Maghrib. Ya’qūb b. Ibrāhīm wrote to al-Mutawakkil that the Bujah had broken the treaty between them and the Muslims. They advanced

---

471. Ms. O has ‘Isā with ‘Ubaydallāh as a superscript. He is mentioned, for instance, by Baladhuri, Futūḥ, 282; Kindi, Wulāh, 200; Maqrizi, Mawā’iz, III, 275; Abu al-Maḥāsin, Nujūm, II, 297.

472. See Tabari, I, 2593. For the treaty, see also Maqrizi, Mawā’iz, III, 273–75; and see Hasan, The Arabs and the Sudan, 39–40.

473. The names in the text are uncertain. For B-k-sūm, O reads Y-kshūm, which the note says may be identified with Axum. [But cf. Minorsky, Hudūd, 474.] And the note compares F-r-ww-iyah with bilād al-Faruwiyīn (citing Bakri, Mu’jam, ed. De Slane, 174).

474. A mithqāl is a dinār of full weight.

475. The cognomen Qawsarah means “date basket.” Tabari, III, 1296 [Marin, Reign, 105], mentions him as chief of Intelligence. He is called there Ya’qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Būshanji, mawlā of al-Hādi, known as Qawsarah. Būshanji is a town, and Badghīs (or: Badghīs) is a district, in Khurāsān. Fragmenta, 514, reads: Muṣ-‘ab b. Ibrāhīm.
from their territory to the mines of gold and precious stones that were on the border between Egypt and the territory of the Bujah. The Bujah killed a number of Muslims employed in the mines for mining the gold and precious stones, and took captive a number of the Muslim children and women. The Bujah claimed that the mines belonged to them and were in their territory, and that they would not permit the Muslims to enter them. This alarmed all the Muslims employed in the mines, so that they abandoned them in fear for their lives and for their children. The assessment of a fifth of the gold, silver, and precious stones that was excavated from the mines and levied for the central government thereby ceased.

Al-Mutawakkil was dead set against this and greatly annoyed. He therefore sought advice concerning the circumstances of the Bujah. He was informed: They were a nomadic people, tenders of camel and livestock. Getting to their territory was difficult, and it was inaccessible to troops, for it consisted of desert and steppe. It was a month's journey from the land of Islam to the territory of the Bujah, through wasteland, mountains, and barren country, lacking water, vegetation, refuge, or a fortified position. Any government representative who entered Bujah territory would have to be supplied with provisions for his entire intended stay until he returned to the land of Islam. If the extent of his stay was greater than estimated, he and all his comrades would perish. And the Bujah would simply overcome them without hostilities. Their land did not remit to the central government land tax or any other tax.

Al-Mutawakkil therefore refrained from dispatching anyone against them. But the situation only got worse, and the boldness of the Bujah against the Muslims intensified to the point that the inhabitants of Upper Egypt feared for their lives and for their children. As a result, al-Mutawakkil appointed Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh, known as al-Qummi, to wage war against them, and

476. The area was famous for its emeralds and other precious stones, mentioned by Mas'ūdī and Maqrīzī, among others. The gold and emerald mines were between Qūṣ, Wādī al-'Allāqī, and the Red Sea.
appointed him chief of security police⁴⁷⁷ for these subdistricts, namely, Qifṭ, Aqṣur, Isnā, Armant, and Uswnā (Aswān).⁴⁷⁸

Al-Mutawakkil commissioned Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh to wage war against the Bujah and to correspond with Anbasah b. Ḳisāq al-Ḍabbī, the officer in charge of the Egyptian security forces. Al-Mutawakkil also wrote to Anbasah, instructing him to supply Muḥammad with all the requisite regular troops and Shākiriyyah stationed in Egypt. Thus Anbasah removed Muḥammad’s pretext [that he lacked forces].

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh departed for the land of the Bujah, and all those who had been employed in the mines and a large number of volunteers joined him. About 20,000 men⁴⁷⁹ accompanied him, including horsemen and infantry. He sent to al-Qulzum⁴⁸⁰ by sea seven vessels loaded with flour, olive oil, dates, sawiq,⁴⁸¹ and barley, ordering a contingent of his men to maneuver the vessels by sea so as to land at the coast of Bujah territory.

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Qummi forged on in Bujah territory until he traversed the mines, where gold was mined, and came to their fortresses and citadels. Their king encountered Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Qummi—the king’s name was ‘Ali Bābā, and the name of his son was La’īs⁴⁸²—with a great army, far outnumbering the men who were with al-Qummi. The Bujah were mounted on their camels, carrying their lances with them. Their camels were choice, of noble pedigree, like the camels of Mahrah.⁴⁸³ The

---

⁴⁷⁷. The term muʿāwin is understood here as equivalent to sāhib al-maʿūnah, which is similar to sāhib al-shurlah and sāhib al-harb; see Glossarium, CCCLXXXIII.

⁴⁷⁸. For these places in Upper Egypt, see Yaʾqubi, Buldān, 333 = Wiet, Les pays, 188–89 (Qifṭ, Luqṣūr, i.e., Luxor, Isnā and Aswān). See also Maspéro-Wiet, Matériaux, 185, for Qifṭ, Aqṣur, Isnā, Armant, and Aswān; and for Qifṭ, ancient Cop-tos, sec 148–49; Luxor, 23, Armant, 7, 191, Aswān, 127–29 et passim. And see Maqrizi, Mawāʾiẓ, III, 3, 5, 32, 194, 248, 249, 280, 295, 303, 304; and EI², s.v. Qifṭ.

⁴⁷⁹. In his account, Abū al-Maḥāsin gives 7,000 men.

⁴⁸⁰. See EI², V, 367, s.v. Kulzum (where the Buja rebellion is mentioned).

⁴⁸¹. For sawiq. see above, n. 75.

⁴⁸². For “his son” Ms C has rather “his father” (abihihi); the note suggests ibn akhihi, “his nephew.” For Laʾīs, the note refers to Fragmenta, 550, note c, and Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, 52, note 3.

⁴⁸³. Mahri (cf. French méhari) camels are speedy riding camels from the area of the Mahrah tribe in southeastern Arabia; see EI², s.v. Mahra. These camels were
two sides began to confront each other on successive days. But they would only skirmish and not actually engage in combat. The Bujah king set about harassing\textsuperscript{484} al-Qummi, so as to protract the time [of their stay] and exhaust the supplies and fodder that were with [al-Qummi and his men]. Thus they would lose strength and die from exhaustion, and the Bujah could then easily overcome them.

Just when the Bujah ruler figured that the supplies were exhausted, the seven vessels that al-Qummi had sent landed on the coast at a place called $anjah$.\textsuperscript{485} Al-Qummi dispatched there a group of his men to protect the vessels from the Bujah. Al-Qummi divided the ships' freight among his followers, so that they had adequate supplies of fodder.

Seeing this, 'Ali Baba, the Bujah chief, went on to do battle with the Muslims, rallying troops against them. The two sides clashed and fought violently. The camels upon which the Bujah fought were unseasoned\textsuperscript{486} and tended to be frightened and alarmed by everything. Noticing this, al-Qummi rounded up all the camel and horse bells in his camp. He then attacked the Bujah, stampeding their camels with the clanging of the bells. Their alarm was considerable. It drove them over mountains and valleys, totally splintering the Bujah forces. Al-Qummi and his men pursued and seized them, dead or alive, until night overtook him. This took place at the beginning of 241 (855–56). Al-Qummi then returned to his camp and could not count the dead they were so many.

When al-Qummi arose in the morning, he discovered that the Bujah had rallied a contingent of infantry and had proceeded to a place where they felt safe from al-Qummi's pursuit. But al-Qummi with his cavalry overtook them at night. Their king fled,

\textsuperscript{484} For \textit{tafarrada} in this sense, see Glossarium, CCCXXXVIII. And see also Maqrizi, \textit{Mawā'iz}, III, 268.

\textsuperscript{485} Baladhuri, \textit{Futūḥ}, 282, states that the place of landing was rather 'Aydhab. See also Abū al-Mahāsin, \textit{Nujūm}, I, 297.

taking his crown and furnishings. ‘Ali Bābā requested thereafter a guarantee of safe-conduct to return to his kingdom and territory. Al-Qummi granted him this, and ‘Ali Bābā delivered the taxes to him for the period of time for which he had withheld them, namely, four years, at 400 mithqāls per year.

‘Ali Bābā appointed his son La`is as deputy over his kingdom. Al-Qummi departed with ‘Ali Bābā for the gate of al-Mutawakkil, and arrived there at the end of 241 (855–56). He attired this ‘Ali Bābā with a silk brocade-lined robe and a black turban and covered his camel with a brocaded saddle and brocade horse cloths. At the Public Gate, along with a group of the Bujah, were stationed about seventy pages, upon saddled camels, carrying their lances, on whose tips were the heads of their warriors who had been killed by al-Qummi. [1433]

Al-Mutawakkil discharged al-Qummi on the Day of Sacrifice, 241 (April 20, 856), and appointed Sa’d al-Khadim al-Itakhi over the Bujah and the road between Mecca and Egypt. And Sa’d appointed Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Qummi. Al-Qummi departed with ‘Ali Bābā, who stuck to his religion. Some(one) of [the informants] reported seeing ‘Ali Bābā with a stone idol in the shape of a young boy to which he prostrated himself.

In this year, Ya’qūb b. Ibrāhim, known as Qawsarah, died in Jumādā II (October–November 855).

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ‘Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Dāwūd.

Ja’far b. Dinār went on the pilgrimage this year, and he was the supervisor of the Mecca Road and the events of the festive season.

487. Ms. O omits qutilu min ‘askarihim.
488. Sa’d al-Khadim al-Itakhi appears below (1465, p. 185) as a courtier of al-Mutawakkil.
Among the events that happened this year were the enormous earthquakes that took place in Qūmis and its surrounding villages in Sha'ban (December 3–31, 856). Homes were destroyed, and many people died from collapsing walls and the like. Their number reportedly came to 45,096. The greatest effect of the earthquakes was in Damaghan. It is reported that there were earthquakes and shocking noises this year in Fārs, Khūrāsān, and Syria. The same thing took place in the Yemen along with a lunar eclipse.

In this year, the Byzantines advanced from the area of Samosata, following the summer expedition of ‘Ali b. Yahyā al-Armani, as far as Āmid. They then advanced from the frontier
towns of the Jazīrah and plundered a number of villages, taking captive about 10,000 men. They entered from the direction of Tephrikē,491 a village [in the control] of Karbeas. They then departed, returning to their territory. Karbeas, 'Umar b. 'Abdallāh al-Aqṭa', and a contingent of volunteers pursued them but did not catch one of them.492 'Umar wrote to 'Ali b. Yaḥyā to make a winter expedition into their territory.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil had ‘Uṭārid killed.493 He was a Christian, who had converted to Islam, and remained a Muslim for many years, and then he apostatized. He was asked to recant, but he refused to return to Islam. He was executed on 2 Shawwāl (February 1, 857), and was burned at the Public Gate.494

In this year, in Rajab [November 3–December 2, 856], Abū Ḥassān al-Ziyādī, judge of the East Side [of Baghdad], died.

In this year, al-Ḥasan b. ‘Ali b. al-Ja‘d, judge of Madinat al-Manṣūr, died.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ‘Abd al-Ṣamad b. Mūsā b.

Mahāsin, Nujūm, II, 307. It was Samosata that was, in fact, raided by the Byzantines during this campaign. For confusion in texts between Shimshāt and Sumaysāt, see above, n. 468. Āmid [or Amida], the most important city in Diyar Bakr, was located on the left bank of the Tigris; it was frequently attacked by the Byzantines in the tenth century. On this episode, see Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 233–34, 318–19.

491. Text: Abriq. The note in the Leiden edition identifies Abriq with Greek Tephrikē [citing Theophanes continuatus, p. 16]. The name, it is pointed out, is also written Tibrikē, Brikē, and Aphrikē. See also Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 233; Bury, History, 278. The Arabic name appears to be derived from Brikē. Karbeas [Qarbiyās] belonged to the Paulician iconoclasts. On their beliefs, see Runciman, Medieval Manichee, 26–62. Persecuted by Theophilus and Theodora, who regarded them as Manichaeans, they took refuge in Muslim territory. Karbeas sought shelter with the amir of Melitene (Malatyyah) and settled in the area of Tephrikē. Before the fall of Theodora, the Byzantines sent an army to raid Samosata and Āmid, and they thereafter attacked Tephrikē, the headquarters of Karbeas; Bury, ibid., 278f.

492. 'Umar b. 'Abdallāh al-Aqṭa' ("the One-Armed") was a military commander who fought on several occasions against the Byzantines. He led a summer raid in 246 [860–61; below, 1449, p. 167]. He was finally killed in a battle with the Byzantines in 249 [863–64]; Ṭabarī, III, 1509 [Saliba], 9, where he is called b. 'Ubaydallāh.

493. Ms. O and Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 53, have rajulan 'attāran, that is, a perfumer, pharmacist. 'Uṭārid is the planet Mercury.

494. Burning was occasionally used as a punishment for apostates (murtaddūn); see EI1, s.v.
Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-Īmām b. Muḥammad b. ʿAlī, who was governor of Mecca. Ḥāfiz ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz b. Dinar went on the pilgrimage this year. He was the supervisor of the Mecca Road and the events of the festival season.

495. An ʿAbbāsid, he was governor of Mecca beginning with this year [Zambaur, Manuel, 20] and led the pilgrimage in 243, 244, and 249 [below, 1436, 1437, 1515 [Saliba, 14]].
In this year, al-Mutawakkil went to Damascus on 20 Dhū al-Qa‘dah [March 8, 858]. He observed the Day of Sacrifice in Balad. Yazid b. Muhammad al-Muhallabi recited when he departed [from Baghdad]:

496. On Mutawakkil’s move to Damascus, see, e.g., Ya‘qubi, Ta’rikh, II, 600; Mas‘ūdi, Murūj, VII, 257; Abū al-Maḥāsin, Nujūm, II, 315. The reason for transferring the capital from Sāmarrā to Damascus was evidently the caliph’s desire to avoid the powerful influence of the Turks in Sāmarrā by moving to Arab Syria. Balad is a town in the Jazirah slightly northeast of Mosul; Le Strange, Lands, 99, 125. On the way to Damascus, al-Mutawakkil followed the route along the Tigris passing through Mosul. This was the postal road. See Le Strange, Lands, 84. On the way back, he took the route along the Euphrates to Anbār (below, 1436, p. 152).

497. Yazid b. Muhammad b. Yazid al-Muhallabi was a member of the famous Muhallabi family that supplied governors and wazirs in the ninth and tenth centuries; Zambaur, Manuel, 11. He was a poet, a boon companion of al-Mutawakkil, and panegyrist of al-Muntasir; see Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, VIII, 176–77, XI, 165, Sezgin, GAS, II, 606. He wrote a fine elegy on the death of al-Mutawakkil; Ibn ‘Abd Rabbih, ‘Iqd, II, 26; Mubarrad, Kāmil, III, 1358. According to Abū Bakr al-Ṣūli, Ibrahim al-Ṣūli recited the poem quoting al-Muntasir [note ad loc.]. Abū Bakr al-Ṣūli also preserves two additional lines of verse: Muhammad [al-Muntasir] says: “Let my soul be ransom for you, pity me and refrain from leaving. If you depart, abandoning me, I shall have no joy but meeting again.” Se also Mas‘ūdi, Murūj, VII, 257; Abū al-Maḥāsin, Nujūm, II, 315.
I think that Syria gloats at Iraq,
Now that the Imam has resolved to depart.

If you abandon Iraq and its people,
Consider that a beauty may fade if divorced.

In this year, Ibrahim b. al-'Abbas [al-Suli] died.
Al-Hasan b. Makhlad b. al-Jarrāh, deputy of Ibrahim, supervised
the Bureau of Estates in Sha'bān (November 23–December 21,
857).498

Hashim b. Bānijūr died in Dhū al-Qa'dah (February 19–March
20, 858).499

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ‘Abd al-Šamad b. Mūsā.
Ja'far b. Dinār went on the pilgrimage, and was supervisor of the
Mecca Road and the events of the festival season.

498. Al-Hasan b. Makhlad b. al-Jarrāh was a secretary of Christian background
who had recently converted to Islam. He later served al-Mutawakkil and became
wazīr under al-Mu'tamid, Sourdel, Vizirat, Index, 766; EI2, s.v. Ibn Makhlad.

499. Hashim b. Bānijūr belonged to the family of Bānijūr that ruled Balkh from
233 (847–48) until 337 (948–49); Zambaur, Manuel, 27, 41, 202 (genealogical
table), 204; EI2, Supplement, s.v. Banidjūrids.
Among these events was al-Mutawakkil's entrance into Damascus in Safar [May 19–June 16, 858]. From the time he left Samarra until he entered Damascus ninety-seven days elapsed or, it is said, seventy-seven days. He decided to take up residence there, transferred the royal bureaus, and gave orders for building in Damascus. The Turks were in a state of foment over [the withholding of] their service allotments and the allotments for their families, and so al-Mutawakkil gave orders to placate them.

Al-Mutawakkil thereafter found Balad unpleasant. This was because its air was cool and humid, the water unwholesome, the wind blowing from afternoon and continuing to intensify throughout the entire night. It had many fleas; prices were high; and snow interfered with supply of provisions.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil dispatched Bugha from Damascus to raid the Byzantines in Rabi' II [July 17–August 14, 858]. Bugha undertook the summer expedition and conquered Samaluh.500

500. Şamaluh is written here with final h; Yaqût, Mu'jam, III, 416, has final w. He places it at the Syrian frontier towns near Maşişah [Mopsuestia] and Tarsus. Şamaluh = Sēmalouos kastron, Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 234; Minorsky, Hudūd, 220.
Al-Mutawakkil resided in Damascus for two months and a number of days. He then returned to Sāmarrā, staying along the Euphrates, turning off for al-Anbār, and then from al-Anbār taking the Hurf Road to Sāmarrā. He entered Sāmarrā on Monday, 22 Jumādā I (October 5, 858).

In this year, some(someone) of the informants allege(s), al-Mutawakkil appointed Abū al-Sāī supervisor of the Mecca Road in place of Jaʿfar b. Dīnār. What is correct, in my view, is that he appointed him as supervisor of the Mecca Road in 242 (855–66).

In this year, a lance that had belonged to the Prophet, called al-ʿAnazah, was reportedly brought to al-Mutawakkil. It is said to have belonged to the Najāshī, king of Abyssinia, who gave it to al-Zubayr b. al-ʿAwwām. Al-Zubayr gave it to the Messenger of God, and it remained in the possession of the muezzins. Someone marched with it before the Messenger of God on the two festivals. It was implanted before him in the courtyard, and people prayed toward it. Al-Mutawakkil ordered that it be carried before him. The chief of the security police would bear it before the caliph, and the deputy chief of security police would carry his lance.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil became angry with Bukhtishūʿ, confiscated his property, and banished him to al-Baḥrayn.

501. Al-Mutawakkil stayed along the Euphrates road and headed southeast until Anbār instead of heading directly east from Ḥadīthah, which would have brought him straight to Sāmarrā. He then headed back north to Sāmarrā, thus doubling the distance he had to travel. Hurf is a village in the area of al-Anbār (Yaqūt, Muʿjam, II, 243) after which this route is presumably named.


503. Abū al-Sāī Diwdād b. Diwdast was an army commander who had supported Afshin in his campaign against Bābak (Ṭabarī, III, 1222, 1228, Marin, Reign, 46, 52). He was commander of the Mecca Road until the struggle between al-Mustaʿīn and al-Muʿtazz erupted in 251 (865–66). He then continued to engage actively in warfare and politics; d. 266 (879–80); Ibn al-Jawzi, Muntazam, V, 56; Zambaur, Manuel, 32 (governor of Aleppo in 254/868–69); 43 (governor of al-Kūfah in 252/866–67); 179 (genealogy of Sājītes in Adharbāyjān); EI2, Abūl-Sādī Diwdād.

504. On the famous lance called al-ʿAnazah, see EI2, s.v. It was an emblem of prophetic and caliphal authority.


506. Ms. O adds fi al-fatāḥ = "in the open space."

507. Bukhtishūʿ b. Jibril, of the famous family from Jundaysābūr, died in 256 (869–70); Sezgin, GAS, III, 243. Bukhtishūʿ was a court physician for al-Mutawakk-
An Arab tribesman recited:

O anger that came with fateful force,
When the lion sprang upon him with might and main.

Bukhtishū' was deluded
When he intrigued against the lords, the moons,

The amīrs, the pure leaders,
Heirs apparent of the chosen lord,

And against the mawlās and the free.
He cast him to an isolated wasteland,

The shore of al-Baḥrayn, for his baseness.

In this year, the Festival of Sacrifice of the Muslims, Palm Sunday of the Christians, and Passover of the Jews coincided.508

Leading the pilgrimage this year was 'Abd al-Ṣamad b. Mūsā.

---

508. Gil, Palestine, I, 385, n. 700, mentions that Muslim chroniclers [citing Ibn al-Athir, Sibṭ b. al-Jawzi, Abū al-Maḥāsin] recorded an unprecedented occurrence in the year 244, namely, that the Day of Sacrifice, the Jewish Passover, and the Christian Palm Sunday [al-Sha'ānīn < Heb. Hōsha'ānā] fell on the same day. The coincidence, as Gil shows, does not work out, as the Festival of Sacrifice was on March 19, 859, whereas Passover was on March 23.
In this year al-Mutawakkil gave orders to build al-Māhūzah, and he called it the Ja'fari. He granted there fiefs to his army commanders and companions. Al-Mutawakkil expended great effort in building it and withdrew to al-Muḥammadiyyah so that the construction of al-Māhūzah could be carried out. The caliph or—

509. The Ja'fari Palace complex, or al-Ja'fariyyah, was named after al-Mutawakkil whose name (ism) was Ja'far. It is not to be confused with the Ja'fari Palace in Baghdad, built by Ja'far al-Barmakī. Ya'qūbī (Bullet, 266–76 = Wiet, Les pays, 60–61) observes that al-Mutawakkil lived in the palaces of the Ja'fari for only nine months and three days before his assassination there. Al-Muntasīr then ordered that al-Māhūzah be abandoned and destroyed, and that the building material be brought back to Sāmarrā'. According to Ya'qūbī, Ta'rikh, II, 601, al-Māhūzah was 3 farsakh(s) (18 km.) from Sāmarrā'. Yāqūt, Mu'jam, III, 17, says that the renovation of the Ja'fari cost ten million dirhams. See also Mu'jam, III, 18 [poem of 'Ali b. al-Jahm]. The remains of the Ja'fariyyah complex occupy about 1½ sq. km. between the Nahr al-Raṣāṣ canal and the Tigris (Creswell, Early Muslim Architecture, II, 277, and Plate 70a). See Herzfeld, Samarra, 125; Creswell, II, 277f.; Sāmarrā', Ta'rikh, I, 95–96; Rogers, "Samarra," 130, 136, 148, 150; al-'Amid, Architecture, 202f.

510. Herzfeld, Samarra, 125, cites Ibn Serapion to the effect that the Muḥammadiyyah [named for Muḥammad al-Muntasīr] was in al-Qaṭūl al-Kisrawī. According to Ya'qūt, Mu'jam, IV, 430, it was formerly the monastery of Dayr Abū Ṣufrā, then the Itākhiyyah [after Itākh al-Turkī]. See also Herzfeld, 72.
ordered that the palaces of al-Mukhtar and al-Badi’ be demolished and had their teak wood transferred to the Ja’fari.\textsuperscript{511} It is said that he spent more than two million dinars on the Ja’fari.\textsuperscript{512} He assembled therein Qur’ān readers, who recited the Qur’ān; and entertainers, to whom he gave two million dirhams, also attended. Al-Mutawakkil and his followers called it al-Khāṣṣah al-Mutawakkiliyyah.\textsuperscript{513} And he constructed within it a palace which he named Lu’lu’ah. A taller structure had never been seen.\textsuperscript{514}

Al-Mutawakkil ordered that a canal (nahr) be dug, beginning at a place called Karmā, five farsakhs (thirty km.) above al-Māḥūzah.\textsuperscript{515} The canal would feed into the mouths\textsuperscript{516} of the canals around it, providing drinking water. And he ordered the expropriation of Jabilta, Upper and Lower al-Khāṣṣah,\textsuperscript{517} and Karmā.

\textsuperscript{511} Ya’qūbi, Ta’rikh, II, 600, mentions the Badi’; Yāqūt, Mu’jam, III, 17, mentions only Mukhtar (which he says costs five million dirhams to construct); see also Herzfeld, Samarra, 132–33; Šāmarrāʾī, Ta’rikh, I, 135–36.

\textsuperscript{512} If this is the renovation that Yāqūt had in mind, the sum was ten million dirhams; Mu’jam, III, 17 (above, n. 509).

\textsuperscript{513} The administrative center al-Mutawakkiliyyah thus equals al-Ja’fariyyah; see Herzfeld, Samarra, 124–25. Baladhuri, Futūḥ, 240, 364, states that al-Mutawakkil built a new administrative center (madinah), calling it al-Mutawakkiliyyah between al-Karkh Fayruz and al-Qtūl, in which al-Māḥūzah was included. He also takes note of the great mosque that he built there, which Tabārī does not mention in our text.

\textsuperscript{514} The Lu’lu’ah (Pearl) Palace, situated within the ja’fariyyah administrative center, according to Herzfeld, Samarra, 128, was either identical with the Ja’fari Palace or was its main building; see also 104, 132, 147. Rogers, “Samarra,” 148, suggests that the Lu’lu’ah was not a vast building but most probably a kiosk or courtyard. According to Yāqūt, Mu’jam, III, 17, five million dirhams were spent on the Lu’lu’ah; it was built by al-Mu’tasim for Afshin (Tabārī, III, 1307 and 1315; Maqrīzī, Reihn, 114, 120). Mas’ūdī, Murūj, VII, 365, calls it Lu’lu’at al-ja’fariyyah to distinguish it from Lu’lu’at al-Ja’fari. He notes that al-Mu’tazz and al-Mu’ayyad were imprisoned there (see below, pp. 210ff.). Both these Lu’lu’ahs are to be distinguished, of course, from the town Lulon in Asia Minor that the Arabs called Lu’lu’ah (below, n. 551).

\textsuperscript{515} On al-Mutawakkil’s irrigation project, see Ya’qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 601; BULDĀN, 267 = Wiet, Les pays, 67. Herzfeld, Samarra, 125, and n. 2, says that Karmā [Syriac Karmē] had been a Nestorian bishopric from A.D. 486 to 554. See also Rogers, “Samarra,” 145.

\textsuperscript{516} For the expression fūḥ al-nahr in the sense of “mouth of the canal,” see Glossarium, CDVIII; Lane, Lexicon, 2465.

\textsuperscript{517} Jabīltā or Jabulītā is on the east bank of the Tigris slightly northeast of Takrit and opposite it on the post road from Baghdad to Mosul. It is sometimes mispointed as Ḥabīltā; Le Strange, Lands, 91, 92, n. 1, 125. For upper and lower Khāṣṣah, Ms. 0 and ‘Aynī have al-Khāṣṣaṣiyyah; see also Herzfeld, Samarra, 67, 125.
forcing their inhabitants to sell their residences and land. They were compelled to do this so that the land and residences in all these villages would be turned over to the custody of al-Mutawakkil, who would then evict the inhabitants. He allocated 200,000 dinars for expenditure on the canal and assigned the money to Dulayl b. Ya’qūb al-Nāṣrānī, secretary of Bughā.518 This was in Dhū al-Ḥijjah, 245 (February 27–March 28, 860). He held 12,000 men responsible for the job of digging the canal. Dulayl continued to work at it, allocating ever increasing sums of money, dispersing all of it among the secretaries, until al-Mutawakkil was killed, whereupon the canal fell into disuse. Al-Ja’fariyyah was laid waste and demolished, and the canal project went uncompleted.

In this year, the territory of the Maghrib suffered an earthquake, which destroyed fortresses, residences, and bridges. Al-Mutawakkil ordered that three million dirhams be distributed among those whose residences were afflicted. ‘Askar al-Mahdi in Baghdad suffered an earthquake this year, as did al-Madā’in.519

In this year, the Byzantine ruler sent Muslim prisoners and communicated a request for a prisoner exchange for those he still held.520 The person who came to al-Mutawakkil as an emissary on behalf of the Byzantine sovereign was an elder named Triphylios.521 With him were seventy-seven Muslim prisoners whom Michael, son of Theophilus, the Byzantine ruler, presented to al-Mutawakkil. Triphylios came to al-Mutawakkil on 24 Safar (May 31) of this year (859). He resided with Shunayf al-Khādim. Then al-Mutawakkil dispatched Naṣr b. al-Azhar al-Shī‘ī with the emissary of the Byzantine sovereign. He set out during this year, but the prisoner exchange did not take place until 246 (March 28, 860—January 16, 861).

518. Dulayl b. Ya’qūb al-Nāṣrānī, a Christian secretary, served Bughā al-Turki and al-Mutawakkil. He is first mentioned in Tabarī, III, 1184 [Marin, Reign, 1, 19, 20]. Dulayl later served as supervisor of the ministry of estates [III, 1513; Saliba, 12]. He still appears as Bughā’s secretary in 251 (865–66; 1535, Saliba, 28); and see Saliba, Index, 174. See also Yaqūt, Itshād, II, 30.

519. ‘Askar al-Mahdi is the older name of Ruṣāfah in Baghdad; Le Strange, Baghdad, 42, 189; Lassner, Topography, 150.


521. Text: Aṭrubaylis. The note in the Leiden edition identifies the elder as Triphylios [citing Theophanes continuatus, 122.8]. Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 234, n. 5, cites Bar Hebraeus [Chronography, 144], where the reading is Aṭrōphilos [or Aṭrōphilōs].
It is reported that in Shawwāl (December 30, 859–January 27, 860) an earthquake and tremor killed many people in Antioch. Fifteen hundred homes and about ninety towers along its walls collapsed as a result. Dreadful indescribable noises were heard emanating from the openings of the residences. The inhabitants of Antioch fled to the desert. [Part of] Mount al-Aqra’ shook loose and sank into the sea.\textsuperscript{522} The sea was stormy on that day, and black, murky, putrid vapors rose from it. A river in Antioch disappeared the distance of a farsakh (six km.), and it was not known where the waters vanished.

It is said that in this year the inhabitants of Tinnīs\textsuperscript{523} in Egypt heard a continuous, dreadful clamor, from which many people died.

In this year, an earthquake effected Balīs, al-Raqqah, Harrān, Ra’s ‘Ayn, Himṣ, Damascus, Edessa, Tarsus, Mopsuestia, Adana,\textsuperscript{524} and the coasts of Syria. Laodicea was convulsed. Not a residence remained there, and only a few of its inhabitants escaped. Jabalāh\textsuperscript{525} and its inhabitants perished.

In this year, the level of Mushāsh—the spring of Mecca—declined, so that the price of a waterskin in Mecca reached eighty dirhams.\textsuperscript{526} The mother of al-Mutawakkil sent funds that were disbursed for it.\textsuperscript{527}

In this year, Iṣḥāq b. Abī Isrā’il, Sawwār b. ‘Abdallāh, and Hilāl al-Rāzī died.\textsuperscript{528}

In this year, Najāḥ b. Salamah perished.

\textsuperscript{522} Mount al-Aqra’ is classical Casius. Earthquakes and seismic shocks were quite common in the Antioch region throughout history.

\textsuperscript{523} Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 56: Sis; Abū al-Mahāsin, Nujūm, I, 752: Bilbays.

\textsuperscript{524} Text: Adanah, Addenda, DCCLXXVI and Cairo edition, Ms. D: Adhanah.

\textsuperscript{525} Jabalāh is a port on the coast of Syria, about 30 km. south of Laodicea. Its citadel had been built by Mu‘āwiyyah; see Yāqūt, Mu’jam, II, 25; EI\textsuperscript{2}, s.v. Djabala.

\textsuperscript{526} Mushāsh is a canal near Mt. ‘Arafāt that reaches Mecca; Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 316 = Wiet, Les pays, 154; Yāqūt, Mu’jam, IV, 536. On the price of a waterskin, see above, 1330 (p. 6) and n. 10.

\textsuperscript{527} Ms. O has fa-ba’atha and omits umm, that is, “Al-Mutawakkil sent…”

\textsuperscript{528} Iṣḥāq b. Abī Isrā’il Ibrāhīm al-Marwāzi, a Hadith scholar, died this year in Shawwāl (December 30, 859–January 27, 860) in Baghdad, at the age of ninety-five, according to Dhahabi, ’Ibar, 444. For Sawwār b. ‘Abdallāh, see above, 1411 (p. 118) and n. 384. A Hilāl b. Yaḥyā, Abū Yaḥyā, called al-Rā‘ī [not al-Rāzī], a Hanafi scholar, died in al-Baṣrah in 245; Ibn al-Nadim, Fihrist, 507; Sezgin, GAS, I, 435. [The Fihrist gives his surname as Abū Bakr.] The identification is uncertain.
The Cause of Najāḥ b. Salamah’s Demise

I have received an account on the authority of al-Hārith b. Abī Usāmah, some of which I report on the basis of information from him and some from other informants: Najāḥ b. Salamah was responsible for the Bureau of Registering and Supervising Government Officials (diwān al-tawqī’ wa-l-tatabbu’ ‘alā al-‘ummāl). Before this he had been secretary of Ibrāhīm b. Rabāḥ al-Jawhari and was responsible for estates. The government officials all feared him and carried out his wishes, unable to deter him from doing whatever he wanted. Al-Mutawakkil often took him as a drinking companion.

Al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad and Mūsā b. ‘Abd al-Malik were associates of ‘Ubaydallāh b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān, who was wazīr of al-Mutawakkil. They would carry out for ‘Ubaydallāh whatever he ordered them to do. Al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad was responsible for the Bureau of Estates (diwān al-‘iyā’) and Mūsā for the Bureau of Taxation (diwān al-kharāj).

Najāḥ b. Salamah wrote a note to al-Mutawakkil concerning al-Ḥasan and Mūsā, in which he reported that they had acted in bad faith and had been derelict in their duties, and that he would expropriate from them forty million dirhams [which they had allegedly embezzled].

Al-Mutawakkil invited Najāḥ to drink with him that evening. He said, “O Najāḥ, may God forsake whoever forsakes you, come to me early tomorrow so that I may turn al-Ḥasan and Mūsā over to you.” Early in the morning Najāḥ organized his men, saying, “So-and-so, you seize al-Ḥasan; so-and-so, you seize Mūsā.”

When Najāḥ came to al-Mutawakkil early in the morning, he came across ‘Ubaydallāh. The latter had ordered that Najāḥ be kept away from the caliph. ‘Ubaydallāh said to him, “O Abū al-Faḍl, take leave so that we and you can look into this matter, and let me give you advice that will redound to your benefit.” Najāḥ asked, “What might it be?” ‘Ubaydallāh replied, “I shall conciliate between you and the two of them (al-Ḥasan and Mūsā). Write a note stating that while you were drinking you divulged things.

---

that you would rather reconsider. And I shall settle the matter with the Commander of the Faithful.'

Thus 'Ubaydallāh tricked Najāh into writing a note as he requested.530 [When Najāh had written a note in his own hand, 'Ubaydallāh took it with him. He then summoned al-Ḥasan and Mūsā. Informing them of the situation, he ordered them to draft two million dinārs to the credit of Najāh and his colleagues. They did so, and 'Ubaydallāh took both notes] and brought them to al-Mutawakkil.

'Ubaydallāh said, "O Commander of the Faithful, Najāh has reconsidered what he said yesterday. And this is a note of Mūsā and al-Ḥasan stating that they guarantee [to pay Najāh] the amount that they have stipulated. Take the sum which they guarantee, but be considerate to them and take approximately what Najāh guaranteed to give you from them."531

Al-Mutawakkil was delighted and consented to do as 'Ubaydallāh had suggested. He said, "Hand Najāh over to the two of them." They took him along as they departed, ordering that his cap be removed from his head. It was silk. He was chilled as a result, and said, "Woe to you, O Ḥasan, I am cold." Ḥasan ordered that his cap be put back on his head. Mūsā then went with Najāh to the Bureau of Taxation.

They then went to Najāh's two sons, Abū al-Faraj and Abū Muḥammad. Abū al-Faraj was seized, and Abū Muḥammad b. bt. Ḥasan b. Shunayf fled.532 And they also seized his secretary, Ishāq

530. The bracketed material that follows is from Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 57, 11.5–8. The segment was omitted in our text due to homoeoteleuton. Fragmenta, 553, adds that 'Ubaydallāh threatened al-Ḥasan and Mūsā that, if they failed to comply with his advice, he would turn them over to the caliph, who would have them killed. The threat appears in the second version of the story, which is related by Ṭabari below.

531. The drift of this account is that Najāh was tricked into writing a note informing the caliph that he did not take money from Mūsā and al-Ḥasan, but they wrote a note stating that he did, and thus he appears to be an embezzler. 'Ubaydallāh suggests that the caliph not take from Mūsā and al-Ḥasan all the money that Najāh was to receive from them but the lower sum that he was to turn over to the caliph. According to Ashtor, Prix, 40, in the mid-tenth century the ratio of dirhams to dinārs was 25:1.

532. Najāh had two sons. The first, called here Abū al-Faraj, is named Muḥammad on 1443. The second son, called here Abū Muḥammad b. bt. Ḥasan b. Shunayf, is named Ahmad on 1443.
The Caliphate of Ja'far al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh

b. Sa'd b. Mas'ūd al-Quṭrabbuli, and 'Abdallāh b. Makhlad, known as Ibn al-Bawwāb—he was devoted to Najāh.

Najāh and his son (Abū al-Faraj) confessed to al-Ḥasan and Mūsā to having about 140,000 dinārs, aside from the value of their palaces, furnishings, and income-producing properties533 in Sāmarra and Baghdad, and from the many estates they had.

Al-Mutawakkil ordered that all this be confiscated. Najāh was repeatedly beaten with whips, about 200 lashes, in a place other than that used for flogging. He was smothered and suffocated. Mūsā al-Furānīq and al-Ma'lūf suffocated him.534

Al-Ḥārith, however, maintained that Najāh's testicles were crushed until he died. He expired on Monday, 22 Dhū al-Qa'dah, of this year [February 18, 860]. Al-Mutawakkil ordered that he be washed and buried, and he was interred at night.535

Najāh's son Muḥammad, 'Abdallāh b. Makhlad, and Isḥāq b. Sa'd were beaten about fifty-five stripes. Isḥāq confessed to having 50,000 dinārs, and 'Abdallāh b. Makhlad confessed to having 15,000 or, it is said, 20,000 dinārs. Najāh's son Aḥmad b. bt. Ḥasan had fled. Aḥmad was caught after the death of Najāh and imprisoned in the Bureau [of Taxation]. All the furnishings in the palace of Najāh and his son Abū al-Faraj were seized; their palaces and estates were confiscated wherever they were; their families were evicted. His representative in the region of the Sawād was seized—he was Ibn 'Ayyāsh. The latter confessed to having 20,000 dinārs. Ibn 'Ayyāsh sent to Mecca, seeking al-Ḥasan b. Sahl b. Nūḥ al-Ahwāzī and Ḥasan b. Ya'qūb al-Baghdādī. Because of Ibn 'Ayyāsh a group of people were seized and imprisoned.

It is reported that the reason for Najāh's demise was different from what we have mentioned. Accordingly, he was antagonistic toward 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān. Now, 'Ubaydallāh had great influence with al-Mutawakkil and was in charge of the wa-

---


534. Ms. C and O: without points and add Ibn after Musa. Śuli omits Ibn. The note identifies him with Mūsā b. 'Abdallāh al-Iṣfahānī [citing Ibn Khallikān, see Wafâyāt, III, 59]. Furānīq (< Pers. parwanak) means "courier, messenger." Al-Ma'lūf is apparently identical with Ja'far al-Ma'lūf, mentioned below on 1444.

535. See also Ibn Khallikān, Wafâyāt, III, 59, where this account is given.
zirate and all of its administrative functions. Najāh was in charge of [the Bureau of] the Public Registry (tawqī' al-āmmah).

When al-Mutawakkil decided to build the Ja'fari [Palace], Najāh said to him—he was among the boon companions—“Commander of the Faithful, I shall name some men for you. Turn them over to me so that I can expropriate money from them with which you can build your administrative center, because you need to lay out quite a sum for its construction.” He replied, “Name them.” Najāh then sent a note in which he mentioned: (1) Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik; (2) 'Īsā b. Farruhānsāh, deputy of al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad; (3) al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad; (4) Zaydān b. Ibrāhīm, deputy of Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik; (5) 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā and his two brothers (6) 'Abdallāh b. Yahyā and (7) Zakariyyā; (8) Maymūn b. Ibrāhīm; (9) Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Munajjīm and his brother (10) Aḥmad b. Mūsā; (11) 'Ali b. Yahyā b. Abī Mānṣūr; and (12) Ja'far al-Ma'lūf, accountant (mustakhrij) of the Bureau of Taxation, and others—about twenty men.

This favorably impressed al-Mutawakkil, who bade Najāh to come early the next day. When al-Mutawakkil arose, he had no doubts about this. But 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā argued with al-Mutawakkil, saying, “O Commander of the Faithful, Najāh wants to assault every single secretary and army commander. Who, O Commander of the Faithful, will perform the administrative functions?”

536. Zambaur, Manuel, 6, says that 'Īsā was wāzīr for al-Mu'tazz in 252 [866–67], but this is not mentioned by Ṭabari, according to whom he was head of the Bureau of Taxation; III, 1513 [Saliba, 13], 1640 [Saliba, 105]; see Saliba, Index, 177.


538. Text: Muḥammad b. Mūsā. Ms. C: Mūsā b. Mūsā; Ms. O: Muḥammad b. 'Īsā. Muḥammad b. Mūsā was one of the famous Banū Mūsā b. Shākir. See, for instance, Ibn al-Nadim, Fihrist, 645–46; Sezgin, GAS, V, 246; VI, 147–48. Muhammad had two brothers, Ahmad (mentioned here) and Ḥasan. Muhammad, the eldest, died in 259 [872–73].

Najāh came early the next day, and 'Ubaydallāh let him take his place in his audience hall (majlis), but did not present him to the caliph.

'Ubaydallāh had Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik and al-Ḥasan b. Makhld presented and said to them, "If Najāh gets to see the Commander of the Faithful, he will turn the two of you over to him, and he will kill you and confiscate your property. So write a note to the Commander of the Faithful in which you stipulate that you guarantee [to pay] two million dinārs."

They wrote a note in their hand, and 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā brought it to al-Mutawakkil. 'Ubaydallāh began to go back and forth among the Commander of the Faithful, Najāh, Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik and al-Ḥasan b. Makhld. He kept going in and out of the caliphal audience, keeping an eye on Māsā and al-Ḥasan. Then 'Ubaydallāh brought Mūsā and al-Ḥasan in to al-Mutawakkil, and they guaranteed this amount. He went out with the two of them and handed Najāh over to them. All the people, including the inner circle of notables and the commoners, as well as Mūsā and al-Ḥasan, were certain that they two and 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā would be handed over to Najāh in view of the discussion between Najāh and al-Mutawakkil.

They seized Najāh. Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik supervised his torture and imprisoned him in the Bureau of Taxation in Sāmarrā and flogged him severely.

Al-Mutawakkil ordered that his secretary Iṣḥāq b. Ṣaḍ—he supervised his private affairs and the estates of some(one) of his sons—be fined 51,000 dinārs. He was made to swear an oath on this.

Al-Mutawakkil said, "He appropriated from me fifty dinārs in the days of al-Wāthiq, when he replaced 'Umar b Faraj, until he freed my pay allotments. Take one thousand dinārs for every dinār [he appropriated] and then another thousand beyond that, just as he seized an exorbitant amount of money."

Iṣḥāq b. Sa'd was imprisoned, and three installment payments were arranged for him. He was not released until he paid

540. The words "to al-Mutawakkil" are added in Ms. O.
541. Ms. O omits this sentence, which is not entirely clear.
542. Wa-nujjima 'alayhi fi thalāthati anjum. For the sense of "to discharge a debt through payments," see Glossarium, DII.
17,000 dinārs in cash and only after guarantors were secured from him for the balance.

'Abdallāh b. Makhlad was seized and fined 17,000 dinārs. 'Ubaydallāh [b.] al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘il543—he was one of the chamberlains of al-Mutawakkil—and 'Attāb b. 'Attāb were directed by a letter of al-Mutawakkil to flog Najāh fifty times if he did not confess and deliver the wealth he was reported to own.544 He flogged him and then repeated the same a second and third day.

Najāh said, “Inform the Commander of the Faithful that I am dying.” Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik ordered Ja‘far al-Ma‘lūf, along with an officer from the Bureau of Taxation, to crush his genitals, [which they did] until he turned cold and died.

Mūsā rode early in the morning to al-Mutawakkil and informed him that Najāh had died. Al-Mutawakkil said to Mūsā and al-Ḥasan, “I want my money which you two guaranteed.” They used artful means to confiscate all [Najāh’s] property and that of his sons. They imprisoned Abū al-Fara‘j—he was responsible for the Bureau of Control of Estates on behalf of Abū Šālīḥ b. Yazdād545—confiscated all his possessions and all his landed property, and assigned his estates over to the Commander of the Faithful, seizing what they did from his companions.

Al-Mutawakkil would often say to them when he was drinking, “Bring my secretary back to me; if not, bring the money.” He assigned the Bureau of Public Registry546 to 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā, and appointed as deputy over it his cousin, Yaḥyā b. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Khāqān. Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik and al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad were dilatory in this matter, and al-Mutawakkil kept demanding from them the money that they had guaranteed from Najāh.

Only a small amount of the money had been delivered before Mūsā b. 'Abd al-Malik rode out accompanying al-Muntasir from the Ja‘fārī [Palace]. Al-Muntasir was heading for Sāmarrā to his

544. For this translation of mā wusīfa ‘alayhi, see Glossarium, DLVII.
Mūsā arrived there with him [and stayed for] an hour, and then departed, heading back. While he was on the way, he cried out to someone who was with him, "Grab me." They rushed to him, and he fell paralyzed into their arms. He was carried to his residence. He held out that day and night and then died.

Al-Mutawakkil appointed 'Ubaydallah b. Yahyā also over the Bureau of Taxation. He appointed as deputy Aḥmad b. Isrā’īl, secretary of al-Mu’tazz. Aḥmad was also his deputy over the secretariat of al-Mu’tazz.

Al-Qīṣāfī recited:

Najāḥ did not fear the assault of fate,
Until his power passed to Mūsā and al-Ḥasan.

He came wrestling the benefits of the noble,
And he left deprived of purse and limb.

In this year, in Rajab (October 2–31, 859), Bukhtishū' the physician was flogged one hundred and fifty times, and then shackled in irons and incarcerated in the Matbaq [Prison].

In this year, the Byzantines raided Samosata, killing and
taking captive about 500 persons. 'Ali b. Yahyā al-Armani led the summer expedition.

The inhabitants of Lulon prevented their governor from entering the town for thirty days.\(^{551}\) The Byzantine ruler sent to them a Patrikios who was to guarantee each of them 1,000 dinars if they would deliver Lulon to him. They let the Patrikios come up to them, and then, once they were given their back allotments and what they wished, they handed over Lulon and the Patrikios to Balkajūr in Dhū al-Ḥijjah (February 27–March 27, 860).\(^{552}\) The Patrikios whom the Byzantine emperor dispatched to them was called "Logothete."\(^{553}\)

When the inhabitants of Lulon handed him over to Balkajūr, [he brought the Patrikios to al-Mutawakkil.\(^{554}\) Others say that 'Ali b. Yahyā al-Armani brought him to al-Mutawakkil. Al-Mutawakkil handed him over to al-Fath b. Khāqān, and he proposed Islam to him, but the Patrikios refused. When they said, "We'll kill you," he responded, "You know better." The Byzantine ruler wrote offering to exchange him for 1,000 Muslims.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Sulaymān b. 'Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-Imām.\(^{555}\) He was known as al-Zaynabi, and he was governor of Mecca.

The Nayruz of al-Mutawakkil, which the supervisors of the land tax cooperated with him in postponing, fell this year on Saturday, 11 Rabi' I (June 16, 859), corresponding to 17 Ḥazīrān (June 17) and 28 Ordīwihisht.\(^{556}\)

---

\(^{551}\) Lulon, Arabic Lu’lu‘ah, was strategically located, having a fortress that overlooked the northern end of a pass that led over the Cilician Gates, from Podandos to Tyana; Le Strange, \emph{Lands}, 134, 135, 139, 150. Lulon was under Byzantine control.

\(^{552}\) Balkajūr was the commander for the Muslims.

\(^{553}\) Text: Lughuthit. For the title Logothete, see Bury, \emph{History}, 210; idem, \emph{Imperial Administrative System}, 111.

\(^{554}\) The words "he brought the Patrikios to al-Mutawakkil" are added from Ibn al-Athīr, \emph{Kāmil}, VII, 57: \emph{fa-sayyarah īlā al-Mutawakkil}.

\(^{555}\) See Zambaur, \emph{Manuel}, 20.

\(^{556}\) Nayruz [Nawrūz] is the first day of the Persian solar year on which the land tax \emph{(kharāj)} was collected. As the intercalation of one day per four years was disregarded under Islam, the date of Nawrūz and collection of the \emph{kharāj} continued to advance so that by the time of al-Mutawakkil it fell two months early, permitting tax agents to collect taxes prematurely before crops were harvested. The new date fixed by al-Mutawakkil was meant to correspond with the old time. Birūnī, \emph{Chronology}, 32, says that the fiscal reform of al-Mutawakkil took place in
Al-Buḥturi al-Ṭā’ī recited:557

The Day of Nayrūz has returned to the time
That Ardashīr enacted.

Muharram, 243 (April 30, 857–May 29, 857). Birūnī notes that the planned reform was not actually put into effect, so that al-Muṭaḍīd had to address himself to the problem later. See Ṭabarî, III, 2143 [Rosenthal, Return, 19 and n. 103]. Yāqūt, Irshād, II, 128–29, relates an account, going back to Baladhuri, concerning the letter, drafted by Ibrāhīm b. al-‘Abbās al-Ṣūlī, announcing the reform. He had made an error that was detected by the astronomers ‘Alī b. Yahyā al-Munajjīm and Muḥammad b. Mūsā. Ordiwīhīst stands for Ordībīhīst (Ordībēhesht), the second month of the Persian (Zoroastrian) calendar.

557. The famous poet Buḥturi died 284 (897–98); EI2, s.v.; Sezgin, GāS, II, 560. He was companion and panegyrist of al-Mutawakkil and of later caliphs. Cairo edition cites his Diwān, II, 57. Birūnī [see previous note] cites three additional verses of the poem.
One of the events was the summer expedition of 'Umar b. 'Abdal-lāh al-Aqṭa'. He brought back 7,000 head of livestock.\textsuperscript{558} There was also the raid of Karbeas, who brought back 5,000 head of livestock. And then there was the raid of al-Faḍl b. Qārin.\textsuperscript{559} He conquered the fortress of Anṭālya,\textsuperscript{560} traveling by sea in twenty vessels.

There was the raid of Balkajūr; he brought back livestock and captives.

Finally, there was the summer expedition of 'Ali b. Yaḥyā al-Armani. He brought back 5,000 head of livestock and about 10,000 horses, mares, and donkeys.

\textsuperscript{558} Ms. O: 15,000 heads.

\textsuperscript{559} Balādhuri, \textit{Futūḥ}, 159, says that al-Faḍl b. Qārin was governor (āmil) of Hims. In 248 (862–63) he was again sent to put down a rebellion there (III, 1508, Saliba, 7). He was eventually killed, while he was governor, by the inhabitants of Hims, supported by the Banū Kalb, in 250 (864–65); III, 1533 (Saliba, 27).

\textsuperscript{560} Mss. Anṭākiyah, that is, Antioch. So Ibn al-Athir, \textit{Kāmil}, VII, 59, and Ibn Khaldūn, \textit{'Ibar}, III, 589. 'Ayni: Anṭākiyah. Anṭāliyah (Greek Attaleia) is a town on the southern coast of Anatolia; \textit{EP}, s. v. Anṭāliyah is easily confused with the more common Anṭākiyah. As Antioch is inland, and this was a sea raid, it is clear that Anṭāliyah is meant.
In this year, al-Mutawakkil withdrew to the administrative center that he built in al-Mahūzah and began to reside there on the Day of the ‘Ashūrā’ (February 25, 861).

In this year, there was the prisoner exchange in Ṣafar (April 27–May 25, 860) administered by ‘Ali b. Yaḥyā al-Armani.561 Two thousand three hundred and sixty-seven persons were exchanged. Some authorities say that the prisoner exchange this year was carried out in Jumādā I (July 24–August 22, 860).

The following is reported on the authority of Naṣr b. al-Azhar al-Shī‘ī, who was the emissary of al-Mutawakkil to the Byzantine ruler for the prisoner exchange:562 When I went to Constantinople, I visited [Emperor] Michael’s palace with my black robe, sword, dagger, and cap. I had a discussion with the maternal uncle of the ruler, Bardas, who was in charge of the affairs of the realm.563 They refused to let me enter with my sword and black robe, whereupon I said that I was leaving, which I did. But I was brought back while I was on my way. I had gifts with me, including about 1,000 musk bags, silk garments, much saffron, and exquisite pieces. The emperor had permitted delegations from Burjān to attend and others who had come to him.564 The gifts that I brought were carried in to him.

When I was admitted into his presence, he was on an elevated throne,565 with the Patrikioi standing around him. I greeted him and then sat down at the edge of the large dais where a seat had been prepared for me.566 I placed the gifts before him.

In front of Michael there were three interpreters: a valet

---

562. See also the description in Bar Hebraeus, Chronography, 144–45.
563. The Mss. are unpointed. The text has B-t-r-n-ā-s; ‘Ayni: B-t-r-y-ā-s. Petronas was a brother of Theodora. Bury, History, 279, suggests that Bardas is intended. This makes sense in view of the fact that Bardas, also a brother of Theodora, was by 861 virtually the supreme authority in the empire, wielding power in his nephew’s name. Bury suggests that Naṣr had written in his report “his uncle” and Ṭabarī added the explanatory “Petronas.” But cf. Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 238, n. 1.
564. Burjān is the area of the Bulgars; EP, s.v. Bülgars; Minorsky, Hudūd, Index, 488.
565. Text: fa-idha huwa ‘alā sarîr fawqâ sarîr, lit. “he was on a throne above a throne.” The bottom sarîr must have been a dais. For sarîr (“seat, bench, sofa, royal seat/throne, bier”), see Sadan, Mobilier, 32ff.
566. That is, at the edge of the platform or elevation on which the royal throne was placed.
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(ghulām farrāsh) of Masrūr al-Khādim, a page of ʿAbbās b. Saʿid al-Jawhari, and a veteran interpreter of his named Sergius. They asked me how they should translate my words to him, and I answered, “Don’t add anything to what I say to you.” They set about translating what I was saying. The emperor accepted my gifts and did not give orders that any of them be handed on to anyone else. He was congenial to me and honored me, and had a house prepared for me close by him. I went out and took up residence there.

Inhabitants from Lulon approached the emperor expressing their desire to convert to Christianity and join up with him. They sent along two Muslims from there to serve as hostages.

Naṣr said: Michael disregarded me for about four months until he received a letter about the revolt of the inhabitants of Lulon, their seizing his emissaries, and the Arab conquest of the town. The Byzantines resumed speaking with me, and the negotiations between us concerning prisoner exchange led to the surrender of all the prisoners in their possession and all those whom I brought. There were a little over one thousand. And all the prisoners who were in their hands came to more than two thousand, including twenty women, along with ten children.

They accepted my request for a mutual oath. I asked that the emperor’s maternal uncle swear, whereupon he did so on behalf of Michael. I said, “O king, your maternal uncle has sworn an oath to me. Does this oath bind you?” He responded affirmatively with a nod. I did not hear him utter a word from the time I entered Byzantine territory until I left. Only the interpreter would speak, and he would listen and then nod yes or no without talking. His maternal uncle administered his affairs.

I then left him, along with the prisoners, who were in excellent condition. When we came to the place for the prisoner exchange, we released our respective groups of prisoners. More than two

---


568. Text: Surhūn. Read perhaps Sarrūn, that is, Sergius; Vasiliev, Byzance, I, 238, n. 3.

569. For qāla bi-raʾsihi in the sense of gesturing, see Glossarium, CDXXXVII, where Ṭabarī, III, 1251, is also cited (Marin, Reign, 72).
thousand Muslims came into our hands, including a few who had converted to Christianity. The Byzantines received a few more than a thousand.

A number [of Muslim prisoners] had converted to Christianity. The emperor said to them, "I will not accept you until you reach the site of the prisoner exchange. Whoever wishes me to receive him into Christianity should return from this place. If not, let him rejoin his companions and go off with them."

Most of those who had converted to Christianity were inhabitants of the Maghrib. The majority had converted in Constantinople. There were two goldsmiths who had converted, and they were friendly toward the [other] prisoners. Only seven Muslims remained in Byzantine territory of those whom the [Byzantine] ruler had taken captive. Five had been brought from Sicily, and I paid their ransom so that they could be sent back there. Two men were hostages from Lulon, whom I left, saying, "Accept these two, for they wish to convert to Christianity."

The inhabitants of Baghdad had rain this year for twenty-one days in Sha'ban (October 21–November 18, 860) and Ramadān (November 19–December 18). It rained so much that the grass grew beyond the roofs of houses.

Al-Mutawakkil performed the prayer of Breaking the Fast this year in al-Ja'fariyyah. 'Abd al-Ṣamād b. Mūsā prayed in its Friday Mosque, but no one led public prayer in Sāmarrā.

In this year, information was received concerning a neighborhood in the area of Balkh belonging to the dihqāns, where it rained pure blood.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Zaynabī.

In this year, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir went on the pilgrimage, and supervised the events of the festival season.

The inhabitants of Sāmarrā observed the Day of Sacrifice this year (10 Dhū al-Hijjah [February 25, 861]) on Monday, on the basis of sighting the new moon, and the inhabitants of Mecca observed it on Tuesday.

570. Text: uqṭuluhumā = "Kill these two." Addenda, DCCLXXVI: iqbaluhumā, which is followed here.

571. Balkh was the principal city in Khurāsān. The dihqāns were in this period hereditary rulers of a given area; Minorsky, Ḥudud, 523; EI², s.v. Dihkān.
One of the events was the murder of al-Mutawakkil.

_The Reason for al-Mutawakkil's Murder and How It Took Place_

Abū Ja’far said: 573 It was reported to me that the reason for this was that al-Mutawakkil ordered that letters be drawn up concerning the confiscation of Waṣīf’s estates in Iṣbahān and the Jabal so as to grant them as fiefs to al-Fath b. Khāqān. The appropriate letters were written, and they were transmitted to the [Office of the] Seal to be executed574 on Thursday, 5 Sha‘bān (October 14, 861). Waṣīf learned of this and then confirmed that this was indeed al-Mutawakkil’s order.

Al-Mutawakkil planned to lead the public prayer on the last Friday of Ramaḍān (December 5, 861). On the first day of Ramaḍān

---

573. That is, Ṭabarī. The following account is translated by Sauvaget, _histoire_, 24–31 ("Une tragédie de palais"); and see pp. 45–50 for a translation of Mas‘ūdī’s account.

(JULY 5, 861–JUNE 22, 862)
it became common knowledge that the Commander of the Faithful would lead the public prayer on the last Friday of the month. The people assembled for this purpose, thronging together. The Hashimites left Baghdad [for Samarra] to present petitions to him and to speak with him when he went out riding.\footnote{Text: rakaba. Leiden Ms. C: rākib.}

When Friday arrived, al-Mutawakkil decided to ride to the prayer service, whereupon ‘Ubaydallah b. Yahyā and al-Fath b. Khāqān said to him, “O Commander of the Faithful, the people have assembled, and there are many, from your family and others, some registering grievances and some petitioning. As the Commander of the Faithful is complaining of dejection and indisposition,\footnote{Text: ra-wa-wa‘lah. Leiden Mss. w-‘l-a. Cairo edition, Mss. A and D; Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 60: wa-‘illah bihi.} if he wishes to order that one of the heirs apparent lead the prayer, and that we should all support him, let him do so.”

The caliph replied that he agreed and ordered al-Muntasir to lead the prayer.

When al-Muntasir rose to ride to the prayer service, ‘Ubaydallah and al-Fath said, “O Commander of the Faithful, we have an idea—but the Commander of the Faithful is more perceptive than we.” He asked, “What is it? Propose it to me.” They replied, “O Commander of the Faithful, give orders that Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz bi-llāh lead the public prayer, so as to honor him on this exalted day.” The members of his household and all the notables gathered together, for God has caused him to reach his appointed time.\footnote{Text: balagha ‘Ilahu bihi; see Lane, s.v. balagha, I, 250a.}

Abū Ja‘far said: A child had been born to al-Mu’tazz a day before this.

Thus al-Mutawakkil ordered al-Mu’tazz to ride out and lead the public prayer, while al-Muntasir remained in his residence, which was in al-Ja‘fariyyah.\footnote{Text: fi manzilihi wa-kāna bi-l-Ja’fariyyah. Ms. O: bi-dārihi fi al-Ja’fariyyah.} This only exasperated al-Muntasir further. When al-Mu’tazz had completed his sermon, ‘Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā and al-Fath b. Khāqān rose in his honor and kissed his hands and feet. Having finished praying, al-Mu’tazz departed, the two leaving with him, along with the notables, in the caliphal
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procession. He marched with the insignias before him, until he was admitted into his father's presence, 'Ubaydallāh and al-Fath accompanying him.

Dāwūd b. Muḥammad b. Abī al-'Abbās al-Ţūsī, who entered with him, said, "O Commander of the Faithful, permit me to say something." He replied, "Speak." Dāwūd then said, "By God, O Commander of the Faithful, I have known al- Ağmin, al-Ma'mūn, al-Mu'tasim, and al-Wāthiq bi-llāh as well. But, by God, I have never witnessed anyone occupy a pulpit who was superior in imposing stature, spontaneous speech, sonorous voice, mellifluous tone, and eloquence to al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh. May God give him power, O Commander of the Faithful, by your abiding survival, and may God give you and us pleasure by giving him life." Al-Mutawakkil replied, "May God let you hear glad tidings and delight us through you."

On Sunday—which was the Day of Breaking the Fast (December 8, 861)—al-Mutawakkil felt weak and requested that al-Muntaṣir be given orders to lead the public prayer. 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān then said to him, "O Commander of the Faithful, the people had anticipated seeing the Commander of the Faithful on Friday and thronged together, but the Commander of the Faithful did not ride out. We fear that if he does not ride out [this time] people will spread alarming news about his illness. It would be best for the Commander of the Faithful to cheer his friends and daunt his enemies by doing so. Al-Mutawakkil then ordered them to make the necessary preparations for him to ride out. He did so and led the public prayer, and then turned back to his residence, remaining there on this day and the next without inviting any of his boon companions.

It is reported that, when he rode out on the Day of Breaking the Fast, lines had been formed for him for about four mil (eight km.). The people passed before him on foot, and he led the public prayer. He returned to his palace, took a handful of earth, and sprinkled it upon his head. Asked about this, he said, "I saw this mighty throng, and realizing that they were under my power, I wished to humble myself before God."

579. The following section on al-Mutawakkil's murder is translated by Lewis, *Islam*, 30–34; see also the reference by Goldziher, *Muslim Studies*, II, 63.
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On the day after the Day of Breaking the Fast, he did not invite any of his boon companions. On the third day, which was Tuesday, 3 Shawwal (December 10, 861), in the morning, he was vivacious and cheerful. He commented, "I feel as though I have recovered my pulse."580

Al-Ṭayfūri and Ibn al-Abrash, who were his physicians, said to him, "O Commander of the Faithful, may God determine what is good for you, go ahead [and summon your boon companions]."581 And so he did. He craved camel meat and gave orders that it be served to him, and then grasped it with his own hand.

It is reported that Ibn al-Hafṣi the Singer attended the audience.582 Ibn al-Hafṣi said: None of those who usually dined with him attended583 except for me, 'Ath'ath,584 Zunām,585 and Bunān,586 the page of Ahmad b. Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh,587 who came with al-Muntaṣir. Ibn al-Hafṣi went on: Al-Mutawakkil and al-

582. Ibn al-Hafṣi al-Mughanni was a court singer of al-Mutawakkil; Shabushti, Diyarat, 153; Neubauer, Musiker, 181. Ibn al-Zubayr, Dhakhā‘ir, par. 143, mentions him among a group of singers.
584. ‘Ath’ath al-Aswad was a black slave of Muḥammad b. Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh. See also below, 1459–61; Ibn Ṭayfūr, Baghdād, 106; Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, XIII, 30–32; Shābūshī, Diyarāt, 154; Farmer, History, 140, 161.
585. Zunām al-Zamīr ("the Reed Pipe Player") was a musician who specialized on the mizmār, or reed pipe, and entertained in the courts of Hārūn, al-Muṭasim, al-Ṭāhir, and al-Mutawakkil; Ṭabarī, III, 1323 [Marin, Reign, 127], Shābūshī, Diyarāt, 154; Ibn al-Zubayr, Dhakhā‘ir, par. 143; Farmer, History, 131; Neubauer, Musiker, 209–210; Stiglbauer, Sängerinnen, 67, 131.
586. Bunān b. ‘Amr al-Ḍārīb, Ra’s al-Baghil ("Mule Head") was a court singer at the time of Al-Mutawakkil and Al-Muntasir and a close confidant of the latter; Farmer, History, 158; Neubauer, Musiker, 176; Stiglbauer, Sängerinnen, 144, 147–49.
587. Ahmad b. Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh followed his father Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh as governor of the Jazirah under al-Ma‘mūn, in 206 (821–23); Ṭabarī, III, 1045, 1075 (Bosworth, Reunification, 109, 147); Ibn Ṭayfūr, Baghdād, 18, 101; Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, V, 104. See also Crone, Slaves on Horses, 184. He was a brother of Iṣḥāq b. Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh; above, n. 14.
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Fatḥ b. Khāqān were dining together; we were nearby, across from them. The boon companions were dispersed in their chambers, as he had not yet invited any of them. The Commander of the Faithful turned to me and said, "You and 'Ath'ath dine before me, and let Naṣr b. Sa’īd al-Jahbach dine with you."588 I responded, "O my lord, Naṣr eats me out of house and home, by God. How can he be [permitted to] sit with us?" The caliph responded, "Go on and eat, by my life." And so we ate. Then we let our hands drop opposite him.589 The Commander of the Faithful turned and looked at us with our hands dropped. He asked, "What is wrong with you that you are not eating?" I replied, "My lord, what was in front of us is gone." He ordered that more food be added. So [the food] that was before him was ladled to us.

Ibn al-Ḥafṣi said that the Commander of the Faithful was never more cheerful than on that day. He added: Al-Mutawakkil convened his court, summoning his boon companions and singers, who then attended. Qabihah, the mother of al-Mu’tazz,590 gave him a green, silk ornamented gown (mitraf).591 People had never seen anything quite as beautiful. He kept staring at it with approval, admiring it greatly. He then ordered that it be cut in half and returned to her, commenting to her messenger, "It will remind her of me."592 He went on, "By God, my heart tells me that I shall not wear it, and I don't wish anyone after me to do so. I ordered that it be torn only so that no one wears it after me." We said to him, "Our lord, this is a happy day, O Commander of the Faithful. God forbid that you should say this, our lord." Then he began to drink and revel while repeating,593 "By God, I am leav-

---

588. Naṣr b. Sa’īd al-Jahbach, a government banker, is apparently not the same as Naṣr b. Sa’īd al-Maghribi, an army commander, mentioned below, 1481 (pp. 205–6).
589. The expression 'allaqa yadayhi means to drop one’s hands at a banquet when nothing more remains on the table, as opposed to raising the hands as a sign of satisfaction; Glossarium, CCCLXXII.
590. For Qabihah, mother of al-Mu’tazz, see, for example, Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, Index, 550; Ibn al-Jawzī, Muntazam, V, 48 [d. 264/877/78]. She was called Qabihah ("Ugly") by al-Mutawakkil by way of antiphrasis; see Tha’alibi, Latā’if, 63.
591. A mitraf is a garment with a [silk] embroidered border on either end; Ahsan, Social Life, 40–41; EI², s.v. Libās, V, 737.
592. Text: adhkaratni bihi. ‘Aynī: qul laḥā tadhkurini bihi = "Tell her to remember me by it."
593. For lahija bi-qawl in this sense, see Glossarium, CDLXXIII.
ing you soon." He went on being frivolous and merry until the evening.

It is reported by some(one) of the informants that al-Mutawakkil decided—he and al-Fath—that they would have lunch with 'Abdallâh b. 'Umar al-Bâzyâr on Thursday, 5 Shawwâl [December 12, 861], and arrange for him to assassinate al-Muntaṣir, and kill Waṣîf and Bughâ, as well as other Turkish commanders and notables.

According to what Ibn al-Ḥafṣî reported, al-Mutawakkil's ridicule of his son al-Muntaṣir increased on Tuesday, one day earlier. He would alternately vilify him, get him drunk, give orders that he be cuffed, and threaten to have him killed.

It is reported on the authority of Hârûn b. Muḥammad b. Sulaymân al-Ḥâshîmi: I was informed by some(one) of the women who was in the curtained-off area that al-Mutawakkil turned to al-Fath and said to him, "I am acquitted of God and my relationship to the Messenger of God if you do not cuff him," that is, al-Muntaṣir. Al-Fath got up and cuffed him twice on the back of his neck. Al-Mutawakkil then said to those present, "All witness that I have deposed the Impatient One." Then al-Mutawakkil turned to him and said, "I named you al-Muntaṣir ("the Victorious"), but because of your folly the people named you al-Muntaḍîr ("the Expectant"), and now you have become al-Musta'jîl ("the Impatient")."

Al-Muntaṣir replied, "O Commander of the Faithful, if you had given orders that I be decapitated, it would have been more bearable than your present treatment of me." The caliph said, "Let him drink." Al-Mutawakkil then ordered that supper be served, and it was brought in, this being in the middle of the night.

Al-Muntaṣir left the caliph's company and ordered Bunân, Aḥmad b. Yahyâ's page, to accompany him. When he exited,

594. Shâbushtî, Diyarât, 7–8, mentions a Muḥammad b. 'Umar al-Bâzyâr as a boon companion of al-Mutawakkil. The cognomen al-Bâzyâr means "the Falconer" or more correctly "the Ostreger"; see EI², s.v. Bayzara.

595. See Qur'ân 9:1.

596. Leiden text adds at this point: Fa-qâla al-Muntaṣir, yâ Amir al-Mu'minin ("Al-Muntaṣir replied, "O Commander of the Faithful"). But no reply follows [see two lines below]. Cairo edition indeed omits these words, retaining only the name "al-Muntaṣir," according to which we would translate "... the Impatient One, al-Muntaṣir" as a gloss [see also Ibn al-Athîr, Kâmîl, VII, 62: ya'ni al-Muntaṣir].
food was placed in front of al-Mutawakkil, which he set about eating, devouring it while drunk. It is reported on the authority of Ibn al-Hafṣi that, when al-Muntaṣir went out to his chamber, he took the hand of Zurafah saying to him, "Come with me." He replied, "My master, [I cannot, for] the Commander of the Faithful has not yet risen." Al-Muntaṣir then said, "The Commander of the Faithful has been overcome by wine, and right now Bughā and the boon companions are leaving. Now, I would like you to place the responsibility for your children with me. Utāmish requested that I marry off his son to your daughter and your son to his daughter." Zurafah said to him, "We are your slaves, my master. Command us as you please." Al-Muntaṣir took him by the hand and departed with him.

Ibn al-Hafṣi said: Zurafah had commented to me before this, "Take care, for the Commander of the Faithful has become drunk and is just now awaking. Tamrah summoned me and requested that I ask you to come to him, and we shall all go to his chamber." I said to him, "I shall precede you to him." He added: Zurafah had gone with al-Muntaṣir to his chamber.

Bunān, the page of Ahmad b. Yahyā, reported that al-Muntaṣir said to him, "I have matched the son of Zurafah with the daughter of Utāmish and the son of Utāmish with the daughter of Zurafah." I said to al-Muntaṣir, "My master, where is the wed-

597. Mā'idah, the common word for "table," has the sense of "food" here (cf. English "spread"), as is evident from the word ya'kuluhā; see Glossarium, CDXCVII.

598. A fellow named after Zurafah (or Zarafah = "Giraffe") is mentioned by Tabari, III, 1504 (Saliba, 4); and see 1511 (Saliba, 11), where he leads a contingent of mawlās against attackers of the Sāmarra prison. Mas'ūdi, Tanbih, 362, says that he was a chamberlain (ḥājib) of al-Mutawakkil. See also Shābushti, Diyārāt, 7, 49.

599. Utāmish later became wazir under al-Musta'in; Tabari, III, 1502–03 (Saliba, 2). He was an army commander and nephew of Bughā the Elder. According to Ya'qūbi, Ta'rikh, II, 601–02, Utāmish, called 'ṣāhib al-Muntaṣir,' took part in the plot to assassinate al-Mutawakkil. The note ad loc. gives his teknonym as Abū Mūsā and his patronymic as Khaṭarkin. Mas'ūdi, Murūj, VII, 273, says that he was a page of al-Wāthiq, and that he was close to al-Muntaṣir, who cultivated the Turks, for which reason al-Mutawakkil hated him. See also Tanukhi, Faraj, 157, 159; Sourdel, Vizirat, 289–91, 294, 303, 673, 726, 735.

600. Al-Muntaṣir tried to cajole Zurafah to be loyal to him, and thus he hoped to build up a coterie of followers.

601. Text: Tamrah ("date"). Šuṭi and Iṣfahāni, Aghānī, XIV, 52 (cited in note ad loc.) have Thamarah ("fruit").
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ding felicitation? It will enhance the betrothal [ceremony].” He replied, “Tomorrow, God willing, for this evening has already passed.” Zurāfah departed for the chamber of Tamrah, and when he entered he called for food, which was brought in. He had eaten just a bit when we heard a clamor and screaming, at which point we got up.

Bunān continued: It was only after Zurāfah left Tamrah’s residence that Bughā met al-Muntasir, who asked, “What is this clamor?” Bughā replied, “It’s all right, Commander of the Faithful,” whereupon al-Muntasir inquired, “What are you saying, woe unto you?” To which Bughā answered, “May God magnify your reward [for the loss of] our lord, the Commander of the Faithful. He was the Lord’s servant, and when God summoned him, al-Mutawakkil responded.”

Bunān said: Al-Muntasir held audience and gave orders that the door of the house and the audience hall in which al-Mutawakkil had been killed be locked. It and all the other doors were then locked. He thereafter sent a message to Waṣīf ordering him to bring al-Mu’tazz and al-Mu’ayyad on the pretext that the message was from al-Mutawakkil.

It is reported on the authority of ‘Ath‘ath that al-Mutawakkil called for the repast after al-Muntasir had risen and left along with Zurāfah. Bughā the Younger, called al-Sharābī, was standing at the curtain [of the harem]. That day it was the turn of Bughā the Elder’s patrol to guard the palace. His deputy in the palace was his son Mūsā, who was the son of al-Mutawakkil’s maternal aunt. Bughā the Elder was then away in Samosata.

Bughā the Younger entered the audience hall and ordered the boon companions to leave for their chambers. Al-Fath said to him that it was not yet time for their departure, and that the Commander of the Faithful had not yet risen. Bughā then replied, “The Commander of the Faithful ordered me not to let anyone

602. Nithār is the strewing of fruits, nuts, sugar, or money on a festive occasion; Lane, Lexicon, 2764. The well-known sprinkling of coins on the head of a poet is mentioned below, 1466 [p. 186].

603. Mūsā, son of Bughā the Elder, died in Muḥarram, 264 (September 13–October 12, 877), and was buried in Sāmarra, according to Ibn al-Jawzi, Muntazam, V, 49; and see Tānūkhī, Nishwār, IV, 110; VIII, 79–82; Sourdel, Vizirat, Index, 775.
remain in the audience hall after [he had imbibed] seven [ratls], and he has already drunk fourteen." Al-Fath still did not want the guests to rise. Bugha now said to him that the female relatives of the Commander of the Faithful were behind the curtain, and that he had become drunk, in which case they should get up and leave. They all went out except for al-Fath, ‘Ath’ath, and four of the caliph’s personal servants [khadam al-khāṣṣah]—Sha’ī, Faraj the Younger, Mu’nis, and Abū ‘Īsā Mārid al-Muhrizi.604

‘Ath’ath said: The cook placed the repast before al-Mutawakkil, whereupon he set about eating voraciously, inviting Mārid to eat with him. The caliph was drunk, and when he finished part of his food he recommenced drinking.

‘Ath’ath reported that Abū Aḥmad b. al-Mutawakkil [al-Mutawaffaq], brother of al-Mu’ayyad on his mother’s side, was with them in the audience hall. He rose to go to the privy, but Bugha al-Sharabi had locked all the doors except the one that led to the riverbank, through which the group that was designated to kill the caliph entered. Seeing them, Abū Aḥmad bellowed at them, “What is this, low lifes!” At that point their swords were already drawn.

‘Ath’ath went on: At the head of the band that set about to assassinate the caliph were Baghlūn, the Turk; Bāghir; Mūsā b. Bugha; Ḥārūn b. Suwārategin; and Bughā al-Sharābi.605 When al-Mutawakkil heard the cry of Abū Aḥmad, he raised his head, and seeing the group he asked, “What is this, Bugha?” The latter replied, “These are the men of the patrol who have put up for the night at the door of my lord, the Commander of the Faithful.” The group withdrew to the rear when they heard al-Mutawakkil

604. For al-khadam al-khāṣṣah [khawāṣṣ], see Hilal al-Ṣabi, Rusūm, 27, 80, 91; tr. Salem, 26, 65, 73. Inter alia they stand around and behind the caliphal throne. A Mārid al-Khāḍim is mentioned, op. cit., 73; tr., 60.

605. For Baghlūn the Turk, see Fragmenta, 556; Herzfeld, Samarra, 208. Bāghir, or Bāghar, the Turk, Abū Muḥammad, was killed in 251 (865–66; Ṭabarî, III, 1535–38 [Saliba, 28ff.]). The story connects with events in our text. See also Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 263, 268, 269, 271–72; Tanbih, 362. Bāghir is often singled out as the main assassin. The assassination scene is described, for instance, by Ya‘qūbī, Ta’rikh, II, 601–02; Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 271–74; Tanbih, 362; Fragmenta, 554–57; Yaqūt, Istīḥād, VI, 117. Ya‘qūbī mentions among the assassins Bughā the Younger, Utāmīsh, Bāghir, Baghlūn, Wājin, Kindāsh [and two other names that are unclear]. Mas‘ūdī, Murūj, VII, 271, mentions only Bāghir, accompanied by ten anonymous Turks.
speak to Bugha. Neither Wajin\(^{606}\) and his companions nor the sons of Waṣīf were with them as yet.

`Ath'ath reported: I heard Bugha say to them, "Low lifes, you are as good as dead, so at least die with honor," whereupon the group [of assassins] returned to the audience hall. Baghlūn rushed over to al-Mutawakkil and struck him with a blow upon his shoulder and his ear, cutting it off. Al-Mutawakkil cried out, "Hold it! May God cut off your hand!" Then the caliph tried to throw himself upon Baghlūn. The latter blocked him with his hand, which the caliph removed. Bāghir then came to Baghlūn's support.

Al-Fath called out, "Woe to all of you! [This is the] Commander of the Faithful." To this Bugha replied, "Why don't you shut up, you idiot!" Al-Fath then shielded al-Mutawakkil, but Hārūn slit him open with his sword, whereupon he cried out "Death!" Hārūn and Müsā b. Bugha pounced upon al-Mutawakkil with their swords. They killed him and sliced him to pieces. `Ath'ath was struck by a blow on his head. A young servant (khādim) who was with al-Mutawakkil slipped under the curtain [of the harem] and thus was saved. The rest fled.\(^{607}\)

Bunān reported: [The assassins] had approached Waṣīf and said to him, "Be with us. We fear that our plan will not work and we'll be killed." He replied, "Have no fear." They went on, "So send with us some of your sons," whereupon he sent with them five of his sons—Ṣāliḥ, Ahmad, `Abdallah, Naṣr, and `Ubaydallāh\(^{608}\)—so that they were able to accomplish their plan.

It is reported on the authority of Zurqān, the deputy of Zurāfah over the gatekeepers, and others that, when al-Muntaṣir took Zurāfah by the hand and led him from the palace and the group of assassins entered, 'Ath'ath looked at them and said to al-Mutawakkil, "We have gotten rid of the lions, snakes, and scorpions only to fall prey to the swords." The basis for this comment was

---

606. Šüli adds al-Ṣughdi; cf. III, 1306 [Marin, Reign, 113], where he is called Wajin al-Ushrusani. Herzfeld, Samarra, 208, vocalizes Wajān.


608. Ṣalih b. Waṣīf continued to play a role as a military figure in the following decades; d. 256 [III, 1832]. Ahmad b. Waṣīf is mentioned once again [Ṭabarī, III, 1833].
that al-Mutawakkil occasionally [amused himself] by inciting\textsuperscript{609} snakes, scorpions, and lions [against prey].

When 'Ath'ath mentioned swords, al-Mutawakkil asked him, "Woe to you, what are you saying?"\textsuperscript{610} He had just finished making his remark when the assassins burst in. Al-Fath confronted them,\textsuperscript{611} "Get out of here, you dogs, get out!" Bughā al-Sharābī rushed over to him and split open his belly with a sword. The others pounced upon al-Mutawakkil. 'Ath'ath fled headlong. Abū Ahmad was in his chamber, and when he heard the commotion he came out and shielded his father. Baghlūn rushed up to him and struck him twice. When Abū Ahmad saw the swords overtaking him, he exited, abandoning them.

The group of assassins went out to al-Muntasir and hailed him as caliph, informing him that the Commander of the Faithful had died. Brandishing swords over Zurāfah, they urged him to render the oath of allegiance, whereupon he did so. At this point, al-Muntasir sent a message to Waṣīf saying, "Al-Fath assassinated my father, so I killed him in turn. Come with your eminent companions," whereupon Waṣīf and his companions presented themselves and rendered the oath of allegiance.

'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā was in his chamber executing affairs and thus knew nothing of the [assassination by] the group.

It is reported, however, that a Turkish woman delivered a note disclosing what the group had planned. Accordingly, the note came to the attention of 'Ubaydallāh, who consulted al-Fath about it. The matter [first] came to the attention of Abū Nūḥ 'Īsā b. Ibrāhīm,\textsuperscript{612} secretary of al-Fath b. Khāqān, who communicated it to al-Fath. They concurred that al-Mutawakkil should be kept in the dark so as to preserve his cheerfulness, being loath to spoil his day. They did not take the plot of this group seriously, confident that no one would dare or be able to carry it out.

It is also reported that Abū Nūḥ planned to flee on that very

\textsuperscript{609} For the verb \textit{ashlā} in this sense, see Lane, \textit{Lexicon}, 1592; Ahsan, \textit{Social Life}, 214–16.

\textsuperscript{610} Leiden Ms. O and Cairo edition Ms. A add: \textit{ay suyūf} = "that is, swords," and then \textit{qāla "He said"}, as though resuming the narrative.

\textsuperscript{611} Text: \textit{fa-qāla bihum}; Ms. O: \textit{fa-ṣāha bihim} = "He shouted at them."

\textsuperscript{612} See \textit{Fragmenta}, 556, l. 4: Ibn Nūḥ. He died in 255 (868–69); Tabari, III, 1723. And see Sourdel, \textit{Vizirat}, 297–99, 304, 317, n. 4; 323, n. 6; 735.
night, while 'Ubaydallah was poring over his work, executing affairs. Ja'far b. Hāmid was in front of him when one of the servants (khadām) suddenly intruded and said, "Why are you sitting, my master?" He asked, "What's the matter?" The servant replied, "The palace is a single sword."

'Ubaydallah ordered Ja'far to go out, which he did. He then returned and informed 'Ubaydallah that the Commander of the Faithful and al-Fath had been assassinated, whereupon 'Ubaydallah left with his servants (khadām) who were with him and his personal entourage (khāṣṣah). He discovered that the doors were locked, and so headed in the direction of the riverbank, but the doors [leading to it] were also locked. He ordered that the [doors] on the side of the riverbank be forced open, whereupon three doors were forced, permitting him to exit to the riverbank. He went down into a skiff and took his place in it, accompanied by Ja'far b. Hāmid and a page of his. 'Ubaydallah then traveled to the residence of al-Mu'tazz and asked for him, but did not find him. He remarked, "We belong to God and to Him we return." It is my death and the caliph's. And he lamented for him.

'Ubaydallah's companions rallied to him on Wednesday morning, including the Abnā', Persians, Armenians, street thugs (zawāqil), Arab tribesmen, outlaws (ša'ālik) and others. There was a difference of opinion concerning their number. Some of the informants said that there were about 20,000 horsemen. Others stated that he had 13,000 men with him, and still others claimed that he had 13,000 bridles (horses) with him. Those who gave a low count put it somewhere between 5,000 and 10,000 men.

613. Ms. O adds al-sulṭān (that is, affairs of the central government), probably as explanation.
614. See Sourdel, Vizirat, 284, n. 4 (concerning our text).
615. Ms. O has: "He went out, looked and then returned . . . ," which makes the text clearer.
616. Text: fa-ṣāra ila. Leiden Ms. O: fa-nazala fi, which is preferred here.
617. The zawraq is a popular small craft that was often used in the rivers and canals of Iraq; Kindermann, "Schiff," 37.
618. See Qur'ān 2:151 and parallels.
619. The Abnā' [al-Dawla] were a military contingent in Baghdad; see EI², s.v.; and especially Lassner, Shaping, 129–36.
620. The bracketed words are added from Ms. O and from Cairo edition Ms. A.
They said to 'Ubaydallāh, "You have taken us as your protégés precisely for this kind of day; order us, then, to assail the group of assassins and kill al-Muntasir, the Turks, and his other associates. He refused this, commenting, "This is not the way of handling the situation, as our man is in their hands," meaning al-Mu'tazz.

It is reported on the authority of 'Ali b. Yahyā al-Munajjim: I was reading to al-Mutawakkil, a few days before he was killed, one of the books of the Malāḥim. Coming to a passage in the book stating that the tenth caliph would be killed in his audience hall, I stopped reading and put the book aside. The caliph asked me, "What is the matter that you have stopped?" I answered that it was all right. He said, "By God, you must read it." I then read it but refrained from mentioning the caliphs. Al-Mutawakkil commented, "I wish I knew who this poor fellow is who is going to be killed."

It is reported on the authority of Salamah b. Sa'id al-Naṣrānī that al-Mutawakkil saw Ashūt b. Ḥamzah al-Armani a few days before he was killed. The caliph grumbled about having an audience with Ashūt and ordered that he be evicted. When asked whether he was satisfied with Ashūt's service, he replied, "Yes, indeed, but I dreamt a few nights ago that I had been riding him, when he turned to me, his head becoming like that of a mule, and said to me, 'How much longer [do you suppose] you will molest us? Only a few days remain until the end of your appointed time of fifteen years.'" Salamah said: It tallied with the number of days [remaining of] his caliphate.

It is reported on the authority of Ibn Abī Rabī'ī as follows: I dreamt that a man entered by way of the Rastan Gate on a
heifer, his face to the desert steppe and his back to the town, reciting:

O eyes, woe to you, shed copious tears.\(^{626}\)

The killing of al-Mutawakkil means resurrection is nigh.\(^{627}\)

Hubshi b. Abi Rab`i reportedly died two years before al-Mutawakkil was assassinated.

It is reported on the authority of Muḥammad b. Sa`īd—Abū al-Wārith, the judge of Naṣibin: I dreamt that someone came to me saying:

O sleepy-eyed in a body that is awake,
Why do your eyes not weep copiously?\(^{628}\)

Have you not seen what fate’s trials have done
With the Ḥāshimite and al-Fath b. Khāqān?

[1465] They will be succeeded by their own people who betrayed them
Until they too disappear like yesterday.\(^{629}\)

After a few days, the postal courier conveyed the news that both the caliph and al-Fath had been assassinated.

Abū Ja`far said: Al-Mutawakkil was assassinated an hour after dark on Wednesday night, 4 Shawwāl (December 11, 861). It is also said that he was killed on Thursday night. His caliphate had lasted fourteen years, ten months and three days at the time when he was assassinated. On the day of his murder, he was allegedly forty years old. Al-Mutawakkil was born in Fam al-$īlḥ\(^{630}\) in Shawwāl 206 (February 27–March 27, 822). He had a light tan complexion, attractive eyes, and a sparse beard; he was slender.\(^{631}\)

---

\(^{626}\) The second hemistich reads in the Leiden text: bi-l-dam` saḥḥan wa-`sbili (for wa-asbili). Šūlī and Ibn Badrūn, Sharḥ, 257: bi-l-dam` minki wa-asbili.

\(^{627}\) Text: al-qiyāmah. Šūlī has al-maniyyah = “fate,” “death.”


\(^{629}\) Ms. O, Ibn al-Athir, and Šūlī omit this verse.

\(^{630}\) Fam al-$īlḥ is on the east bank of the Tigris River, at the mouth (fam) of the $īlḥ Canal, north of Wāṣīt; Le Strange, Lands, 28, 38.

\(^{631}\) For asmar as light-brown complexion, see Fischer, Farb- und Formbezeichungen, 36ff. The term is probably used to depict the color of skin expressed below (see n. 656) by āṣfar. A portrait of al-Mutawakkil, from a coin or medallion
Some Things about al-Mutawakkil
and His Way of Life

It is reported on the authority of Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb Abū al-
Simṭː632 I recited to the Commander of the Faithful a poem about
him in which I mentioned the Rāfiḍah.633 He appointed me as
governor of al-Bahrayn and al-Yamamah and bestowed four robes
upon me in the Public Audience Hall. Al-Muntasir bestowed a
robe upon me and ordered that I be given 3,000 dinārs, whereupon
they were sprinkled on my head. Al-Mutawakkil ordered his son
al-Muntasir and Saʿd al-Ītākhi to collect them for me so that I
would not handle any of them. They collected them, and I de-
parted with the coins.

Marwān said that the poem which he recited about the caliph
wasː634

The kingdom of the caliph Jaʿfar
Bodes well-being for this world and the next.

Yours is the heritage of Muḥammad,
And by your justice oppression is banished.

The daughters’ sons635 hope for the heritage,
But they do not have a whit of it.

The son-in-law636 is not an heir,
And the daughter does not inherit the caliphate.

[1466]

---

632. Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb Abū al-Simṭ was a panegyrist who served in the
courts of al-Maʿmūn, al-Muʿtaṣim, al-Wāḥiq, and al-Mutawakkil; d. 240 (854–55);
Iṣfahānī, Aghānī, XI, 2–6; Sezgin, GAS, II, 582.
633. That is, Imāmī Shiʿites.
634. On this important poem, see C. E. Bosworth, Al-Maqrizī’s “Book of Con-
tention,” 21; Goldziher, Muslim Studies, II, 98–101.
635. That is, the descendants of Fāṭimah (and ‘Alī).
Those who pretend to your legacy
Have only regret.

The deserving have received the inheritance,
So what does your reproach indicate?637

If you had a right to the caliphate,638
The resurrection would come to mankind.

The heritage is not for any but you,639
No, by God, and neither is honor,

I have come to show
The distinction between those who love and those who hate you.

After this, he sprinkled upon my head 10,000 dirhams for a poem I recited in the same vein.

It is related on the authority of Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb: When al-Mutawakkil became caliph, I sent to Ibn Abī Du’ād a panegyrical ode in which I eulogized him. At the end of the ode were two verses in which I mentioned the affair of Ibn al-Zayyāt, namely:

I was told that al-Zayyāt met his fate,
So I said that God has brought me conquest and victory.

Al-Zayyāt dug a pit with treachery
And was cast into it by falseness and betrayal.640

Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb continued: When Ibn Abī Du’ād received the ode, he mentioned it to al-Mutawakkil and recited the two verses to him. Al-Mutawakkil ordered that Marwān be presented to him. Ibn Abī Du’ād replied that he was in al-Yamāmah, where al-Wāthiq had banished him because of his affection for the Commander of the Faithful [al-Mutawakkil]. Al-Mutawakkil

637. "Your" here refers to the 'Alids.
638. Text: laḥā. Ms. O; Cairo edition, Ms. A; Ibn al-Athīr: lamā. See also Addenda, DCCLXXVI, and Glossarium, CDXL. The verse is addressed to the 'Alids. I take laḥā as referring to the caliphate.
639. Here "you" refers to the 'Abbāsids in general.
640. Şūli has: "The betrayal, which he intended, cast him into it."
called for Marwân to be presented. Ibn Abî Du’âd replied that Marwân was in debt, and al-Mutawakkil then asked how much it was. He answered that it amounted to 6,000 dinârs. Al-Mutawakkil then gave orders that the money be given to Marwân, and so it was. After that, Marwân was brought from al-Yamâmah to Sa-маркâ, where he eulogized al-Mutawakkil in an ode:

Youth has departed, would that it had not.  
Old age has come, would that it had not.

And when he came to the following two verses of the ode, al-Mutawakkil ordered that he be given 50,000 dirhams:

The caliphate of Ja’far resembles prophecy,  
It came without his seeking it or laying claim.641

God gave him the caliphate as  
He gave prophecy to the apostle.

It is reported on the authority of Abû Yahyâ b. Marwân b. Muḥammad al-Shannâl-Kalbi642—Abû al-Simâr Marwân b. Abî al-Janûb: When I went to the Commander of the Faithful al-Muta-  

wakkil ‘alâ–llâh, I eulogized the heirs apparent and recited to  

him.643

May God water Najd, and peace be upon Najd,  
How lovely is Najd in spite of distance.644

I looked to Najd, and Baghdad is far away.  
Perhaps I shall see Najd, but O Najd!

In Najd there is a group who desire my visit,  
And nothing is more pleasant than their visiting me.645

---

641. That is, borrowing from another people.  
642. Ms. O: Abû Yahyâ Hammâd b. Muhammâd. Süli: “I was informed by  
Fatûb b. Mahmûd b. Marwân—his father [Mahmûd]—his father Marwân.” Fatûb  
was thus the grandson of the poet Marwân b. Abî al-Janûb. Fatûb—or rather  
Mutawwajî—was a poet in the court of al-Muktafi; ibn al-Nadîm, Fihrist,  
354; Sezgin, GAS, II, 582 [Marzubâni, Muwashshâh, 303].  
644. Süli has “from near and far.”  
645. Süli omits the last verse but gives nineteen others, according to the note in  
the Leiden edition.
Marwân said: When I finished reciting the poem he ordered that I be given 120,000 dirhams, fifty gowns, and three mounts: a horse, a mule, and a donkey. I did not depart before reciting in gratitude.  

The Lord of mankind has chosen Jaʿfar for them,
Electing him to rule over them.

Marwân continued: When I came to the following verse, that is,

Restrain your generosity,
I fear I shall become overweaning—

Al-Mutawakkil exclaimed: “No, by God, I shall not hold back until I flood you with my bounty, nor shall you depart without stating a wish.” I said: “O Commander of the Faithful, Ibn al-Mudabbir noted that the estate in al-Yamāmah, which you gave orders to present me as a fief, is waqf property that al-Muʿtāsim gave to his offspring, and thus it may not be bestowed as a fief.” Al-Mutawakkil replied, “I’ll hold you responsible for a dirham a year for one hundred years.” I replied, “It is not proper, O Commander of the Faithful, that one dirham be paid to the bureau.” Ibn al-Mudabbir then asked if 1,000 dirhams could be paid over one hundred years, and I said yes, whereupon al-Mutawakkil handed it over to me and to my descendants.

Following that, the caliph remarked, “This is not a wish; it is a liability.” I replied, “Al-Wāthiq ordered that the estates that I owned be granted to me as fiefs, but Ibn al-Zayyāt banished me, preventing me from taking possession of them, so deliver them to me.” The caliph then ordered that they be handed over for one hundred dirhams per annum. They are the Suyūḥ.  

---

646. See Isfahānī, Aghānī, XI, 3.
647. Text: uʿarrifaka = “make you familiar with.” The note in the Leiden edition suggests the reading ugharriqaka, which is followed here.
649. Waqf is property that is inalienable, the profits from which go to charitable purposes.
650. He would thereby be paying a symbolic rent, and the waqf property would not be alienated.
651. The Suyūḥ were villages in al-Yamāmah that did not accept a peace treaty with Khālid b. al-Walid when Musaylimah was defeated; Yāqūt, Muʿjam, III, 222.
It is reported on the authority of Abū Ḥashishah:652 Al-Maʾmūn used to say, “The caliph after me will have an ‘ayn in his name.” It was assumed that it was his son al-ʿAbbās, and it turned out to be al-Muʿtaṣīm.653 He would say that after him there would be a hāʾ. It was thought that it was Hārūn,654 and it turned out to be al-Wāthīq. He would say that after him would be someone with yellow legs. It was surmised that it was Abū al-Ḥa-ʔ-āʾīz al-ʿAbbās,655 and it turned out to be al-Mutawakkil. I have seen him expose his legs when he sat on the throne, and they were yellow like the color of saffron.656

It is reported on the authority of Yaḥyā b. Aktham: I once attended the court of al-Mutawakkil when we mentioned al-Maʾmūn and his letters to al-Hasan b. Sahl. I went on quite a bit praising al-Hasan and depicting his merits, knowledge, learning, and intelligence, but none of those present concurred. Al-Mutawakkil asked what al-Hasan b. Sahl’s view of the Qurʾān was.

I replied: He used to say, “Beyond the Qurʾān there is no need for further knowledge of precepts; beyond the Sunnah of the Messenger there is no need for a single further action; and beyond clarification and exposition there is no need for further learning. And after rejection of demonstration and truth there are only swords to manifest proof.” Al-Mutawakkil then said to Yaḥyā, “This is not what I wanted from you.” To this Yahyā replied, “One who claims to be charitable should cite only the good qualities of someone who is absent.”

The caliph now asked, “And what was al-Hasan accustomed to say during his discourse? For al-Muʿtaṣīm bi-llāh—may God have mercy upon him—used to recall it, but I have forgotten.” Yahyā replied, “He used to say, ‘O God, I praise you for the favors that

---

652. Abū Ḥashishah Muḥammad b. ‘Ali b. Umayyah b. ‘Amr al-Ṭūbūrī was a court musician (a tunburist) and poet, who entertained in caliphal courts from the time of al-Maʾmūn to al-Muʿtamīd; Farmer, History, 158; Neubauer, Musiker, 196; Sezgin, GAS, II, 608.
653. For al-ʿAbbās b. Maʾmūn, see Bosworth, Reunification, Index, 267. He died in prison at Manbij in 223; see Tabarī, III, 1257f. [Marin, Reign, 76f.]; Zambauer, Manuel, 4, 28, 32. Al-Muʿtaṣīm was al-Maʾmūn’s brother.
654. Hārūn, son of al-Maʾmūn.
656. Asfar means yellow or light-colored, and is the color by which the Arabs often described persons of Byzantine or European descent; See EI2 s.v. It is probably intended to render what we call today “white.” See also above, n. 631.
657. For waḥshah in the sense of necessity, see Glossarium, DLII.
only You can count, and I take refuge with You from sins that only Your pardon encompasses.'"

Al-Mutawakkil went on asking, "And what used he to say by way of approval or upon receiving some good news? Al-Mu'tasim bi-llâh had ordered 'Ali b. Yazdâd to write it down for us. He did so and taught it to us, but we have forgotten." Yahyâ replied that he would say, "Mentioning God's blessings and disseminating them, and counting his benefits and recounting them, is a precept which God has imposed upon their recipients, and is obeying His command regarding them and gratitude to Him for them. Praise be to God, Whose blessings are great and Whose favor is abundant, praise commensurate with His goodness that determines what is due Him and entails utmost gratitude to Him, thanks to His constant favor, kindness, and permanence—praise by someone who knows that this is from Him and gratitude to Him." Al-Mutawakkil said, "You are right. These are his very words, and all this is wisdom [which comes] from someone with experience and knowledge." The session then ended.

This year Muhammad b. 'Abdallah b. Tihîr came to Baghdad, having left Mecca in Safar (April 16-May 14, 861). He complained that he was disturbed by the disagreement concerning the Day of Sacrifice. Al-Mutawakkil therefore ordered that a yellow mail pouch be delivered from the gate [of the Caliphal Palace] to the celebrants of the festive season as to viewing the new moon of Dhu al-Hijjah, and that it be sent, as is the mail pouch that comes at the farewell ceremony of the festive season. He also ordered that wax be used instead of olive oil and naphtha for the pilgrimage station of Muzdalifah and other pilgrimage shrines.

In this year, al-Mutawakkil's mother died in al-Jâfariyyah on 6 Rabi' II (June 19, 861). Al-Muntaqir offered the funeral prayer, and she was buried at the Friday Mosque.

In this year, the caliphal oath of allegiance was rendered to Muhammad b. Ja'far, on Wednesday, 4 Shawwâl (December 11,

---

659. A kharîlah is a leather pouch or envelope used for official mail; Hilâl al-Šâbi, Rusûm, 17, 126, 127; tr. Salem, 21, 103.
660. Ms. O has Rabi' I.
861] or, it is said, on 3 Shawwāl (December 10). He was twenty-five years old, and his teknonym was Abū Jaʿfar. This was in al-Jaʿfariyyah, where he stayed for ten days after the oath of allegiance was rendered. He then withdrew from there with his family, officers, and troops for Sāmarrā.
The Caliphate of al-Muntasir
Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar
Those whom we have mentioned previously gave al-Muntasir the oath of allegiance on Wednesday night. It is reported on the authority of some(one) of them: On Wednesday morning the notables were present in al-Ja`fariyyah, including the army commanders, secretaries, eminent men, Shākiriyah, regular troops, and others. Ahmad b. al-Khašib read to them a letter reporting in the name of the Commander of the Faithful al-Muntasir that al-Fath b. Khāqān had assassinated al-Muntasir's father, Ja`far al-Mutawakkil, and that al-Muntasir therefore killed al-Fath in turn. The notables gave the oath of allegiance. `Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān was present. He gave the oath of allegiance and then departed.

It is reported on the authority of Abū `Uthmān Sa`īd the Younger: On the night that al-Mutawakkil was assassinated we were in the palace with al-Muntasir. Whenever al-Fath went out, al-Muntasir accompanied him. And when he returned, al-Muntasir would rise or sit as al-Fath did, and then exit in his wake. Whenever al-Fath rode out, al-Muntasir held his stirrup and adjusted his attire on the saddle of his mount.

We received information that `Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā had sta-
tioned a group of men along al-Muntaṣir’s way to assassinate him when he departed. Al-Mutawakkil had reviled and vexed al-Muntaṣir before he left. Offended by the caliph, al-Muntaṣir went out in anger, we along with him. When al-Muntaṣir reached his palace, he sent a message to his boon companions and inner circle of notables—he had arranged with the Turks before he departed to kill al-Mutawakkil while he was intoxicated from the wine.

Saʿīd the Younger went on: It was not long before a messenger arrived to summon me, informing me that emissaries of the Commander of the Faithful had come to the amir al-Muntaṣir while he was riding. Our previous discussion came to my mind, that is, they were going to assassinate al-Muntaṣir, and this is why he was being summoned. I rode along, armed and prepared, and came to the gate of the amir, where I found the men agitated. For Wajin had come to him and informed him of al-Mutawakkil’s demise, at which point he rode off. Alarmed, I caught up with him on one of the roads.

Realizing the state I was in, al-Muntaṣir said, “Don’t worry. After we departed, the Commander of the Faithful choked on his drinking cup and died, may God have mercy upon him.” I was overwhelmed and distressed by this. We proceeded—Ahmad b. al-Khaṣib and a contingent of the commanders who were with us—until we entered al-Ḥayr, and the news of al-Mutawakkil’s murder followed us. The gates were seized and put in charge of guards.

I called out, “O, Commander of the Faithful,” saluting al-Muntaṣir as caliph. I went on to say, “We should not leave you to the mercy of your mawlās at this time.”

He said, “By all means. You and Sulaymān al-Rūmī stay behind me.” A piece of cloth was put down for him, which he then sat on, while we stood about him. Ahmad b. al-Khaṣib and his secre-

661. Text: qad fazi′a min amrīhi. Cairo edition: qad faragha min amrīhi [lit. “had finished his task” or the like; followed here]. See also Addenda, DCCLXXVI.
662. A ḥayr is a park, enclosure, or pleasure garden. The al-Ḥayr section of Sāmarrā was, according to Herzfeld, Sāmarrā, 100, between the Jawṣaq Palace, the Great Mosque of al-Mutawakkil, and the site of modern Sāmarrā. See Yaʿqūbi, Buldān, 258 = Wiet, Les pays, 50, 261–63, 53–55, 265/58, Creswell, Early Muslim Architecture, II, 229f., 241, 254. Al-Ḥayr was also the name given to the outer thoroughfare of the city.
663. That is, the Turks.
tary Sa'id b. Ḥamid were present so as to render the oath of allegiance.

It is reported on the authority of Sa'id b. Ḥamid that 'Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣib said to him, "Woe to you, O Sa'id, don't you have two or three words by which the oath of allegiance may be rendered?" I answered, "Yes, and still more words." So I drew up the document of the oath of allegiance and administered it to those who were present and to all who came until Sa'id the Elder arrived.

[Al-Muntaṣir] then sent the oath of allegiance to al-Mu'ayyad and told Sa'id the Younger to go summon al-Mu'tazz.

Sa'id the Younger reported: I said to him, "You shall not remain, O Commander of the Faithful, with less than the men who are [now] with you; and, by God, I shall not depart from behind you until the notables assemble." 'Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣib then said, "There is someone here who is your equal, so go." I said, "I shall not go until enough men have assembled. I am at the moment more fit to serve him than you are." Then, when the number of army commanders rendering the oath of allegiance grew, I left in a state of despair. There were two pages with me. When I came to the gate of Abu Nūḥ, the notables were coming and going in a state of agitation, for a great crowd with arms and equipment was at the gate.

When they became aware of me, one of their horsemen came over. He asked me who I was, for he did not know me. I gave him a cryptic reply about myself, telling him that I was one of al-Fath's men. I proceeded to the gate of al-Mu'tazz, but did not find there any of the guards, gatekeepers or beggars, nor any folk, until I came to the great gate. I knocked quite loudly but was answered only after some time. Asked who was there, I replied, "Sa'id the Younger, emissary of the Commander of the Faithful..."
al-Muntasir." The messenger went away and left me waiting. I felt rejected and at a loss. But he then opened the gate and Baydun al-Khadiim667 came out, bade me enter, and locked the gate behind me. I thought to myself that I was surely finished.

Baydun then asked me for news, and I informed him that the Commander of the Faithful had choked on his cup of drink and died on the spot, that the notables had assembled and rendered the oath of allegiance to al-Muntasir, and that he dispatched me to the amir Abü 'Abdallāh al-Mu'tazz bi-llāh to summon him to attend the oath-of-allegiance ceremony. He went in and then came out to me and said that I should enter, whereupon I was admitted to al-Mu'tazz.

Al-Mu'tazz said to me, "Woe unto you, O Sa'īd, what news is there?" So I told him more or less what I had told Baydun. I offered condolences to him and wept. I said, "Attend, my lord, and be among the first to give the oath of allegiance, thus gaining your brother's good will." He replied, "Woe unto you, [let us wait] until the morning." I kept diverting him,668 with Baydun al-Khadiim lending a hand, until he prepared himself for the public prayer. He called for his clothing, got dressed, and a horse was brought out for him. He rode off, I along with him, avoiding the main road. I set about talking to him, trying to ease the situation for him. I kept reminding him of things he knew about his brother until we arrived at the gate of 'Ubaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān. When al-Mu'tazz asked me about him, I replied that he would render the oath of allegiance with the notables, and that al-Fath had already rendered the oath of allegiance, at which point he was relieved.669

A horseman caught up with us and went to Baydūn al-Khādīm, confiding to him something I did not catch. Baydūn shouted at him, whereupon he went away, only to return three times. Each time Baydūn drove him away, shouting at him, "Leave us," until

---

667. See Isfahānī, Aghānī, IX, 118f. When Mutawakkil arrested 'Alī b. al-Jahm, Baydūn went over to Qabiḥah. Herzfeld, Samarra, 212–13, says that he was the trusted servant of Qabiḥah and al-Mu'tazz.
668. For fa-mā ziltu aftiluhu al-ḥabla wa-l-ğarib in this sense, see Glossarium, CCCXCVII, Lane, Lexicon, 2333.
we came to the gate of al-Hayr. I called for it to be opened. Asked who I was, I replied, "Sa'īd the Younger, with the amīr al-Mu'tazz," whereupon the gate was opened for me.

We went to al-Muntasir. Seeing al-Mu'tazz, he invited him to approach, embraced him, consoled him, and took the oath of allegiance from him. Then al-Mu'ayyad arrived with Sa'īd the Elder, and al-Muntasir did the same with him. When the notables arose in the morning,670 al-Muntasir went to the Ja'fari [Palace] and ordered that al-Mutawakkil and al-Fath be buried, and the notables671 settled down.

Sa'īd the Younger said: I kept on demanding that al-Mu'tazz welcome the caliphate of al-Muntasir while he was immured in the palace until he gave me 10,000 dirhams.672

The text of the oath of allegiance that was rendered for al-Muntasir was as follows:673

In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate.
You render the oath of allegiance to the servant of God, al-Muntasir bi-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, in obedience and contentment, with devotion, acceptance and sincere intention, not compelled but rather consenting, aware of what confirmation of this oath of allegiance entails, namely, obeying and fearing God and exalting the religion of God and His truth, the general welfare of God's servants, uniting the community, reforming affairs, tranquility of the multitude, a secure future, power674 of friends, repression of renegades.

You are obligated to obedience and sincerity, and faithfulness to the rights and investiture of Muḥammad al-Imām, al-Muntasir bi-llāh, the Servant of God and his

---

670. Text: wa-āṣbaḥa al-nās. But this is strange and is unrelated to their settling down. One expects a verb such as tabarraka or īḍtaraba ["were agitated"]. See also below, 1479 [p. 202]; and cf. Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 68, ll. 8–9.
671. Text: li-nās. Read li-l-nās; see also Addenda, DCCLXXVI.
673. See the similar text in Tabārī, III, 1545f. (Saliba, 35f.).
674. Text: wa-'izz. O: wa-'izzah = "glory."
caliph. You shall not doubt, deceive, deviate, or have misgivings.
You shall heed him and give support, loyalty, and good counsel, secretly and openly, as well as ready devotion, to all that the servant of God, al-Imām al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, orders.
You shall be allies of his friends and foes of his enemies, elite and common, far and near. You shall adhere to his oath of allegiance, in loyalty to [his] investiture, in responsibility for the covenant. Your heart shall be like your speech, your mind like your tongue, willing what the Commander of the Faithful wishes for you now and in the future.
After undertaking this oath of allegiance to the Commander of the Faithful on your souls, confirming it on your necks, you shall render him your solemn oath, willing, obeying, with integrity of heart and intention.
You shall not be permitted to violate anything that God has enjoined upon you.
No one among you shall deviate in this from support, devotion, good counsel, and friendship.
You shall not substitute [anything for this], and no one among you shall go back on his intention and deviate from his public position.
Your oath of allegiance, which you have sworn, is such that God will examine your hearts [to ensure] your commitment and loyalty to its promise and your devotion to supporting it and assisting its recipient.
No defect, circumventing, artifice, or interpretation on your part shall adulterate this until the time when you meet God. You shall fulfill His covenant and carry out His claim upon you, not being presumptuous, nor infringing [the oath of allegiance]. For those of you who render an oath of allegiance to the Commander of the

675. Text: al-khufaf wa-l-wuqaf; see Glossarium, CCXXVII.
676. Text: niyyatihi. See 1546, l. 20, in parallel text: bay'atihi.
677. Text: wa-i'tiqadihā. See 1547, l. 2; wa-i'timādihā.
678. Text: mustashrifin. See 1547, l. 5: mustarbin.
Faithful are actually rendering an oath of allegiance to God. God's hand is above your hands. Whoever violates [the oath] only violates his own interests. And whoever fulfills what God has imposed upon him shall be given a great award.

You are obligated by this, [namely] by this oath of allegiance that has been imposed upon you, through which you rendered your solemn vow, and by which loyalty, support, friendship, and sincerity are laid upon you. The divine covenant is incumbent upon you, for His covenant demands responsibility, and the compact of God and His messenger. God has most strictly enjoined His prophets and messengers, and every one of His servants, in imposing His contracts, that you heed this oath of allegiance, that you do not substitute [anything for this], that you obey and not disobey, that you are sincerely devoted without reservation, and that you adhere to what you promised, just as those bound to obedience obey, and those bound to a contract and loyalty remain steadfast in their loyalty and obligation. No whim or distraction shall divert you from this. And no error shall divert you from right guidance, while you expend your souls and effort, fulfilling the obligation of religion and obedience by means of your commitment. God will only accept from you loyalty to this oath of allegiance.

Whoever has rendered the oath of allegiance to the Commander of the Faithful and then violates what he has affirmed, secretly or overtly, explicitly or deviously, deceiving with regard to his devotion to God, his commitment to the compacts of the Commander of the Faithful, and the divine covenants laid upon him, using levity rather than seriousness, reliance upon falsehood rather than defending the truth, departing from the manner of
honorable men in their oaths—all that the betrayer owns who violates God's covenant in any way, whether money or immovable property, freely grazing livestock, or agriculture or stock farming, will become charity for the poor for the sake of God. It is forbidden for him to restore deceitfully anything of this to his property. And whatever benefit he has for the rest of his life from property of little or great value shall be treated in this way, until his fate overtakes him and his appointed time comes upon him. Every slave (mamlūk) that he owns today up to thirty years of age, whether male or female, is free for the sake of God. And his wives on the day that the sin adheres to him and whomever he marries after them up to thirty years of age are divorced definitely by a legally approved divorce, in which there is no exception or taking back. He is obligated to go to the sacred house of God for thirty pilgrimages. God will only accept from him fulfillment of this. He is quit of responsibility to God and His messenger, and God and His messenger are quit of responsibility to him. God will not accept from him any substitute [for this]. God is your witness in this, and God is a sufficient witness.

The following is reported: On the morning when al-Muntaṣir was given the oath of allegiance, the news spread in al-Maḥüzah—it is the administrative center that Jaʿfar al-Mutawakkil had built—and among the inhabitants of Samarra concerning the murder of Jaʿfar. At that time, the troops and the Shakiriyah, as well as others from among the rabble and commoners, came to the Public Gate in the Jaʿfari Palace. Many people gathered,
word got around among them, and they rode to one another discussing the oath of allegiance.

'Attāb b. 'Attāb went out to them—or it is said that it was Zurāfah who did so—and told them something they would like concerning al-Muntaṣir, but they let him hear something offensive. He then went in to al-Muntaṣir and informed him, whereupon the latter came out, with a number of Maghāribah before him. He shouted to them, "O those dogs, seize them." So they attacked the people and pushed them toward the three gates. The people were crushed and fell upon one another. They then scattered, [retreating] from several who had died from the crowding and trampling. Some said that six individuals [died], and some said that there were between three and six.

In this year, one day after the oath of allegiance was rendered to him, al-Muntaṣir appointed Abū 'Amrah Abīhmad b. Sa‘īd, mawlā of Banū Hāshim, to the mażalim [court]. Someone recited:

O domain of Islam, when Abū 'Amrah
Is appointed over public complaints
He is entrusted over a nation,
While he could not be entrusted even with dung.

In Dhū al-Hijjah, 247 [February 5–March 6, 862], al-Muntaṣir brought 'Ali b. al-Mu‘taṣim690 from Sāmarrā to Baghdad and placed him under custody.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Zaynabī.
One of the events was al-Muntasir's dispatching Waṣīf the Turk on the summer expedition to Byzantine territory.

**The Reason for Dispatching Waṣīf to Byzantium and His Role**

It is reported that the reason for this was that there was rancor between Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb and Waṣīf. When al-Muntasir became caliph and Ibn al-Khaṣīb was his wazīr, the latter incited al-Muntasir against Waṣīf. Aḥmad advised al-Muntasir to get Waṣīf to leave his army camp and to mount a campaign at the frontier. Ibn al-Khaṣīb persisted until al-Muntasir summoned Waṣīf and ordered him to go off on the campaign.

It is reported that when al-Muntasir decided to send Waṣīf to campaign at the Syrian frontier, Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb said to him, "Who will be bold against the mawlās until you order Waṣīf to march?"

Al-Muntasir told some of the chamberlains to permit those present in the palace to enter. He did, and among them was
Waṣif. The latter approached al-Muntaṣir, who said to him, “O Waṣif, we have learned that the tyrant of Byzantium is heading for the frontier towns. This is something that cannot be overlooked. Either you march, or I shall march.” Waṣif replied, “No, I shall march, O Commander of the Faithful,” whereupon al-Muntaṣir said, “O Aḥmad, see to whatever he needs.” When Aḥmad replied, “Yes, O Commander of the Faithful,” al-Muntaṣir exclaimed, “What do you mean by ‘yes?’ Get going right now.”

[Al-Muntaṣir went on to say] “O Waṣif, order your secretary to come to an agreement with Aḥmad as to what is required and stay with him until he settles matters with him.”

Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣib and Waṣif then stood up [and left the caliph’s presence]. Waṣif continued to make preparations until he departed, but he nevertheless failed to carry out [his objective].

It is reported that when al-Muntaṣir summoned Waṣif and ordered him to go on the campaign, he said to him, “The tyrant—that is, the Byzantine king—is on the move, and I fear that he will destroy whatever he touches in the territory of Islam, killing [people] and taking children captive. If you go on the campaign and wish to return, you may proceed forthwith to the gate of the Commander of the Faithful.”

Al-Muntaṣir ordered a contingent of commanders and others to set forth with Waṣif and selected elite soldiers for him. There were about 10,000 men accompanying him of the Shākiriyah, the regular army, and the mawlās. At the head of his advance guard was Muzāḥim b. Khāqān, brother of al-Fatḥ b. Khāqān. Commanding his rear guard was Muḥammad b. Rajā’. On his right flank was al-Sindi b. Bukhṭāshah. And Naṣr b. Saʿīd al-

691. Ṭāghiyat al-Rūm is a common dysphemistic epithet for the Byzantine emperor.

692. Ms. O omits mawlās and has twelve thousand men.

693. Muzāḥim b. Khāqān, brother of al-Fatḥ, was governor of Egypt in 253 (867–68) and remained active as military commander in warfare and politics during the caliphates of al-Muṣṭaʿin and al-Muʿtaṣīz. He was governor in Egypt as of 3 Rabi’ I, 253 [March 16, 867]; he died according to Ṭabarī [III, 1693, Salība, 150] in Dhū al-Hijjah, 253 [December 2–31, 867]; see also Kindī, Wulāh, 207–9, 211; Abū al-Maḥāsīn, Nujūm, II, 337; Zambaur, Manuel, 27 [giving date of death as 9 Rabi’ II, 254]; Sourdel, Vizirat, 274, n. 5.

694. Al-Sindi b. Bukhṭāshah is mentioned during the caliphate of al-Muʿtaṣīz; III, 1266 [Marin, Reign, 84].
Maghribi was responsible for the siege machines. Waṣif placed his deputy Abū 'Awn at the head of the men and the camp. He was chief of the security policy in Sāmarra.

When al-Muntaṣir sent his mawlā Waṣif on the campaign, he forwarded a dispatch of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir, the text of which is as follows:

In the name of God the Merciful, the Compassionate. From the Servant of God, Muḥammad al-Muntaṣir bi-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, to Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful. Peace upon you. The Commander of the Faithful praises God for you—there is no God but He—and asks Him to pray for Muḥammad His servant and messenger, may God bless him and his family.

Now then. God, to Him be praise for His blessings and gratitude for His favor, has chosen Islam, preferred and perfected it, and has made it a means to His approval and reward, an open road to His mercy and a way to the treasure of His glory. God has caused those who opposed Islam to incline toward it, and subjugated to it those who departed from its truth and preferred another way. He has favored Islam with the most complete and perfect of laws, the most excellent and just statutes. He has sent by way of Islam the best of mankind and the choicest of humans, Muḥammad, and has made the holy war the noblest of His precepts and the most expeditious means for reaching Him. For God has exalted His religion and has humbled the impudent polytheists.

God says by way of commanding holy war and making it obligatory: “March out light or heavy [hearted], and strive with goods and person in the way of God; that will be better for you, if you have knowledge.” No mishap will befall the one who wages holy war for the sake of God. He will not suffer disease or harm as long as he is

---

695. Naṣr b. Saʿid al-Maghribi later commanded the Maghāribah against the Turks, along with Muhammad b. Rāshid, in 252 (866-67). They were both killed (Ṭabari, III, 1680-81; Saliba, 140-41).

with God. He will not undergo the expense [of fighting], or clash with an enemy, or cross into a territory or tread on a land without having something written down [to his credit], abundant reward and hope for recompense.

God says: "That is because there befalls them not thirst or fatigue or lack of food in the way of God, nor do they make any invasion that rouses the anger of the unbelievers, nor do they wreak any stroke upon an enemy, but a good deed is thereby written down to their credit; verily God alloweth not to go lost the reward of those who do well. Nor do they make any contribution small or great, or cross a single wadi, but it is written down to their credit, that God may recompense them for the best they have been doing." 697

Then God extols the superior rank of those who wage the holy war over those who refrain, His reward that He promised them and their proximity to Him. For He says: "Those of the believers who sit still—other than those who have some injury—are not on a level with those who strive with goods and persons in the way of God. God hath given preference in rank to those who strive with goods and persons over those who sit still, though to all God hath promised the good [reward]. And God hath bestowed upon those who strive, in preference to those who sit still, a mighty hire." 698

And through holy war God purchases the souls and property of the believers and makes His paradise a reward for them, His good pleasure a recompense for them for spending [their souls]. This is a promise from Him, truth in which there is no doubt, a fair judgment for which there is no substitute.

God says: "God has bought from the believers their persons and their goods at the price of the Garden [in store] for them, fighting in the way of God and killing and being killed—a promise binding upon Him in the Torah, the Evangel, and the Qur'an; and who fulfills His cove-

nant better than God? So rejoice in the bargain you have made with Him; that is the mighty bliss."\textsuperscript{699}

God has decided to revive those who strive for His victory and mercy, and has vouchsafed for those who die eternal life and proximity to Him, and an abundant share of His reward. He also says: "Count not those who have been killed in the way of God as dead, nay, alive with their Lord, provided for, delighting in what God has vouchsafed them of His bounty and rejoicing at (tidings of) those who, left behind, have not yet joined them, that fear rests not upon them nor do they grieve."\textsuperscript{700}

Holy war is more noble and suitable for triumph in this world and the next than any action by which the believers approach God and by which they may lay down their burdens, liberate themselves, and merit reward from their Lord. For those who profess it have sacrificed their souls so that the word of God be the highest, and they were generous with their souls, apart from their brothers behind them and the Muslim women. They have subdued the enemy by holy war.

The Commander of the Faithful desires to come close to God by waging holy war against His enemy, by carrying out His obligations in the religion that He entrusted him with, and seeking proximity to Him by strengthening His friends and permitting injury and revenge against those who deviate from His religion, deny His messengers, and disobey Him.

Pursuant to the above, he has seen fit to urge Waṣīf, the mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful, to march this year to the territory of the enemies of God, the Byzantine infidels, to mount a campaign, since God has informed the Commander of the Faithful of Waṣīf's obedience and good counsel, and his excellent disposition\textsuperscript{701} and pure intention in all that brings him close to God and His caliph.

---

\textsuperscript{699} Qur'\textsuperscript{a}n 9:111/112.
\textsuperscript{700} Qur'\textsuperscript{a}n 3:169-70/163-64.
\textsuperscript{701} Text: \textit{ta\textsuperscript{b}}'\textit{at}\textit{hi}. Addenda, DCCLXXVI: \textit{naqibatih}. 
The Events of the Year 248

The Commander of the Faithful—God is the sponsor of his help and success—has seen fit that Wasif, along with his mawłās, regular troops, and Shākiriyyah, whom the Commander of the Faithful has dispatched with him, arrive at the frontier of Malatya on 12 Rabi‘ II, 248, corresponding to 15 Haziran of the months of the non-Arabs (June 15, 862), and that his invasion of the territory of the enemies of God take place on 1 Tammūz (July 1, 862).

Know this and send dispatches to the administrators in your provinces with the text of this letter of the Commander of the Faithful. Order them to read it to the Muslims before them and thus to motivate them for holy war, inciting and summoning them to it, and informing them of the reward that God has given those who wage it. This is so that those who have the intention, the readiness and the desire for holy war will act in accordance with this letter by pouncing upon their enemy and speedily aiding their brothers, by defending their religion and protecting their territory when the army of Wasif, the mawla of the Commander of the Faithful, arrives in Malatya, at the time that the Commander of the Faithful has designated for them, God willing.

Peace upon you and God's mercy and blessings. Written by Ahmad b. al-Khasib on 7 al-Muharram, 248 (March 13, 862).

It is reported that someone by the name of Abu al-Walid al-Jariri al-Bajali was sent to take responsibility for the expenditures of Wasif’s army, the booty, and the division of spoils. Al-Muntaṣir sent a dispatch with him to Wasif, ordering him to stay at the territory of the frontier when he had completed his expedition. He was to stay for four years, mounting [additional] campaigns at the usual times until word from the Commander of the Faithful arrived.

702. Malatya [Greek Melitene] on the upper Euphrates, on the frontier of the Byzantines, was exposed to periodic Byzantine attacks; EI2, s.v.
703. For hisbah in jihād, see Lane, Lexicon, 566, “reckoning, preparing, seeking, laying in store reward in world to come; reward.”
In this year, al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad abdicated, and al-Muntasir made public their abdication in the New Ja'farī Palace.

The Abdication of al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad

It is reported that, when Muḥammad al-Muntasir bi-llāh's rule had become stable, Āḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb said to Waṣīf and Bughā, "We have no guarantee against future events. The Commander of the Faithful may die, and al-Mu'tazz may assume rule, who then will wipe us out completely. The best course is to work toward deposing these two youths before they overcome us."

The Turks made every effort to accomplish this, urging it upon al-Muntasir by saying, "O Commander of the Faithful, depose them from [succession to] the caliphate and have the oath of allegiance rendered to your son 'Abd al-Wahhāb." They importuned him to do this until he finally did so. The caliph went on paying respect to al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad, strongly favoring al-Mu'ayyad. But then, forty days after he took office, he ordered that al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad be summoned after they had departed. They were summoned and installed in a palace residence.

Al-Mu'tazz said to al-Mu'ayyad, "My brother, why do you think we were brought here?" He replied, "To depose us, O wretched one." Al-Mu'tazz said, "I don't think he will do this to us." Then, while they were going on this way, the messengers arrived with the deposition. Al-Mu'ayyad announced right then, "I heed and obey." But al-Mu'tazz stated, "I am not the person who would do such a thing, and, if you wish to kill me, it's your business."

The messengers returned to al-Muntasir and informed him [of what took place]. They then came back and carried on very roughly. Seizing al-Mu'tazz violently, they ushered him into the chamber, shutting the door behind him.

It is reported on the authority of Ya'qūb b. al-Sikkit—al-Mu'ayyad: When I saw that, I said to them brazenly, "What is
this, you dogs? You are spilling our blood. Is this how you attack
your lord? Stay away—God damn you—and let me talk to him.”
They now responded to me tentatively after they had been acting
impetuously. They paused for a while and then said to me, “Go
meet with him if you like.” I suspected that they had taken con-
sultation [concerning this]. I rose and went to al-Mu‘tazz, and
found him in the chamber weeping. So I said to him, “Fool, in
view of what they are known to have done to your father, and
considering who he was, you still refuse to do what they want you
to do! Abdicate, woe unto you, and don’t discuss it any further
with them.”

Al-Mu‘tazz said, “Praise God. Shall I divest myself of a right
that I have already exercised, one that has come into effect in
remote regions?”

I said, “This right killed your father. Would that it not kill you.
Give it up, woe unto you! By God, if it is in God’s prescience that
you rule, then you surely will.”

He then replied that he would [abdicate].

Al-Mu‘ayyad said: I came out and said that he had responded
favorably, and that the messengers should inform the Com-
mander of the Faithful. They went off and then returned and
congratulated me for it. A secretary whose name al-Mu‘ayyad
mentioned entered with them. He had with him an inkwell and a
sheet of paper. He sat and then, turning to Abū ‘Abdallah [al-
Mu‘tazz], he said, “Write your abdication with your own hand.”
When he hesitated, I said to the secretary, “Give me a sheet of
paper and dictate what you wish.”

He dictated to me a letter for al-Muntaṣir in which I informed
him that I am incapable of ruling and I know that I cannot assume
rule, that I am averse to having al-Mutawakkil held in error on
my account if I am unsuitable; that I shall, therefore, ask the
caliph for a deposition, and inform him that I abdicate and release
the notables from their oath of allegiance to me. I wrote whatever
he wanted. I then said, “Write, O Abū ‘Abdallah.” But al-Mu‘tazz
held back, so I said, “Write, woe unto you.” At that point he
wrote. The letter was then sent off.

After this the caliph summoned us. I asked, “Should we get
new clothing or come in these?” He replied that we should get
fresh clothes. I called for clothing and put it on, and Abū ‘Abdal-
lah did the same. We left and entered [the caliph's presence.] He was in his audience hall, the notables arrayed according to rank. We greeted them, and they returned our greeting, at which point he ordered that we be seated.

Al-Muntasir then asked, "Is this your letter?" Al-Mu'tazz was silent, so I took the initiative and said, "Yes, O Commander of the Faithful, this is my letter by my request and wish." I told al-Mu'tazz to speak up, whereupon he said something of this sort. Al-Muntasir then turned to us, with the Turks standing by, and said, "Do you think that I have deposed you, aspiring to live until my son grows up and then have the oath of allegiance rendered to him? By God, I do not covet this for even a minute! And, by God, as this is not my ambition, I prefer that my fathers' sons rule rather than my cousins. But these—and he gestured toward all the mawlās705 standing and sitting—urged me to depose you. And I feared that, if I did not do so, one of them would attack you with an iron weapon and finish you off. What do you recommend that I do? Could I then kill him? By God, the blood of all the mawlās does not equal the blood of one of you. It is more expedient for me to yield to their demand." [Ya'qūb b. al-Sikkit] said: The two of them leaned over to him and kissed his hand. He embraced them, and they then departed.

It is reported that on Saturday, 22 Šafar 248 (April 27, 862), al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad abdicated. Each one wrote a document in his own hand, to the effect that he renounced the oath of allegiance that was rendered to him, that the notables were free to rescind it, and that they were incapable of executing any part of it. They then carried out the abdication in the presence of the notables, Turks, eminent men, companions, and judges—Ja'far b. 'Abd al-Wāhid was the chief judge. Also present were the army commanders; the Hāshimites; the administrators of the government bureaus; the officials;706 the elite commanders of the guard; Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir; Waṣif; Bughā the Elder; Bughā the Younger; and all those who were present in the Private Palace [Dār al-Khāṣṣah] and the Public Audience Hall [Dār al-ʿĀmmah].

705. That is, Turks.
706. For shi‘ah in the sense used here, see above, n. 329.
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The notables departed after this. The text that the two of them wrote was as follows:

In the name of God the Merciful, the Compassionate.

The Commander of the Faithful al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh, may God be pleased with him, assigned to me this matter and had the oath of allegiance rendered to me, without my consent, while I was a minor. When I understood my circumstances, I realized that I could not execute what he assigned to me and would not be suitable as caliph of the Muslims. Whosoever is bound by the oath of allegiance to me is free to rescind it. I free you from it and I release you from your oath. I have no binding covenant or compact with you. You are released from it.

Ahmad b. al-Khaşib read the documents aloud. Then each one of them rose and declared to those present: “This is my document and this is my statement. Witness for me. I release you from your oath and free you from it.”

Al-Muntaşir thereupon said to them, “God has blessed you and the Muslims.” He arose and went inside. He had been sitting in the presence of the notables and had al-Mu’tazz and al-Mu’ayyad sit near him. Then he wrote a dispatch to government officials deposing al-Mu’tazz and al-Mu’ayyad. This was in Şafar, 248 (March 7, 862–February 23, 863).

The text of the letter of al-Muntaşir bi-llāh to Abū al-‘Abbās Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Tāhir, mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful, pertaining to deposing Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Mu’tazz and Ibrāhim al-Mu’ayyad was as follows:

From the Servant of God, Muḥammad al-Imām al-Muntaşir bi-llāh, Commander of the Faithful, to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful.

Now then. God—to Whom belongs praise for His blessings and gratitude for His favor—has made His caliphs governors, who carry out what His Messenger was sent with. They defend His religion, preach His truth, and execute His statutes. He has conferred His glory, by which He favored them, as support for His servants and
welfare for His land, and as mercy causing His creatures to thrive. He has imposed obedience toward them and related it to obedience to Him and to His Messenger, Muhammad. He has imposed it as an obligation in His unambiguous revelation, because obedience brings about tranquillity of the multitude, harmony of passions, the creation of order out of disorder, security of roads, repelling of the enemy, protection of women, sealing frontiers, and setting affairs straight.

He says: "O you who have believed, obey God and obey the Messenger and those of you who have the command."707

It is an obligation of God's caliphs, those whom He has rewarded with His great beneficence, favored with the highest rank of His glory and entrusted with a way to His mercy, good pleasure, and reward, to prefer obeying Him in every circumstance, to discharge what is His due, each one of them in order of proximity [to God].

May their role in striving for whatever draws one near to God match their rank in Islam and ruling Muslims. The Commander of the Faithful requests from God, seeking Him humbly before His greatness, to entrust to him rulership that brings welfare to his subjects; to ease his burdens that He placed upon him; and give him success in obeying Him; for He is attentive and nigh.

You know what you witnessed concerning the delivery to the Commander of the Faithful of two documents, in their handwriting, that is, by Abu `Abdallah and Ibrahim, sons of the Commander of the Faithful al-Mutawakkil `ala-llah, may God be pleased with him. They mention in these documents what God informed them of concerning the Commander of the Faithful's sympathy and compassion, and his providing well for them. And they mention the investiture by the Commander of the Faithful al-Mutawakkil 'alâ-llâh of Abu `Abdallâh as heir apparent of the Commander of the Faithful and of Ibrahim as heir apparent after Abu `Abdallâh, and that when this investiture

was made Abū 'Abdallāh was an infant, not yet three years old, uncomprehending of his investiture and appointment. Ibrāhīm was young and had not yet reached puberty. They were not legally responsible, and the statutes of Islam therefore did not apply to them.

They mention that, when they reached puberty and understood their incapacity to carry out their investiture and the administrative functions assigned to them, it might become necessary for them to exhort God and the community of Muslims to let them extricate themselves from this matter with which they were invested, and relinquish the administrative functions to which they were appointed, releasing thereby all those under obligation of an oath of allegiance to them, for they were unable to carry out what they were nominated for and unsuited to be assigned to it.

And those who are associated with them, in their provinces, including commanders of the Commander of the Faithful, his mawlās, pages, regular army, and Shākiriyah, and all those who are with these officers in the court and in Khurāsān and all the other provinces shall be released from their service, and there shall cease to be any mention of association with the two of them. The two shall now become plebeians (sūqah) and commoners ('āmmah) among the Muslims.

They shall describe [themselves as such] whenever they mention this to the Commander of the Faithful and ask him about it from the time when God has brought His caliphate to him. They have abdicated and retired from the investiture. And they have released from their oath of allegiance, deposing them as they have deposed themselves, all those who have an oath of allegiance to them among the officers of the Commander of the Faithful, all his close associates, his subjects, near and far, present and absent.

They granted the Commander of the Faithful the right to impose upon themselves the covenant of God and the most strict covenant and compact rendered to His angels, prophets, and servants. And they have acknowledged all
the oaths that the Commander of the Faithful has imposed upon them to render obedience, sincere counsel, and friendship to him, secretly and openly.

They ask the Commander of the Faithful to publicize and disseminate what they have done, and to invite all his close associates to hear this from them. This they request, desire, and obey without being compelled or forced. The two documents that they presented in their handwriting shall be read to the caliph's close associates, to the effect that the investiture as heirs apparent came to them while they were young, and that they abdicated after they had reached puberty. They request dismissal from the administrative functions that they undertook, and that their associates in these provinces, including officers of the Commander of the Faithful, his regular troops, pages, Shakiriyyah, and all those who are with these officers in the court, in Khurasan and all the rest of the provinces, shall be released from their service, and there shall cease to be any mention of association with the two of them. A dispatch pertaining to this should be sent to all the administrative officers of the provinces.

The Commander of the Faithful acknowledged the veracity of what they reported and presented, and proceeded to summon all his brothers and those in his court, including his family, his officers, his mawāls, his adjuncts, the chiefs of his regular troops, his Shākirīyyah, secretaries, judges, jurists, and others, and all the rest of his close associates to whom the oath of allegiance was rendered.

Present were Abū 'Abdallāh and Ibrāhim, the sons of the Commander of the Faithful al-Mutawakkil 'alā-llāh, God be pleased with him. Their documents, in their own hand, were read aloud in their presence to the Commander of the Faithful, to them, and to all those present. They repeated the statement, once the two documents were read, in accordance with what they had written. Responding to this, the Commander of the Faithful decided to disseminate and publicize what they had done. He carried this out in accordance with three rights: [1] The right of God, insofar as He entrusted al-Muntaṣir with his
caliphate and obligated him to provide for his friends by consolidating their community at all times and reconciling their hearts. [2] The right of subjects, who are entrusted to him by God, so that the one appointed over their affairs supervises, night and day, with care, justice and compassion, and carries out the statutes of God for mankind while assuming the responsibility of governance and right management. [3] The right of Abū 'Abdallāh and Ibrāhīm, obliging the Commander of the Faithful because of their brotherhood and mutual kinship; for had they persisted in what they relinquished, despite their incapacity, this might have harmed Islam, and the adversity would have affected all Muslims. Thus, the heavy responsibility would have redounded to them.

The Commander of the Faithful has deposed them, as they deposed themselves, from the investiture as heirs apparent. And all the brothers of the Commander of the Faithful deposed them, as did the members of his family in his court. All those who attended deposed them, including the officers of the Commander of the Faithful, his mawlās, officials, commanders of his regular troops, Shākiriyah, secretaries, judges, jurists, and his other close associates who rendered the oath of allegiance.

The Commander of the Faithful has ordered that the letters concerning this be drawn up and dispatched to all the administrative officials, so that their contents be made known in the provinces. They will depose Abū 'Abdallāh and Ibrāhīm from the investiture as heirs apparent since they had deposed themselves and released the notables and commoners, those present and absent, the near and far. They will eliminate any reference to them as heirs apparent and remove from their letters and formulas any reference to the genealogy of al-Mu’tazz bi-llāh and al-Mu’ayyad bi-llāh, nor are they to invoke their names from the pulpits. In addition, they will eliminate all the old and new offices established by the two of them in their government bureaus, that is, offices pertaining to

The Caliphate of al-Muntasir Muhammad b. Ja’far

their [former] associates. They will remove mention of them from emblems and flags,\textsuperscript{709} and the officials are also obliged to remove their names where they were used to mark the horses of the Shākirīyyah and the frontier cavalry (rābiṭah).

Your station vis-à-vis the Commander of the Faithful will be determined by what God has chosen for him, namely, your obedience, sincere counsel and support, what God has granted your ancestors and yourselves, and what God has apprised the Commander of the Faithful of concerning your obedience, discernment,\textsuperscript{710} and endeavor to discharge [your] duty.

The Commander of the Faithful has assigned you independently to your office and has released you from service to Abū ‘Abdallāh and from those [others] in your provincial seat and in all the rest of the provincial areas. The Commander of the Faithful will not place between you and himself anyone who will govern over you. His order concerning this has gone out to the administrative officers of his government bureaus.

Know this and write to your administrative officers according to the text of this letter of the Commander of the Faithful to you. Suggest to them that they act in accordance with it, God willing. Peace.

Written by Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣib on Saturday, 19 Safar, 248 (April 24, 862).

In this year, al-Muntasir died.

\textit{The Illness That Caused al-Muntasir’s Death, the Time When He Died, and How Long He Lived}

There is disagreement about the illness that caused his death. Some(one) of the informants said that he was afflicted by angina in his throat, on Thursday, 25 Rabi’ I, 248 (May 29, 862), and that he died at the time of the afternoon prayer on Sunday, 5 Rabi’ II (June 8, 862). Another view is that he died on Saturday afternoon,

\textsuperscript{709} Text: \textit{matārid}. For the sense here, see Glossarium, CCCXXXIX.

\textsuperscript{710} Text: \textit{wa-yumn naqibatika}. Ms. O: \textit{wa-min tamyīz nafsika}. 
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4 Rabi‘ II (June 7, 862), and that the cause of his death was an inflammation in his stomach that moved to his heart, causing his death, and that his illness lasted for three days or so.

I have been informed by some of our colleagues that al-Muntaṣir, having a fever, summoned a physician whom he ordered to bleed him. He bled al-Muntaṣir with a poisoned lancet, from which he died.

The physician who bled the caliph returned to his residence, where he too was stricken with fever. He summoned one of his pupils and ordered him to bleed him, placing his lancets before the pupil, so that he might choose the best. The poisoned lancet with which he had bled al-Muntaṣir was among them. He had forgotten about it. The pupil did not find among the lancets placed before him any one better than the poisoned lancet. He therefore bled his teacher with it without being aware of what had taken place previously. When the pupil had bled his teacher with this lancet, his colleague noticed it and realized that he was about to die. The physician gave his bequest immediately and died the same day.

It is also reported that al-Muntaṣir was afflicted in his head. Ibn al-Ṭayfūrī711 therefore put drops of oil in his ear. His head became inflamed, and he soon died. Another view is that Ibn al-Ṭayfūrī poisoned the caliph with his cupping glasses.

Abū Ja‘far said: I often heard people say, when the caliphate passed to al-Muntaṣir, that from the time he acceded to rule until his death he would live for six months, as did Shirawayh b. Kisra after he killed his father. This [account] was spread among the populace and notables alike.712

It is reported on the authority of Yusr al-Khādīm,713 who was

711. See above, p. 174, and n. 581, for his father al-Ṭayfūrī. As son of a court physician of al-Mutawakkil, it is possible that he retained a residual loyalty to his father’s patron. See also Ibn Badrūn, Shahr, 284.

712. Shirawayh (Shirūyah) b. Kisra (591–628 A.D.) killed his father, Kisra Parviz. See the more elaborate account of Mas‘ūdī, Murjū, VII, 291, where it is told that the caliph saw a Persian inscription on a carpet telling the fate of Shirawayh, which was translated for him; and see, for instance, Ibn Badrūn, Shahr, 283, Ibn al-Ṭiqaqā, Fakhri, 239, Tha‘alibi, La‘āif, 72, and n. 2.

713. Yusr al-Khādīm is mentioned again by Ṭabarī, sub anno 255 (869–69; III, 1743), with other members of al-Muntaṣir’s entourage, including Sa‘id the Younger.
said to be in charge of al-Muntasir’s treasury in the days of his amirate, that one day during his caliphate, al-Muntasir awoke, after sleeping in his sitting room (iwan), weeping and lamenting. Yusr said: I respected him too much to ask him about his weeping and stood behind the door, when `Abdallah b. `Umar al-Bazyär arrived and heard his lamenting and sobbing. `Abdallah asked me, “What is wrong with him, woe to you, O Yusr.” I informed him that the caliph had awakened from sleep in tears. `Abdallah now approached al-Muntasir and said to him, “What is wrong with you, O Commander of the Faithful, that you are weeping, may God not let your eye shed tears?” Al-Muntasir replied, “Come close to me, O `Abdallah.” He did. The caliph then said to him, “I was sleeping and dreamt that al-Mutawakkil had come to me and said, ‘Woe unto you, O Muhammad. You killed me, wronged me, and usurped my caliphate.’ By God, you will only enjoy the caliphate after me for a few days; then you are bound for hell.’ I then awoke and could not control my weeping or anxiety.”

`Abdallah said to him, “This is a dream, and dreams may be either true or false. No, God will prolong your life and give you happiness. Now summon wine and entertainment and never mind dreams.”

Yusr continued: The caliph did just that, but nevertheless went on being dejected until he died.

It is reported that al-Muntasir had consulted a group of jurists about killing his father, informing them about his doctrines and relating unseemly things about him, which I am reluctant to put down in writing. They advised al-Muntasir to kill him. We have mentioned in part what happened to him.

It is reported that, when al-Muntasir’s illness became severe, his mother came to him inquiring about his condition. He replied, “By God, I have lost this world and the next.”

[Ibrāhīm b. Jaysh said:715 I have been informed by the secretary Mūsā b. `Isā, secretary of my uncle Ya’qūb and my cousin Yazid, that when al-Muntasir acceded to the caliphate he would carry on quite a bit while intoxicated about the murder of his father al-

---

714. Text: ghabantani. `Aynī: ghadibtani, i.e. ghaṣabantani = “you have usurped me” (note ad loc. in Leiden edition).
715. The bracketed text appears only in the Cairo edition, on the basis of Ms. A.
Mutawakkil. He would say with reference to the Turks, "These are murderers of the caliphs." In such fashion he mentioned what they feared. The Turks gave one of his servants (*khādīm*) 30,000 dinārs to try and poison him. And they also gave a sum of money to 'Ali b. Ṭayfūr.

When served fruit, al-Muntaṣir tended to favor pears. Ibn Ṭayfūr therefore chose a large, ripe pear, pierced the top, and poured poison into it. And the servant placed it on top of the pears that he served al-Muntaṣir. Setting eyes on the pear, al-Muntaṣir ordered the servant to peel it and feed it to him. The servant peeled and cut it, and then gave it to him, piece by piece, until he devoured it. When he had finished eating the pear, he felt faint and told Ibn Ṭayfūr that he felt feverish.

Ibn Ṭayfūr said, "O Commander of the Faithful, have yourself cupped, and you will recover from the illness in your blood." He expected that with the emission of blood the poison would take better effect. The caliph was cupped and became feverish, and his illness became worse. But Ibn Ṭayfūr and the Turks feared that his illness would last for a long time.

So Ibn Ṭayfūr said to al-Muntaṣir, "O Commander of the Faithful, the cupping has not had the beneficial effect that we expected. You require bleeding, which will be more effective in your case." When the caliph told him to go ahead, Ibn Ṭayfūr bled him with a poisoned lancet. The doctor, in a state of confusion, threw the instrument among his lancets. It was the sharpest and the best.

Then 'Ali b. Ṭayfūr became feverish himself and summoned a pupil of his to bleed him. The pupil looked through the lancets and did not find any that was sharper or better, so he bled him, and Ibn Ṭayfūr died as a result.

It is reported on the authority of Ibn Dihqānah: We were in al-Muntaṣir's audience hall one day after al-Mutawakkil was killed when al-Masdūd al-Ṭunbūrī related a story.716 When al-Muntaṣir

---

asked, "When did this take place, he answered, "On a dangerous night." His this vexed al-Muntaṣir.

It is reported on the authority of Sa‘īd b. Salamah al-Naṣrānī: Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb came to us in a cheerful spirit, mentioning that the Commander of the Faithful al-Muntaṣir dreamt at night that he had ascended a flight of stairs until he came to the twenty-fifth step, whereupon he was told, "This is your dominion." Ibn al-Mu'najjim learned of this, so Muḥammad b. Mūsā and 'Alī b. Yahyā al-Mu'najjim visited the caliph and congratulated him on the dream.

Al-Muntaṣir said, "The matter was not as Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb told you. Rather when I reached the end of the stairs I was told, 'Stop, this is the end of your life.'" He was extremely distressed and lived after this for some days, filling out a year, and then died when he was twenty-five years old.718

It is also said that he was twenty-five years and six months old when he died. Still another view is that he was twenty-four years old. According to the view of [some] one of the informants, his caliphate lasted six months and two days. Another version puts it at exactly six months. And still another view is that it lasted one hundred and seventy-nine days.

He died in Sāmarra, in the renovated palace,719 forty-four days after he came out publicly against his brothers.

It is reported that when death was upon him he said:

I never delighted in any worldly blessing I obtained,

But [I am joyous now that] I proceed to the noble Lord.

Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Mu'tasim720 said the funeral prayer over him in Sāmarra, where he was born.

He had wide eyes, an aquiline nose, and he was short and well endowed.721 He is said to have been capable of inspiring respect for his authority. He was allegedly the first 'Abbāsid caliph whose

---

717. Literally, "a night of no prohibition or impediment"; hence a night of anarchy or danger.
718. O adds: and six months, and omits the next sentence [by homoeoteleuton].
grave was known. This is because his mother requested that his grave be made public. This is because his mother requested that his grave be made public.722 His teknomyn was Abū Ja'far and his mother's name was Ḥabashiyyah. She was a Byzantine concubine.

Something of al-Muntaṣir's Conduct

It is reported that when al-Muntaṣir assumed the caliphate the first thing he did was to remove Šāliḥ723 from Medina and to appoint ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn724 b. Ismāʾil b. al-ʿAbbas b. Muḥammad as governor there.

It is reported on the authority of ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn: When I visited him to bid farewell, he said to me: "O ʿAli, I am sending you to my flesh and blood." He pinched the skin of his forearm and said, "I am sending you to this. Watch how you are with these people and how you deal with them, that is, the family of Abū Ṭalib." I replied, "I hope to follow the opinion of the Commander of the Faithful, may God support him, concerning them, God willing." He said, "You will then thrive thereby725 with me."

It is reported that Muḥammad b. Hārūn,726 secretary of Muḥammad b. ʿAli Bard al-Khiyār727 and his deputy over the Bureau of Estates of Ibrāhim al-Muʿayyad, was struck dead on his bed.

722. ʿAynī comments, citing al-Sibṭ [b. al-Jawzī], that Ṭabarī's statement here is surprising since the tombs of the ʿAbbāsids caliphs are in fact known, e.g., the tomb of al-Saffāh is in Anbār beneath the minbar; and those of al-Mahdī in Māsābādān, Hārūn in Tūs, al-Maʾmūn in Tarsūs, and al-Muʿtaṣim, al-Wāthiq, and al-Mutawakkil in Sāmarrā.


725. Süli adds 'inda Allāh wa-; that is, "You will then thrive in this with God and with me." On al-Muntaṣir's new orientation in favor of the Shiʿīhah and policy of détente with the ʿAlids, see Ibn al-ʿAthīr, Kāmil, VII, 75–76; and Sourdel, "La politique religieuse," 6–8. Among other things, he permitted visiting the site of al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAli's grave and restored Fadak to the descendants of al-Ḥusayn and al-Ḥasan, sons of ʿAli b. Abī Ṭalib.


with a number of blows by a sword. His son summoned a black servant (*khādim*) of his and also Waṣīf. Waṣīf reportedly tried to get the black to confess. He was then brought before al-Muntasir, and Ja'far b. ʿAbd al-Wahīd was summoned, and questioned him about his murdering his patron. The black confessed, describing what he had done to Muḥammad and the reason for his killing him.

Al-Muntasir asked him, "Woe to you, why did you kill him?" The black replied, "Why did you kill your father, al-Mutawakkil?" Al-Muntasir then queried the jurists about the black's case, and they advised that he be killed, whereupon he had him decapitated and suspended near the gallows of Bābak.

In this year, Muḥammad b. ʿAmr al-Shārī [the Kharijite] rebelled in the region of Mosul. Al-Muntasir sent against him Ishaq b. Thabit al-Farghani. He took Muḥammad captive with a number of his men, and they were killed and suspended.

In this year, Yaʿqūb b. al-Layth al-Ṣaffār moved from Sijistān and proceeded to Herat. It is reported on the authority of Ahmad b. Abdallāh, son of Šāliḥ, the prefect of the oratory: My father had a muezzin. Some(one) of our family saw him in a dream summoning [the people] to one of the [daily] prayers. Then he approached a house where al-Muntasir was and called out, "O Muḥammad, O Muntasir, your Lord is waiting in ambush." It is reported on the authority of Bunān the Singer—he was allegedly the person most intimate with al-Muntasir during the life of his father [al-Mutawakkil] and after he assumed the caliphate: I asked al-Muntasir to give me a brocade robe when he was caliph. He said, "I have something better for you than a brocade robe." I asked what it was. He replied: "Feign illness so that I will visit you, and more than a brocade robe will be given to you."

Bunān said: He died during these days and did not give me anything.

---

728. See also above, 1351 (p. 37).
729. Yaʿqūb b. al-Layth al-Ṣaffār was one of the two brothers who founded the Ṣaffārid dynasty, Bosworth, *Dynasties*, 103.
730. See Qur'ān 89:14.
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<tr>
<td>Damietta xvi, 124–26</td>
<td>al-Faḍl b. Sahīl 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Dandānī (?) xvii, 70</td>
<td>al- Faḍl b. Yahyā b. Khālid 13 n. 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dann, danniyāh 118 n. 386</td>
<td>Fam al-Ṣīḥ 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darābād 96</td>
<td>al- Fārābī, Abū Naṣr xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dariyyah 26</td>
<td>Faraj al-Saghīr (the Younger) 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dāwūd b. ʿĪsā b. Mūsā b. Muḥammad 4</td>
<td>firājyyah 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of the ʿAshūrāʾ (Yawm al-ʿAshūrāʾ)</td>
<td>Farj Bayt al-Dhahab 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Fārs 37, 51, 96, 104, 107–8, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhāt Ṭirq 20, 21, 22</td>
<td>Fāṭīmah 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhimmis xviii, 41–42, 89–90, 93, 128</td>
<td>Fatūḥ b. Maḥmūd b. Marwān 187 n. 642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dhūrāʾ 12 n. 30</td>
<td>Filāṣṭīn 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhū al-Qarnayn xix, 95</td>
<td>Fragmenta historicorum arabicorum xxiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhū al-Thafīnāt 61</td>
<td>Friday Mosque (in al-Jaʿfariyyah) 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dīʿil b. ʿAlī al-Khuẓāʿī 118 n. 383</td>
<td>F-r-ww-iyah (?) 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diḥqāns 170</td>
<td>Fustāṭ 125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| dinār 9 n. 15 | G
| Diyār Muḍār 96 | Gabriel (angel) 95 |
| Diyār Rabiʿah 37, 96 | Gate of Thorns (Tiflis) 122–23 |
| Dome of the Girdle (Ḥarūnī Palace) 12 | George, son of Cyriac 138–39 |
| duʿafāʾ (sg. daʿif) 25 n. 77, 47 | Ghaf-ṭ (?) 141 |
| Dulayl b. Yaʿqūb al-Naṣrānī 156 | Ghānīmah 141 |
| durraḥāh 62, 69 | Ghānim b. Abī Muslim b. Ḥumayd al-Ṭūsī 37 |
| E
| East Side (Baghdad) 28, 29, 30, 34, 118 | Ghaṭaṭān (tribe) 26 |
| Edessa 157 | Gold Palace (Baghdad) 88 |
| Egypt xiv, 108, 141-43, 145, 157 |
| Egyptians 141 |
| Euphrates 152 |
| Euphrates Road 84 |
| Evangel 207 |
Great Mosque of al-Mutawakkil 67 n. 230
Greek science and philosophy xiii

H

Habash [Abyssinians] 141
Habashiyah [mother of al-Muntaṣir bi-llâh] 223
hadd [punishment] 136
Hadith scholars xxi–xxii, 27, 28
Hadrâmatwâ 96
Haṭṣah [wife of Muḥammad] xix, 135
Halân 50
halâbah 67 n. 230
Halbas (son of Ibn al-Ba’ith) 88–89
Hamdawayh b. 'Ali b. al-Faḍl al-Sa’di 79–80
Hammâd b. Jarir al-Ṭabarî 18
Hanbalî[s] xxi, 119 n. 387
al-Hârîth b. Abî Usâmah xvi, 158, 160
al-Hârrâh (Hârrât Bani Sulaym) 19
Hârrân 157
harrâqah [fast boat] 85
Hârrat Bani Sulaym 19
Harthamaḥ Shâr Bâmiyân 16
Hârûn, brother of Mūsâ b. Zurârah 115
Hârûn b. al-Ma’mûn 189
Hârûn b. Muḥammad b. Sulaymân al-Hâshimi xix, 176
Hârûn al-Rashîd xii, 12–15, 38
Hârûn b. Suwarâtegin 179–80
Hârûnî Palace (Sâmarrâ) xix, xi, 52, 69, 109
al-Ḥasan b. 'Alî b. al-Jâ’id 147
al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥusayn [Tâhirid] 44
al-Ḥasan b. Makhâlîd b. al-Jarrâḥ 150, 158–63
al-Ḥasan b. Muḥammad b. Abî al-Shawârîb 139–40
al-Ḥasan b. Sahl xviii, 53, 109–10, 189
al-Ḥasan b. 'Uthmân 135–36, 147
al-Ḥasan b. Wahb 9
Ḥasan b. Ya’qûb al-Baghîdâdî 160
Ḥâshîm b. Bâniyûr 150
Ḥâshîmîtes xi–xii, 63, 84, 104, 172, 184, 212
al-Ḥâyî 196, 199
al-Ḥaytham b. Khâlid al-Nâṣrânî 75
Hayyân b. Bishr 118
Herat 224
al-Ḥijâz xv, 18, 25
Hîlâl al-Râzî 157
Hîms xvi, 97, 130, 133–35, 157
Hîms Gate 135
Hind bt. al-Ḥârîth 14
hippodrome (Sâmarrâ) 67 n. 230
Hippodrome Gate (Tîflîs) 122
hippodrome (maydân) of Tîflîs 122
al-Ḥîrâh 4
hisbah [in jihâd] 209
Hit 96
holy war xvii, 206–9
Hubshî b. Abî Rabî’î 183–84
Humphreys, Stephen xxiii–xxiv
Hunayn b. Iṣhâq xiii
Hurayrah [singing girl, beloved of al-A’sha] 54
Hurf Road 152
al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alî [grave of] 110–11
al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḍâhḥâk xix, 53–54
al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā’îl b. Ibrâhîm 108–9
Huzzâyyân 46

I

‘ibâd 35 n. 119
Ibn Abî Du‘âd, see Aḥmad b. Abî Du‘âd
Ibn Abî Rabî’î, see Ḥubshî b. Abî Rabî’î
Ibn al-Abrash, see Ibrâhîm b. Ayyûb
Ibn al-Abzârî, see al-Abzârî
Ibn al-Akhsâf 126
Ibn Aktham, see Yahyâ b. Aktham
Ibn Asbâṭ al-Miṣrî 70
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ibn 'Ayyāsh, see Muḥammad b. 'Ayyāsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn al-Ba‘ith, see Muḥammad b. al-Ba‘ith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn al-Dawraqi, see Aḥmad b. Ibrāhim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn Dihqānah al-Nadīm xx, 221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn al-Haḍī al-Mughanni xix, 174–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn Ibrāhim, see Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhim b. Muṣ‘ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn al-Kalbi 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn Kathir, Abū al-Fīdā', <em>al-Bidayah</em> xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibn al-Zayyāt, see Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim, Abū al-Fadl, edition of Ṭabarī, <em>Ta‘rikh</em> xxiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim, son of al-Mutawakkil, see al-Mu‘ayyad bi-llāh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. al-‘Abbās b. Muḥammad b. Šūl [al-Sūl] xviii, 72, 75, 104, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. ‘Āṭā’ xix, 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. ‘Attāb 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. Ayyūb al-Abrash 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. Jaysh xx, 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. al-Junayd al-Naṣrānī 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. al-Mahdi 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim al-Mu‘ayyad bi-llāh, see al-Mu‘ayyad bi-llāh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. Muḥammad al-Mudabbir xvii, 83–85, 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhim b. Rabāḥ [Riyāḥ] al-Jawhari 10, 12, 15, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īd al-Fiṭr 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ightībāt, see Qalam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inquisition (<em>imtiḥān</em>) 31, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq 126, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>irq</em> 20 n. 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā, brother of Mūsā b. Zurārah 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā al-A‘war [bathhouse attendant] 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā b. Farrukhānshāḥ 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā b. Ibrāhim, see Abū Nūḥ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā b. Ja‘far b. Muḥammad b. ‘Āsim xix, 135–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā b. al-Shaykh b. al-Salīl al-Shaybānī 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Īsā b. Yūsuf 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>iṣba‘</em> 90 n. 307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣbahān 37, 96, 171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Abī Istā‘il 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhim b. Abī Khaḍimah 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Ismā‘il, <em>mawlā</em> of the Umayyads xvi, 121–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Sa‘d b. Mas‘ūd al-Qutrubbuli 159–60, 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Thābit al-Farghānī 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iṣḥāq b. Yahyah b. Mu‘ādh 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam 129, 142, 206, 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ismā‘il, brother of Mūsā b. Zurārah 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ismā‘il b. Nawbakht 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insā (Asnā) 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Istā‘il b. Zakariyya‘ al-Ṭayfūrī 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ītākh [Aytākh] Abū Mansūr al-Khazari xi–xii, xvii–xviii, xxi, 9, 15, 16, 37, 61, 68, 81–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyād 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>izār 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index


Jamarat al-'Aqabah 7

al-Jann, Muhammed b. 'Amr 118

Jana'ah 25

al-Jar 18

Jardmân (Gardmân), fortress of 123; Road 123

jarib 131 n. 438

al-Jarjara'i, family 110 n. 115

al-Jawsaq (al-Khagani) (palace) xi, 36 n. 125, 222 n. 719

al-Jazirah 96, 115, 138, 147

Jews 41 n. 151, 153

al-Jibâl 37, 96

K

kafir 33 n. 111

al-Kaltâniyyah 119

Karbeas (Qarbiyas) 147, 167

al-Karkh Fayrûz 111

Karmâ 155

al-Khâbûr 96

al-khadam al-khâshah 179 n. 604

khâdim 13

al-Khalanjî 118

Khâlid (brother of Ibn al-Ba'ith) 87

Khalifah (slave of Ibn al-Ba'ith) 77, 86

al-Khams (?) 141

Khân Āşim (in Baghdad) 135

Khâqân al-Khâdîm xvii, 22, 38–43

Khâqânids xxvii

khârâj 140, 165 n. 556

khâritah 190

al-Khasâsah (Upper and Lower) 155

Khashabat Bâbak 34, 37, 95, 224

al-Khâshâsh al-Mutawakkiliyyah 155

al-Khâṭṭâb b. Wâhî al-Fals 44

Khâybar 25

Khâzar(s) 81

Khîlât (Akhlât, Khlat') 115

Khusd Palace (Qâşr al-Khulîd) (Baghdad) 13 n. 34


Khuwaythiyyah 115, 123; mountain of 115

Khuzâ'ah 120

Kindâsh 179 n. 605

Kirmân 21

Kisrâ Anûshirwân 123

al-Kûfah 4, 83, 129

Kur River 122

Kurds 37

kustij girdles 94

kuttâb al-'ârd 40

L

La'îs (son of 'Ali Bâbâ) 143

Lake Urmîya 78

Lamos River (Lamas-Şû) 38, 41–42, 140

Laodicea 157

Lassner, Jacob xxviii

Laylâ bt. Sa'd (the beloved of Majnûn) 56

Lecker, Michael 17 n. 44

Leo (V) 39

Logothete (al-Lughuthit) 76, 165

Lord of the Throne (Saḥib al-Sarîr), Wahrazân-Shâh 123

Lulon (Lu'lu'ah) xvi, 165, 169

Lu'lu'ah (palace) 155

M

al-Madâ'in 81, 127, 156

Madinat al-Mansûr 147

al-Maghâribah 19, 79, 81, 122–23, 139, 203

al-Maghrib 96, 141, 156, 170

Mâh al-Bâsrah 96

Mâh al-Kûfah 96

Mahmûd b. al-Faraj al-Naysâbûri xix, 95

Mrahrah (camels of) 143

al-Mahûzah 145–55, 168, 202

mâ'idâh 177 n. 597

Main Bridge (Baghdad) 84
Index

al-Majnūn ("the Madman") 50
Majnūn, see Laylā
al-Majūsī al-Qtrabbuli 53
Makrān 96
Malāhīm 183
Malatyah (Melitene) 209
Malik b. al-Haytham 27
al-Ma'mūn, 'Abdallāh, caliph 28–29, 81, 173, 189
manātiq (sg. miṇṭaqah) 90
mandil 68
Mansūr (household manager of Ibn al-Ba'ith) 80
Mansūr (son of Itākh) 85–86
Manzala, Lake 123 n. 415
Marāghah 78, 88
Mar'ah 46
Marand 77–80
Martinakios (?), Byzantine naval commander 124
Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb Abū al-Simṭ 185–86, 188
Marwān b. al-Ḥakam (Gate of) 24
Māsābadhān 96
Masdūd al-Tunburi, Abū 'Alī al-Ḥasan 221
maslahah 18
Masrūr Sammānah al-Khādīm 69, 73, 169
al-Mas'ūdī, 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn xiii
Maṭbaq (prison of Baghdad) 37, 106, 111, 164
mawlā[s] 81, 99, 101–2, 153, 196, 204–5, 209, 212, 215, 217
Maymūn b. Ibrāhīm 161
maẓālim court(s) 11, 38, 116–17, 203
Mecca Road 6, 36, 53, 76, 108, 129, 148, 150, 152
Medina, Medinese 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22–24, 26, 75–76, 81
Messenger of God (Muhammad, the Prophet) 31–32, 105, 136, 152, 185, 189, 206, 214; tomb of 23
Michael (III), son of Theophilus xvii, 3, 39, 41, 76, 138, 156, 168
mīḍrāʿah 16
Mihrājān 96
al-Mihritāzb 35
Minā 6
miṭqāl 141 n. 474
mitraf 175
Ma-kār-h (?) 141
Mopsuestia 157
Mosul 37, 96, 224
muʿāwīn 143
Muʿāwiya b. Sahl b. Sunbāt (Smbat) 124
Mubārak al-Maghribi xvii, 71, 74
Muhallabi, family 149 n. 497
Muḥammad, see Messenger of God
Muḥammad, brother of Mūsā b. Zurārah 115
Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Ḥamīd al-Haydi 134
Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Malik (b.) al-Zayyāt xvii, xxi, 10, 36, 38, 39, 52, 57, 61, 63, 65–73, 75, 81, 186, 188
Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh al-Qummi 141–45
Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir, Abū al-ʿAbbās xvi–xviii, 116, 120, 136, 170, 190, 206, 212–13
Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh al-Tarsūsī xvii, 41, 42
Muḥammad b. 'Abdawayh Kirdās al-Anbārī 130, 133–35
Muḥammad b. 'Alāʾ al-Khādīm 65
Muḥammad b. 'Alī Bard al-Khīyār 223
Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Umayyāh 189
Muḥammad b. 'Amr al-Khāriji [al-Sharī] 37, 224
Muḥammad b. 'Ayyāsh 30, 160
Muḥammad b. al-Baʿith b. Ḥalbas xvi, 77–80, 86–89
Muhammad b. Dāwūd b. 'Īsā b. Mūsā 7, 16, 21, 64, 76, 82, 106
Muhammad b. al-Faḍl al-Jarjarā'ī 75, 111
Muhammad b. Faraj 73
Muhammad b. Hārūn 223–24
Muhammad b. Ḥātim b. Harthamah 79
Muhammad b. al-Haytham b. 'Adi al-Ṭawī 53
Muhammad b. Isḥāq b. Ibrāhīm xix, 109–10
Muhammad b. Ja‘far, see al-Muntasir bi-llāh
Muhammad b. Karīm xvii, 42, 43
Muhammad b. Khālid b. Yazīd b. Mazyad al-Shaybānī 78
Muhammad b. Kunāsah, Abū Yahyā 55
Muhammad b. Mūsā al-Khwārazmī 53
Muhammad b. Mūsā al-Munajjīm 161, 165 n. 556, 222
Muhammad b. Rajā’ al-Ḥidārī 131, 205
Muhammad b. Rizqallāh 134
Muhammad b. Sa‘dān al-Nahwī 44
Muhammad b. Sa‘īd 184
Muhammad b. Sāliḥ b. al-‘Abbās al-Ḥāshimi 16, 18, 25
Muhammad b. Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh b. Muhammad al-Zaynabī 165, 170, 203
Muhammad b. al-Wāthiq (al-Muhtadi) 62
Muhammad b. Yahyā b. Mu‘ādh 174 n. 584
Muhammad b. Ya‘qūb, Abū al-Rabi‘ 116
Muhammad b. Yūsuf al-Ja‘fārī 26, 46–47, 49–50
Muhammad b. Yūsuf al-Marwāzī 111–12
Muḥammad b. Zubaydah, see al-Amin al-Muhammadīyyah 154
Mukhāriq al-Mughānī 44

al-Mukhtār (palace) 155
Mu‘nis 179
Mūsā, nephew of Ahmad b. Naṣr 119
Mūsā b. ‘Abd al-Malik 75, 158–63
Mūsā b. Bughā al-Kabīr 178–79
Mūsā al-Furānīq 160
Mūsā al-Hādī 33
Mūsā b. ‘Īsā 220
Mūsā b. Zurārah 115
Mushāsh 157
Muslim[s] xvii, xx, xxi, 41–43, 91–92, 126, 137, 140–41, 153, 156, 169–70, 208–9, 213, 215
al-Musta‘īn bi-llāh, Ahmad, caliph 222
al-Mu‘tasim bi-llāh, Muhammad, caliph xi–xii, 3, 67, 81, 86, 127, 173, 188–90
Mu’tazilism (Mu’tazilites) xx, 28 n. 94, 31 n. 106, 38 n. 133, 116 n. 379, 119 n. 387
al-Muwaffaq bi-llāh, Abū Ahmad 179, 181
Mużaffar (son of İtāk) 85–86
Muzāḥim b. Khāqān 205
Muzdalifah 190

al-Najaf 111
Najāh b. Salamah, Abū al-Faḍl xviii, 10, 73–74, 157–64
Index 245

al-Najāshi [king of Abyssinia] 152
Najīd 187
naqibs of the `Abbāsids 27
al-Nashawā 116
Našbin 135, 184
Našr, mawlā of 'Umar b. Faraj 73
Našr b. al-Azhār b. Faraj al-Shī’ī xvii, 138, 156, 168–69
Našr b. Ḥamzah al-Khuẓā’ī 44
Našr b. al-Layth 119
Našr b. Sa‘īd al-Jahbādī xix, 175
Našr b. Sa‘īd al-Maghribī 205–6
Našr b. Waṣīf 180
Naysābūr 21, 95
Nīkētītātes (?), Byzantine naval commander 124
ni‘mah 12
nīthār 178 n. 602
Nūbah 141
Nukhaylah 46

O

Oorophas, Byzantine naval commander 124

P

Palace of Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm 85
Palace of Khuzaymāh b. Khāzīm 84–85
Palm Sunday(s) 91, 129, 153
Passover 153
Patrikios [-oi] 43, 113–15, 123–24, 139, 165, 168
Persians 182
Petronas 168 n. 563
Place for Standing [Mawqīf] 6
Podandos [Bozanti [Pozanti]] River 43
Portico of the Dome of the Girdle [Hārūnī Palace] 12
prisoner exchange xvii, 38–43, 137–40, 156, 168–70
Private Palace [Audience Hall] [Sāmarrā] 212
the Prophet, see Messenger of God
Public Gate of the Caliph’s Palace [Sāmarrā] 95, 128, 145, 147
Public Gate of the Ja‘fari Palace 202
Public Palace [Audience Hall] [Sāmarrā] 36, 38, 62, 185, 212
Public Treasury [bayt al-мāl] 36

Q

qaba’ 84
Qabīhā 175
Qadhaq 96
Qalam al-Ṣāliḥiyyah [slave girl] 55–57
qalānis [sg. qalansuwah] 90; qalansuwah ṫuṣāfiyyah 62
qamīs 34
qand 126
Qandābil 96
Qarāṭis 3–4, 53
Qarīs Gate [Tiflis] 122
al-Qarnayn 47
Qarqisīyā 96
Qāshān 96
al-Qāsim b. Ahmad al-Ḵūfī xviii, 109
al-Qāsim b. Mūsā b. Fū‘ūs (?) 134
al-Qāṭūl al-Kisrawī 82, 154 n. 510
Qawsarah, see Ya‘qūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Bādhghīsī
Qazwīn 96
Qīf 143
Qinnasrin 96
al-Qitrij [Ktricn], prince of Ġardmān 123
Qudāmah b. Ja‘far 85 n. 293
Qudāmah b. Ziyād al-Naṣrānī 85
al-Qulzūm 143
Qūmis 146
Qumm 96
Index

Qur'an xviii, xxii, 49, 91, 95, 119, 155, 189, 206-8, 224; created 27-28, 31, 34, 39-40
Quraysh 18, 19
Qurṭrabbul 127

R
al-Rabadḥah 51
Rabi'ah [tribe] 79-80
Rāfīḍah 185
Raja' b. Ayyūb al-Hidārī 131
Rakhsh [a page [ghulām]] 29, 30
al-Rakkād ("The Runner"), a chief of the Banū Fazārah 25
Rāmiyah [raid of] 42
al-Ramlah 134
al-Raqqah 39, 157
Ra's Abroad b. Naṣr (place) 34
Ra's 'Ayn 157
al-Raṭḥid, see Hārūn al-Raṭḥid
Rāshid al-Maghribi 69, 72
Rastan Gate (Hims) 183
raṭībah 133
ratl 6 n. 10
Rawḍat al-Abān 47
Rawb 73
Rawwādīd dynasty 78 n. 271
al-Rayy 31, 96
Rosenthal, Franz xxiv
Rukkhāj (Rukkhājil) 74
al-Ruwaythah 18, 19
ru'yat Allāh 31 n. 106
R.-'win [?] 141

S
ša'ālik 182
Sa'd al-Kadhīm al-Ītkāhī 145, 185
Sadan, Joseph xxiv
Sa'dūn b. 'Ali 75
šāhīb al-jisr 105; see also 'Ishrāq b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ'ab
Šāhīb al-Sarīr, see Lord of the Throne
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