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The period covered in this volume is that of the upheaval following the assassination of Caliph al-Mutawakkil, who ruled from 847–861. Within eight years or so, three caliphs came to power only to be murdered by the same group of army officers that murdered al-Mutawakkil. The first of these caliphs, al-Muntasir, who survived barely a year, falls outside the scope of this volume. The caliphate of al-Musta'in (862–866) and that of al-Mu'tazz (866–869) are the concern here.

The period covered by al-Ṭabari highlights the accelerated breakdown of centralized authority and the formation of local petty-states within the larger ‘Abbāsid polity. Appointed by the caliph, and nominally loyal to him, the local governors were, in effect, semi-autonomous in conducting their affairs. Moreover, the caliphs had become totally dependent on the slave army that had initially been recruited to support caliphal authority—so dependent, that the military leaders decided the fate of the central authority. The commanders, mainly of Central Asian Turkish extraction—such as Waṣīf and Bughā—survived the successive changes of rulers, and often they were actively involved in plotting the downfall of a particular caliph. The caliph has been duly immortalized by the following lines:

[Behold] a caliph in a cage,
    between Waṣīf and Bughā

Repeating what they say to him,
    as the parrot itself would repeat.
In this period the caliphate, as an institution, was undergoing its critical test in the face of the military power which was by then based on professional foreign recruits. The tension between the "legitimate" power, as represented by the caliphate, and the "real" power, as represented by the military, had not yet resolved itself into a complementary mode of sharing authority; each party seems to have been totally preoccupied with plotting to control the other, or to overcome it if need be.

As Waṣīf and Bughā made and broke caliphs, they created a political and military infrastructure that paralleled the formal government. They groomed their sons for political power, handing over to them the military command they once held. Within the seven years covered in this volume, control of the regular army was passed on from Waṣīf and Bugha to their respective sons Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf and Mūsā b. Bugha. Having assimilated the lessons of clientage, the two power-brokers tightened their alliance by marital bonds when Bughā's daughter was given in marriage to Waṣīf's son.

The most significant episode of the time was the civil war between al-Musta'in and al-Mu'tazz. Economically, the conflict was, at the very least, extremely disruptive. The description of the events is rich with references to the cost of the war. We are even told the details of payments made to soldiers to fight, or to guard somebody, as well as the costs for an entire campaign. We are also informed that the total cost of government for a single year had become equal to the tax revenues (kharāj) of the whole realm for two years. Moreover, the professional soldiers fighting in the mid-ninth century no longer conducted war for ideological or religious reasons as did earlier Islamic armies. They fought for private gain. Indeed, their demands are echoed with every event. The caliph was always asked to produce additional service pay and bonuses. As traditional loyalties eroded, the central authorities, with increasing frequency, gave approval to political and monetary arrangements over which they could exercise no effective control. Critical positions were bought and sold, further accelerating the decline of centralized authority.

Such is the larger picture contained within these pages. The careful reader will also note myriad details that reflect the more prosaic aspects of life, for, here as elsewhere, the narratives of al-Ṭabarī are rich in allusions to daily life.
The Caliphate of al-Mustaʿīn

The Caliphate of
Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Muʿtaṣim,
Known as al-Mustaʿīn. His Patronymic
was Abū al-ʿAbbās

The Account of His Rule and the Time
Allegiance Was Rendered to Him

It was reported that when al-Muntasir died—that was on Saturday afternoon, the fourth of Rabīʿ II, 248 (June 7, 862)—the mawlās1 gathered at the Hārūnī2 (Palace) on Sunday. Among them were Bughā the Elder, Bughā the Younger, and Utāmish. They took the oath of allegiance from the commanders of the Turks, the Maghāribah and the Ushrūsanīyyah. It was ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Abd al-Aʿlā al-Iskāfī, the secretary of Bughā the Elder, who took the oath

1. The word mawlā, pl. mawālī, has several meanings in Arabic. In this period it refers mainly to the military personnel who were employed by the ʿAbbāsid caliphs and were mostly of Central Asian origin.
2. That is, the palace built by al-Mutawakkil (847–861), Masʿūdī, Murūj, VII, 276.
from them. They were to accept whomever was acceptable to Bughā the Elder, Bughā the Younger and Utāmish, a procedure already arranged by Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb. The group took the oath; they had consulted with one another and were averse to having any of al-Mutawakkil’s sons declared caliph, for the commanders had killed his father and were afraid that they would, in turn, be murdered by any of al-Mutawakkil’s sons who assumed the caliphate. But Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb and those mawlās who were present concurred in choosing Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Muʻtaṣīm. saying, “The caliphate should not depart from the offspring of our patron Muʻtaṣīm.” Previous to this, they had mentioned some of the Banū Hāshim. They rendered the oath of allegiance to him at the time of

[1502]

the second ‘ishā’ prayer on Monday evening, the sixth of Rabi‘ II, 243 (June 9, 862). He was then twenty-eight years old, and his patronymic was Abū al-‘Abbās. Al-Musta‘īn took Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb as his secretary, and Utāmish as his wazīr.

On Monday, the sixth of Rabi‘ II (June 9, 862), Abū al-‘Abbās went
to the Public Audience Hall (Dar al-ʻĀmmah), by way of the 'Umari Road, between the gardens. They had dressed him in long robes and in the caliphal raiment. Ibrāhīm b. Ishaq carried the spear (harbah) in front of him; this took place before sunrise. Wājin al-Ushrusanî arrived at the gate of the Public Audience Hall by way of the main thoroughfare leading to the public treasury (bayt al-māl). After arranging his troops in two lines, he took his position in line together with the notables among his men. Present at the hall were the men of rank (aṣḥāb al-maratib). These included the sons of al-Mutawakkil, the 'Abbāsids, the Ṭālibīds and others of stature. While they were stationed in this manner—an hour and a half of the day had already passed—shouting was heard from the direction of the main thoroughfare and the markets. Suddenly, there were fifty horsemen of the Shākiriyah—it is reported that they were men of Abū al-'Abbās Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh—accompanied by a group of Ṭabarīyyah cavalry and mixed forces (akhlāṭ al-nās). They were joined by the hotheads and the rabble from the market, amounting to a group of about one thousand men. Drawing their weapons, they shouted “Victory to Mu’tazz! (yā Mu’tazz yā mansūr)” and charged the two lines of the Ushrusaniyyah formed

4. For this translation see Mas'ūdi, Murūj, VII, 365, and Le Strange, Lands, 54. This Dār was also sometimes called Dār al-Sulṭān. See Ya'qūbi, Buldān, 255.
5. The reference is to al-ʻAṣrār al-ʻUmari, built by 'Umar b. Faraj for al-Muṭṭashīm. See Ya'qūbi, Buldān, 258.
6. For the caliphal dress, see Ṣābi', Rusūm, 73–74.
7. Originally it was the spear of the king of Abyssinia. It was given as a gift to Prophet Muḥammad and was passed thereafter from one caliph to the next as a sign of authority. See Khwārizmi, Mafātīḥ, 118–119.
8. For the thoroughfare leading to the bayt al-māl, see Ya'qūbi, Buldān, 255, 260, and Sāmarrā'ī, Sāmarrā fī ʿAdab, appended map where the main street runs parallel to the Tigris in a north-south direction.
9. For the arrangement of the markets around the main thoroughfare, see Ya'qūbi, Buldān, 260.
10. The probable origin of the word is from Persian shāgird, meaning apprentice. See Mas'ūdi, Murūj, VII, 276. But sometimes it is used to mean simply mercenary soldiers. See Jāḥīz, Hayawān, II, 130.
11. Ṭabarī, Cairo ed., IX, 188 mentions the arrival of this Muḥammad from Khurāsān in the year 237 (851/852) and his appointment as al-Mutawakkil’s lieutenant over Baghdad in that year. See Ibn Khallikān, Waḍāyāt, V, 92–93, and Kutubi, Fawāt, III, 403–4. The text here refers to the possibility that Muḥammad’s men may have been still loyal to the descendants of al-Mutawakkil and were here objecting to al-Muṣṭa’īn’s usurpation of their power.
12. Yā ... yā mansūr is obviously a battle cry, rendered in Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 117, as naṯf yā mansūr [call to arms! O victorious one!].
by Wājin. A great melee ensued, and the men collided with one another. Some of the Mubayyidah\footnote{The name Mubayyidah was commonly used to describe a non-orthodox sect believing in the possibility of God's incarnation. See Sāmarrā’ī, al-Ghulūw, 143, on the authority of Isfarayīnī, Tabṣīr, 70. They seem to have been recruited into the army as a sect.} guarding the Public Gate (Bāb al-‘Āmmah) broke rank and joined the Shākiriyah, thus increasing their number. At this the Maghāribah and the Ushrūsanīyyah attacked, and, routing the rebels, they forced them onto the great road (darb) named after Zurāfah and ‘Azzūn.\footnote{The reference is probably to Zurāfah, al-Mutawakkil’s chamberlain (see Mas’ūdī, Murūj, VII, 394 and FHA, III, 555) and to ‘Azzūn, al-Mu’tasim’s boon companion (see Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt, II, 163).} A group of them charged the followers of al-Mu’tazz, and, having defeated them, they pursued them to the residence of Azzūn b. Ismā’il’s brother where the latter held a narrow part of the road. Al-Mu’tazz’s followers held their ground there, whereupon the Ushrūsanīyyah fired arrows at some of them and engaged them with swords. As the battle raged between them, the followers of al-Mu’tazz and the rabble proclaimed "God is Great!" Many of the protagonists fell, slain, as the battle continued until the third hour of the day. The Turks then departed, having rendered allegiance to Ahmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Mu’tasim. They left (by the road that runs) beside the ‘Umārī (Palace) and the gardens. Before leaving, the mawlās obtained the oath of allegiance from all those present at the Public Audience Hall, including, among others, the Hāshimites and the men of rank. Al-Musta‘īn departed by way of the Public Gate for the Hārūnī (palace) where he spent the night.

The Ushrūsanīyyah (also) went to the Hārūnī; both factions had lost many men. A group of Ushrūsanīyyah had entered some private quarters, whereupon the rabble overwhelmed them and took their shields, weapons, coats of mail and their mounts. The rabble and the plundering mob entered the Public Audience Hall en route to the Hārūnī (Palace). They freely pillaged the storehouse containing weapons, shields, coats of mail, swords, and thaghri bridles. None of them refrained from looting a coat of mail or a lance that happened to be lying by.\footnote{The text reads rubbāmnā marr̄ah adhum bi-l-jawshan wa-l-ḥirāb fa-akṭhara, which is difficult to understand with the previous fa-akṭhara.} They also pillaged the residence of Armash b. Abī
Ayyūb, accompanied by the sellers of barley juice (fuqqā‘),\textsuperscript{16} taking shields and headless spears of bamboo. As a result, spears and shields became plentiful in the hands of the rabble, the bath attendants and the boys who delivered groceries.\textsuperscript{17} A contingent of Turks, including Bughā the Younger, then came from the direction of Darb Zurāfah and forced them away from the storehouse, killing several of them and detaining a few.

Both factions now departed, having suffered many losses. But the rabble began confiscating the weapon of any Turk passing by the lower reaches of Sāmarrā while heading through the thoroughfares towards the Public Gate. They killed a contingent of Turks near the residences of Mubārak al-Maghribī and Ḥabash the brother of Yaʿqūb Qūṣarrah.\textsuperscript{18} Most of those seizing these weapons were reportedly sellers of barley juice and dried fruits (nātif), bath attendants, water bearers and the rabble from the markets. They continued in this way until midday. The prisoners at Sāmarrā became agitated on that day (as well), and a group of them managed to escape. The receipt of military pay (ʿatā‘) was now made contingent on swearing allegiance to the new Caliph, and the document of the oath of allegiance was sent to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhīr on the day when allegiance was sworn to al-Mustaʿīn. The document reached Muḥammad on the second day; it was brought to him by a brother of Utāmish, when Muḥammad was out on a walk. Muḥammad’s chamberlain sent Utāmish’s brother to his patron after informing him of Ibn Ṭāhīr’s whereabouts. At this, Muḥammad returned immediately and sent for the Hāšimits and for the commanders and troops. He granted them all their allotments (wada’a lahum al-arzāq).


\textsuperscript{16} Fuqqā‘ is barley juice according to Lisān, VIII, 526, and those who sold such items were considered of low class. See Yaʿqūbi, Buldān, 261.

\textsuperscript{17} Some occupational groups were apparently beginning to make themselves felt as especially threatening to the central authority. For more information on their interaction, see Dūrī, Abḥāth, 15–17. For their social position, see Yaʿqūbi, Buldān, 261.

\textsuperscript{18} The vocalization is unclear.
was given control of Iraq as well as the Haramayn; [he was put in charge of] security (shurtah), and [he was appointed] vice-ruler (mu'āwin) over the Sawād. The appointment of Muḥammad b. Tāhir b. 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir over Khurāsān and the adjoining districts took place at the Jawṣaq Palace on Saturday, the twelfth of Sha'ban (October 11, 862).

Būghā the Elder fell ill in Jumādā 2 (August 2–30, 862) and was visited by al-Musta'in in the middle of the month. Būghā died that very day, and his son Mūsā was given all his duties, that is, all his father's duties, in addition to [heading up] the postal service (barīd).

In this year, Unūjūr the Turk arranged (wajjaha) to kill Abū al-'Amūd al-Tha'labī in Kafartūthā on the twenty-fourth of Rabi‘ 2 (June 27, 862).

In this year, 'Ubaydallāh b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān went on pilgrimage. A Shi‘ite messenger called Shu‘ayb was sent after Ibn Khāqān to inform him that he was being banished to Barqah and was thus prohibited from continuing the pilgrimage.

In Jumādā 1, 248 (July 3–August 1, 862), al-Musta'in bought from al-Mu‘tazz and al-Mu‘ayyad everything they possessed except for one item valued at one hundred thousand dinārs which al-Mu‘tazz kept. The latter and Ibrāhīm (al-Mu‘ayyad) were to receive annual revenues (ghallah) of eighty thousand dinārs. And on Monday, the
The Events of the Year 248

twelfth of Ramaḍān (November 9, 862), all the possessions of al-Muʿṭazz and al-Muʿayyad, including buildings, residences, estates, palaces, household furnishings and so forth were bought from them for twenty thousand dinārs. This sale was witnessed by (legal) witnesses (shuhūd), notaries (ʿudūl)[24], and judges, among others. It is said that (only) their estates were bought and that Abū ʿAbdallāh (al-Muʿṭazz) retained properties producing a cash revenue of twenty thousand dinārs per annum, while Ibrāhim (al-Muʿayyad) had access to a yearly revenue of five thousand dinārs. What was bought from Abū ʿAbdallāh was worth ten million dinārs and ten pearls, and from Ibrāhim three million dirhams and three pearls. Bearing witness to the transaction were the jurists (fuqahāʾ) and judges. The purchase was made in the name of al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad[25] on behalf of al-Mustaʿin in the month of Rabiʿ II, 248 (June 4–July 2, 862). The two (ʿAbbasid princes) were then jailed in the (detention) room of the Jawsaq Palace, and were entrusted to Bughā the Younger. The Turks had intended to kill them when the rabble and the Shākiriyyah rioted, but they were stopped by Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb who said, “They have committed no fault and the rioters are not their followers but, rather, the followers of Ibn Ṭāhir. Better that they be jailed.” Therefore, they were jailed.

In that year, the mawlās were angered by Aḥmad b. al-Khaṣīb; in Jumādā I (July 3–Aug. 1, 862) his possessions as well as those of his sons were confiscated (ustusfiya), and he was banished to Crete.

In this year, ʿAli b. Yaḥya was relieved of his command of the Syrian frontier and was appointed governor of Armenia and Ādharbayjān. This was in Ramaḍān, 248 (October 29–November 27, 862).

In this year, the inhabitants of Ḥims rioted against Kaydar b. ʿUbaydallāh, al-Mustaʿin’s governor of the city, and expelled him from it. Al-Faḍl b. Qārin was then sent against them. Using his guile, he captured the rebels and killed a great number of them. He destroyed their (defensive) wall and he took one hundred of their notables to Sāmarrā.

In this year, Waṣīf—he was residing on the Syrian frontier—was

[24. The translation “notaries” follows Schacht, Introduction, 194.]
[25. This al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad was originally in charge of the diwān of the estates (diyāʾ) under al-Mutawakkil. See Sourdel, Vizirat, I, 290, n. 9. After an illustrious career in several government jobs he became the wazīr to al-Muʿtamid, ibid.]
taking part in the summer campaign when word reached him of al-
Muntasir's death. Nevertheless, he invaded the Byzantine territory
and conquered a fortress called Farūriyyah.26
In this year, al-Mustā'īn appointed Utāmish over Egypt and the
West (al-Maghrib), and he took him as his wazīr.
In this year too, Bughā al-Sharābī was appointed over Ḥulwān,
Māsabadhān, and Mihrajān Qadhaq,27 and al-Mustā'īn assigned
Shāhak al-Khādim to administer his apartments, kitchen, harem,
treasury, and his private affairs. The Caliph favored him and Utā-
mish over all others.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Muḥammad b. Sulaymān
al-Zaynabī.

---

26. For a parallel description of this campaign, see Masʿūdī, Murūj, VII, 300.
27. These are districts east of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Lands, 202, 228.
The Events of the Year

249

(FEBRUARY 24, 863—FEBRUARY 12, 864)

Among the events taking place were Jaʿfar b. Dīnār’s raid during the summer campaign and the capture of a fortress and several storage depots (maṭāmīr). ʿUmar b. ʿUbaydallāh al-Aqṭa sought permission from Jaʿfar to march to a district of the Byzantine territory. The former granted his request, and ʿUmar set off with a great force drawn from the people of Malāṭiyah. He was met by the emperor, who commanded a huge army, in a place called Arz of Marj al-Usquf. The emperor engaged ʿUmar in a fierce battle, and many men were killed on both sides. The Byzantines then surrounded ʿUmar—there were fifty thousand of them. He was killed together with two thousand Muslims on Friday in the middle of Rajab (September 3, 863).

In this year, ʿAli b. Yaḥyā al-Armanī was killed.

The Reasons for His Death

It is reported that when the Byzantines killed ʿUmar b. ʿUbaydallāh, they went to the frontier of al-Jazīrah, eager for the lands and the Muslim strongholds (ḥaram) there. When the news reached ʿAli b.

---

28. Marj al-Usquf is known from other sources to have been in Asia Minor. See Le Strange, Lands, 138.
Yaḥyā, (who was) on his way back from Armenia to Mayyāfāriqīn, as he rushed to meet them at the head of a contingent drawn from Mayyāfāriqīn and al-Silsilah. As a result, some four hundred men were killed. This took place during Ramaḍān (October 18–November 16, 863).

On the first day of Ṣafar, 249 (March 26, 863), the troops and the Shākiriyyah rioted in Baghdad.

The Reasons for This

When news concerning the death of 'Umar b. 'Ubaydallāh al-Aqṭa' and 'Alī b. Yahyā al-Armani reached the people of Baghdad (Madinat al-Salam), Sāmarrā and the rest of the nearby Muslim cities—the two were strong defenders of Islam, men of great courage who elicited enormous praise along the frontier districts they served—people became exceedingly distressed. Their hearts were heavy, especially because one had died so quickly after the other. Moreover, they had already been appalled by al-Mutawakkil's death at the hand of the Turks and by the way (in which) the latter assumed control over the affairs of the Muslims. The Turks killed any caliph they desired to kill and appointed in his stead whomever they wished, without reference to the religious authority and without eliciting the opinions of the Muslims. The populace (al-ʿāmmah) of Baghdad gathered, shouted out in protest and called for action. Joining them were the Abnā' and the Shākiriyyah, who openly called for their allotments. That took place on the first day of Ṣafar (March 26, 863).

The Baghdādis liberated the prison of Naṣr b. Mālik and freed those who were in it, as well as those who were in the masonry bridge (qantarah) near the Bridge Gate (Bāb al-Jisr). Among the detainees there were the dregs of society (ru ḩūgh) from Khurāsān, wretches (ṣaʿālīk) from Jībāl, and the Muḥammadar. They cut one of...
the two (river) bridges\textsuperscript{33} from its moorings and set the other afire, sinking its pontoons. The prison records of the detainees were looted, and the individual files were torn loose and cast into the water. They also looted the residence of Bishr and Ibrāhīm, the sons of Hārūn, Christians who were secretaries to Muḥammad b. ʻAbdallāh. All of that took place on the East Side of Baghdad, which was governed at that time by Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Khālid b. Harthamah.

At that time, the wealthy people of Baghdad and Sāmarrā spent great amounts of their money to supply those setting out for the frontiers to fight the Byzantines. Masses of people came forward from al-Jabal, Fārs, al-Ahwāz and other districts in order to partake in the raids against the Byzantines. We received no information that the central authorities were prepared to send a military force against the Byzantines on their own account in those days, despite the actions of the latter against the Muslims.\textsuperscript{34}

On Friday, the twentieth of Rabi’ I (May 14, 863), an unknown group of people attacked the prison in Sāmarrā and freed everyone in it. Zurāfah was sent with a contingent of mawlās to seek the perpetrators of this act, but he was himself attacked and routed by the mob. Utāmish, Waṣīf and Bughā now rode out with the Turks for the same purpose and killed some of the mob. A boiling pot was reportedly dumped on Waṣīf; it is also said that a group from the mob hurled stones at him near al-Sarījah.\textsuperscript{35} At this, Waṣīf gave orders to the fire hurlers (naffāṭīn), who cast their fire at the shops and residences in that neighborhood. I, myself, have seen that burnt-out place in Sāmarrā near the residence of Ishāq.\textsuperscript{36}

It is reported that on that day the Maghāribah looted the houses of some of the common people. The disturbance finally quieted down towards the end of that day. Aḥmad b. Jamīl was dismissed from his

\textsuperscript{33} On bridges, see Lassner, Topography; also Le Strange, Baghdad, 108.

\textsuperscript{34} The text is very difficult, but this seems to be the sense of: fā-lam yablughnā annahu kāna li-l-sultān fi-mā kāna min al-rūm ilā-l-muslimin min dhālika taghya’r wa-lā tawṣīh jaysh ilayhim li-ḥarbīhim.

\textsuperscript{35} The text is al-Sharihah; it should be read al-Sarījah, which was both a major artery of traffic and a large neighborhood. See Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 260.

\textsuperscript{36} Either Ishāq b. Ibrāhīm or Ishāq b. Yaḥyā, for they both had their residence around that area. See Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 260.
duties in charge of security at Sāmarrā on account of the aforemen-
tioned actions by the mob and the (rebellious) troops\textsuperscript{37} that day. Ibrāhīm b. Sahl al-Dārij was subsequently appointed in his place.

In that year Utāmish and his secretary Shujā' were killed. This took place on Saturday, the fourteenth of Rabī’ II (June 6, 863).

\textit{The Reason for Their Death}

It is reported, that when al-Musta‘īn assumed the caliphate, he gave Utāmish and Shāhak al-Khādim control over the treasury, permitting them to do whatever they pleased. Moreover, he did the same for his own mother, refusing to deny any of her wishes; her secretary was Salamah b. Sa‘īd al-Naṣrānī. Invariably, the wealth that came to the central authorities from the various regions ended up in the possession of these three people. Utāmish, who had designs on the treasury, skimmed off the money that was in it. Al-Musta‘īn had entrusted his son al-‘Abbās to the supervision of Utāmish\textsuperscript{38} (fi ḥijr Utāmish), and whatever money was left over by those three was taken for al-‘Abbās to be spent on his needs. The supervisor of the Caliph’s Bureau of Estates at that time was Dīlayl who, in turn, embezzled an enormous amount of money.

The mawlās began to see that the (government’s) wealth was being squandered while they themselves were hard pressed. Moreover, Utāmish, the companion of al-Musta‘īn, who had been given full control over the caliph and his affairs, started to dispense the business of government to the exclusion of Waṣīf and Bughā. As a result, they stirred up the mawlās against Utāmish by persistently plotting against him. Finally, they perfected their plot, and the Turks and Farāghinah were incited to rise against Utāmish. On Thursday, the twelfth of Rabī’ II, 249 (June 4, 863), a group of them from al-Dūr and al-Karkh\textsuperscript{39} came out against him. They set up camp and then

\textsuperscript{37} The text reads nafar.
\textsuperscript{38} See \textit{Lisān}, IV, 167, has ḥijr meaning supervision as well.
\textsuperscript{39} These two northern quarters of Sāmarrā were given by al-Mu‘tasim to the Turks in an attempt to isolate them from the main population, thus avoiding the troubles they caused in Baghdad where they had no special quarters of their own. See Sāmarrā‘ī, \textit{Tārikh}, I, 243–246, and Ya‘qūbī, \textit{Buldān}, 259.
slowly marched towards him while he was at the Jawṣaq Palace with al-Musta‘īn. When word of this reached him he wished to flee but was unable to do so. He now sought refuge with al-Musta‘īn but was refused. The mawlās maintained their positions all day Thursday and Friday; and then on Saturday they entered the Jawṣaq Palace and brought Utāmish out of the place where he had been hiding. He was subsequently killed along with his secretary Shujā‘ b. al-Qāsim. The mawlās then looted the residence of Utāmish; I have reports that enormous amounts of money as well as furniture, rugs, and household items were taken from it.

When Utāmish was killed, al-Musta‘īn appointed Abū Ṣāliḥ ʿAbdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Yazdād as wazīr. Al-Fāḍl b. Marwān was removed from the Bureau of Taxation, and the Caliph appointed ʿĪsā b. Farrukhānshāh to head it. Wāṣif was appointed over al-Ahwāz and Bughā the Younger was given Palestine during Rabī‘ II (May 24–June 21, 863). Afterwards, Bughā the Younger and his party became angry with Abū Ṣāliḥ b. Yazdād, and the latter subsequently fled to Baghdad. Al-Musta‘īn appointed in his stead Muḥammad b. al-Fāḍl al-Jarjara‘ī, and he entrusted Sa‘īd b. Ḥumayd to head the Bureau of Correspondence (Rasā‘īl) Concerning that al-Hamdūnī recited:

Sa‘īd (now) wears the sword after living in rags [dhā ṭimrayn] with no position.

Indeed, God has his own signs [āyāt,] this being one of them [that] has been bestowed [munzalah] upon us.

In this year, ʿAlī b. al-Jahm b. al-Badr was killed. The reason for this was that he had set out from Baghdad to the frontier. When he neared Aleppo, at a place called Khusāf,41 a group of cavalry from the Kalb engaged and killed him. The bedouins took whatever he had with him. While in the chase he recited:

Has the night been increased or has the dawn been swept away by the torrent.

40. The Jawṣaq Palace, see n. 21 above was actually on the eastern bank of the Tigris and was thus very close to al-Dūr and al-Karkh. If the Turks were marching against Utāmish, they were doing so at a very slow pace.

41. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, II, 370.
I recall the people of Dujayl, but alas! Where am I from Dujayl?

His residence had been in the street of Dujayl.\footnote{That is, in Baghdad. See Yāqūt, Mu'jam, II, 443, and Le Strange, Baghdad, 111, 127–31.}

In this year, Ja'far b. 'Abd al-Wāhid was removed from his position as head of the judiciary, and Ja'far b. Muhammad b. 'Amrār al-Burjumī from al-Kūfah was appointed. It has been said that this took place in the year 250.

In Dhū al-Hijjah, 249 (January 15–February 12, 863), a violent earthquake struck al-Rayy. As a result, many houses were demolished and a number of the inhabitants were killed. The survivors fled the city and camped at the outskirts.

On Friday, the twenty-fifth of Jumādā I (July 16, 863), a violent downpour struck the populace of Sāmarrā accompanied by thunder and lightning. The clouds darkened that day and the rain kept pouring until the sun was about to set. Afterwards, the weather became calm.

On Thursday, the third of Jumādā I this year (June 24, 863), the Maghāribah\footnote{Since the Maghāribah had their own quarters along Gulf Street (Shārī' al-khaliṭ) which was parallel to the eastern bank of the Tigris, Ya'qūbī, Buldān, 263, the bridge where they used to meet was probably the one spanning the Tigris near the Jawsaq Palace at the end of their quarter.} became agitated—they used to meet near the bridge in Sāmarrā—but on Friday they dispersed.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was 'Abd al-Ṣamad b. Mūsā b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhim the imām and governor of Mecca.
The Events of the Year

250
(FEBRUARY 13, 864—FEBRUARY 1, 865)

Among the events taking place this year was the uprising of Yahya b. 'Umar b. Yahya b. Husayn b. Zayd b. 'Ali b. al-Hasayn b. 'Ali b. Abi Talib, the one who is nicknamed Abu al-Hasayn. He rebelled in al-Kufah and was killed there.

The Causes and Consequences of His Uprising

It is reported that Abu al-Hasayn Yahya b. 'Umar, whose mother was Umm al-Hasayn Fatimah bt. al-Hasayn b. 'Abdallah b. Ismail b. 'Abdallah b. Ja'far b. Abi Talib, was hard pressed by his creditors. One day he met 'Umar b. Faraj who was entrusted with the affairs of the Talibids, the latter had just arrived from Khurasan during the reign of al-Mutawakkil. The 'Alid asked him for a grant (silah) but 'Umar responded harshly, whereupon Yahya b. 'Umar cursed him (qadhafahu) during his own assembly. Yahya was subsequently imprisoned and remained incarcerated until his family vouched for him and he was set free. Following this, he went to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salam) where he took up residence, still suffering from hardship. Then he went to Samarra where he met Wasif and requested that an allowance be given to him, but Wasif responded harshly, saying, "Why should there be an allowance for the likes of you?" Yahya then left his presence.
Ibn Abī Ṭālib reported on the authority of Ibn al-Šūfi, the Ṭālibid, that (Yahyā) had come to him on the eve of his uprising and spent the night, but he did not reveal anything of his intentions. He had offered Yahyā food, for he had realized that he was hungry, but the latter rejected it, saying, "If we live, we will eat." Ibn al-Šūfi said, "I realized then that he had decided on a rash course of action." Yahyā left him and went directly to al-Kūfah, whose governor at the time was Ayyūb b. al-Ḥasan b. Mūsā b. Ja'far b. Sulaymān—he ruled on behalf of Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir. Yahyā b. ʿUmar gathered a great throng of bedouins; a contingent from al-Kūfah also rallied to him. Coming to al-Fallūjah, he encamped in a village known as al-ʿUmud, where he was reported by the post master.44 Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir then wrote to Ayyūb b. al-Ḥasan and ʿAbdallāh b. Maḥmūd al-Sarakhsī, who was Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh’s security officer for Iraq, ordering them to join forces against Yahyā b. ʿUmar. The tax collector in al-Kūfah (at the time) was Badr b. al-Asbagh. Together with seven men drawn from his cavalry, Yahyā b. ʿUmar marched to al-Kūfah. He entered the city and proceeded as far as the treasury, where he took whatever he found. This amounted to more than two thousand dinārs; as regards silver, he found seventy thousand dirhams.

Declaring his rebellion in al-Kūfah, he now liberated the two prisoners of all those who were interred, while expelling the wardens. He was met by ʿAbdallāh b. Maḥmūd al-Sarakhsī, who was one of the Shākiriyah. Yahyā b. ʿUmar struck him where his hair parted, and the force of the blow cut his face. Ibn Maḥmūd then fled together with his companions, and Yahyā b. ʿUmar took possession of all that Ibn Maḥmūd had in the way of mounts and money. Afterward Yahyā b. ʿUmar left al-Kūfah for its agricultural hinterland (the Sawād) and journeyed until reaching a place called Bustān, or some place close to it, around three farsakhs (eighteen km) from Junbulā’.45 He did not reside in al-Kūfah. He was followed by a group of Zaydis,46 and was supported as well by bedouins residing

---

44. The post master was also responsible for intelligence information. See Dūrī, Abhāth, 18. For Fallūjah in the area of al-Kūfah, see Le Strange, Lands, 74.
45. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, II, 168.
46. Originally a group of Shiʿite sects following either Zayd b. ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn or Zayd b. al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib; they believed that the imām should be chosen
near that district. He was also supported by the people of the Taif region and the lower Sib all the way to Waṣīt. The 'Ālid then established himself at Bustān while his followers grew in number.

Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh now sent al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl b. Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ‘ab against him, attaching to al-Ḥusayn’s command a group of brave and valiant officers such as Khālid b. 'Imrān, 'Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb, who was known as Wajh al-Fals, Abū al-Sanā al-Ghanawī, 'Abdallāh b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, and Sa’d al-Ḍabābī. From the Ishāqīyyah came Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. al-Fadl, some elite Khurāsānīs, and others. Al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl went out and camped opposite Hafandā, facing Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar; but he and his force did not attack. Yaḥyā went off in the direction of al-Bahriyyah, a village five farsakhs (thirty km) away from Qussīn. Had al-Ḥusayn wished to catch up with him, he could have done so. Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar now marched along the eastern bank of the Sib, while al-Ḥusayn did likewise on the western side, until reaching Aḥmadābād. There he crossed over in the direction of Sūrā and had the troops seize every soldier who was too weak to keep up with Yaḥyā; at the same time, they were to detain those villagers who had gone over to Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar. Aḥmad b. al-Faraj, who was known as Ibn Fazzārī, was in charge of the security of the Sib on behalf of Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh. He was carrying whatever he could gather of the Sib revenue before Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar entered Ahmadābād. As a result, Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar did not gain possession of it. Yaḥyā marched towards al-Ḳūfah, and was met by ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb Wajh al-Fals whom he engaged in a fierce battle near the Kūfah Bridge. ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb was routed and he fled in the direction of Shāhī; he was joined by al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl who encamped there. Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar entered al-Ḳūfah and was joined by the Zaydīs

by the consent of the descendents of ‘Ali. See Nawbakhtī, Firaq, 19; Sāmarrā‘ī, al-Ghulūw, 300–301; Shahrastānī, Milal, I, 207.

47. See Taif in Yaqūt, Mu’jam, IV, 36, and for lower Sib, near Qaṣr Hubayrah in the region of al-Ḳūfah, ibid., III, 293.
48. Ibid., V, 408.
49. Ibid., IV, 350.
50. A general term used to describe several villages or cities that were associated with some Ahmad or other.
51. See Le Strange, Lands, 70–72
52. See Yaqūt, Mu’jam, III, 316.
who propagandized on behalf of the Chosen One (al-Riḍā) of Muhammad’s family. With that, his position became solidified; for a group of people gathered to him and liked him. The mob of Baghdad held him in esteem, and it is not known that they did as much for anyone else of his house. In al-Kūfah a group of experienced Shi’ites swore allegiance to him and were joined by a mixed bag of people who were not known for their piety. In the meantime, al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā’īl remained encamped at Shāhī and rested; his companions revived their mounts and were themselves revived as they drank the sweet waters of the Euphrates, and supplies, provisions, and funds reached them. As for Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar, he remained in al-Kūfah, collecting provisions, forging swords (yaṭbaʿ al-suyūf), reviewing fighting men, and gathering weapons. A group of Zaydis, without knowledge of combat, counselled Yaḥyā to hasten against al-Ḥusayn, and most of his followers urged the same. So Yaḥyā advanced against him from the back of al-Kūfah, behind the trench—this was on Monday, the thirteenth of Rajab (August 20, 864).

The ‘Alid was joined by al-Hayḍam al-‘Ijli at the head of a group of horsemen from Banū ‘Ijl, a group of the Banū Asad, and infantry drawn from the populace of al-Kūfah, who had neither knowledge of war nor experience, and were without valor. They marched the whole night and in the morning came up against al-Ḥusayn and his men—the latter were rested and prepared. They charged wildly and hurled (stones) at them for a whole hour just before daybreak. Al-Ḥusayn’s troops then counter-attacked and put them to the sword. The first prisoner was al-Hayḍam b. al-‘Alā’ b. Jumhūr al-‘Ijli. The Kūfan infantry was routed, most of them being unarmed, weak and dressed in rags; and the (government) cavalry trampled them. The army scattered from the presence of Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar, who was wearing the Tibetan coat of mail which he had taken from ‘Abdallāh b. Maḥmūd; it trailed down the sides of the mule. A son of Khālid b. ‘Imrān called Khayr came upon Yaḥyā but did not recognize him; he thought that Yaḥyā was a man from Khurāsān on account of the

53. This is but one more instance of the continuous struggle by the Shi’ites against the ‘Abbāsids that is profusely documented by Ṭabarī.

54. Their uncoordinated and chaotic attack is only a reflection of their lack of training and inexperience.
coat of mail he was wearing. Abū al-Ghawr b. Khālid b. 'Imrān also came upon him and called out to Khayr b. Khālid, “O brother! By God! This is Abū al-Ḥusayn. The heart of his army has fled and he doesn’t yet realize it.” Khayr then called upon one of his men, an officer (‘ārif) from Mosul by the name of Muḥsin b. al-Muntāb. The latter dismounted and went after him; after killing Yaḥyā, he put his head in a basket and sent it with 'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb, the brother of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb, to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir. Several people claimed to have killed him. Al-'Irs b. 'Urāhim (said) they discovered him slain (bārik) and found his signet-ring and sword with a man known as al-'Asqalānī, who claimed that he had stabbed him and robbed him. Sa‘d al-Ḍabābī also claimed that he had killed him. And it is also reported on the authority of Abū al-Ḥusayn, the maternal uncle of Abū al-Sanā, that he had stabbed a man in the back at dawn without recognizing who it was; they subsequently discovered a stab wound in the back of Abū al-Ḥusayn (Yaḥyā). But no one is certain who killed him because so many claimed to have done it.

The head arrived at the residence of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir in a state of decomposition. They sought someone who would carve the eyes and larynx out of the flesh but to no avail; the butchers ran away. A volunteer was sought from the slaughterers among the Khurramiyyah who were (then) in prison, but none came forth except for one man, one of the attendants of the new prison by the name of Sahl b. al-Sughdi. The latter took charge of carving out his brain and eyes, performing this task with his own hands. The (empty) head was then stuffed with aloes, musk, and camphor after it had been washed and wrapped in cotton. They reported that they had seen (evidence of) a severe sword blow on his temple. Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir gave orders that the head be sent to al-Musta‘īn on the morning of the day it had reached him, and he personally wrote to the Caliph of the victory.

The head was displayed at the Public Gate in Sāmarrā, where the people gathered to see it. They grew in numbers and complained.

---

55. There were several sects known by this name. Originally, the name designated the followers of Abū Muslim al-Khurāsānī, see Maṣ‘ūdī, Murūj, 41–42. But later the name was given to regional sects who were mainly extremist Shi‘ites. See, for example, Rāzī, Kitāb al-Zinah, 306.
Ibrāhīm al-Dayraj was in charge of displaying it, having been ordered to do so by Ibrāhīm b. Iṣḥāq, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh’s lieutenant. He displayed it for a little while, then it was taken down to be sent to Baghdad for display at the Bridge Gate. But this couldn’t be arranged for Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh on account of the crowds that had gathered; he was told that they gathered to seize it. As a result, he did not display it but, instead, had it placed in a coffer in the arsenal of his palace. On the other hand, al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘il sent the prisoners and the heads of the slain in his possession with a man called Aḥmad b. Iṣmawayh—he was one of those accompanying Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm. Aḥmad drove them hard, starved and humiliated them, and had them detained in the new prison. Then Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh wrote, asking that they be pardoned and then set free. He also ordered that the heads be buried and not displayed. Thus, they were buried in a palace near the Golden Gate.

One of the Tāhirids reported that he was in the assembly of Muḥammad b. Tāhir while the latter was being congratulated for the death of Yahyā b. ‘Umar and the military victory. A group of Ḥāshimites and Tālibids, among others, were in attendance. Among those who had entered into his presence was Dāwūd b. al-Haytham Abū Ḥāshim al-Ja‘fari who, hearing people congratulating him, said, “O Prince! You are being congratulated for killing a man for whom the Messenger of God, had he been alive, would be among the bereaved.” Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh did not respond, whereupon Abū al-Ḥāshim al-Ja‘fari left, reciting:

O Banū Tāhir eat him like a plague for the flesh of the prophet is not nourishing.

A revenge that God himself seeks will, I am sure, be crowned with perfect success.

Al-Musta‘īn had sent Kalbāṭikīn to reinforce al-Ḥusayn (b. Ismā‘īl) and to help him to victory. But he caught up with al-Ḥusayn after the enemy had been routed and Yaḥyā b. ‘Umar had been

---

56. Public display of parts of bodies of one’s political enemies, usually the head, was a common practice at this time as is attested by Tābarī himself in several instances below.

57. That is, in the Round City of al-Mansūr. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 19, 30–33, 242–5, and Lassner, Topography, s.v. Gold Palace.
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killed. Kalbātikīn continued his march, accompanied by the post-master of al-Kūfah, and encountered a contingent of Yahyā b. ʿUmar's men. They were carrying provisions and food and were seeking Yahyā's camp. He killed them and then entered al-Kūfah with the intention of looting it and putting its populace to the sword. Al-Ḥusayn (b. Ismāʾīl) prohibited him from doing so, giving protection to both the blacks and whites of the city. Kalbātikīn stayed for a few days, then withdrew from al-Kūfah.

In this year, during the month of Ramaḍān (October 6 – November 4, 864), the rebellion of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd b. Muḥammad b. Ismāʾīl b. al-Ḥasan b. Zayd b. al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib took place.

The Reason For His Rebellion

A group of people from Ṭabaristān and others reported on the reason for this. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir had been granted some government controlled lands in Ṭabaristān as fiefs. This was to mark his success in killing Yahyā b. ʿUmar and the subsequent entry of his officers and troops into al-Kūfah. Among those grants was a fief near the two frontiers of Ṭabaristān bordering Daylam, that is, Galār and Sālūs. Behind it was land jointly utilized by the people of that district as a source of firewood, and for grazing lands and pasture for their animals. No one held ownership of this area; it was but a barren stretch of uncultivated state land, except for thickets, some bushes and grazing grass. I was told that Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir sent one of the brothers of his secretary Bishr b. Ḥārūn al-Naṣrānī—he was called Jābir b. Ḥārūn—to take possession of the land there that had been granted (to the Tāhirid) as a fief.

At that time the governor of Ṭabaristān was Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh, the lieutenant of Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir. But Muḥammad b. Aws al-Balkhī had Sulaymān totally under his control. The former positioned his offspring in the cities of Ṭabaristān, establishing them as governors. Each was assigned to a particular city of the province. They were impudent youths and caused harm to those subjects who were their responsibility. Their subjects thus disapproved of them as well as of their father, Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh, on account of their arrogance, the manner in which they treated them, and their brutality. If the story of these excesses were properly told, it would fill a book by itself.
According to reports I have received, Muḥammad b. Aws also started a blood feud with the Daylamites by launching a surprise attack against the regions of their country that bordered Ṭabaristān. He did this although they were peaceful people on good terms with the populace of Ṭabaristān. Muḥammad took some Daylamites prisoner and killed others before he returned, contented, to Ṭabaristān. This further enraged the people of Ṭabaristān against him. When the messenger of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, that is, Jābir b. Hārūn al-Naṣrānī, came to Ṭabaristān to take possession of the land that was granted there to Muḥammad, he went, so I was told, to the government controlled lands. He reportedly took possession of this land (which had been granted to Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh) and in addition he took the uncultivated state land which was commonly utilized by the inhabitants of that region. Among the uncultivated state lands (mawāṭ) he wanted the (area) by the two frontier regions, Galar and Sālūs.

At the time, there were two men in that region who were famous for their courage and valor. Moreover, they were known in days gone by for their ability to hold that region against any Daylamites, who desired it, thus allowing people to find sustenance there and granting favor to those who would support them. One of them was called Muḥammad, the other Jaʿfar; they were brothers, the sons of Rustam. They disapproved of Jābir b. Hārūn’s attempt—described previously—to appropriate the uncultivated state land, and they resisted it. The two sons of Rustam were obeyed in that region, and they stirred up support among their followers to prevent Jābir b. Hārūn from obtaining the uncultivated state land that he desired—the land which was reportedly utilized by the people of that region and which was not inside the fief granted to his master Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh. Their followers rose in support of them, and Jābir b. Hārūn fled for his life, fearing Muḥammad and Jaʿfar and their followers who did not approve of Jābir al-Naṣrānī’s intended action. The latter thus joined Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir.

The two sons of Rustam and their followers, who supported them in preventing Jābir from obtaining the uncultivated state land, as I have mentioned, became convinced that mischief was underway. For the governor of all of Ṭabaristān, Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh, was the brother of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh and the paternal uncle of Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir b. ʿAbdallāh, who was then al-Mustaʾin’s gov-
ernor over Khurāsān, Ṭabaristān, al-Rayy and all of the eastern provinces. When the people became convinced (of what would happen), they contacted their neighbors among the Daylamites and reminded them of their loyalty to the existing agreement between them, and of what treachery Muḥammad b. Aws had perpetrated in killing and taking prisoners among them. They also expressed their fear that he was about to do the same to them, and they were therefore asking the Daylamites to show themselves against him and his followers. The Daylamites responded by saying that their land was totally surrounded by territory under the control of either the Ṭahirid governors, or those governors who supported the Ṭahirids when needed. Thus, there was no way that they could support them until their fear—that troops would be sent against them if they became openly involved in a war that affected the subjects of Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh’s governors—abated.

Those who sought their open support against Sulaymān and his governors informed the Daylamites that they would not be mindless of their needs, thereby allaying the Daylamite fears. The Daylamites then responded positively, and formulated an agreement with the people of Galār and Sālūs to support one another in the fight against Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh, Ibn Aws and any others who intended to attack them. Afterwards, Rustam’s sons Muḥammad and Ja’far reportedly sent word to one of the Ṭalibids then living in Ṭabaristān—he was called Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm—offering to solicit the oath of allegiance to him. He refused them, saying, “However, I will direct you to another one of us who is more suited to your call.” They asked, “Who is this man?” And he told them that it was al-Ḥasan b. Zayd and directed them to al-Ḥasan’s residence in al-Rayy. People were then sent to al-Rayy, at the recommendation of Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm the ‘Alid, to invite al-Ḥasan to Ṭabaristān. Al-Ḥasan b. Zayd did this. He met the local population and found that the Daylamites and the people of Galār, Sālūs and Rūyān had already spoken of their allegiance to him and of their intention to campaign jointly against Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh. When al-Ḥasan b. Zayd met them, they swore allegiance to him. Those who

58. The text is difficult: wa-inna mā sa’alū min mu’āwanatihihī lā sabīl lahum ilayhi i’llā bi-zawāl al-khawf ‘anhum man(?) yu’tū min qibal zuhārihihim idhā hum ishtaghalū bi-ḥarb min bayn aydihihim min ‘ummāl Sulaymān ...
swore allegiance were: the two sons of Rustam; most of the people of the frontier region; the chiefs of the Daylamites such as Jāyā, Lāshām, and Wahsūdām b. Justān; and of the people of Rūyān, 'Abdallāh b. Wandāmid, who was esteemed among them as a devout and pious man. They now rebelled against the governors of Ibn Aws in that region and expelled them, whereupon the latter joined Ibn Aws and Sulaymān b. 'Abdallāh who were then in Sāriyah. 59

Those who swore allegiance to al-Ḥasan b. Zayd from the aforementioned districts were joined by those who now heard of his rebellion. That is, they were joined by the thugs (ḥawzīyya) of the mountains of Tabaristān such as Masmughān, Qāḍūsbān, Layth b Qubād, and, among the people of the foothills, Khushakajistān b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Khalil b. Wandāsifjān. The exceptions were the inhabitants of Mount Firīm, 60 who were well defended in their mountain and were under the leadership of Qārin b. Shahriyār. They did not join al-Ḥasan b. Zayd and his followers until after Qārin himself died, although at times they had amicable dealings with them and also intermarried with them. These dealings were an attempt by Qārin to ward off the antagonism of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd and his followers.

After that, al-Ḥasan b. Zayd and his commanders from the aforementioned regions marched on Āmul, the city of Tabaristān closest to Galār and Sālūs 61 by way of the foothills. Ibn Aws proceeded from Sāriyah to defend the city, and the two armies met in one of the regions of Āmul and engaged one another in battle. Al-Ḥasan b. Zayd slipped away from the scene of the battle with some of his followers and entered the city from another direction. When the news of his entrance into the city reached Ibn Aws, who was preoccupied with al-Ḥasan b. Zayd’s men before him, he thought only of saving himself and joining Sulaymān at Sāriyah. When al-Ḥasan b. Zayd entered Āmul the ranks of his army swelled, and his cause began to show promise. Joining him was every seeker of booty and every adventurous rebel from among the vagabonds (ṣaʿālīk), the squatters and others.

59. See Le Strange, Lands, 370, 375.
60. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, IV, 260.
61. In Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 130, 132, it is spelled in the alternate form Shālūs. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, III, 172, 311.
According to what I was told, al-Hasan b. Zayd remained in Āmul for a few days in order to collect taxes from its inhabitants and make preparations. Then he and his force pushed on towards Sāriyah seeking Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh. Sulaymān and Ibn Aws marched out with their armies, and the two forces met outside Sāriyah where they waged battle. One of al-Hasan b. Zayd's commanders slipped away from the area of the engagement and approached the city of Sāriyah from another direction. He then entered the city together with his officers and men. Once that news reached Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh and his troops, they thought only of saving themselves.

A group of people from that district and others (said) Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh fled, leaving behind his family, his household, his baggage, and all the money, furnishings, and other things he had at Sāriyah. He did this without a second thought and went straight to Jurjān. Al-Hasan b. Zayd and his men then took over Sulaymān's possessions in Āmul as well as those of his army. As for Sulaymān's household, his family and furnishings, I was told that al-Ḥasan b. Zayd had them sent to Sulaymān, and they caught up with him in Jurjān. As for the possessions of his companions, they were looted by the followers of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd. With Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh taking refuge in Jurjān, the control of all Ṭabaristān was left to al-Ḥasan b. Zayd.

As soon as al-Ḥasan b. Zayd gained control over Ṭabaristān, having driven out Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh and his men, he sent cavalry, under the leadership of a man from his own house (also) called al-Ḥasan b. Zayd, to al-Rayy. The latter reached the city and expelled the Ṭāhirīd governor. When al-Rayy was taken by the man sent by the Ṭālibīds, its governor fled. The former appointed a Ṭālibīd called Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar as his lieutenant and then withdrew from the city. In addition to Ṭabaristān, al-Ḥasan b. Zayd now controlled the whole district of al-Rayy, up to Hamadhān.

News of this reached al-Mustaʿīn—his administrative assistant at the time was Waṣīf the Turk, and his secretary was Āmad b. Ṣāliḥ b. Shirzād who was also entrusted with the privy-seal and the wazīrate. The Caliph now sent Ismāʿīl b. Farāshah with an army to Hamadhān with orders to establish himself there and secure the region lest the cavalry of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd penetrate the area. The order was limited to that (locality) because the district beyond Hamadhān was controlled by Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir.
The latter's governors ruled it, and he was charged with its welfare. When Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar the Tālibid was firmly in control over al-Rayy, he reportedly manifested behavior which elicited the disapproval of its inhabitants. At this, Muḥammad b. Tāhir b. ʿAbdallāh sent to al-Rayy one of his commanders, called Muḥammad b. Mikāl—he was the brother of the Shāh b. Mikāl—with an army of cavalry and infantry. He and Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar the Tālibid met outside the city. It is reported that Muḥammad b. Mikāl took Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar the Tālibid prisoner. Dispersing the latter's army, he entered al-Rayy where he remained and mentioned the central authorities (once again) in the invocation (daʿā li-l-sūlтан). Al-Ḥasan b. Zayd did not wait long before sending a cavalry force commanded by a man from Lāriz called Wājin. As Wājin made out for al-Rayy, Muḥammad b. Mikāl came forth to meet him. They engaged in a battle in which Wājin and his troops routed Muḥammad b. Mikāl and his men. The latter then fled to the city seeking to defend himself there, but Wājin and his followers pursued him until he was killed. As a result, al-Rayy went over to the supporters of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd. Appearing in al-Rayy on the day of ʿarafāt that year, following the death of Muḥammad b. Mikāl, were Ahmad b. ʿIsā b. ʿAlī b. Ḥusayn the Younger b. ʿAlī b. Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī b. Abi Tālib and Idrīs b. Mūsā b. ʿAbdallāh b. Mūsā b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ḥasan b. Ṭālib. Ahmad b. ʿIsā led the festival prayer at al-Rayy and invoked the name of the Chosen One of Muḥammad's family. Muḥammad b. ʿAlī b. Tāhir came up against him, but Ahmad b. ʿIsā routed him and afterward made his way to Qazwin.

In this year, Jaʿfar b. ʿAbd al-Wāhid fell from favor, having been accused by Waṣīf of corrupting the Shākiriyah to whom he was sent. He was exiled to al-Baṣrah on the twenty-third of Rabīʿ I (May 4, 864).

In this year, those Umayyads who had a position of rank at the

---

62. Although the Tāhirids had full control over the area, they still ruled on behalf of the central authority and recognized the Caliph as their supreme sovereign.
63. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, V, 7.
64. The day of ʿarafāt is on the ninth of Dhū al-Ḥijjah, Ei2, 1, 604, hence the date is January 11, 865.
65. See n. 53, above.
Public Audience Hall such as Ibn Abī al-Shawārib were dropped from that position. This was also true of the 'Uthmānids.\(^66\)

In this year, al-Ḥasan b. al-Āfshīn was freed from prison.

In this year, al-ʿAbbās b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad was made heir to the throne (ujlīsa) and Jaʿfar b. al-Ḍl b. ʿIsā b. Mūsā, who was known as Bashāshāt, was appointed governor of Mecca in Jumādā I [June 10–July 9, 864].

In this year, al-Hasan b. al-Afshin was freed from prison. In this year, al-ʿAbbās b. Aḥmad b. Muḥammad was made heir to the throne (ujlīsa) and Jaʿfar b. al-Ḍl b. ʿIsā b. Mūsā, who was known as Bashāshāt, was appointed governor of Mecca in Jumādā I [June 10–July 9, 864].

In this year, the people of Ḥimṣ, and a group of the Kalb under the leadership of ʿUṭayf b. Niʿmah al-Kalbi, attacked al-Ḍl b. Qārin, the brother of Māziyār b. Qārin, and killed him—he was then governor of Ḥimṣ on behalf of the central authorities. Al-Mustaʿīn then sent Mūsā b. Bughā the Elder against them. The latter set out from Sāmarra on Thursday, the thirteenth of Ramadan (October 18, 864). When Mūsā approached (Ḥimṣ), its inhabitants met him between the city and Rastān. Engaging them in combat, he routed them and conquered Ḥimṣ. He killed a great many of its inhabitants, burned the city and took a group of the local leaders prisoner; but ʿUṭayf had escaped and joined the bedouins.

On Sunday, the twenty-fourth of Ramadan, 250 (October 29, 864) Jaʿfar b. Aḥmad b. ʿAmmār al-Qādi died.

In this year, Aḥmad b. ʿAbd al-Karīm al-Jawārī al-Taymī, the judge of al-Baṣra, died.

In this year, Ḥamad b. al-Wazīr was put in charge of the judiciary of Sāmarra.

In this year, the Shākiriyyah and the regular army (jund) in Fārs assaulted ʿAbdallāh b. Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm. They looted his residence and killed Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Qārin as ʿAbdallāh b. Iṣḥāq fled.

In this year, Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir sent two elephants from Khurāsān (to Baghdad?)—he had received them from Kābūl—along with statues and perfumes.

Leading the summer campaign this year was Balkājūr.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was Jaʿfar b. al-Ḍl Bashāshāt, who was the governor of Mecca.

---

\(^66\) For these ranks of nobility and the social stratification, see Mez, *Renaissance*, 132–155.
Among the events taking place, Waṣīf and Bughā the Younger killed Bāghar al-Turkī, and the mawlās became agitated.

Their Killing of Bāghar

The reported reason (was that) Bāghar had been one of those who murdered al-Mutawakkil. As a result, his military allotment increased and he was granted several fiefs, among them the estates belonging to the hinterland of al-Kūfah. One of the dihqāns of Bārūsmā and Nahr al-Malik\(^\text{67}\) was given the responsibility for farming taxes on these estates; (the taxes were to be collected) by a Jewish secretary acting on behalf of Bāghar. The sum was two thousand dinārs a year. Now a man from that region by the name of Ibn Mārimmah attacked\(^\text{68}\) one of Bāghar’s agents, as a result of which Ibn Mārimmah was arrested and fettered. But he later managed to escape from prison and went to Sāmarrā where he met Dūlayl b. Ya’qūb al-Nāṣrānī who was then Bughā al-Sharābī’s secretary and the ad-

\(^{67}\) For Bārūsmā and Nahr al-Malik, see Le Strange, *Lands*, 70, 81. For dihqān, see *EI*\(^2\), II, 253–254.

\(^{68}\) See Ibn al-Athīr, *Kāmil*, VII, 137, where he uses wathaba instead of the unusual ‘adā . . . ‘alā for “attack”. 
ministrator of his affairs. The army was Dulayl's responsibility, and commanders and governors thus came to him with requests on account of the esteem accorded to him by Bughā. Ibn Mārímmah was a friend of Dulayl, and Bāghar was one of Bughā's commanders.

Dulayl prevented Bāghar from dealing harshly with Ahmad b. Mārímmah. This precipitated the antagonism that Bāghar felt for Dulayl and was the reason for the rift between them. Bāghar was a courageous hero and well respected among Turks, but Bughā and others were wary of him and feared his capacity for evil. Bāghar reportedly came to Bughā on Tuesday the twenty-seventh of Dhū al-Hijjah, 250 (January 29, 865). He was totally intoxicated when he found Bughā in the bath. He waited for the latter to get out. Then, entering into Bughā's presence, he said, "By God Dulayl must be killed." He then took to insulting Dulayl, whereupon Bughā replied, "If you had wished to kill my son Fāris I wouldn't have stopped you. Why should I concern myself with Dulayl al-Naṣrānī? But my affairs, as well as those of the caliphate, are in his hands. Wait until I appoint someone in his stead, and then do with him as you please."

Following this, Bughā sent word to Dulayl ordering him not to ride out. It is also said that one of Bughā's physicians, called Ibn Sarjawayh, met Dulayl and told him the news, whereupon the latter returned to his residence and hid. Bughā then sent for Muḥammad b. Yahyā b. Firūz—he had been one of his previous secretaries—and appointed him in Dulayl's place to deceive Bāghar into thinking that he had discharged Dulayl from his office. Bāghar quieted down, and Bughā then attempted to reconcile Dulayl and Bāghar. But Bāghar kept threatening to kill Dulayl if he ever came face to face with him.69

Bāghar then ingratiated himself with al-Musta'īn and was assigned to palace duties. (He was liked so much that) al-Musta'īn disliked having him replaced. When it was the day for Bughā to be at home off duty, al-Musta'īn asked, "What duties [a'māl] were assigned to Itākh." Waṣif informed him, whereupon the Caliph said, "These functions ought to be transferred to Abū Muḥammad Bāghar." To which Waṣif replied, "Yes". When the story reached Dulayl, he rode out to Bughā and said, "You sit at home while they are arranging to discharge you from all your duties. If you are indeed

69. The text reads: khalā bi-āshābīhi, "He was alone with his friends."
discharged, nothing remains but for you to be killed." Then, on the eve of his day off, Bughā went straight to the caliphal palace and said to Waṣīf, "You wanted to demote me and bring in Bāghar to replace me, while Bāghar is but one of my servants and followers?" Waṣīf responded, "I had no idea of the Caliph's wishes in that regard." Waṣīf and Bughā then agreed to remove Bāghar from the palace and to plot against him. They spread alarming rumors that he was being given command of a force in addition to his own, that he was being given robes of honor, and that he was to be seated in the palace assemblies in place of Bughā and Waṣīf, while the latter would be reduced in status to commanders (amīr). They persisted in arguing that that was going to take place on account of al-Musta‘in’s fear of him.

Bāghar and his followers became suspicious, and he gathered the group who had sworn allegiance to him in the killing of al-Mutawakkil, or at least some of them, as well as others. When they gathered, he consulted them and confirmed their allegiance as he did at the killing of al-Mutawakkil. They responded, "We are still bound by our oath of allegiance." To which he replied, "Stay at the palace until we kill al-Musta‘in, Bughā and Waṣīf. Then we will install ‘Ali, the son of al-Mu‘tasim, or the son of al-Wāthiq as caliph, so that control will be ours, as it is now for those two who have taken everything tangible and left us with nothing." They agreed with him on this course of action. When the news reached al-Musta‘in, he sent for Bugha and Waṣīf—that was on Monday—and he said to them, "I didn’t ask you to make me caliph, but you and your friends did it anyway. Now you want to kill me?" They both swore that they knew nothing of this. Whereupon he told them the news—it was said that word had been brought by a divorced wife of Bāghar, who came to al-Musta‘in’s mother and to Bughā with it. Early the next morning Dulayl went to Bughā, and there he was joined by Waṣīf, who was accompanied by Ahmad b. Ṣāliḥ, his secretary. They all agreed to seize and imprison Bāghar and two other Turks until they determined what to do with them. Bāghar was then brought with many others into the house of Bughā.

Bishr b. Sa‘īd al-Marthidi (said that he) was present when Bāghar arrived. He was denied access to Bughā and Waṣīf but was taken, instead, to one of Bughā’s bath-houses, and shackles were ordered for him. Although he resisted, they imprisoned him in the bath-house. When word reached the Turks at the Hārūnī Palace, at al-Karkh and
at al-Dūr, they attacked the government stables and looted them of all the animals they could find. Then they mounted the animals and presented themselves at the Jawsaq Palace fully armed. By the end of the day Wasif and Bugha commanded Rashid, the son of Wasif’s sister Su’ād, to kill Bāghar. He came to him with a group of men, and they cut him down with axes until he lay still. When al-Musta‘īn got wind of their gathering, he set sail in a fire-boat (harrāqah) together with Wasif and Bugha, and they journeyed to the residence of Wasif. People rushed back and forth with their weapons all day and all night—that was Tuesday. Wasif told (his followers), “Wait and see. If they persist in their uprising, we’ll throw them his head.” When the news of his death reached the rioting Turks, they persisted in their uprising until they learned that al-Musta‘īn, Bugha and Wasif were already on their way to Baghdad. Wasif had given arms and lances to some cavalry and infantry among the Maghāribah and sent them against these rioters. He also sent word to the Shākiriyyah to be prepared in case they were needed. But, by noontime people quieted down, and the disturbance came to an end. A number of Turkish commanders had gone to these rioters and asked them to go home, saying, “Yūq Yūq,” meaning “No! No!”

Bishr b. Sa‘īd reported on the authority of Jāmi‘ b. Khalid, one of the Turks who succeeded Wasif: He (Jāmi‘) and several people who knew Turkish were ordered to speak to the rioters. They told the latter that al-Musta‘īn, Bugha and Wasif had already gone to Baghdad, whereupon the Turks showed signs of regret and left with their spirit broken. But as the news of al-Musta‘īn’s departure spread, the Turks attacked the dwellings of Dulayl b. Ya’qub and the nearby houses of his family, as well as those of his neighbors. They looted them completely, even the wood and the darwands. They killed whatever mules they could, stole the fodder of the pack-animals and the wine that was stored in the wine cellar (khīzānāt al-sharāb). The dwelling of Salamah b. Sa‘īd al-Naṣrānī was defended by a group of wrestlers and other neighbors of Dulayl, who were charged with protecting it. The defenders (also) prevented them from going

---

70. See n. 39, above.
71. Darwand probably refers to the wooden stalks used for medicinal purposes and usually hung around houses to dispel disease. It is an arabized word from the Persian dōrānī or dorowanj. See Shir, Loan-Words, 62.
through the building—the rioters wanted to reach the dwelling of Ibrāhīm b. Mihrān, the Christian soldier—and drove them away. Therefore, Salamah and Ibrāhīm were spared the looting.

A poet, reported to have been Aḥmad b. al-Ḥārith al-Yamāmī, said on the occasion of Bāghar's death and the riot that took place on account of it:

By my life, having indeed killed Bāghar,
Bāghar unleashed a devastating war.

The caliph escaped with the two commanders
at night seeking [the fastest] ship.

They called for Maysān their sailor,
and he came faster than a glance.

He made them retreat to the belly of the ship
and the squeak of their oars [marked] the speed.

And what power had this Ibn Mārimmah
that we suffer on his account vicious war?

But it was Dulayl who intervened this one time,
and on account of it God devastated the world.

He came to Baghdad just before sunrise
thereby bringing to it much of the Baghdādis' sorrow.

Would that that ship had never reached us,
but that God had sunk it along with its passengers,

For the Turks came with the Maghāribah
and were joined by the Farāghinah armour clad.

Their squadrons march fully armed
going to and fro, mounted soldiers and infantry.72

72. The text thibīnā should be read thā'ibīnā, "coming back"—in contrast to ya-ruḥūn, "they go forth."
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They were met by an expert at war, someone who had been at it for too long.

He refurbished the defensive walls on both sides until he encompassed all of them.

He reinforced all of the gates along the defensive wall protecting al-Musta'īn.

He set up a ballista that casts death, but protects the lion's den.

He called to arms many a soldier and a recruit, that would number thousands and thousands if counted.

And he aligned the ballistas in perfect order all along the wall like the pupils of eyes.

It was reported that when they (that is, al-Musta'īn, Waṣīf and Bughā) reached Baghdad, Ibn Mārimmah fell ill. He was visited by Dulayl b. Ya`qūb who asked him about the cause of his illness, to which he replied, "The wound caused by the shackles went foul [intaqada] on me." Dulayl then said, "If the shackles have caused you wounds, you [in turn] have defiled [naqadta] the caliphate and caused internal strife."

Ibn Mārimmah died during those days. Abū 'Alī al-Yamāmī al-Ḥanafi said on the occasion of al-Musta'īn's arrival at Baghdad:

He lasted only as long as his reign, perishing shortly thereafter.

The Turks forbade the people from coming down to Baghdad. They reportedly took a sailor who had rented out his boat and whipped him two hundred times, after which they hung him on the mast of his vessel. The boat-men were thus inhibited from making the trip except secretly, or heavily laden with provisions.

In this year the internal strife came to a boil and war broke out between the people of Baghdad and the government army stationed in

---

73. The pun is in the use of the verb intaqada, said of an unsound wound that has gone bad, and naqada, "meaning "to break a pact," "to demolish a house," etc.
Sāmarrā. Everyone in Sāmarrā swore allegiance to al-Muʿtazz, while those in Baghdad kept their allegiance to al-Mustaʿīn.

The Outbreak of This Civil Strife and the Reason Why the Regular Army in Sāmarrā Pledged Allegiance to al-Muʿtazz and Deposed al-Mustaʿīn; Their War Against Those Who Retained Allegiance to the Latter

We have already mentioned the arrival in Baghdad of al-Mustaʿīn, Shāhak al-Khādīm, Waṣīf, Bughā, and Aḥmad b. Ṣāliḥ b. Shīrzdād. That took place three hours past daylight on Wednesday, the fourth—some say the fifth—of Muḥarram, 251 (February 5–6, 865). Upon arriving, al-Mustaʿīn established his residence at the palace of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir. Following that, one of Waṣīf’s lieutenants known as Sallām came to Baghdad. He exchanged information and then returned to his residence in Sāmarrā. With the exception of Jaʿfar al-Khayyāṭ and Sulaymān b. Yahyā b. Muʿādh, the commanders now came to Baghdad, along with most of the secretaries, the governors and the Hashimite family. These, in turn, were followed by the Turkish commanders who were on the side of Waṣīf: Kalbāṭikīn the commander, Tayghaj the lieutenant (al-khalifah), who was a Turk, and Ibn ʿAjūz the deputy, who was from Nasā.74

Among those on the side of Bughā was Bāyakbāk the commander, who was one of the bodyguards (ghilmān al-khidmah), together with several of Bughā’s lieutenants. Waṣīf and Bughā had reportedly sent a messenger to them before their arrival, ordering them to do the following: If they came to Baghdad, they were to go to the island opposite the dwellings of Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir. They were not to go to the pontoon bridge (spanning the Tigris) where they would frighten the populace by their arrival. They complied, and on arriving at the island they dismounted. Skiffs were now sent

74. The text is difficult to interpret and hard to defend on grammatical grounds: wāfā baʿd dhālika . . . Kalbāṭikīn al-qāʿid wa-Tayghaj al-khalifah Turki wa-Ibn ʿAjūz al-khalifah Nasāʾi. If the vocalization nasāʾi is correct, then it is probably a nisbah signifying the town in Khurāsān known as Nasā. See Samʿānī, Ansāb, fol. 55gr. One would normally expect al-Turki and al-Nasāʾi to occur as adjectives rather than as predicates of sentences, which seems to be the case here.
to transport them across (the river). Kalbāṭikīn, Bāyākbāk, the commanders from al-Dūr, and Amāṭjur the Turk climbed out of the skiffs and presented themselves to al-Mustaʿīn. They threw themselves in front of him with their belts on their necks, as signs of submission and humiliation, and then spoke to al-Mustaʿīn and asked him for forgiveness and acceptance. He responded by saying, “You are people of corruption and ill-repute who belittle the bonds of privilege. Didn’t you bring your children for me to put on the military rolls, about two thousand of them, and didn’t I agree to let them join you? And didn’t I order that your daughters, about four thousand women, be counted amongst the married? Then there were those who had just reached puberty, the recent born. I have seen to it that all your desires were met. I poured out my gifts to you until I was giving you the gold and the silver that I deprived myself of. All of that I did in an attempt to please you and to attend to your welfare. In return you persisted in your corruption, infamy, arrogance and threats.” They pleaded with him, saying, “We have sinned; the Commander of the Faithful is right in all that he has said. We ask his forgiveness in overlooking our offence.” Al-Mustaʿīn responded, “I have already forgiven you, and I am content.” Bāyākbāk then said, “If you are pleased with us and have forgiven us, then come ride with us to Sāmarrah where the Turks are waiting for you.” Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh signaled to Muḥammad b. Abī ʿAwn who, in turn, nudged Bāyākbāk. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh then said to the latter, “Does one speak thus to the Commander of the Faithful, ‘Come, ride with us?’” Al-Mustaʿīn laughed at that and said, “These are foreign people and have no knowledge of protocol [ḥudūd al-kalām]”. Then he said to them, “Go to Sāmarrah, where your allotments are secure, while I stay here to manage my affairs and look into the matter of my residence.” They left, despairing of him and angered by Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh’s behavior.

They reported what had happened to all the Turks that they encountered, but they distorted his response to them in an attempt to provoke the Turks into deposing the Caliph and replacing him (with another). The Turks thus agreed to free al-Muʿtazz and to swear allegiance to him. Al-Muʿtazz had been detained, together with al-Muʿayyād, in a small room at the Jawṣaq Palace. Each of them was attended to by a page serving a Turk called ʿĪsā, the lieutenant of
Yulnār. They freed al-Muʿtazz on that same day and took some of his hair—the oath of allegiance had already been rendered to him. He, in return, ordered that the people be given ten months worth of their allotments, but the money could not be raised. Given the scarcity of funds, they were granted two months payment instead. Al-Mustaʿīn had left around five hundred thousand dinārs in the treasury at Sāmarrā. These revenues originated in Syria, having been brought from the region of Mosul by the commanders Tālmajūr and Aštabi. In the treasury of al-Mustaʿīn’s mother there were close to one million dinārs, and in that of al-ʿAbbās b. al-Mustaʿīn there were around six hundred thousand dinārs.

The text of the oath of allegiance reportedly read as follows:

In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate

You swear allegiance to the Servant of God, the imām al-Muʿtazz billāh, Commander of the Faithful. You swear this allegiance of your free will, and in good faith. You swear contented with desire and with loyalty from your very hearts. You swear full of joy and good intention, not forced or coerced, but fully cognizant of what this allegiance calls for by way of asserting the fear of God, the preference for His obedience, the strengthening of His ways and His religion, the common welfare of His servants, following the consensus of opinion, the buttressing of unity, the subduing of troublemakers, insuring peace of mind, the strengthening of the supporters and the suppression of the unbelievers. You swear that Abū ʿAbdallāh al-Muʿtazz billāh is the Servant of God and His caliph to whom you owe obedience, good counsel, and loyalty without any doubt, hypocrisy, swerving, or suspicion, and to whom you owe submission, support, faithfulness, uprightness, good counsel in secret and in public, and that you will rush to fulfill all the commands of the servant of God, Abū ʿAbdallāh, the imām al-Muʿtazz billāh, Commander of the Faithful, in all matters concerning loyalty to his supporters, waging war against his internal or external foes.

75. The name is uncertain, with a range of possible variations as different as Limyān, Bilyān, etc.
You swear that you will always uphold your allegiance to him, being fully honest, and accepting what the Commander of the Faithful accepts after this allegiance of yours. You have taken upon yourself and confirmed most willingly with complete sincerity of heart the desire and intention that the heir apparent of the Muslims be Ibrāhīm al-Mu‘ayyad billāh, the brother of the Commander of the Faithful. You also swear that you will not attempt to disobey any of the promises given by you, nor swerve in your loyalty and support, nor change your minds, nor contradict nor go back on this allegiance, nor would you hold in secret other than what you declare. Now allow this allegiance that you have given by your word and pledge to be an allegiance that God can exact from your hearts and count on its fulfillment. Let Him count on your loyalty and your support for it, aiding those to whom His allegiance is given without hesitation, duplicity or reconsideration, in order that you meet your God having fulfilled His pledge and satisfied His commandments to you, without any violation. Those of you who swear the caliphal oath of allegiance to the Commander of the Faithful and the heir apparent, the brother of the Commander of the Faithful, Ibrāhīm al-Mu‘ayyad billāh, do so as though they swear to God, and the hand of God is above all of theirs. Anyone violating this pledge does so at his own peril, and those who remain faithful to it, will be greatly rewarded in the hereafter on that account, and on account of the demands this allegiance requires them, and which they give in good faith in accordance with the conditions incumbent upon them by way of loyalty, support, and earnest assistance. The pledge is with God, and God’s pledge is indeed executable. It is incumbent upon you under God’s guardianship, may He be exalted, and under the guardianship of Muḥammad, peace be upon him, and in accordance with all the pledges that God has exacted of his prophets, messengers and any of his servants, that you heed what you promised in this pledge. Do not change it nor deviate from it, and hold fast to what you pledged to God in the same manner the obedient hold to obedience and the faithful keep their pledges.

Let no ambition or desire dissuade you from your pledge, nor any plotting and wickedness turn your hearts away from the
right path. Strive to the utmost in that regard and be obedient and faithful to this pledge as a supreme duty; God accepts nothing but faithfulness to his pledges.

Anyone amongst those of you who have sworn allegiance to the Commander of the Faithful and the heir apparent of the Muslims, the brother of the Commander of the Faithful, anyone of you who violates this pledge secretly or publicly, expressly or finding excuses by dubious means, and betrays his pledge to God or to His commandments, and strays from the path that the righteous take, all the possessions of such a person who has treacherously violated his pledge, be they estates, flocks, agricultural lands or cattle, they will be given as legal charity to the poor and will be used towards righteous objectives, and he will be prohibited from having access to them, even if he resorts to ruses or legal stratagems. He shall not be allowed to benefit from any possessions, be they small or large, and it will remain thus until his day comes and he departs from this life. Every slave he owns, be it male or female, is from this day on till the end of thirty years, completely free. All his wives at the day when his infringement is proven, and all those he will marry thereafter till the end of thirty years, are considered obligingly divorced. God will accept no substitute for faithfulness to His pledges. Any such violator has no claim unto God or His Prophet, and God and His Prophet are free of any obligation towards him. God will not accept from him any repentance [ṣarf] or ransom ['adl]. He is your witness, and there is no strength except in God. He is sufficient for us, and He is the best of Guardians.

Abū Aḥmad b. al-Rashīd was reportedly brought to swear allegiance while carried on a litter, for he was stricken by gout. When he was ordered to swear allegiance, he refused and said to al-Mu'tazz, "You had spoken out before, declaring your obedience and repudiating [the caliphate], while proclaiming that you would not assume rule." Al-Mu'tazz retorted, "I was coerced into saying that, in fear of the sword." Abū Aḥmad then said, "We were not told that you were coerced, and we have sworn allegiance to this man." Now you

---

76. That is, al-Musta'īn.
want us to divorce our wives and lose our possessions." We do not know what will become of me if you leave me until the people gather here. You have no alternative but to use this sword." Al-Muʿtazz then ordered, "Let him be." And he was returned to his house without swearing allegiance.

Among those swearing allegiance were Ibrāhīm al-Dayraj and 'Attāb b. 'Attāb—the latter fled afterwards and went to Baghdad. As for al-Dayraj, he was given robes of honor and was put in charge of security. Robes of honor were also given to Sulaymān b. Yasār, al-Kātib, who was appointed head of the Bureau of Estates. He spent that day issuing orders and (generally) carrying out his functions. Then concealing himself in the (darkness) of night, he made his way to Baghdad. When the Turks swore allegiance to al-Muʿtazz he appointed his officials ('ummlāl): Saʿīd b. Šāliḥ over the police, and Jaʿfar b. Dīnār over the guards; Jaʿfar b. Maḥmūd was made wazīr, and Abū al-Ḥimār was given the Bureau of Taxation but was soon dismissed, to be replaced by Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm Minqār; The Bureau of the Turkish Army was given to one known as Abū ʿUmar, the secretary of Simā al-Sharābī; Muqallad Kayd al-Kalb, the brother of Abū ʿUmar, was given control of the treasury and the army payrolls of the Turks, the Maghāribah and the Shākirīyyah, while the postal bureau and the privy-seal were given to Simā al-Sharābānī; Abu ʿUmar was appointed as secretary in the rank of wazīr.

When word reached Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh concerning the oath of allegiance to al-Muʿtazz and that the latter had appointed his officials, he ordered that all foodstuffs be withheld from the populace of Sāmarrā. He also wrote to Mālik b. Ẓawq ordering him to Baghdad along with those of his kinsmen who accompanied him, and his contingent of troops. And he sent word to Najūbah b. Qays, who ruled al-Anbār, to call for general mobilization, while Sulaymān b. Imrān al-Mawṣili was given orders to gather his kinsmen and to prohibit all vessels and foodstuffs from going down to Sāmarrā.

77. The idea being that in the oath of allegiance to al-Mustaʿīn, Abū Ahmad had already sworn that if he were to change his allegiance he would lose his wives and possessions. The text of allegiance to al-Muʿtazz just cited makes the same demand.

78. Apparently Sāmarrā was heavily dependent on Baghdad and other cities for supplies. See Yaʿqūbī, Buldān, 263, 265.

79. That is, the foodstuff that had to be brought to Sāmarrā downstream from the north.
He saw to it that no foodstuffs came up to Sāmarrā from Baghdad. A boat carrying rice and spices (saqat)\(^{80}\) was thus confiscated, whereupon the captain fled his vessel which was left abandoned until it sank. Al-Mustaʿīn ordered Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir to fortify Baghdad. At this, Muhammad proceeded to encompass the East Side of the city with a protective wall, extending from the Tigris near Bāb al-Shammāsiyyah to Sūq al-Thalāthā and back to the Tigris.\(^{81}\) Similarly on the West Side [he built a wall] from the Tigris by the Gate of the Fief (Qāṭi‘ah) of Umm Ja‘far to the palace of Ḥumayd b. ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd.\(^{82}\) Moreover, he placed at each gate an officer with a contingent of his troops as well as others. The Caliph also ordered that trenches be dug along the curving walls on both sides of the city and that pavilions (miẓallāt) be erected so that the cavalry could take refuge underneath them during the hot weather and rain. The expenditures for the two walls, the trenches and the pavilions was said to have reached 330 thousand dinārs. At the Shammāsiyyah Gate\(^{83}\) he set up five war engines (shaddākhāt) covering the width of the road. The engines contained cross beams (ʿawārid), wooden planks (alwāḥ) and long protruding spikes. Outside the second gate, another gate, equal to it in thickness and armored with iron sheets, was suspended by ropes in such a way, if one were to enter that gate, the suspended gate would drop and kill whoever happened to be underneath it. On the inner gate a ballista (ʿarrādah) was set up, and on the outer one there were five huge mangonels (majānīq). Among them was a large one called The Angry One (al-ghaqbān). Added to these were six ballistas which fired in the direction of Raqqat al-Shammāsiyyah.\(^{84}\) On the Baradān Gate, eight ballistas, four on each side, and four war engines were set up. Similar arrangements were made for each and every gate on

---

80. See Lane, _Lexicon_, I, 1381, col. 3.
81. That is, along the East Side of Baghdad in a north to south direction. See Le Strange, _Baghdad_, 168–186, and Lassner, _Topography_, 78–84, 203.
82. This wall encircled the West Side in the same north to south direction as the previous wall. For the palace of Ḥumayd and the possible location of this wall, see Le Strange, _Baghdad_, 96, 172.
83. The Shammāsiyyah Gate is the natural entrance to Baghdad from the direction of Sāmarrā. For a full description of the neighborhood, see Le Strange, _Baghdad_, 199–230.
84. For the word Raqqah, meaning "plain" in this context, see Le Strange, _Baghdad_, 203.
the West and East Sides of Baghdad. Moreover each of the city gates was furnished with an arched passageway ample enough for a hundred cavalry and infantry. Every mangonel and ballista had men assigned to stretch the ropes and one man to fire whenever fighting broke out.

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh instituted a special draft in Baghdad. He even requested a group of Khurāsānīs who had come to the city (en route to Mecca) as pilgrims to assist in fighting the Turks, and they did. He also ordered a special draft among the vagabonds (‘ayyārūn) and appointed a commander (‘arīf) over them. Muḥammad saw to it that they had shields made of tar-covered mats (bawārī), and nosebags (makhālin) filled with rocks, and this was done—Muḥammad b. Abi ‘Awn was reportedly in charge of making the tar-covered mats. Any man standing behind the mat could not be seen; it was made of fabrics that cost more than a hundred dinārs. The commander of the vagabonds with the tar-covered mats was called Yantawayh.

The work along the wall was completed on Thursday, the twenty-first of Muḥarram (February 22, 865). Al-Musta‘īn then wrote to the tax-collectors in every city and region to transport their revenues to the authorities at Baghdad and take nothing to Sāmarrah. He also wrote to the deputies of his lieutenant (over Iraq?) to reject the letters of the Turks. And he ordered that a document be sent to the Turks and the regular army at Sāmarrah commanding them to break the pledge to al-Mu‘tazz, to return and fulfill their pledge of allegiance to him. He reminded them of his generosity towards them and prohibited them from disobeying him and violating their pledge to him. This letter was addressed to Sīmā al-Sharābī.

Various correspondences and messages were then exchanged between Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. ṬāHIR and al-Mu‘tazz. The latter called upon Muḥammad to undertake the same pledge to him as was given by the others who swore allegiance to his caliphate and the deposition of al-Musta‘īn. He reminded Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh of the pledge that (al-Mu‘tazz’s father) al-Mutawakkil, had extracted

85. See Lane, Lexicon, I, 274, col. 3.
86. It is not clear whether the price is for all the mats (too low) or for each (too high).
87. The text is li-sab‘īn, which could not be Thursday. It should be read li-tis‘īn, which is very close orthographically, to coincide with Thursday which was indeed the twenty-first of Muḥarram.
from Muḥammad in regard to his being heir to the caliphate after his brother al-Muntasir. For fear of becoming too verbose, I have left out all the excuses which al-Muʿtazz provided Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh to render allegiance to him and still not be held in violation of his services to al-Musta‘īn.

Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh now gave orders that the masonry bridges (qanāṭir) be destroyed, and the water canals be flooded in the district (tassūj) of al-Anbār and those sections of the district of Bādurāyā of al-Anbār that bordered it. He did this in order to cut the road to the Turks, fearing that they would come to al-Anbār. The men in charge of that were Najūbah b. Qays and Muḥammad b. Ḥamad b. Maňṣūr al-Saʾdī.

Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh received word that the Turks had sent someone for the royal canopy (shamsah) which was in the custody of al-Baynūq al-Farghānī. He was to guard it from the latter’s men. Instead, Muḥammad sent Khālid b. ʿImrān and Bundār al-Ṭabarī to the region of al-Anbār on the evening of Wednesday, the twentieth of al-Muḥarram (February 21, 865). Following them, he sent Rashid b. Kāwūs, and they met al-Baynūq and his followers among the Turks and the Maghāribah. Khālid and Bundār demanded the royal canopy from them, after which Baynūq and his men came to al-Musta‘īn in Baghdad.

Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Jaylawyḥ the Kurd was in charge of ‘Ukbara. Rādān was entrusted to a man from the Maghāribah; he had gathered some revenue. Ibn Jaylawyḥ went to him and asked him to bring the district revenue, but the latter refused and declared his intention to do battle. Ibn Jaylawyḥ captured him and brought him to Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh’s door together with the district revenue, which came to 12,030 dinārs. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh then granted Ibn Jaylawyḥ ten thousand dirhams.

Both al-Muʿtazz and al-Musta‘īn wrote to Mūsā b. Bughā, each calling upon him to serve them. He was then stationed at the front-

88. These districts were in the north-west section of Baghdad, a possible direction of the forthcoming attack. By flooding the land, the attacking army will have to come down the Tigris through the well-defended Shammasiyyah Gate. For the geography involved, refer to Le Strange, Baghdad, the map facing page 47.

89. The meaning is uncertain. The translation follows Mez, Renaissance, 133.

90. See Le Strange, Lands, 50, 51, 84, and Yāqūt, Muʿjam, IV, 142.

91. See Le Strange, Lands, 35, 80.
tiers of Syria near al-Jazīrah, having been sent to fight the people of Ḥims. The two sent him several standards to dispense to whomever he pleased. Al-Musta’īn ordered him to report to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām) after leaving behind a suitable replacement for himself. But Mūsā went to al-Mu’ṭazz and joined with him, while ‘Abdallāh b. Bughā the Younger came to Baghdad. He had tarried in Sāmarrā when his father left the city with al-Musta’īn. ‘Abdallāh now apologized to al-Musta’īn and said to his father, “I have come to you to die under your stirrup.” He stayed in Baghdad for a few days before asking permission to leave for a village near Baghdad on the road to al-Anbār. Permission was granted to him, and he stayed there till nightfall when he fled through the West Side to Sāmarrā, avoiding his father and lying (mumāliyan) to him. He apologized to al- Mu’ṭazz for having gone to Baghdad and told him that he went there only to gather information about them and come back to him with the truth. Al-Mu’ṭazz accepted this explanation and returned him to his post.

Al-Ḥasan b. Afshīn came to Baghdad and al-Musta’īn bestowed robes of honor upon him. In addition he attached a large contingent of the Ushrūšaniyyah and others to his command, and increased his military allotments by sixteen thousand dirhams per month.

Asad b. Dāwūd Siyāh stayed at Sāmarrā until he fled the city. The Turks reportedly pursued him in the direction of Mosul, al-Anbār and along the western bank (of the Tigris). Fifty horsemen were sent after him in each direction. But he arrived at Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām) and came to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, who gave him command of a hundred horsemen and two hundred infantry drawn from the troops of Ibrāhīm al-Dayraj. In addition he was given charge of Bāb al-Anbār together with ‘Abdallāh b. Mūsā b. Abī Khālid.

On Saturday, the twenty-third of al-Muḥarram, 251 (February 24, 865), al-Mu’ṭazz charged his brother, Abū Aḥmad b. al-Muṭawakkil, to do battle with al-Musta’īn and Ibn Ṭāhir, giving him full command of the army and supreme authority. The administration he gave to Kalbāṭikīn al-Turkī. Abū Aḥmad encamped in al-Qāṭūl92 with five thousand Turks and Farāghinah, and two thousand Ma-

---

92. Since Qāṭūl is in Sāmarrā’s vicinity, see Yāqūt, Mu’jam, III, 174, IV, 297, and Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 256, this camp was then only a staging ground for the troops and not a camp for actual combat.
ghāribah under the command of Muḥammad b. Rashīd al-Maghribī. They arrived at 'Ukbarā' Friday evening, the twenty-ninth of al-Muḥarram (March 2, 865). Abū ʿĀhmad led the prayers there and declared the caliphate of al-Muʿtazz (from the pulpit); he then sent a copy of his sermon to al-Muʿtazz. Some people of 'Ukbarā' reported that they had seen the Turks, the Maghāribah and the rest of their followers extremely frightened when they noticed that Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh had already come out to surprise them into war. They started looting the villages between 'Ukbarā' and Baghdad. The populace residing between 'Ukbarā', Baghdad, and Awānā93 and in the remaining villages on the western bank fled for their lives, leaving the estates and the harvest behind. Estates were laid waste, harvest (crops) and household items were looted, houses were demolished, and people on the road were robbed.

When Abū ʿĀhmad reached 'Ukbarā' with his troops, a contingent of Turks among the mawlās of Bughā al-Sharābī, who were with him in Baghdad and who were attached to his command, fled at night through the Shammāsiyyah Gate. Commanding the gate was ʿAbd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb, but he did not know of their escapade. When news of this reached Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, he became angry with him and chastized him, and he offered recompense to anyone who would take charge of guarding the gates and defending them. When al-Ḥasan b. al-Afshīn came to Baghdad he was put in charge of the Shammāsiyyah Gate. When Abū ʿĀhmad and his army reached Shammāsiyyah on Sunday evening, the seventh of Ṣafar (March 10, 865), he was accompanied by the following: his secretary Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Bishr b. Saʿd al-Marthīdī, an army intelligence officer (ṣāḥib khabar) working for al-Muʿtazz, al-Ḥasan b. ʿAmr b. Qimāsh, and one of his own called Jaʿfar b. ʿĀhmad al-Bayān94 also known as Ibn al-Khabbāzah.

A man from al-Baṣrah known as Bādhinjānah, who was with the army, said:

Oh! Sons of Tāhir the Soldiers of God have come up against you carrying death along in their ranks,

93. See Le Strange, Lands, 50.
94. The text is unvocalized and could be read as tabbān, banān, etc.
And armies led by Abū ʿAbbās
indeed a good man in charge and an excellent supporter.

When Abū ʿAbbās reached the Shamāsīyyah Gate, al-Mustaʿīn appointed al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl in charge of that Gate and assigned the commanders there to be his aides. He remained positioned there during the period of war until he set out for al-Anbār, at which time Ibrāhīm b. ʿIshāq b. Ibrāhīm was appointed in his stead. On the thirteenth of Ṣafar (March 16, 865), a spy came to Muḥammad b. ʿAbbālāh and reported that Abū ʿAbbās had recruited people to burn the market awnings on both sides of Baghdad; the coverings were thus removed that same day.

It was reported that Muḥammad b. ʿAbbālāh sent Muḥammad b. Mūsā the astrologer and al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl with orders to leave the (city) from the West Side and climb past the army of Abū ʿAbbās in order to estimate its numbers. Muḥammad b. Mūsā claimed that he estimated it as two thousand men and one thousand animals. On Monday, the tenth of Ṣafar (March 13, 865), the Turkish vanguards came to the Shamāsīyyah Gate and positioned themselves next to it; whereupon Muḥammad b. ʿAbbālāh sent al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl, al-Shāh b. Mīkāl and Bundar al-Ṭabarī together with their troops against them. He himself was about to ride out to battle when al-Shāh came to him and reported that he (al-Shāh) and his men reached the Shamāsīyyah Gate, but the Turks, having seen the standards and the battle flags coming in their direction, returned to their camp. Al-Shāh and al-Ḥusayn thus departed and Muḥammad decided against riding out that day. But on Tuesday, the eleventh of Ṣafar (March 14, 865), Muḥammad b. ʿAbbālāh decided to send the army to al-Quṣṣ [1558] in order to review them there and put fear in the hearts of the Turks.

Buγhā and Waṣīf rode along with him wearing coats of mail. Muḥammed wore Tāhir’s breast-plate above his own coat of mail, as well as an iron sleeve. He took along jurists and judges with the intention of calling upon the enemy to turn back from their longstanding rebellion and obstinacy. He offered them a guarantee,

---

95. See Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 146. See also Ṭabarī, index. A suburb of Baghdad, Yāqūṭ, Mu‘jam, IV, 382.
promising that Abū 'Abdallāh (al-Mu'tazz) would be the heir apparent to al-Musta'in. If they would accept his offer, well and good; otherwise, he would begin hostilities on the morning of Wednesday, the twelfth of Safar (March 15, 865). Muḥammad then marched to the Ḍaqārribul Gate\(^{96}\) and dismounted at the bank of the Tigris together with Waṣīf and Bughā; he could go no further on account of the crowds. Opposing them on the eastern side of the Tigris was Muḥammad b. Rashīd al-Maghribī. Afterwards Muḥammad departed.

In the morning, he was met by messengers from 'Abd al-Rāḥmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb Wajh al-Fals, 'Alak the commander, and other officers who were with the two. They informed him that the army had drawn near and had returned to their base near Raqqaṭ al-Shammāsiyyah where they dismounted and set up their tents.\(^{97}\) He sent word to them, “Don’t initiate hostilities. If they attack, do not attack them. Defensive action is the order of the day.” Twelve horsemen of the Turks came up to the Shammasiyyah Gate. It was protected by a (fortified) gate and an arched way \(\text{sārāb}\) which itself had a gate. The twelve horsemen stood at the gate and cursed those who protected it. Then they shot their arrows, but the defenders at the Shammasiyyah Gate turned a deaf ear to them. When they persisted, 'Alak gave orders to the man at the mangonel to fire at them; he did, and hit one of them, killing him. His companions dismounted, and carried him back to their camp by the Shammasiyyah Gate. 'Abdallāh b. Sulaymān, the lieutenant of Waṣīf the Turk, who was sent to guard the Mecca Road with Abū al-Sāj, returned with three hundred men of the Shākiriyyah and presented himself to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh, who bestowed five robes of honor upon him and four upon another man who accompanied him.

On that day, a bedouin from the Tha'labah presented himself seeking to enlist; he had fifty men with him. Also arriving were forty Shākiriyyah who came from Sāmarrā in various companies. Muḥammad issued orders to give them bonuses and a place to camp; they were subsequently paid.

\(^{96}\) On the north side of Baghdad along the Tāhirid Trench. See Le Strange, Baghdad, map facing p. 107.

\(^{97}\) For Raqqa, see n. 84 above. The attacking army seems to have taken the plain of the Shammāsiyyah as their camping grounds.
The Events of the Year 251

The Turks arriving at the Shammaasiyyah Gate this day were shot at with arrows and from mangonels and ballistas. Among them were many dead and wounded. The commanding officer fighting the Turks was al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā’īl; he was reinforced by four hundred of the Muṭallibin under the command of a man known as Abū al-Sanā āl-Ghanawī, and later by a group of about three hundred bedouins. Those who fought well that day were rewarded with gifts including twenty-five thousand dirhams, and gold necklaces and bracelets. These were given to al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā’īl, ʿAbd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb, ʿAlak, Yaḥyā b. Harthamah, al-Ḥasan b. al-Afshin and the chief of staff (ṣahīb al-ḥarb) al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā’īl. The wounded among the people of Baghdad amounted to more than two hundred persons; also, a number of them were killed. The same was true of the dead and wounded among the Turks; most of the killing was done by the mangonels. Most of the general populace at Baghdad were routed; those with the mats stood fast and then withdrew completely, suffering an equal number of dead and wounded. It was reported that about two hundred men were wounded from each faction and a number were killed.

On this day a squadron of Farāghinah and Turks came to the Khurasān Gate on the East Side in order to enter (the city). The commotion was heard by Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, but the Mubayyidah and the rabble stood firm and drove them back. Muḥammad had given orders that the area be ploughed; so, when the enemy tried to withdraw their mounts became stuck in the mud, but still most of them managed to escape. The Turks now brought in a mangonel, but the rabble and the Mubayyidah overwhelmed it, breaking one of its supports—two of the pilgrims from Shāsh were killed. The order was given to bring burnt bricks from Qaṣr al-Ṭīn and that area to the Shammaasiyyah Gate. The Shammaasiyyah Gate itself was opened, and people went out to get the bricks and bring them inside the wall. Afterwards, Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh received word that a group of Turks had marched to the vicinity of al-Nahrawān. He

---

98. This should be distinguished from the gates with the same name in New Baghdad, see Le Strange, *Baghdad*, 281, 288 and in the Round City, ibid., 17, 24, 101, 105.
100. See Le Strange, *Baghdad*, 201.
101. That is, just east of Baghdad, and actually adjacent to it. See Yaḥqūt, *Muʾjam*, V, 324–325.
therefore sent two of his commanders to that area; they were called ʿAbdallāh b. Maḥmūd al-Sarakhsī and Yahyā b. Ḥafṣ, who was known as Ḥabūs. The two commanded five hundred cavalry and infantry. Muḥammad subsequently reinforced them with seven hundred men, ordering them to establish their position there and rebuff any of the Turks who wished to seize it. The last of them set out for that district on Friday, the seventh of Ṣafar (March 10, 865). On the evening of Monday, the sixteenth of Ṣafar (March 19, 865), a contingent of Turks marched to al-Nahrawān. A group of ʿAbdallāh b. Maḥmūd’s followers came out to challenge them but turned around and fled. Their mounts were seized, and those who were able to save themselves withdrew to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām) in flight. Around fifty men were killed and sixty mounts were taken together with several mules that had previously arrived from the vicinity of Ḥulwān laden with weapons. The Turks sent them to Sāmarrā, along with the heads of those killed. These were the first heads to reach Sāmarrā during that war. When ʿAbdallāh b. Maḥmūd departed in defeat with a few remaining followers, the road to Khurāsān fell into the hands of the Turks, and traffic between Baghdad and Khurāsān was cut. Ismāʿīl b. Farāshāh had been sent to Hamadhān to make a stand there, but word was sent to him to withdraw, which he did. He and his men were then given bonuses that were due them.

Al-Muʿtazz now sent out an army of Turks, Maghāribah, Farāghinah, and their like. Al-Durghumān al-Farāghānī was in command of the Turks and the Farāghinah, and Ratlah102 led the Maghāribah. They marched against Baghdad from the west bank (of the Tigris) and penetrated Qatrabbul en route to the city. They encamped between Qatrabbul and the Fief of Umm Jaʿfar on Tuesday evening, the seventeenth of Ṣafar (March 20, 865). The following morning, that is Wednesday, Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir sent (out) al-Shāh b. Mikāl from the Gate of the Fief, accompanied by Bundār and Khālid b. Ṭāirān with their troops of cavalry and infantry. Al-Shāh and his companions lined their men in rows and began casting stones and shooting arrows, but the enemy forced al-Shāh to retreat to a narrow place near the Gate of the Fief. The Mubayyidah of Baghdad now gathered in large numbers and, together with al-

102. The reading is uncertain. It could also be Rablah, Zaylah, etc.
Shāh, they all charged in unison and displaced the Turks, the Maghāribah and their companions from their positions. The Mubayyidah charged on and managed to clear the area of them. The Ṭabarīyyah attacked, in turn, and engaged them in battle while Bundār and Khālid b. 'Imrān attacked them from an ambush they had laid for them in the vicinity of Qatrabbul. They put the followers of Aḥmed to the sword, Turks and others among them, and killed huge numbers of them. Only a few escaped.

The Mubayyidah looted their camp, taking everything in it, including furnishings, household members, heavy baggage, tents, and odds and ends. All those escaping death threw themselves into the Tigris to cross over to Aḥmad's camp. They were, however, seized by the troops on the river boats (shabbārah), which had been loaded with fighting men. The enemy were thus either killed or taken prisoner; the dead (bodies) and the heads of the dead from among the Turks, the Maghāribah and others were placed in skiffs. Some of them were hung, either on the two bridges,103 or on the gate of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh's (palace). Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh gave orders that those who fought valiantly that day were to be rewarded with bracelets. A great number of men from the regular army and others were thus given bracelets. The fleeing enemy were sought; some of them reached Awānā, while others reached the camp of Aḥmad, across the Tigris. Still others reached as far as Sāmarrā. It was reported that on the day of its defeat by the Gate of the Fief the Turkish army numbered four thousand men; two thousand were killed there the day of (that) battle. They had been put to the sword from the Gate of the Fief to al-Qufs. There were those who were slain in battle and those who were drowned; a number of them were also taken prisoner.

Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh bestowed four robes of honor on Bundār, one woven type (mulḥam), one of washy, one black (sawād) and one silk (khazz). In addition he gave him a gold necklace. He also bestowed four robes of honor on Aḥmed and (gave) the same to Khālid b. ʿImrān and to each of the commanders. They departed on the day of battle around sunset, after requisitioning mules and taking saddle bags to transport the heads to Baghdad. Anyone reaching

---

103. That is, probably the upper and the main bridges spanning the Tigris. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 122f, 102.
the palace of Muḥammad with the head of a Turk or a Maghribi was
given fifty dirhams; most of the work was done by the Mubayyīdah
and the drifters (‘āyyārūn).104 The drifters of Baghdad then came to
Qatrabbul and looted whatever furnishings the Turks had left be-
hind belonging to the people of Qatrabbul as well as the doors of
their houses.

Towards the end of this day, Muḥammad sent his brother, Abū
Ahmad ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdallāh, and al-Muzaffar b. Saysal to follow
the tracks of those who fled in an attempt to safe-guard the people of
Baghdad, for he was afraid that they would return to the attack. The
two reached as far as al-Qufs and returned safely after having dis-
persed the infantry and the drifters in the vicinity of Qatrabbul.
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh was then counselled to send (another)
army after the enemy on the second day and that night in order to
follow relentlessly in their tracks, but he refused and did not follow
those who escaped, nor did he give the order to finish off the
wounded; it was said that he felt the confidence of complete secur-
ity. He then gave orders to Sa‘īd b. Humayd to compose a document
describing that battle. The document was subsequently read to the
populace of Baghdad in the Friday Mosque. The text reads as
follows:

In the name of God, the Merciful and Compassionate.
Thanks to the Beneficent Lord who cannot be sufficiently
thanked, the Mighty who cannot be opposed, the All-Powerful
whose obedience is not humiliating. He is the Fair Judge whose
judgement cannot be overruled, and the supporter of the truth
and its advocates. He is the inescapable Owner of everything,
the true Guide to mercy for those who are obedient to Him. He
exhibits His forbearance in order to exact His claim.

He granted His religion out of compassion for His servants,
and made the succession over the guardianship of His religion a
virtue. The obedience to His caliph is a duty incumbent upon
the whole nation, for the caliphs are the protectors on this earth
of the message that He had entrusted to His prophets and His
trustees over His creation. They have been called to His religion

104. For this organized group, see Dūrī, Abḥath, 16, and EI², 1, 794.
and have been shown the passage to the truth, and thus have been protected from error.

He is the Guide to the path of the Chosen Ones of His servants. They are the guardians of religion against sedition and rebellion. They hold against other nations the Book of God that grants them their authority; and to it they call the nation in accordance with God's justice for which they had been chosen.

When they fight, God's claim will be on their side. And when they go to war He will ordain victory for them. If they are sought by an enemy, God's protection will be a shelter for them and a stronghold. If anyone plots against them, God will be their aid. God has appointed them to uphold His religion, and whoever opposes them would oppose the religion which God has fortified through them. Whoever resists them would be rebelling against the truth that God defends through them.

Their armies are always crowned with victory and glory. Their forces are guarded by God's might from their foes. Their arms are for the defense of God's religion. And their zeal for the defense of the truth encompasses all of their factions. All bands of their enemies are defeated by their own injustices—their claim in the eyes of God and His creation being of no value—and their attempts at victory are in vain. God ordains defeat to these enemies, and their destinies are put in the hands of God's servants, as it has been the case with all nations of times past. God does that in order that the people of the truth are assured of the execution of His forewarnings and the enemy is fairly dealt with by admonishments. God's anger is quickly dealt to them through His servants. And punishment awaits them with their Lord. Ahead of them is the shame of this world, and behind them is the torment in the hereafter. God was never unjust to His servants. May His prayers be upon His chosen prophet, His favored messenger, the rescuer from error and the guide to the right path. May it be a perfect and continuous prayer of everlasting blessings, and may His salutations be upon him.

May humble praise be accepted by the Lord as a sign of His majesty, and a confession of His lordship. May it be an admission of the incompatibility of our highest thanks with His dignity, for our thanks are always lacking. Praise be to the Lord
whose praise elicits further praise, and through it He exhibits the extent of His beneficence. May it be a praise that pleases Him. May He accept it and allow it to shine forth as it issues from His sovereignty and His graciousness. Praise be to the Lord Who has decreed unquestionable defeat to those who trespass against the people of His religion, for He had already promised victory to His supporters who had been transgressed against. He revealed His Glorious book as a counsel to the transgressors; for if they were to abstain, such a reminder would be useful, and God will fulfill the desires of the obedient among them. God has also decreed that after reminding the transgressors one should persist in fighting them, for he had said in His forewarning and clear admonishing, “Those who have been victims of transgression, God will grant them victory”. Indeed it is an assured promise from God in which He prohibits His enemies from disobeying Him, and He strengthens His faithful in His path; and God does not break His promise.

Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, the client of the Commander of the Faithful, is a chief supporter of God’s cause. He is the sword of the caliph’s authority, a defender of his sovereignty, and an object of his trust. He is in the forefront of those giving obedience to him and giving counsel to his servants. He is a protector of his rights, and a fighter of his enemies. He is a favor granted by God to the Commander of the Faithful; may he be grateful for it and may it endure. God had decreed that the ancestors of the Commander of the Faithful be destined to issue the first call [to righteousness], and to him He granted their heritage. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh enabled him to establish his rule when the enemies of God attempted to suppress His religion and obliterate it. He rose in the cause of God and His caliph; he fought for its support by giving advice to those who were near and far, and by devoting himself to that which brings him closer to God and insures his position with Him. God favored the Commander of the Faithful by this client who guided him to the truth and

---

105. Qur.: 22:60 “That [is so]. And whoso hath retaliated with the like of that which he has made to suffer and then hath again been wronged, Allāh will succor him. Lo! Allāh verily is Mild, Forgiving.”
helped him in establishing goodness against the enemies of religion.

You have already learned of the contents of the message of the Commander of the Faithful to you concerning the deeds of the band that strayed from the path of God, and forsook the dignity of religion. Those were ungrateful for the graces of God and His caliph, and were against the majority of the community whose order was bound together by God’s caliphate. They attempted to sow dissention in place of unity, thereby betraying the oath of allegiance, and denying their fellowship to Islam. I mean the Turkish clients who supported the youth known as Abū ‘Abdallāh b. al-Mutawakkil in order to set him up as Commander of the Faithful when he descended to Baghdad leading a group of his own supporters and the sons of his father’s supporters. You have also learned of the way the Commander of the Faithful has dealt with their treason and his patience with them.

But these defectors had gathered around them a group of Turks, Maghāribah and bands of deception who have all joined them in error and merged with them in support of their rebellion. These bands were led by Abū Ahmad b. al-Mutawakkil. They marched against the East Side of Baghdad upholding injustice and arrogance and persevering in support of deception. The Commander of the Faithful was patient with them, gave them a chance to reconsider, and ordered that a message be sent to them to guide them to the wise course. He reminded them of their allegiance and clarified to them their duties towards God and himself—that their rebellion against their voluntary oath of allegiance was a rebellion against the religion of God and a rejection of His prophet. He reminded them that their acts would prohibit them from access to their possessions and their wives; and that if they were to rally around him they would have the security of their religion, continuation of their prosperity and protection from wrath. He detailed to them his previous graciousness to them, when he promoted them to the highest ranks and selected them for the most supreme positions. They refused to heed counsel and persisted in their rebellion and error. The Commander of the Faithful appointed his loyal coun-

[1569]

[1570]
sellor and supporter, Muhammad b. ‘Abdallâh, the client of the Commander of the Faithful, to take charge of them, to call them to the truth, while they had a chance, and to ask them to repent; otherwise, if they perservered in their error by going astray and rushing headlong into deception, he was to fight them. He did not spare them any chance of admonishment, guidance, persuasion and advice. But they kept raising their voices, threatening to put the people of Baghdad to the sword and seize their women and possessions.

Moreover, while following the path of the idolators, they never missed an opportunity to raid or destroy every inhabited district on their way, and to desecrate the inviolable [rights] of Muslims and others. They killed helpless Muslims and appropriated their possessions. Because of that, many who had heard they were coming their way fled their districts, abandoned their habitations and dwellings, and ran to the door of the Commander of the Faithful to take refuge from this devastation. They did not leave one rich person without appropriating his wealth, nor did they leave a God-fearing person without defiling his womenfolk and dependents. They did not differentiate between Muslims and others, and devastated both in the same manner without heeding any prohibitions concerning bloodshed or sanctity. They received the threat of war, but responded to it by persevering in their iniquity and countered good advice with further contemplation of evil.

As they thronged at the Shammasiyyah Gate, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallâh, the servant of the Commander of the Faithful, had already amassed armies there as well as at the other gates of Baghdad, all clad in full armor and prepared for war. These armies took refuge in their dependence on God, and defended themselves with His obedience. Their banners were the claim of God’s greatness and they upheld them in the face of their enemy.

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallâh, the servant of the Commander of the Faithful, ordered them to fortify their lines and to abstain from war as much as possible. The faithful thus dealt with them by counsel and advice, while the rebellious answered with war. They kept on provoking them for days in great numbers and with weapons, assuming that no one could defeat them—not
knowing that the power of God is greater than theirs, and His commandments, unlike their desires, are always realized. His decrees are just and He would support those who are on the right course against them [the defectors].

On Saturday, middle of Šafar [March 17, 865], they all gathered at the Shammasiyyah Gate and raised their banners, proclaiming their battle cry and fortifying themselves with their weapons. Anyone observing them then would have thought that no warning would dissuade them from bloodshed nor from seizing both women and possessions. The faithful counselled them but they would not listen. They admonished them but they would not yield and, instead, went further and commenced hostilities. At that time the faithful rushed against them, seeking the help of God and confident that their will would be realized by Him. They waged war against them until the afternoon of that day. God killed great numbers of their defenders, cavalry, chiefs and leaders of their infamy, and wounded the great majority of their masses. When the enemies of God and His religion saw that He denied their hopes, stood between them and their ambitions, and turned their fortune into a tragedy, they mobilized a large army of Turks and Maghāribah from Sāmarrā with full gear, weapons and fighting machines. Then they came up against the West Side of Baghdad intending treachery and hoping to have their way with God’s people, for they thought that the latter were busy fighting on the East Side.

Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh, the servant of the Commander of the Faithful, had anticipated that and had amassed men and weapons on both sides of Baghdad. He also appointed for each area someone who would guard it and defend it from the affliction of this enemy. Over each gate he appointed a general with a great number of followers and ordered that the wall be guarded day and night. He also sent men to gather information about the enemies of God, their movements, their march, and their camps. He sought details of their condition in order to control every situation, so that, with God’s help, he could defeat them.

106. The text has wa-lijuld, which should be read wa-l-juld, that is “robust men.” But since several weapons of that period were made of skin, jīld, and since it is parallel to aslihah, it may be a reference to war machines protected by skin.
On Wednesday, the eighteenth of Safar [March 21, 865], the mobilized army of the rebels arrived on the West Side of Baghdad by the Qatrabbul Gate, and took their position across from the camp of their followers who were on the east bank of the Tigris. Their numbers had by then filled the horizon and covered an immense stretch of space. They had agreed that they would approach the gates together in order to engage the faithful in a war on both fronts and, thus, weaken them and defeat their truth with their own deception. But God frustrated their false hopes and realized His decree by thwarting their ambitions.

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh set against them Muḥammad b. ‘Awn, Bundār b. Mūsā al-Ṭabari, the servants of the Commander of the Faithful, and ‘Abdallāh b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah at the Qatrabbul Gate. His orders were that these commanders fear God, obey Him and heed His commandments. They were to behave in accordance with His Book, and not to wage war until their admonitions had been well heard. Only then would they be free of blame for attacking the enemy which still persevered in rushing into evil.

They went out in an army that matched that of the rebels, but these were well guided by their duty to God while in combat. They advanced in full confidence of reward in the hereafter and recompense here and now. They were met by the enemies of God who charged at them with lance in hand, seeking their throats, thinking that it was the opportune time for the thief and the marauder to earn his loot.

They were admonished with clear, good advice, but it fell on deaf ears and blind eyes. The faithful kept their promise, however, and met them with unified hearts and the full knowledge that God would fulfill His promise to them. The cavalry charged the enemy more than once, followed by lances, swords and arrows. The pain began to surge from their wounds, and war was tearing at them with its teeth, mauling them under its millstone; once they realized that they were pursued by warriors thirsty for blood, they took to flight as God dealt them His mighty blow. A great number of those who did not fear the affliction of God, who did not repent nor take refuge from His punishment by reestablishing their loyalty, were killed.
Then they were reinforced from their camp by some one thousand men in ships, who encouraged them further in their transgression. They came up against the faithful at the Shammasiyyah Gate, and war surged again. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh set against them Khālid b. ʿImrān and al-Shāh b. Mīkāl, the servant of Tāhir, who charged at them without hesitation and with unfailing will. They were accompanied by al-ʿAbbās b. Qārin, the servant of the Commander of the Faithful. When al-Shāh and his company came up against the enemies of God, he set guards at the positions which could be infiltrated by assailants and then joined the other commanders in a unified assault, fearing no threats and being completely assured of God's support and victory. They fell on them with their swords, executing God's decrees against them, until they chased them back to their camp and well beyond it. Once in flight the faithful looted all their weapons, animals and war machinery.

Many a dead body was abandoned on the very spot where death came upon it, while the head was moved to a place where it could be a lesson for others. Many a man ran away from the sword only to be drowned, and God did not offer him any refuge. Many a prisoner was brought in shackles to the dwellings of the faithful and God's party. Many a man escaped with his life after God had filled his heart with fear. With God's assistance, defeat was dealt out to both those who came up on the West Side and those who crossed over from the East Side to help them; no one escaped. No one took refuge in repentance, and no one came forth to the side of God.

Four bands\(^\text{107}\) were to be fed to the fire, and punishment was quickly meted out to them in order that it be an exhortation and an advice to those who comprehend [ūlī al-abṣār]. Their example was the one intended by God, may He be exalted: "Hast thou not seen those who exchanged the bounty of God with unthankfulness, and caused their people to dwell in the abode of ruin? — Gehenna, wherein they are roasted; an evil establishment".\(^\text{108}\)

But war raged on between the faithful and the dissenters on

---

107. The text is difficult here. One cannot determine which four are intended.
the East Side. The killing spread amongst their warriors, and the wounds were laying them low. They saw the destruction that God had dealt to their fellow supporters, and the vengeance He meted out to them. They found themselves without a shelter from God's wrath. They had no refuge nor a hiding place from those who were faithful to Him. They saw that, and they fled in defeat and disarray after learning the lesson of their erring brethren and their bands of deceivers. They themselves were also bewildered when they saw God's victory granted to His soldiers and the aid He gave to His faithful.

Praise be to God, Lord of all Creation, the Oppressor of the evil ones who dissent from His religion, the transgressors who rebel against His pledge, and the hypocrites who revolt against those of His domain. May it be a praise that secures His utmost satisfaction, and may God's prayers and many salutations, first and last, be upon Muhammad, His servant and messenger, who guides to His path and calls to Him by His permission.

That was written by Saʿīd b. Ḥamīd on Saturday, the seventh of Safar [March 10, 865].

On Tuesday the seventeenth of Safar [March 20, 865], Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallah rode to the Shammasiyyah Gate and ordered the destruction of the houses, shops and gardens behind the wall of Baghdad. He also ordered that the palm trees and shrubbery be cut down there. The razing and cutting was to extend from the Shammasiyyah Gate up to Three Gates in order to make room for the men fighting in that area.

The Caliph had had over seventy donkeys loaded with money sent from the districts of Fars and al-Ahwāz to Baghdad. The Commander Mankajūr b. Qārin al-Ushrusanī was reportedly in command. Abū ʿAḥmad and the Turks discharged Ibn Bābak with a force of three hundred cavalry and infantry to Ṭabaristān to intercept this

---

109. This date is obviously a later insertion since most of the events described in the dispatch took place a few days later than the tenth of March, 865. The twenty-fourth of Safar, 251 [March 25, 865], the seventh day before the end of Safar, is preferable.

110. This area was to the northeast of the Shammasiyyah quarter which itself was north of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 103. The way the name Thalāthat Abwāb is used in the sources suggests a specific locality rather than any "three gates." See also Lassner, Topography, 263, n. 13.
money if it came to that province. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, however, sent his own general by the name of Yahyā b. Ḥafṣ to transport that money. For fear of Ibn Bābak, the latter diverted it from Ṭabaristān. When Ibn Bābak knew that the money had escaped him, he marched with his company to al-Nahrawān, and together with the regular troops accompanying him, he attacked the inhabitants, forcing most of them from the town. He then set the boats of the pontoons bridge aflame—there were more than twenty boats—before he departed to Sāmarra.

Muḥammad b. Khālid b. Yazīd now advanced—al-Mustaʿīn had entrusted him with the frontier areas of al-Jazīrah. The former had been positioned in the administrative center (madīnah) of Balad to wait for the troops and money coming to him. But when the Turks rebelled and al-Mustaʿīn took to Baghdad his only route to the city was by way of al-Raqqa. Thus he went to al-Raqqa with his special retainers and his troops, about four hundred horsemen and infantry. Following that, he left the city and went down to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salīm). Having entered it on Tuesday, the seventeenth of Šafar (March 20, 865), he went to the palace of Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir who presented him with five cloaks of honor: a garment of embroidered brocade (dabīq), one of silk, one distinguished by colorful embroidery, and a black one. He then sent him at the head of a large army to fight Ayyūb b. Ahmad. Ibn Yazīd sailed along the Euphrates and then engaged Ayyūb in combat with a small group. The former was routed and subsequently returned to his village in the Sawād.

Saʿīd b. Ḥamīd (reported the following.) When word of Muḥammad b. Khālid’s flight reached Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, the latter said, “No Arab could succeed unless accompanied by a prophet through whom God offers assistance.”

On this day the Turks engaged in a battle at the Shammāsiyyah Gate. They had come up to the gate and dispersed the defenders after heavy fighting. They shot naphtha and fire at the mangonel which
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111. For al-Nahrawān, just east of Baghdad, see n. 101 above, and Le Strange, Baghdad, 217, 307.
112. On the pontoons bridges, see Le Strange, Baghdad, 42, n. 1.
113. See Le Strange, Lands, 90.
114. That is, along the Euphrates, ibid., 4, 86.
115. An Egyptian brocade, see ibid., 294, and Mez, Renaissance, 460.
had been erected at the left side of the gate, but their fire did not affect it. The troops defending the gate grew too strong for them and succeeded in dislodging the Turks from their positions. They pushed them back from the gate, but only after the latter had killed a number of Baghdadis and wounded many others with arrows. Muhammad b. 'Abdallah now dispatched against them eight ballistas carried in vessels and skiffs. Using these weapons, he subjected the defenders to an intense bombardment which took a great toll among them—some one hundred men. The defenders were now forced to retreat from the gate. On this day, one of the Maghāribah came to the wall of the Shammasiyyah (Gate) and cast grappling hooks. The hooks caught and he ascended the wall. Those entrusted with guarding the wall seized and killed him, and then used the mangonel to cast his head to the Turkish camp. At this, the Turks retreated to their camp.

It was also said that some of the Abna', who were among those entrusted with defending the wall of the Shammasiyyah Gate, became terrified when they saw the throng of Turks and Maghāribah who came there that day. They had approached with their banners and drums, when one of the Maghāribah cast a grappling hook that found the wall. One defender wanted to yell “Victory to Musta‘in,” but erred and called out “Victory to al-Mu‘tazz.” Some of those guarding the gate thought that he was a Maghribi, whereupon they killed him and sent his head to the residence of Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, who ordered that it be displayed in public. That evening, his mother and brother brought his body on a stretcher, screaming and demanding his head. It was not given to them but remained displayed at the head of the bridge until it was brought down together with the other heads.

On Friday evening, the twenty-first of Safar (March 24, 865), a group of Turks reached the Baradan Gate, which was commanded by Muḥammad b. Rajā’—this was before he went down to the Wāsiṭ area. He killed six of them and captured four.

On one of those days al-Durghumān, a courageous hero, came with the Turks to the Shammasiyyah Gate and was hit by a stone fired from a mangonel that struck him in the chest. He was taken to

---

116. See n. 31, above.
Sāmarrā but died between Buṣrā\textsuperscript{117} and 'Ukbarā'.\textsuperscript{118} (His body) was then transported to Sāmarrā.

Yahyā b. al-`Akki, the Maghribī commander, reported that one day he was next to al-Durghuman when the latter caught a Nawākī\textsuperscript{119} arrow that struck his eye. Following that he was hurt with a stone which split his head. He was (already) dead when he was carried away.

`Ali b. al-Ḥasan al-Rāmī (reported the following incident). We had gathered a group of bowmen at the wall by the Shammasiyyah Gate. A Maghribī used to draw near the gate, bare his rear end, and fart giving a yell. One day, I selected an arrow for him, and shot it right through his anus. It came out at his throat as he fell dead. A group of men exited from the gate and hung him for public display; afterwards, the Maghāribah came and carried him away.

The rabble reportedly gathered in Sāmarrā after the Turks had been routed during the battle for the Qatrabbul Gate. Realizing the weakness of al-Muʿtazz, they looted the markets of the jewellers, the swordsmiths and the money-exchangers, taking everything they found there. The merchants then gathered with Ibrāhīm al-Muʿayyad, the brother of al-Muʿtazz, and complained to him, saying that the authorities had guaranteed them their possessions and promised to look after them. He retorted, "You should have taken your possessions to your houses." That reply made him appear haughty.

On Saturday, the twenty-first of Safar (March 24, 865), Najubah b. Qays b. Abī al-Saʿdi advanced with his bedouin recruits\textsuperscript{120}—they numbered six hundred men on foot and two hundred horsemen. On this day too, ten notables of Tarsūs came forward to complain of Balkajūr. They claimed that two hours after receiving a (copy) of that oath of allegiance to al-Muʿtazz, he went out demanding (that others sign) the oath of allegiance to him. Thus Balkajūr received the oath on behalf of al-Muʿtazz from the commanders and the people of the frontier regions. Most of them swore allegiance, but a few declined; the latter were beaten, fettered or put in jail. Some

\textsuperscript{117} See Le Strange, \textit{Lands}, 50.
\textsuperscript{118} See n. 90, above.
\textsuperscript{119} For Nawākī, see the Leiden edition of Tabari, Glossary, Dxxxv.
\textsuperscript{120} That is, those whose names had been inscribed on the military roll.
reportedly refused and fled when he demanded their allegiance against their wishes. To that Wasif retorted, “I can only say that the man was deceived and that the carrier of al-Mu’tazz’s missive to him was al-Layth b. Babak; the latter told him that al-Musta’in had died and that they [the military] had replaced him with al-Mu’tazz.” But this group persisted in their complaint concerning Balkajur, pointing out that he acted intentionally, and accusing him of favoring the sons of al-Wâthiq. Then a letter from Balkajur arrived on Wednesday, the twenty-fifth of Safar (March 28, 865). It came with a man called ‘Ali al-Ḥusayn—he was known as Ibn al-Ṣu’lûk. The letter stated that he (Balkajur) had received a communication from Abû ’Abdallah b. al-Mutawakkil that the latter had assumed the caliphate, and thus he swore allegiance to him; but (it continued) when al-Musta’in’s letter came revealing the truth, he again sought the oath of allegiance from those beholden to him, and he, himself, would heed and obey al-Musta’in. The messenger was given one thousand dirhams which he accepted. A letter had been drafted to Muḥammad b. ‘Ali al-Armani, who was known as Abû Naṣr, charging him with responsibility for the Syrian frontier regions; but after the arrival of Balkajur’s letter of obedience, the letter of appointment to Muḥammad b. ‘Ali al-Armani was withheld.

On Monday, the twenty-third of Safar, 251 (March 26, 865), Ismâ’il b. Farâshah advanced from the district of Hamadhan at the head of three hundred cavalry. His (entire) force contained fifteen hundred scattered men, some of whom arrived before the others. Accompanying him was a messenger who had been sent by al-Mu’tazz in order to exact his oath of allegiance (on behalf of the pretender). He had him fettered and brought him to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salam) on a bare-back mule. Ismâ’il was then given five cloaks of honor. He also arrived with a man, reportedly an ‘Alid, who had been seized in the vicinity of al-Rayy and Ṭabaristân. He had been sent to the ‘Alids there. With the ‘Alids were pack animals and slaves (ghilmân). Orders were given that he be detained in the Public Audi-

---

121. For al-Wâthiq, Hârûn b. al-Mu’tasim, the ninth ‘Abbâsid caliph and the uncle of al-Musta’in, see Kutubi, Fawât, IV, 228–230. Balkajur is being accused here of defection from al-Musta’in’s camp, and of his support for the house of al-Wâthiq which produced the fourteenth caliph al-Muhtadi, who came to power after al-Mu’tazz, in 869.
ence Hall for several months, but he was released after a surety was extracted from him.

On this day, the letter of Mūsā b. Bughā was read. In it he mentioned that al-Muʿtazz’s missive had arrived, and that he had summoned his companions and told them what had transpired. He had ordered them to come with him to Baghdad (Madinat al-Salām), but they had refused. The Shākiriyah and the Abnā’ had supported him, while the Turks and their supporters broke rank and fought against him. He killed several of them and took others prisoner bringing them along (to Baghdad). When this letter was read at Ibrahīm b. Naṣir’s palace, the people declared “God is Great.”

On the twenty-fourth of Ṣafar (March 27, 865), ten deepwater vessels called bawārijír came up from al-Baṣrah, each carrying a ship master (ishtiyām), three fire hurlers, a carpenter (najjār), a baker, and thirty-nine oarsmen and warriors. Thus every vessel had a complement of forty-five men. That night, they reached the island opposite the palace of Ibrahīm b. Naṣir; still later they reached the Shāmmāsiyyah area. The men on the vessels fired upon the Turks, who then decided to move their camp from the low-lying area of al-Shāmmāsiyyah to Abū Jaʿfar’s garden at the bridge. Then it appeared to them that they should go still higher, to a place above their camp, in order to avoid the fire.

The day before the end of Ṣafar (March 31, 865), the Turks and the Maghāribah came up against the eastern gates of the city. The gates were locked in front of them, and they were assaulted with arrows, mangonels, and ballistas. Both factions suffered losses and many were wounded, but they did not cease fighting until the time of the afternoon prayer.

In this year Sulaymān b. ʿAbdallāh reversed the course he had set and returned from Jurjān to Ṣabaristān. He emerged from Āmul with a large force, including cavalry and weapons, whereupon al-Ḥasan b. Zayd retreated into Daylam. Sulaymān’s nephew, Muḥammad b. Ṣāhir, then wrote to the central authorities informing them

122. For this and other names of vessels, see Kinderman, Schiff; for bārijah pl. bawārij, ibid., 3.
123. For ishtiyām, meaning raʾīs al-rukkāb, “chief of the passengers,” see Lisān, XII, 319.
124. It cannot be precisely located.
that he had entered Ṭabaristān. His letter was read in Baghdad, and al-Mustaʿīn sent a copy of it to Bughā the Younger, the mawla of the Commander of the Faithful. It mentioned the conquest of Ṭabaristān at the hands of Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir, the rout of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd, and the safe arrival of Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh at Sāriyah. It also mentioned that Māziyār and Rustam, two sons of Qārin b. Shahriyār, the mawla of the Commander of the Faithful, had reached Sulaymān with five hundred men. And (it reported) the remaining news of the conquest. The letter also informed him that the people of Āmul came to Sulaymān, publicly repenting and seeking forgiveness for their misdeeds; he received them in a way that put them at ease and made them feel secure. Before marching on with his force, which had been fully mobilized and was headed cross-country through the villages and highways, he ordered his men to refrain from killing and from acting in a dishonorable fashion. They were given a free hand to loot, but they were warned not to go beyond that. Furthermore, al-Mustaʿīn’s missive indicated that a letter had reached him from Asad b. Jandān telling of the defeat of ‘Alī b. ‘Abdallāh the Ṭālibid, who was named al-Mar‘ashi, together with his companions. They were more than one thousand men. With them were two of the chiefs of al-Jabal, and a huge crowd which followed them when they heard of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd’s defeat and of how Asad had entered that district with the loyalists. The original letter also noted how Sulaymān had entered Āmul in fine form, displaying an aura of invincibility and creating a sense of security; all rebellion was then quenched.

On the twenty-fifth of Muḥarram, 251 (February 26, 865), a dispatch arrived at (Baghdad) from al-ʿAla’ b. Ahmad, Bughā al-Shārābī’s agent responsible for the taxes and the estates of Armīniyah. The dispatch told of the uprising of two men in that district who were mentioned by name. It also reported that he attacked them and that they took refuge in a fortress. He then employed the mangonels and exhausted the defenders, whereupon the two men fled the fortress and vanished. It then fell into the hands of the loyalists.

125. See n. 59, above.
126. As in Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 183. Ṭabarī’s text is unvocalized and could be read as al-Mubarna’ash, al-Mubarta’ash, etc.
127. The text is al-Jīl; it should be read al-Jabal as in Ibn al-Athīr, Kāmil, VII, 163.
Also in that year, a dispatch dated the nineteenth of Muḥarram (February 20, 865), brought news of an uprising [intiqād] by the people of Ardabil. They received a letter from the Taʿlībid who sent four armies against the four gates of their city to besiege it.

In that year, a dispatch arrived reporting the war between ‘Īsā b. al-Shaykh and al-Muwaffaq al-Khāriji. It indicated how ‘Īsā had arrested al-Muwaffaq and how he then requested needed weapons of al-Mustaʿīn so that ‘Īsā might have equipment at al-Balad to arm the troops for raiding. He also requested that the governor of Tyre [al-Šūr]128 be instructed to send him four fully equipped vessels to join the vessels already under his command there.


Also in this year, a dispatch from Muḥammad b. Ṭāhir reached al-Mustaʿīn reporting his defeat of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd. He had met the rebel with an army of about thirty thousand and the battle ensued. Muḥammad indicated that he had killed some 340 men of his chief supporters. Al-Mustaʿīn ordered that a copy of this letter be read throughout the realm.

---

128. The text reads al-Sūr instead of Sūr, that is Tyre, which is the only coastal city that has similar vocables.
129. That is, al-Rayy, not to be confused with other locations of that name. See Le Strange, Lands, 57, 214, 215.
130. The text reads masʿad al-Ḥajj, that is, the ascent of the pilgrims to ‘Arafāt which takes place during the pilgrimage in Mecca.
In this year, Yūsuf b. Ismā‘īl the ‘Alid, the nephew of Mūsā b. ‘Abdallāh al-Ḥusaynī, rebelled.

In Rabi‘ I, 251 (April 2–May 1, 965), Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh ordered that the vagabonds (‘ayyar) of Baghdad be given clubs (kāfirkūbāt) fashioned with iron nails to be distributed at the residence of al-Muẓaffar b. Saysal. They used to present themselves for battle without any weapons and (simply) cast bricks. He called for a herald and the latter announced, “Those who need weapons, let them come to the residence of al-Muẓaffar.” The vagabonds arrived from every direction; weapons were distributed to them, and their names were registered (in the military roll). These vagabonds appointed their own chief, a man called Yantawayh whose patronymic was Abū Ja‘far, and several other (leaders) called Dūnāl, Dimhāl, Abū Namlah and Abū ‘Uṣārah. Only Yantawayh retained his rank as he continued to be the chief of the vagabonds of the West Side until this rebellion came to an end. When the vagabonds were given the clubs, they spread out to the gates of Baghdad. That day they killed some fifty Turks and their followers. Their own casualties were ten persons. Some five-hundred of them went out with bows and arrows, and seized two banners and two ladders (after battling) with the Turks.

In (this year), Najubah b. Qays engaged a group of Turks in battle in the district of Bāzūgha; he met them there together with Muḥammad b. Abi ‘Awn and others. They captured seven of them and killed three, while the others threw themselves in the water; some drowned and others escaped.

Aḥmad b. Šāliḥ b. Shirzād (reported that) he (Aḥmad) had asked one of the prisoners about the number of men who had battled Najubah. The prisoner responded, “We were forty men. Najubah and his companions met up with us very early in the day. Three of us were killed, three drowned, and eight were taken prisoner; the rest escaped. He also took eighteen animals, coats of mail, and a banner belonging to the governor of Awānā, who was the brother of

---

131. See n. 104, above.
132. That is, they received combat pay.
133. It could also be Damhāl, Dūmhāl, etc.
134. That is, about two farsakhs (twelve km) northeast of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Lands, 50, and Yāqūt, Mu‘jam, I, 411.
135. That is, a famous town between Baghdad and Sāmarrā, some ten farsakhs (sixty km) north of Baghdad. See Yāqūt, Mu‘jam, I, 274, and Le Strange, Lands, 50.
Hārūn b. Shu‘ayb.” The battle took place at Awānā on Wednesday, and the soldiers of Najūbah and ‘Abdallāh b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah remained armed at QAṭRABBUL.

During one of those days, Yantawayh and his companions among the vagabonds reportedly set out from the QAṭRABBUL Gate and continued cursing the Turks until they passed QAṭRABBUL. Some Turks crossed over in skiffs, shooting arrows at them. They killed one of the vagabonds and wounded ten others. However, the vagabonds overwhelmed them with stones causing numerous casualties, so that the Turks retreated to their camp. Yantawayh was brought to the palace of Ibn Tāhir who ordered him not to go out except on a day of battle. The former was then presented a bracelet of honor, and Ibn Tāhir ordered that he be given five hundred dirhams.

On the fourteenth of Rabi’ I, 251 (April 15, 865), Muzāḥim b. Khāqān came from the area of al-Raqqah and gave orders to the commanders, the Hashimites and the heads of the government bureaus to meet him. About one thousand of his companions among the Khurāsānis, the Turks and the Maghāribah accompanied him, bringing with them all sorts of instruments of war. He entered Baghdad with Waṣīf to his right and Bughā to his left. ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdallāh b. Tāhir was to the left of Bughā, and Ibrāhīm b. Ishaq was behind them. The impression he himself made in public was one of dignity. Upon arriving, he was granted seven cloaks of honor and girded with a sword; each of his two sons was granted five cloaks of honor. It was ordered that he be given command of three thousand men including cavalry and infantry. Al-Mu‘tazz sent Mūsā b. Ashnās along with Ḥātim b. Dāwūd b. Bajūr with a force of three thousand men including cavalry and infantry. Mūsā then set up camp opposite Abū Aḥmad on the West Side by the QAṭRABBUL Gate. This was on the first evening of Rabi’ I (April 2, 865). One of the vagabonds, known as Daykawayh, went out riding on a donkey accompanied by his lieutenant on another donkey. They carried shields and weapons. Another bearing the patronymic Abū Ja‘far, and known as al-Mukharrami, emerged from the East Side at the head of five hundred men carrying drawn weapons, shields, tar-covered mats, swords, knives in their belts, and clubs.

When the army arriving from Sāmarrā drew near to the West Side of Baghdad, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh rode out in full gear together with fourteen of his commanders. Also coming out (of the city) were
great numbers of the Mubayyidah and onlookers (nazārah). He marched until he was opposite the camp of Abū Aḥmad. They fought one engagement across the water, during which more than fifty men of Abū Aḥmad’s force were killed. The Mubayyidah advanced until they passed more than half a farsakh (three km) beyond the army. At this, river boats (shabbārah) from Abū Aḥmad’s force crossed over to them. A skirmish between the two contingents took place, and several vessels were seized and secured, together with their fighting men and sailors. Muḥammad b. Ṭabbāh then left giving orders to Ibn Abī Awn to disperse the people. Ibn Abī Awn thus sent someone who dispersed the masses of people and the onlookers. The latter addressed them crudely and cursed them, and they, in turn, cursed him. He then struck one of them killing him, whereupon the mob charged him and rendered him defenseless in their midst. Four river vessels from the flotilla of the Baghdadis had been left behind; so that when Ibn Abī Awn left, fleeing the mob, Abū Aḥmad’s troops saw them and sent their own vessels after them. They seized the vessels and burned one with a ballista in it belonging to the Baghdadis. The mob immediately set off to plunder the residence of Ibn Abī Awn, saying, “He inclined towards the Turks, aided them and fled with his followers.” They called upon Muḥammad b. Ṭabbāh to dismiss him and began to hoot and holler; whereupon Muḥammad dispatched al-Muẓaffar b. Saysal with his followers, ordering him to disperse the mob and prevent them from taking any of Ibn Abī Awn’s belongings. Muḥammad also informed them that he had dismissed Ibn Abī Awn from his naval command and all other military duties, and that he had transferred these responsibilities to his own brother, ‘Ubaydallāh b. Ṭabbāh. Muẓaffar then went out and dispersed the people from the residence of Muḥammad b. Abī Awn.

On Thursday, the nineteenth of Rabi’ I (April 20, 865), the Turkish army coming to Baghdad from Sāmarrā reached ‘Ukbārā. At this Ibn Ṭāhir sent out several of his commanders, including Bandār al-Ṭabarī, his brother ‘Ubaydallāh, Abū al-Sanā, Muzāḥim b.

---

136. The text reads fi al-ma’, “in the water.”
137. Shabbārāt is rendered as sufūn, that is “vessels,” in Ibn al-Athir, Kāmil, VII, 150. See also Kinderman, Schiff, 45–46.
138. That is, they travelled about half the distance between Sāmarrā and Baghdad. For ‘Ukbārā, see n. 90, above.
Khāqān, Asad b. Dāwūd Siyāh, and Khālid b. ‘Imrān. They marched until they reached Qatrabbul where the Turks lay in ambush. The latter attacked and the two forces became engaged in combat. The Turks pushed them back against the two walls of Qatrabbul Road. Abū al-Sanā and Asad b. Dāwūd fought furiously, each killing several Turks and Maghāribah. Abū al-Sanā pressed forward, followed by [his] troops, and managed to kill one of the Turkish commanders—he was called Sūr. He severed his head and made straight for Ibn Ṭāhir’s palace, where he informed the latter of his troops’ defeat, and requested reinforcements. Ibn Ṭāhir gave orders that he be granted necklaces of honor. The weight of each necklace was thirty dinārs and the weight of each bracelet was seven and a half mithqāl.139 Abū al-Sanā went back to the troops with the reinforcements that he brought along from all the gates (of the city).

Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh reportedly chastised Abū al-Sanā for deserting his position and bringing the head himself. He allegedly said to him, “You have abandoned the troops. May God shame this head and your bringing it.” When Muḥammad b. ‘Abdūs (Abū al-Sanā) left [the field of battle], Asad b. Dāwūd fought on furiously; even after the troops had split off from him. He was subsequently killed. Some of the Baghdadis went back to the place where he had been, but this was after the Turks had taken his head. They repelled the Turks guarding his corpse and transported it to Baghdad in a skiff. The Turks reached Qatrabbul Gate, but the people went out and exerted great pressure against them, forcing them away from the gate. They then pursued the enemy until they broke them. That day, after the battle, the heads of those Turks and Maghāribah who were killed were brought to the palace of Ibn Ṭāhir. He ordered that they be displayed at the Shammasiyyah Gate, and this was done.

Following that, the Turks and the Maghāribah returned to attack the Baghdadis from the direction of Qatrabbul. A great number of Baghdadis and Turks were killed, as Bandār and his troops kept on fighting until evening. Then he took leave with the people, and the gates were locked. Ibn Ṭāhir now left orders for al-Muẓaffar b. Sayṣal, Rashīd b. Kāwūs and another commander. They went out at the head of five hundred cavalry, exiting from the Qatrabbul Gate in the direction of Ibn Ashnās’s camp. They came up against an enemy

139. That is, about 34 grams. See Hinz, Masse, 1–8.
which was unprepared and offguard, and they killed about three hundred of them, captured several, and then withdrew.

On this day, the Turks and the Maghāribah reportedly reached the Gate of the Fief (qāṭī‘ah)\(^\text{140}\) and breached the wall near the bathhouse (ḥammām) known as Ḥammām Bāb al-Qāṭi‘ah. The first of them to come through the breech was killed. The number of dead among the Turks and the Maghāribah this day was higher than among the defenders, but many among the Baghdadis were wounded by arrows.

I heard some say that a young man who had not yet reached puberty took part in this battle. He had a nosebag filled with stones and a slingshot in his hand. He would cast (the stones) never missing the faces of the Turks and their animals. Four Turkish horsemen who were bowmen began to shoot at him but they always missed. He would shoot back and hit them, and their mounts would then throw them. The Turks kept at it, until, assisted by four Maghribi horsemen carrying lances and shields, they charged at him. Two of them came upon him, but he threw himself in the water. They jumped in after the boy, but could not catch up with him. He crossed to the East Side and taunted them. The troops proclaimed “God is Great!” and returned without ever catching him.

On this day ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdallāh reportedly summoned the commanding officers—there were five of them—and ordered each of them to a district. The people then marched off to war while he left for the gate. He told ‘Abdallāh b. Jahm who was in charge of Qaṭrabbul Gate, “Do not let any of them come back through the gate in retreat.” When the battle was engaged, the people scattered and the rout was on. Asad b. Dāwūd stood fast until he was killed. He had killed three with his own hands when a silver (gharab) arrow struck his throat. He was retreating when another arrow hit the back of his mount; it bolted and threw him. No one stood with him except his son, who himself was wounded. The closing of the gates in the face of the retreating men was harder on them than the enemy. Seventy prisoners and three hundred Baghdadi heads were reportedly taken to Sāmarrā.

It was reported that when the prisoners drew close to Sāmarrā, the (officer) accompanying them was given orders not to let them enter

\(^{140}\) That is, the Fief of Umm Ja‘far. See Le Strange, *Baghdad*, 113.
the city until their faces were covered. When the populace of Sāmarrā saw them emotions ran high, and they joined their womenfolk in crying aloud and in prayer. News of this reached al-Muʿtazz and he feared the hardening of the hearts of those around him. He thus ordered that each prisoner be given two dinārs on condition that they abandon their belligerency. He also ordered that the heads be buried, and it was done. Among the prisoners was a son of Muḥammad b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, a brother of Qustantīnīyah, the slave girl of Umm Ḥabīb, and five of the notables of Baghdad who were among the onlookers. As for the son of Muḥammad b. Naṣr, he was reportedly killed and then hung aloft opposite the Shammāsiyyah Gate where his father's position was.

On Thursday, the twenty-sixth of Rabīʿ I (April 27, 865), Abū al-Sāj advanced by way of the Mecca Road accompanied by seven hundred horsemen and eighteen litters containing thirty-six shackled bedouin prisoners. He and his men then entered Baghdad, smartly attired and with their weapons in full view. He marched until reaching the palace (of Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh) where he was given five cloaks of honor and was girded with a sword. He then departed for his residence along with his companions. Four of his men had also been given cloaks of honor.

It was said that on Monday, the last day of Rabīʿ I (May 1, 865), a group of Turks came up to the Shammāsiyyah Gate bearing a message from al-Muʿtazz to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, and requested that they be allowed to deliver it. Al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl refused to receive it until he consulted (someone); the order to receive it was subsequently given. Three horsemen then came on Friday and were met by a man armed with a sword and shield, who had been sent to them by al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl. He removed the letter from a pouch, and it was taken away and delivered to Muḥammad. It contained a reminder to Muḥammad of the duties incumbent upon him stemming from his previous and unbreakable agreement with al-Muʿtazz. It was his duty to be the first of those who support al-Muʿtazz in his endeavor and set his caliphate on the right course. That letter was said to have been the first to reach him from al-Muʿtazz after the conflict (had begun).

On Saturday, the fifth of Rabīʿ II (May 6, 865), Ḥabshūn b. Bughā the Elder came to Baghdad accompanied by Yūsuf b. Yaʾqūb Qūṣarrah, the mawlā of al-Ḥādī, and those Shākiriyah who were
with Mūsā b. Bughā. Most of the Shakiriyyah stationed in al-Raqqah—there were some thirteen hundred men—joined them. He was given five cloaks of honor while Yūsuf was given four. Cloaks of honor were also bestowed on some twenty notables among the Shakiriyyah. Following this, they all departed for their residences.

A man came to Baghdad and reported that the Turks, Magharibah and their retinue on the West Side numbered twelve thousand men; they were headed by Bayakbāk, the commander. The troops with Abū Aḥmad on the East Side numbered seven thousand; al-Durghumān al-Farghanī was Abū Aḥmad’s lieutenant. He also reported that there remained but six commanders of the Turks and the Magharibah in Sāmarrā. They were in charge of guarding the gates.

The two parties engaged in battle on Wednesday, the seventh of Rabi` II (May 8, 865). On that day, al-Mu’tazz reportedly lost four hundred men, including those who drowned. Ibn Ṭāhir lost three hundred killed and drowned; the count included only troopers, for on that day none of the rabble came out. Al-Ḥasan b. Ḥalīl was killed; this had been a hard day for both parties.

It was also reported that Muzāḥīm b. Khāqān was struck by an arrow, shot by Mūsā b. Ashnās, and left (the field) wounded. About twenty Turkish and Maghrībi commanders were found missing from the army of Abū Ahmad.

On Thursday, the fifteenth of Rabi` II (May 16, 865), five cloaks of honor were given to Abū al-Sāj, four to Ibn Farāshah, and three to Yaḥyā b. Ḥašṣ (who was known as) Ḥabūs. The camp of Abū al-Sāj was then at Sūq al-Thalāthā. The regular army was given mules from the stock held by the central authorities; they were to be used as transport for the infantry. Muzāḥīm b. Khāqān was transferred from the Ḥarb Gate to the Salāmah Gate and Khalīd b. Ḥimān al-Tāʾī al-Mawṣīlī was appointed in his stead.

It was also reported that when Ibn Ṭāhir ordered Abū al-Sāj to come to him, the latter was supposed to have said, “O Prince, I have

---

141. Reading is uncertain.
142. The text is Jabūs; it should be read Ḥabūs. See the Leiden edition of Tabari, index, 632.
143. That is, in the southeast section of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 68, and Lassner, Topography, 264, n. 25.
144. That is, in the northeast section of Baghdad, in the Ḥarbiyyah quarter. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 112, and map facing p. 107, n. 11.
advice to give.” He was told, “Go ahead, Abū Ja`far, you are above suspicion,” and he said, “If you want to get the most out of those people, you are advised not to take leave of your commanders and not to scatter them but, rather, to hold them together until you break this army stationed across the way. For once you finish with them, you will have full mastery of your own forces.” Ibn Ṭāhir responded, “I have my battle plan; if God wills it, He will see to it that it is [successful].” Abū al-Sāj then said, “I am at your command,” and departed to execute his orders.

It was reported that al-Mu`tazz wrote to Abū Aḥmad blaming him for his tarrying in fighting the Baghdadis whereupon the latter responded:

Death’s command has its way with us
sometimes it can be borne with ease, sometimes it is hard.

Our recorded battle days are examples for people,
some of them are firsts of their kind, some are not.

Some are hard enough to turn the infant’s hair white,
and some see friends betraying one another.

A wide wall so high
that eyes cannot see its top, and a deep trench;

The devastating battle, the drawn sword,
the terrible fear, and the strong fortress.

The early morning calls, “To arms!
to arms!” but no one wakes.

Here’s a dead body, here’s a wounded one;
here’s a burnt person, and here’s a drowned one.

This one has been killed, this one has been knocked down,
another one has been bashed with a mangonel.

There, one finds cruelty, and over there looting
ruined palaces which had been pleasing.
Everytime we climb a new path
we find the way blocked.

Only with God’s help shall we attain what we desire,
and only with God’s help shall we resist the hardships.

Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh responded, or (the following) may have been said on his behalf:

Isn’t it true that anyone who goes astray
and digresses from the guided path,

Will find what you have described?
and how befitting is such an end to such a person!

It is especially true of the one who violates his allegiance;
for exacting it from him is a confirmed pledge.

The guided path will be closed to his face,
and he will meet unbearable hardships.

He will never attain what he desires,
nor will the one who does not repent his transgressions.

This we know just like a proverb,
received from one generation to another.

Our witness is this [Holy] Book
which has been confirmed by the true Prophet.

As for the first poem, it was recited by ‘Alī b. Umayyah during the civil war between the deposed (al-Amin) and al-Ma’mūn. The response is anonymous.

In Rabi’ II, 251 (May 2–30, 865), two hundred cavalry and infantry were reportedly sent by al-Mu’tazz to the district of Bandanijayn, under the command of a Turk called Ablaj. They made for al-Hasan b. ‘Alī and looted his residence while attacking his village. Follow-

\[145\] That is, the northeast section of Baghdad. See Yāqūt, *Mu’jam*, I, 499, and Le Strange, *Lands*, 63, 80.
ing this, they proceeded to a nearby village, where they ate and drank. When they felt secure, al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī cried out for help against them, (addressing his call to) some Kurds from among his maternal uncles and people from the villages surrounding him. They fell upon the Turks, catching them unawares. They killed most of them, and took seventeen men as prisoners. Ablaj was killed, and the rest of them fled at night. Al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī now sent the prisoners, the head of Ablaj, and the heads of those who were killed with him, to Baghdad. This al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī was from the (tribe of) Shaybān. He reportedly served as Yaḥya b. Ḥafṣ’s deputy when the latter was a public official. His mother was Kurdish.

Al-Madāʾ in During This Civil War

It was reported that when Abū al-Saj, Ismail b. Farāshah and Yaḥyā b. Ḥafṣ were given cloaks of honor in order to proceed to al-Madāʾin; they set up camp at Sūq al-Thalāthā. On Sunday, the twentieth of Rabiʿ I (April 21, 865), Abū al-Sajʿ 146 let his infantry ride the mules, and he set off towards al-Madāʾin, which had been the trench of the Sassanian Emperor.147 He wrote asking for reinforcements, and five hundred infantry of the regular army were sent to him. He himself had set out at the head of three thousand horsemen and infantry. Then Abū al-Saj asked for further reinforcements, and they were given to him; so his army reached three thousand men of the old Shākiriyyah who were transported in vessels that set sail for him on Sunday, the fourth of Jumādā I148 (June 3, 865).

Al-Anbār149 and the Civil War There

Among these events, Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh sent Najūbah b. Qays at the head of a bedouin force to al-Anbār, and ordered him to estab-

---

146. The text does not specify his name, but he is obviously intended by the context.
147. For Madāʾin, and its importance under the Sassanians, see Yāqūt, Muʿjam, V, 74–75.
148. The text has Jumādā II, which would not be a Sunday. The fourth of Jumādā I, however, was a Sunday, and the text should be emended as in the translation.
149. That is, on the Euphrates, northwest of Baghdad, at some ten farsakhs (sixty km) away. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, I, 257.
lish a position there and to enlist recruits among the bedouins of that district. He managed to enlist some two thousand men from among them and those like them, and he established himself at al-Anbār, thus securing the city. Word then reached him that a contingent of Turks was making its way towards him. At this, he opened the floodgates of the Euphrates, emptying its waters into the trench of al-Anbār. When the trench was filled, it overflowed (and flooded) the surrounding steppes, with the water reaching as far as al-Saylahāyn.¹⁵⁰ The area surrounding al-Anbār thus became one continuous swamp. He also demolished the masonry bridges (qanṭarah) leading to the city, and he wrote asking for reinforcements. Rashīd b. Kāwūs, the brother of al-Afshīn, was selected to go to him, and the latter gathered those of his troops who were with him—they numbered one thousand men: five hundred cavalry and five hundred infantry. He went forth and set up camp by Qaṣr 'Abdawayh.¹⁵¹ Ibn Ṭāhir reinforced him with three hundred infantry from the Maḥātiyah¹⁵² contingent which was coming from the frontier region. They were selected, given what was due them, and assigned to him on Tuesday. By Monday, the last day of Rabi‘ I¹⁵³ (May 1, 865), Rashīd departed from Qaṣr ‘Abdawayh at the head of fifteen hundred men.

On Tuesday, al-Mu‘tazz dispatched Abū Naṣr b. Bughā from Sāmarra along the Ishāqī Road. After marching a day and a night, he came up to al-Anbār in the morning. At the same time Rashīd b. Kāwūs set up camp there. Najūbah had positioned himself inside the city while Rashīd was camped outside of it. When Abū Naṣr arrived, he took Rashīd and his men unawares; they had not taken up their battle stations yet. Abū Naṣr’s men put them to the sword and

¹⁵⁰. The text is al-Ṣāliḥīn; it should be read al-Saylahāyn, as in Yaḵūt, Mu‘jam, III, 298–299. This district, according to Yaḵūt, is only some three farsakhs (eighteen km) from Baghdad; hence the flooded land must have covered some forty km down from Al-Anbār.

¹⁵¹. See Lassner, Topography, 70. The camp was still in the Baghdad area.

¹⁵². See Le Strange, Lands, 120, 121, 128, 136, 142.

¹⁵³. There is a mistake in the text at this point. The last day of Rabi‘ II was not a Monday but, rather, a Wednesday. The confusion may have occurred because the daily cycle begins in the evening rather than at midnight or midday. In any case, Tuesday is the correct reading, being consistent with the rest of the text as well as with the end of Rabi‘ I, which was, indeed, a Tuesday.
showered them with arrows, killing a number of them. Some of Rashid's troops took up their arms and fought furiously with the Turks and the Maghāribah, killing several of them. Rashid and the Shākiriyah then fled back to Baghdad along the road they had taken before. When Najūbah learned what had befallen Rashid's men, and that the Turks intended to attack al-Anbār following the former's retreat, he crossed over with a group of his men to the west bank and cut the pontoon bridge of al-Anbār [behind him]. That night, Rashid went to al-Muḥawwal,\textsuperscript{154} while Najūbah moved along the west bank (of the Ṣarāt) until he reached Baghdad on Thursday evening. Later that evening, Rashid entered the palace of Ibn Ṭāhir. Najūbah told Muḥammad b. `Abdallāh that when the Turks were marching to al-Anbār, he sent word to Rashid asking him for a hundred archers so that he could array them in front of his army, but the latter refused. Najūbah now asked Ibn Ṭāhir to assign to his command archers drawn from the cavalry and the infantry so that he could march with them to his kinsmen. Najūbah mentioned that they were stationed here on the West Side, obediently awaiting the Commander of the Faithful. After giving guarantees that he would execute what was expected of him,\textsuperscript{155} Najūbah was given the command of three hundred archers from the cavalry of the Shākiriyah and from their infantry. After being given five cloaks of honor, he marched to Qaṣr Ibn Hubayrah to make ready there.

Muḥammad b. `Abdallāh then selected al-Husayn b. Ismāʿīl to go to al-Anbār and sent along with him Muḥammad b. Rajāʾ al-Ḥiḍārī, ʿAbdallāh b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, Rashid b. Kāwūs, Muḥammad b. Yahyā and a group of others. He also ordered that money be given to anyone leaving with al-Husayn and this company. The Shākiriyah, who came from Malatiyah, and who represented the main group\textsuperscript{156} accepting four months military allotment, refused, for most of them did not have a mount. They said, "We need to replenish ourselves and buy mounts." Four thousand dinārs had been offered them, but they were satisfied with four months pay. Al-Ḥusayn held an audi-

\textsuperscript{154} That is, on the Ḫis Canal, about one farsakh [six km] northwest of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Lands, 31, 32, 66, and Yaqūt, Mu'jam, V, 425.

\textsuperscript{155} This seems to be the sense of the uncertain text, ādaman an yatalāqā mà kāna minhu.

\textsuperscript{156} The text is man, "who"; it should be read mimman, "of those," as in Ms. O.
ence at the door of Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh’s palace and began to check the lists (jarā‘id) of recruits157 in order to review the troops158 with his companions in Madinat Abū Ja‘far.159 On that day, he paid a contingent of his special forces. Following that, al-Ḥusayn and the clerks of the diwāns went to Madinat Abī Ja‘far and offered service pay to those regular troops who would move out with him. They were to be paid at three sessions; the payments were completed on Saturday, the eighteenth of Jumādā I (June 17, 865).

On Monday, al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl was brought to the palace (of Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh). With him were the commanders who were leaving with him, namely: Rashīd b. Kāwūs, Muḥammad b. Rajā’, ‘Abdallāh b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, Irmīs (?) al-Farghānī, Muḥammad b. Ya‘qūb, the brother of Ḥuzām, Yūsuf b. Maṇṣūr b. Yūsuf al-Barm, al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Yaḥyā al-Armani, al-Faḍl b. Muḥammad b. al-Faḍl, and Muḥammad b. Harthamah b. al-Nādir. Al-Ḥusayn was given cloaks of honor and was promoted from the fourth battalion (fawj) to the second. These commanders were also given cloaks of honor; Rashīd b. Kāwūs was put in charge of the van-guard, and Muḥammad b. Rajā’ was given the train. Al-Ḥusayn, those of his kinsmen (‘ashirāh) who were attached to him, and his generals marched to their camp, following Waṣīf and Bughā (who) had been ordered (to depart) ahead of him. He was escorted to al-Yāsiriyyah160 by ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdallāh and all of Ibn Ṭāhir’s commanders, (his) secretaries, the Banū Ḥāshim, and the notables. The army families were given thirty-six thousand dinārs. Eighteen hundred dinārs were taken to the encampment at al-Yāsiriyyah to be distributed to the remaining fighting men in order to pay off what was due them.

On Thursday al-Ḥusayn’s vanguard, commanded by ‘Abdallāh b. Naṣr b. Muḥammad b. Ya‘qūb and comprising one thousand cavalry and infantry, marched until they reached the dam (bathq) known as Bathq al-Qāṭūfah, where they encamped.

---

157. jarā‘id could also mean a company of horsemen without footmen among them. See Lane, Lexicon, I, 407, col. 1; but it is hard to read that meaning with tashīh, that is, “to correct,” “to check.” In this context it should be understood as military rolls.

158. The Text is al-nās, “people.”

159. The reference is to the Round City. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 15–46.

160. That is, some two miles northwest of Baghdad. See Yaqūt, Mu‘jam, V, 425, and n. 154 above.
The Turks had already sent to al-Manṣūriyyah, five farsakhs (thirty km) from Baghdad, a contingent consisting of their men, some Maghāribah, and the rabble. The group numbered around one hundred men. Seven of the Maghāribah were taken (prisoner), and then brought to al-Ḥusayn who had them taken to the Gate (in Baghdad). Al-Ḥusayn himself set forth on Friday, the twenty-third of Jumādā I (June 22, 865). The populace of al-Anbār had asked for guarantees of safe conduct when the Turks and Maghāribah arrived at the city after Najūbah and Rashīd had retreated. It was granted to them. They were ordered to open their shops, conduct business in them, and go about their affairs as usual. As a result, the Anbāris felt secure and trusted that the garrison would not harm them. They remained so for a day and a night. But the next morning, while the garrison was (still) in control of the city, vessels sailing from al-Raqqah arrived containing flour, and rafts bearing oil and other items also arrived. The garrison seized the shipments and everything they found including camels, pack animals, mules and donkeys. They sent them off with someone who would deliver them to their residences in Sāmarrā. They looted whatever they could find, and sent along the heads of those who were killed from among the men of Rashīd, Najūbah, and the Baghdadis, together with those that were taken prisoner. The prisoners numbered 120 men; there were seventy heads. The prisoners were carried in sacks with their heads sticking out until they reached Sāmarrā. Later the Turks went up to the mouth of the river at al-Asnānah and attempted to block it, thereby preventing the waters of the Euphrates from reaching Baghdad. After paying a man some money, they sent him to get (hold of) the lock mechanism and to lock it with ropes and yardarms. But he was discovered as he was buying (the equipment). The mob beat him and cursed him until he lay near death, and then he was taken to the palace of Ibn Ṭāhir. When he was asked about the matter, he confessed the truth and was sent to prison.

Ibn Ṭāhir had already sent al-Ḥārith, Abū al-Sāj’s lieutenant—he

---

161. This town name is mentioned several times by Ibn al-Athir, Kamīl, VIII, 599, 620, 622, IX, 251, 569, X, 16, 50, but all references are to North Africa. The Iraqi counterpart is hard to determine.

162. We should probably read Astān to mean a “district.” See Le Strange, Lands, 79–80. Apparently they wanted to block the traffic along one of the major arteries that connected the Euphrates to Baghdad.
had been travelling along the Mecca Road—to Qaṣr Ibn Hubayrah. He attached to al-Ḥārith’s command five hundred Shākiriyah cavalry who were to advance with him. Al-Ḥārith departed with his companions on the seventh of Jumādā I (June 6, 865). Ibn Ṭāhir also sent Ibn Abī Dulaf Ḥāshim b. al-Qāsim at the head of two hundred infantry and cavalry to al-Sībān in order to establish a position there. When al-Ḥusayn set out for al-Anbār, written orders were sent to Ḥāshim to join al-Ḥasayn’s army and accompany it to the city. In Baghdad, the call went out to the troops of al-Ḥusayn and Muzāḥim b. Khāqān to join their commanders, after which al-Ḥusayn set out. Khālid b. ʿImrān also advanced, setting up camp in Dimimma. There, he wanted to build a pontoon bridge across the Aniq canal so that his troops might cross the waterway, but the Turks resisted him. A contingent of infantry crossed over to encounter the enemy and dispersed them, whereupon Khālid completed the bridge and crossed over with his company. Meanwhile, al-Ḥusayn marched to Dimimma and camped outside the village. After having spent one day in his camp, the vanguard of the Turkish army reached him in the area along the Aniq and the Rufayl above the village of Dimimma. Al-Ḥusayn then ranged his troops along one side of the river while the Turks, numbering around one thousand men, were on the other side. They showered each other with arrows and a number of troops were wounded. The Turks then departed for al-Anbār, while Najūbah was still positioned at Qaṣr b. Hubayrah.

Joining al-Ḥusayn at this point were all the bedouins in Najūbah’s force and others. Najūbah wrote asking for money to pay to his troops, and orders were given that three thousand dinārs be taken to al-Ḥusayn’s camp and paid out to Najūbah’s men. As for al-Ḥusayn, he was given money, necklaces, bracelets and gifts to be distributed to those who fought with valor. Moreover, al-Ḥusayn was promised further reinforcements to bring his army up to ten thousand men. Orders were subsequently dispatched that that promise be met. Moreover, it was ordered that Abū al-Sanā Muḥammad b. ʿAbdūs al-Ghanawī and al-Jahhāf b. Sawād be sent at the head of a thousand in-

---

163. The locale is uncertain. Any two water courses (sīb) could be called thus. See, however, Yāqūt, Mu’jam, III, 293, who locates it near Qaṣr Hubayrah.
164. See Le Strange, Lands, 66.
165. The reading is uncertain. Also rendered as Abiq.
fantry and cavalry from among the Malāṭiyah (troops) and a force selected from various commands. These men received their rations on the second day before the end of Jumādā I (June 28, 865), and then marched with Abū al-Sanā and al-Jahhāf along the Karkhāyā Canal to al-Muḥawwal, and then to Dimimmā.

Al-Ḥusayn encamped with his army in an open expanse that was sufficient for his force; it was known as al-Qaṭī’ah. He stayed there for one day and then intended to leave for an area adjacent to al-Anbār. But Rashid and the commanders advised him to keep the camp in that place because it had ample space and was fortifiable. He could then proceed together with his commanders and part of a cavalry squadron to find out if the conditions (there) would be in his favor. If so, he could then transfer the camp; if not, he could withdraw to the camp and counterattack his enemy. He did not accept the idea and ordered his men to move out from their positions. They did this, moving to a new place some two farsakhs (twelve km) away. When they reached the place where al-Ḥusayn wanted to set up camp, he gave orders for people to dismount.

The Turks had spies in al-Ḥusayn’s camp, and they went and informed them of the latter’s journey and how the loyalist army found their (new) encampment crowded. The Turks charged al-Ḥusayn’s force while people were still unloading their baggage. The army was stirred to action, and the call went out, “To arms!” They arrayed their troops and fought back as both parties suffered casualties. Al-Ḥusayn’s troops then attacked the Turks, inflicting an ignominious defeat on the latter, slaughtering a great number of them while many others drowned in the Euphrates. The Turks, however, had also positioned a party of ambushers. With the battle joined, they emerged, attacking the rest of the loyalist camp. The latter found no refuge except the Euphrates. Many of al-Ḥusayn’s men were thus drowned, a number were killed, and some of the infantry were taken prisoner. As for the cavalry, they beat a hasty retreat on their mounts, paying attention to nothing else. None responded to the

---

166. The text does not specify which Jumādā. But since the events seem to lead into Jumādā II, we assume here that it was Jumādā I.
167. The Karkhāyā Canal was on the West Side of Baghdad, and still within the city area. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 52–56.
168. The distance to Muḥawwal is some six kilometers northwest of Baghdad. See n. 154, above.
commanders who pleaded and called upon them to return. Muhammad b. Rajā' and Rashīd, however, fought valiantly that day. Those who retreated withdrew all the way to the Yāsirīyyah Gate of Baghdad. When the commanders could not control their men any longer they, too, retreated behind them, protecting their rear lest they be followed. As a result, the Turks appropriated all of al-Husayn’s camp, including the tents, the household possessions of the troops and the wares of the merchants. Al-Ḥusayn also had with him weapons that had been stored aboard vessels. These were safe, however, for the sailors guarded their vessels, thus saving the weapons and the merchant’s wares they were carrying.

Ibn Zanbūr, the secretary of al-Husayn (reported the following). Seized from al-Ḥusayn were twelve chests containing garments, eight thousand dinārs belonging to the central authorities, about four thousand dinārs of his own, and a hundred mules. Al-Ḥusayn’s (own) recruits looted his tents and those of his troops and then fled, arriving at al-Yāsirīyyah. Most of the looting, however, had taken place among the men of Abū al-Sanā. As for al-Ḥusayn, he and those who fled arrived at al-Yāsirīyyah on Tuesday, the sixth of Jumādā II169 (July 5, 865). One of the merchants, from among those who had lost their possessions in al-Ḥusayn’s camp, met him along with a group of them and said, “Praise the God Who cheers you. It took you twelve days to get where you wanted, but only one to retreat all the way back.” Al-Ḥusayn paid no attention to him, however.

(According to) Abū Jaʿfar, what follows is drawn from the reports that reached us about al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl and his commanders and troops, who were dispatched in this year by Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Tāhir. They were dispatched from Baghdad to fight the Turks and the Maghāribah who had come to al-Anbār and the adjacent district. When al-Ḥusayn reached al-Yāsirīyyah, after having retreated from Dimimmā in defeat, he stayed at Bustān Ibn al-Ḥarūrī. The others who came back in defeat stayed on the western side of al-Yāsirīyyah and were not allowed to cross over. It was announced in Baghdad that those troops of al-Ḥusayn’s army (who were) currently in the city were to join his camp (at al-Yāsirīyyah). They were given three days to do so; anyone found in Baghdad after three days would

---

169. The sixth of Jumādā II was not a Tuesday but, rather, a Thursday. Better read Jumādā I, which would then be June 5, 865.
be administered three hundred lashes and his name would be erased from the military roll. At this, the people left. On the same night in which al-Ḥusayn arrived, Khālid b. ʿImrān was given orders to encamp with his men at al-Muḥawwal. That night he gave his men their allotments while they sat mounted. It was also announced among Khālid’s men, who were then in al-Muḥawwal, to join him there. Similarly, the old recruits, who were conscripted by Abū al-Ḥusayn Yahyā b. ʿUmar in al-Ḳufah—they amounted to some five hundred men—were called into service together with Khālid’s troops, about one thousand men. They encamped at al-Muḥawwal on Tuesday, the fourth of Jumādā II (July 3, 865).  

The morning following the night of al-Ḥusayn’s arrival, Ibn Tahir gave orders to al-Shāh b. Mikāl to meet al-Ḥusayn and refuse him entry to Baghdad. He met him en route (to the city) and sent him back to Bustān Ibn al-Ḥarūrī, where they (al-Ḥusayn and his retinue) stayed that day. When night fell they came to the palace of Ibn Tāhir. The latter chastized al-Ḥusayn and ordered him to go back to al-Yāsiriyyah and then march to al-Anbār together with any soldiers who would do so. That same night, al-Ḥusayn returned to al-Yāsiriyyah and ordered that one month’s salary be given to the families of this army. Nine thousand dinārs were brought, and the clerks handling the payroll and the muster roll came to al-Yāsiriyyah to muster the troops and hand them their pay.

When Friday, the seventh of Jumādā II (July 6, 865), came around, Khālid b. ʿImrān went up to the masonry bridge of Bihlāyā, which is the site of the dam (sikr). At the same time, some twenty vessels set sail and ʿUbaydallāh b. ʿAbdallāh, Aḥmad b. Isrāʾīl, and al-Ḥusayn b. Makhlad rode off to the camp of al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʾīl in al-Yāsiriyyah. There, they read to al-Ḥusayn and the commanders a letter written by al-Mustaʿīn. In it, al-Mustaʿīn informed them of their offensive disobedience, their mutiny and their dissent. It was read to them while the army stood by and the muster officers were lining them up to find out who had been killed and who had drowned from each command. After that, they were called to join their units. Marching out, they received a dispatch from some of their informants at al-Anbār that the Turks suffered more than two hundred dead, and around four hundred wounded. All the prisoners

170. The text reads Jumādā, but it should be emended to read “fourth of Jumādā II”.
that the Turks took from the Baghdadis, including regular troops and infantry recruits were 220 persons. The heads of the (loyalist) dead were counted and found to be seventy heads. They had taken along some of the market people who cried out to Abū Naṣr, “We are the people of the market.” He asked, “And why was it that you were with them?” To which they answered, “We were compelled, and thus we went along to ply our trade or...”\(^{171}\) Those of them who looked like market people were set free, while the prisoners were jailed at al-Qaṭṭā‘ah. The officer in charge of the government mules was reported to have said that all the government mules that were lost amounted to 120 animals.

Al-Husayn departed on Monday, the seventeenth of Jumādā II (July 16, 865), and wrote to Khālid b. Īmran, who was positioned at the dam, to march before him. But Khālid refused to do so and indicated that he would not leave his position until an officer with a huge army replaced him, for he feared that the Turks operating from their camp in the region of Qaṭrābbul might come up behind his army. Ibn Ṭāhir ordered that money be sent to al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘il to pay each of his troops one month’s salary. The money was to be distributed at Dimimma. He also ordered that clerks and muster officers accompany the shipment sent there. Al-Faḍl b. Muẓaffar al-Saba‘ī was in charge of military expenditures and also the payroll, which was to draw upon the bureau of taxation for funding. The money was brought by al-Saba‘ī to al-Ḥusayn’s camp so that the former could march along with him when he moved on.

It was said that al-Ḥusayn departed for al-Anbār at midnight on Wednesday, the nineteenth of Jumādā II (July 18, 865). The call went out for his men to join up with him, and his army followed him during the day on Wednesday.\(^{172}\) Al-Ḥusayn marched until reaching Dimimma where he wanted to build a pontoon bridge over the Aniq Canal\(^{173}\) to cross the waterway, but the Turks resisted him. A contingent of his infantry crossed over and fought the Turks until they

\(^{171}\) The exact meaning of sugī and sawaqaḥ is uncertain. Lane, *Lexicon*, I, 1472, col. 2, argues that it means subjects of a king, because he drives them. But here it seems to mean people who make their livelihood by roaming around in the sug with whatever commodity they are selling.

\(^{172}\) The Muslim day begins at night fall. See Birūnī, *Chronology*, 5. So midnight of Wednesday is midnight Tuesday of the civil day.

\(^{173}\) This story, almost verbatim, was attributed to Khālid b. Īmran, p. 1606 of the Leiden edition. Ṭabari seems to be confusing both events.
dispersed them, whereupon Khālid\textsuperscript{174} built the bridge allowing his men to cross the waterway. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh then sent him a message of congratulations with his secretary Muḥammad b. ‘Īsā, who was said to have brought along necklaces and bracelets. Muḥammad then returned to his residence. On Saturday, the eighth of Rajab (August 5, 865),\textsuperscript{175} a man came to al-Ḥusayn and told him that the Turks had been guided to several spots on the Euphrates which could be forded in an effort to reach his camp. He ordered that the informant be given two hundred lashes, and ordered one of his officers called al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī b. Yahyā al-Armanī together with one hundred infantry and one hundred cavalry to guard the crossing points. When the Turkish vanguard appeared, carrying fourteen banners, he charged them, and his men engaged them for an hour. He charged Abū al-Sanā‘ with guarding the bridge (qantārah)\textsuperscript{176} and instructed him to prohibit anyone fleeing from crossing it. When the Turks came up to the crossing and found it guarded, they left and moved on to another one behind it. But they were fought by al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī who persevered in battle. When al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl was informed of that, he marched in that direction, but he did not reach Ibn ‘Alī before the latter was routed together with Khālid b. ‘Imrān, and their men. At the bridge Abū al-Sanā‘ would not let them cross, and the infantry and Khurasanis turned back throwing themselves into the Euphrates. Those who could not swim drowned; but those who could swim escaped, crossing without their clothes, to an island from which one could not reach the shore because there were Turks along the shore.

Some of al-Ḥusayn’s troops (reported that) when al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alī al-Armanī sent word to al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl informing him that the Turks had reached the crossing, the messenger was told, "The commander is asleep." So he returned and reported that. Then a second messenger was sent, but he was told by the guard, "The commander is at the privy." And he went back and reported that. A third messenger reported back, "He came out of the privy but he went back to

\textsuperscript{174} The text has Khālid where it should read al-Ḥusayn. Note, this is the same account as the one given on p. 1606 of the Leiden edition.

\textsuperscript{175} Saturday was the seventh of Rajab, 251. One should read either Sunday or the seventh of Rajab.

\textsuperscript{176} The reference here seem to be to a masonry bridge (qantārah) and not to a pontoon bridge (jisr). See Lane, \textit{Lexicon}, I, 424, col. 3.
sleep." When morning came and the Turks attacked, al-Ḥusayn sat himself in a skiff (zawraq) or river vessel and sailed downstream. A group of Khurāsānīs were taken prisoner; they cast aside their clothes and weapons and sat naked on the shore. Those who bore the Turkish banners pressed on until they hoisted their flags at al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʾīl's tent and cut off the marketplace. Most of the vessels sailed downstream and were safe except for those that were put under guard. The Turks pursued al-Ḥusayn's followers and put them to the sword. They killed or captured some two hundred men, while a great number drowned. Al-Ḥusayn together with the defeated army reached Baghdad by midnight. The rest of those fleeing arrived during the day; among them were several wounded. They kept on arriving, naked and wounded, until midday. Among the lost commanders of al-Ḥusayn was Ibn Yūṣuf al-Barm. But a letter came later reporting that he was being held prisoner by the Turks at the camp of Muflīḥ, and that the number of prisoners from al-Ḥusayn's second battle exceeded 170 men. There were a hundred dead, and two thousand pack animals and two hundred mules were lost. The value of the clothes, and weapons and other things lost was more than one hundred thousand dinars. Al-Hindawānī recited in reference to al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʾīl:

O You, most firm in staying behind
From battle. You’ve mixed serenity with sorrow.

When you saw the drawn swords of the Turks,
you knew what destinies these swords were bringing.

You stayed secluded in shame and disgrace,
success is always lost between impotence and distress.

In Jumādā II, 251 (June 30–July 28, 865), a group of people from Baghdad joined al-Muʿtazz, including some of the secretaries and members of the Banū Hāshim. Joining al-Muʿtazz from among the generals was Muzāḥim b. Khāqān Urṭūj, and from among the secretaries were ʿĪsā b. Ibrāhīm b. Nūḥ, Yaʿqūb b. Ishāq, Namārī, Yaʿqūb b. Ṣālīḥ b. Murshid, Muqlah, and a son of Abū Muzāḥim b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān. The Hashimites included ʿAlī and Muḥammad the sons of

177. That is, they were told not to move.

In this year, there was a battle in which Muḥammad b. Khālid b. Yazīd and Aḥmad al-Muwallad faced Ayyūb b. Aḥmad at Sukayr\(^{178}\) in the territory of Banū Taghlib. A great number of men from the two factions were killed. Muḥammad b. Khālid fled, leaving his possessions to be looted by the others. Ayyūb destroyed the dwellings of the family of Hārūn b. Maʿmar and killed every man of theirs that he captured.

In (this year), Balkājūr conducted a raid of conquest which reportedly uncovered a cache containing a great deal of booty. He captured a number of the heathen soldiers (aʿlāj), and the news was brought to al-Mustaʿīn through a letter dated Wednesday, the twenty-sixth of Rabīʿ II, 251 (May 27, 865).\(^{179}\)

On Saturday, the twenty-second of Rajāb, 251 (August 19, 865), a battle took place in which Muḥammad b. Rajāʿ and Ismāʿīl b. Farāshah faced Juʿlān al-Turki. The battle occurred in the districts of Badurāyā and Bākusāyā.\(^{180}\) Ibn Rajāʿ and Ibn Farāshah defeated Juʿlān and killed or captured several of his men.

In Rajāb, 251 (July 29–August 27, 865), a battle reportedly took place between Diwdād Abū al-Sāj and Bāyakbāk in the district of Jarjarāyā.\(^{181}\) In this battle Abū al-Sāj killed Bāyakbāk together with a number of his men and captured some others. Still others drowned in the Nahrawān.

In the middle of Rajāb, 251 (August 12, 865), the ‘Abbāsids of the Banū Hāshim, who were in Baghdad, gathered together and went to the island opposite the palace of Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh. They called for al-Mustaʿīn and cursed Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, using ugly words. They also said, “We have been denied our stipends, while you pay money to others to whom it is not due. We shall die shrunken from starvation. Unless you pay our due we will go to the

---

\(^{178}\) That is, in the Jazirah area, near the Byzantine borders. See Le Strange, Lands, 97–98, and Yāqūt, Muʿjam, III, 231, II, 334–335.

\(^{179}\) That day was not Wednesday but, rather, Sunday. Was it possibly the third day of Rabīʿ I, which was indeed a Wednesday, and hence April 4, 865?

\(^{180}\) These districts are to the northeast of Baghdad. See n. 145, above, and Le Strange, Lands, 63.

\(^{181}\) That is southeast of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Lands, 37. Note these military activities are conducted without involving the ruling family, a sign of their lack of control.
gates and open them to the Turks, and no one in Baghdad would oppose us.” Al-Shāh b. Mikāl crossed over and spoke gently with them. He asked that three of them accompany him to the palace of Ibn Ṭāhir. They rejected that and refused to do anything except cry out against and curse Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh. Al-Shāh took leave of them, and they persisted in this behavior until night approached. Then they departed, but they gathered again the very next day. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh sent orders to them to appear at his palace on Monday so that he could appoint someone to look into their claims (yunāziruhum). When they came to the palace, Muḥammad b. Dāwūd al-Ṭūsī was ordered to negotiate with them. He gave them one month’s payment with an order to accept it and not bother the Caliph anymore. They, on the other hand, refused to accept one month’s payment and left.

[1617] In this year a Taʿlībid called al-Ḥusayn b. Muḥammad b. Ḥamzah b. ‘Abdallāh b. al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Ali b. al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Ali b. Abi Ṭalib rebelled in al-Kūfah. He sought the caliphate for one of the Taʿlībids, a man called Muḥammad b. Jaʿfar b. al-Ḥusayn b. Jaʿfar b. al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḥasan. His patronymic was Abū Aḥmad. Al-Mustaʿin sent Muẓāḥim b. Khāqān Urṭūj against him. The Taʿlid was in the environs of al-Kūfah at the head of three hundred men from the Banū Asad, and three hundred men of the Jārūdiyyah and the Zaydiyyah, most of them were wool manufacturers. The governor of al-Kūfah at that time was Ahmad b. Naṣr b. Malik al-Khuzai. The Taʿlid killed eleven of Ibn Naṣr’s men, four of them troops in the city. Aḥmad b. Naṣr fled to Qaṣr Hubayrah and met with Hishām b. Abi Dulaf who was in charge of some of the hinterland and surroundings of al-Kūfah. When Muzāḥim reached the village of Shāhi, he received orders to position himself there until someone was sent to persuade the Taʿlid to return to the fold. He (Hishām) then sent Dāwūd b. al-Qāsim al-Jaʿfarī along with some money. The latter headed towards the rebel; but Dāwūd and his message were slow in coming to Muzāḥim, and the latter had already marched off against al-Kūfah from the villages of Shāhi. He entered the city, seeking the Taʿlid who had, in turn, fled. Whereupon, Muzāḥim sent an officer to pursue the

182. For more information on these Shiʿite groups, see Nawbakhti, Firaq, 19, 49–51, and Shahrastānī, Milal, 207–214.
rebel. He wrote of his conquest of al-Kūfah, sending the dispatch in a feathered mail pouch.

It was reported that the people of al-Kūfah urged the ‘Alid to fight Muzāḥim when the latter came up against him, and they promised him victory. The ‘Alid went out on the west side of the Euphrates, whereupon Muzāḥim dispatched one of his commanders on the east side with orders to march until he crossed the Kūfah Bridge. Then he was to return. The officer went to execute his orders while Muzāḥim ordered some troops who remained with him to ford the river at the crossing point of the Euphrates at the village of Shāhī. They were then to advance and engage the Kūfans in combat when they were arrayed directly in front of them. Thus they marched with Muzāḥim, who crossed the Euphrates leaving his baggage and the rest of his men. When the Kūfans saw them, they attacked. But Muzāḥim’s commander reached them and attacked the rear while Muzāḥim (himself) was in front of the Kūfans. The government force overwhelmed the Kūfans, and not one of them escaped.

Ibn al-Kurdiyyah (reported that) thirteen of Muzāḥim’s men were killed before he entered al-Kūfah. Among the Zaydi, the wool manufacturers, seventeen were killed. The bedouins lost three hundred men. When Muzāḥim entered al-Kūfah stones were thrown at him. He responded by hurling fire on both sides of al-Kūfah, burning seven marketplaces, until the fire reached al-Sabi’.\(^{183}\) He also attacked the house where the ‘Alid was staying, but the latter had fled. Later he was brought back and killed. In that battle only one ‘Alid (supporter) was killed, while all the ‘Alid (supporters) who were in al-Kūfah were reportedly imprisoned, as were the Banū Hāshim; the ‘Alid (himself) was one of them.

Abū Ismā‘īl al-‘Alawi (told that) Muzāḥim reportedly burned a thousand dwellings in al-Kūfah and manhandled the ‘Alid’s daughters. It was also reported that he took the servant girls of the ‘Alid, including a free woman who was in his charge. He set her up by the mosque door and auctioned her off.

In the middle of Rajab, 251 (August 12, 865), Muzāḥim received a letter sent by al-Mu‘tazz in which the latter ordered him to join his side and promised him whatever he desired. Muzāḥim read the let-

\(^{183}\) A district of al-Kūfah named after the tribe Sabi’. See Yāqūt, Mu‘jam, III, 187.
ter to his followers. The Turks, the Farāghinah and the Maghāribah responded positively, while the Shākiriyyah refused to go along. He marched on with those who obeyed him—some four hundred men. Abū Nūḥ had already preceded him to Sāmarra, as the letter indicated. Muzāḥim had been waiting for word of al-Ḥusayn b. Ismāʿīl, and when the latter was routed Muzāḥim marched off to Sāmarra. With the conquest of al-Kūfah, al-Mustaʿīn sent Muzāḥim ten thousand dinārs, five cloaks of honor, and a sword. As the (Caliph's) emissary was heading towards Muzāḥim, he met the latter's troops along the road. They returned everything to the palace of Muḥammad b. Ṭahār and reported to him about Muzāḥim. The troops and the Shākiriyyah were commanded by a lieutenant of al-Ḥusayn b. Yazīd al-Ḥarrānī, Hishām b. Abī Dulaf, and al-Ḥārith, the lieutenant of Abū al-Ṣāj. Ibn Ṭāhir ordered that each of them be given three cloaks of honor.

It was also reported that this 'Alid had risen in rebellion at Ninawā at the end of Jumāda II, 251 (July 28, 865). A group of bedouins—some of whom had rebelled in support of Yaḥyā b. ʿUmar in 250 (864)—joined him. Hishām b. Abī Dulaf had come to that region, and the 'Alid attacked him with some fifty men. The latter was defeated and several of his followers were killed; twenty men were captured together with a young boy (ghulām). The 'Alid then fled to al-Kūfah and hid there. Later on he reappeared.

The prisoners and the heads (of the dead) were taken to Baghdad. Five of the men were identified; they had served with Abū al-Ḥusayn Yaḥyā b. ʿUmar, (were captured at that time) and (were) then set free. Muḥammad b. Ṭabār had ordered that anyone who had been set free and then returned (to rebellion) should be administered five hundred lashes.184 They were beaten on the last day of Jumāda II (July 28, 865).

It was also reported that when the dispatches of Abū al-Ṣāj came with the news that he had defeated Bāyakbāk on the eighteenth of Rajab, 251 (August 15, 865), ten thousand dinārs in aid were sent to him together with a gift of five cloaks of honor and a sword.

In this year, a battle reportedly took place between Mankajūr b.

---

184. It is not clear whether such an order was supposed to dissuade people from rejoining the battle once they had been freed, or whether ʿatlaqa here means "to single out."
Handarūs (?) and a group of Turks at the Madā‘in Gate. In this battle Mankajūr defeated the Turks, killing several of them.

Also in 251, Balkājūr led a summer campaign in which he reportedly conquered some territory.

A battle between Yahyā b. Harthamah and Abū al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh took place this year. Several men from both sides were killed and Abū al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh was defeated.

On Thursday, the twelfth of Sha‘bān (September 8, 865), a battle took place at the Baghwaraya Gate between the Turks and the followers of Ibn Ṭahir. The occasion for that was as follows. Ibrāhim b. Muḥammad b. Ḥātim and the commander known as al-Nasawī were in charge of the Baghwaraya Gate at the head of some three hundred infantry and cavalry. A large contingent of Turks and Maghāribah came forward, pierced the wall in two places, and entered through the breaches. Al-Nasawī gave combat, but they routed him and reached the Anbār Gate, which was defended by Ibrāhim b. Muṣ‘ab, Ibn Abī Khālid, and Ibn Asad b. Dāwūd Siyāh, who were unaware of the Turks’ entry through the Baghwaraya Gate. They fought furiously against the Turks, and a number of men were killed on both sides. Then those Baghdadis who were at the Anbār Gate fled, paying attention to nothing else. The Turks and Maghāribah attacked the Anbār Gate with fire, and it was set aflame, as were the mangonels and ballistas situated there. They now entered Baghdad, reaching the Iron Gate and the Rahinah Cemetery. From the direction of the Shāri‘ quarter they reached the street of the waterwheel workers, burning everything in that vicinity before and after them. They hoisted their flags on the shops of that neighborhood, and the people fled leaving no one to face them. That took place at the time of the morning prayer.

Ibn Ṭahir sent for the commanders and then rode out armed. He stopped at the gate of Darb Ṣāliḥ al-Miskīn, where the com-

---

185. Read Saturday.
186. They seem to have entered the Harbiyyah quarter of Baghdad itself. See Le Strange, Baghdad, map facing p. 107; for Rahinah, see Lassner, Topography, 71.
187. That is, just north of the Round City, ibid.
188. The text is mawdī‘ aṣḥāb al-dawālib. For dwellings of such professionals, see Lassner, Topography, 102.
189. Ṣāliḥ al-Miskīn owned property on both sides of Baghdad. See Lassner, Topography, 70, 82, and Ya‘qūbī, Buldān, 249.
manders assembled to meet him. He dispatched them to the Anbār Gate, the Baghwārayā Gate and all the gates of the West Side. He massed men at these gates, while Bughā and Waṣīf rode out as well. Bughā with his men and his sons headed for the Baghwārayā Gate, while al-Shāh b. Mikāl, ‘Abbās b. Qārin, and al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl, together with the rabble, went to the Anbār Gate. They met the Turks inside the gate, where al-‘Abbās b. Ḥāzin charged them at once and reportedly killed a group of them on the spot. He then had their heads sent to Ibn Ṭāhir’s door. The people of Baghdad overwhelmed the invaders at these gates and pushed them back, forcing them out of the gateways after a group of them had been killed. Bughā al-Sharābī set out for the Baghwārayā Gate at the head of a large force. He caught the enemy by surprise, killing a large number of them as the rest fled. The Turks exited through the gate, but Bughā kept fighting them until late afternoon. Following their defeat the Turks withdrew. Bughā appointed someone to take charge of the gate, and then went to the Anbār Gate. He also directed that burnt bricks and gypsum be brought to block up the structure. On this day, there was also a fierce battle at the Shammāsiyyah Gate. It was reported that many were killed from both factions, and others were wounded. The one who fought the Turks that day was reportedly Yusuf b. Ya‘qūb Qūsarrah.

In this year, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallah gave orders to al-Muẓaffar b. Sayṣal to set up camp at the al-Yāsirīyyah, and he did. Later, he shifted to al-Kunāsah191 where he was met by Balfardal, Ibn Mkhwnfhl192 al-Ushrūsanī. Orders went out for recruits to join the latter, and some Shākirīyyah and others were subsequently attached to his command. It was also decreed that he join al-Muẓaffar and encamp at al-Kunāsah as part of a joint command securing that region. They both remained there for a while; then Balfardal ordered al-Muẓaffar to move out and obtain information about the Turks, in order to use this information to devise a strategy for dealing with them. Al-Muẓaffar refused, claiming that the commanding officer

190. It is not clear whether the gate itself was blocked or the bricks were used to block the breach in the wall.
191. If al-Kunāsah is the quarter in al-Kūfah, as in Yāqūt, Mu’jam, IV, 481, then he moved the camp site quite some distance and from the northwest of Baghdad to the south.
192. Both names, Balfardal and Ibn Mkhwnfhl, are uncertain.
The Events of the Year 251

The Events of the Year 251 gave no orders to that effect. Each of them then wrote, complaining about the other. Al-Muẓaffar sent word asking to be excused from his position at al-Kunāsah, claiming that he was not fit for combat. He was excused and ordered to depart and to remain confined to his house. Balfardal was given command of that army, both the reserves (nāʿibah) and the regular forces (athbāt). Al-Muẓaffar's regulars were also attached to his command as he took charge of the whole area.

In the month of Ramaḍān 251 (September 26–October 25, 865), Hishām b. Abī Dulaf encountered the rebellious 'Alid at Nīnawā. The latter was accompanied by a man of the Banū Asad. The two forces clashed, and about forty followers of the 'Alid were reportedly killed. After the factions parted the 'Alid entered al-Kūfah, whose inhabitants swore allegiance to al-Muʿtaṣ, while Hishām b. Abī Dulaf entered Baghdad.

Also in Ramaḍān, a battle took place in the vicinity of Jarjarāyā between Abū al-Sāj and the Turks. Abū al-Sāj routed them, killing a great number of Turks and capturing others.

On the day before the end of Ramaḍān, 251 (October 24, 865), Balfardal was killed. The circumstances of his death were as follows. When Abū Naṣr b. Bughā conquered al-Anbār and the adjacent area, forcing the armies of Ibn Tāhir to retreat from there, he dispatched his cavalry and infantry to the outskirts of West Baghdad. He then marched to Qāṣr Ibn Hubayrah which was held by Najūbah b. Qays for Ibn Tāhir, but the latter fled the city without giving fight. Abū Naṣr went on to the Sarsar Canal.193 News of him reached Ibn Tāhir together with news of Abū al-Sāj's battle against the Turks in Jarjarāyā and the latter's disappointment with his recruits during the adverse conditions. Ibn Tāhir then selected Balfardal to march with his troops and join forces with Abū al-Sāj. Balfardal and his men moved out on Tuesday morning, the twenty-eighth of Ramaḍān (October 23, 865). He marched the whole day, and as morning broke he reached al-Madāʾin at the same time as the Turks and others who were attached to their command. The commanders and men of Ibn Tāhir were stationed in al-Madāʾin. The Turks engaged the loyalists in combat, and the latter were routed. The commander who had been in the city then joined Abū al-Sāj. Meanwhile,

193. One of the major canals connecting the Euphrates with the Tigris. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 49.
Balfardal had engaged the Turks in furious combat; when he saw that Ibn Ṭāhir's men had been routed in the city, he and his troops headed towards Abū al-Sāj, but he was overtaken and killed.

Ibn al-Qawārīrī, who was one of the commanders, reported the following. I was in charge of the Baghdad Gate together with Abū al-Husayn. Mankajūr, himself, was in charge of the Sābāṭ Gate. There was a gap in the wall of al-Madāʾin close to his gate. I asked Mankajūr to block it up, but he refused. The Turks then entered the city through it and dispersed his followers. Ibn al-Qawārīrī continued. I remained behind with some ten men when Balfardal himself arrived together with his troops. The latter said, "I am the field commander. I am a cavalryman, and I have cavalry with me. We shall march along the river banks, while the infantry proceeds aboard the vessels." He resisted (the enemy) a while and then left seeking Abū al-Sāj, or the area where he was situated, leaving his troops aboard the vessels on their own. After his departure, I held my position for a while, dressed with ornaments and mounted on a blond horse. Then I rode it into a canal where it stumbled and threw me, and they made for me saying, "The master of the blond horse." I emerged from the canal on foot, and, having cast off my ornaments, I escaped. Ibn Ṭāhir was angered by Ibn al-Qawārīrī and his men, and he ordered them to confine themselves to their residences. Balfardal drowned.

On the fourth of Shawwāl, 251 (October 29, 865), Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir reportedly gathered all of his commanders, (those) who were in charge of the Baghdad gates and others. He consulted with all of them on the state of affairs and told them of the retreating armies that had come to them. Each of them responded to Ibn Ṭāhir's desires by expressing his readiness to sacrifice himself and his possessions. He rewarded them handsomely and brought them in to al-Musta'īn, telling the latter of his negotiations with them and their favorable response to him. Al-Mustaʿīn said to them, "Commanders! I swear to you by God, if, indeed, I fight for myself and my authority, I fight only for your victory [dawlah] and for your subjects ['āmmatikum], and that God might bring you full circle,

---

194. That is, the Baghdad Gate at al-Madāʾin.
195. Probably along the Tigris, going north to Baghdad.
back to the status you enjoyed before the arrival of the Turks and
their like.\footnote{196} It is incumbent upon you to be sincere in your advice
and persistent in your fight with these profligates." They responded
in the most positive manner, and he rewarded them handsomely.
Ibn \( \check{\text{T}} \text{ahir} \) then ordered them to leave for their command posts, and
they did so.

On a Monday in \( \text{Dh\u{u}} \text{ al-Qa}{'} \text{dah}, 251 \) (November 24–December
23, 865), The Baghdadis engaged in a great battle in which they de-
feated the Turks and looted their camp. The circumstances were as
follows. The gates were opened on both sides of the city. Mangonels
and ballistas were set up at all the gates and in the river vessels in
the Tigris. The entire army then came out of the city. Ibn \( \check{\text{T}} \text{ahir}, \)
\( \text{Bugh} \text{\&} \) and \( \text{Wa}{'} \text{sif} \) rode out when both factions were on the march,
and the battle was engaged as far as the Gate of the Fief (of Umm
\( \text{Ja}{'} \text{far} \)).\footnote{197} The three of them then crossed over to the Shammasiy-
yah Gate, and Ibn \( \check{\text{T}} \text{ahir} \) sat under a canopy that was erected for him.
Archers with \( \text{nawak\i} \) bows moved out from Baghdad in skiffs. At
times a single arrow went through several men, killing all of them.
The Turks were routed, and the Baghdadis pursued them all the way
to their camp, where they plundered their market. The loyalists set
aflame one of the Turkish boats, known as The Iron One (\textit{al}-
\( \text{\textasciitilde{H}} \text{adidid} \)), it had inflicted much damage on the people of Baghdad.
Those who were in it drowned. In addition they took two of their
river boats as the Turks fled, paying heed to nothing else. Every time
a head was brought, \( \text{Wa}{'} \text{sif} \) and \( \text{Bugh} \) would say, "By God, the
mawlas are broken."

The Baghdadis pursued them until R\( \text{\textasciitilde{u}} \text{dh} \text{hab} \text{\&} \),\footnote{198} where Ab\( \text{\textasciitilde{u}} \)
\( \text{A}\check{\text{h}} \text{mad} \) b. al-Mutawakkil stood, and sent the mawl\( \text{\textasciitilde{a}} \)s back into the
fight; he warned them that if they did not turn back, they would
be totally routed and the enemy would pursue them all the way to
\( \text{S}\text{\&}{\acute{m}} \text{arr} \text{\&} \). As a result, they re-formed and returned to battle. The rab-
ble began to sever the heads of the dead, for Mu{'}\text{hammad} b. Abdall\( \text{\textasciitilde{a}} \) began to bestow necklaces and gifts to anyone bringing in a head.

\footnote{196} Note the melodramatic plea of a powerless caliph in the face of his Turkish
commanders.\footnote{197} That is, the northern entrance to Baghdad.\footnote{198} Y\( \text{\textasciitilde{a}} \text{\textasciitilde{q}}} \text{ut}, \textit{Mu'}\text{jam}, III, 77, identifies this place simply as one of the villages of
Baghdad, meaning in the Baghdad region. The exact location is hard to determine.
The practice became excessive, however, and the Turks and the mawlās who were under the command of Būghā and Wāṣif were visibly displeased. Then, as a southerly wind carried the dust and the smoke of the burning fires, the banners of al-Hasan b. al-Afšin were brought forward together with the Turkish flags. At the head of the flags was a red standard that was stolen by one of Shāhāk’s slaves who forgot to lower it. When the Baghdadis saw the red standard and those behind it, they imagined that the Turks had returned to do combat, and fled. Some of those who stood their ground attempted to kill Shāhāk’s slave, who now realized what was happening and lowered the flag. The people were fleeing in confusion as the Turks fell back to their camp, not knowing that the Baghdadis were in retreat and that they could attack them. Thus the two factions broke away from each other.

In that year, Aḥū al-Salāšīl, Wāṣif’s agent (wakīl) in the region of al-Jabal, battled with the Magḥāribah. The battle was engaged at the instigation of a Maghribī called Naṣr Salḥab, who came at the head of a group of Maghāribah to some of the places which fell under the jurisdiction of Aḥū al-Sājī. He and his companions plundered the villages there. Aḥū al-Salāšīl wrote to Aḥū al-Sājī informing him of that, and the latter reportedly dispatched about a hundred infantry and cavalry. When they reached Aḥū al-Salāšīl, they took these Magḥāribah by surprise, killing nine of them and taking twenty prisoners; but Naṣr Salḥab escaped at night.

After this engagement between the mawlās and Ibn Tāhir, the weapons of war were put aside and the battle was not renewed. The circumstances were reportedly as follows. Ibn Tāhir had already corresponded with al-Muʿtazz seeking peace before the battle. When this battle took place, he was criticized for it (by al-Muʿtazz), and word was sent to him in that regard. He responded that he would never again undertake anything that al-Muʿtazz would disapprove of. But the gates of Baghdad were closed shut after that, and the siege against them was intensified. On Friday, the first of Dhu al-Qaʿdah, 251 (November 24, 865), the populace cried out “Hunger!” and went to the island facing the palace of Ibn Tāhir. Ibn Tāhir sent word to them, “Send me five of your elders.” And they complied. When the
elders were brought to him, he said, “There are certain things that the common people do not know. I am ailing, but I wish to give the soldiers their allotments and then lead them to fight your enemy.” They were appeased and departed empty-handed. But the common people and the merchants later returned to the island facing the palace of Ibn Ṭahir, and they cried out complaining about the rise in prices and the hardships it caused them. Ibn Ṭahir sent word to them and thus calmed them, promising [he would attend to their wishes] and, thereby, offering them hope. He also sent al-Muʿtazz an offer of peace as the affairs of the Baghdadis were thrown into disarray. Ḥammād b. ʿIshāq b. Ḥammād b. Zayd arrived at Baghdad in the middle of Dhū al-Qa‘dah, 251 (December 8, 865), and Abū Sa‘īd al-Anṣārī was sent to Abū ʿAhmad’s camp as security [for Ḥammād’s return]. The latter met with Ibn Ṭahir privately; there was no mention of what transpired between them. Ḥammād then went back to Abū ʿAhmad’s camp, and Abū Sa‘īd al-Anṣārī returned. Later, Ḥammād returned to Ibn Ṭahir, and many letters were exchanged between the latter and Abū ʿAhmad through him.

On the twenty-first of Dhū al-Qa‘dah (December 14, 865), ʿAhmad b. Isrā‘īl set out for Abū ʿAhmad’s camp together with Ḥammād and ʿAhmad b. ʿIshāq, the agent (wakil) of ʿUbaydallāh b. Yaḥyā. They left with Ibn Ṭahir’s permission to negotiate peace with Abū ʿAhmad.

On the twenty-third of Dhū al-Qa‘dah (December 16, 865), Ibn Ṭahir ordered the release of all those who had been taken prisoner in the battles between him and Abū ʿAhmad, those who had supported the latter against him. On the morning of that day, a group of the regular infantry and many of the common people gathered. The troops demanded their allotments, and the people complained of the atrocious conditions which had been imposed upon them, owing to the rise in prices and the intensity of the siege. They said, “Go out and fight, or let us be.” He promised them that he would either go out or open the door for peace. He gave them cause for hope, and they departed. But after that, on the twenty-fifth of Dhū al-Qa‘dah (December 18, 865), he positioned a large number of troops at the prisons, bridges, the gates of his palace and at the island. Nevertheless, a large crowd came to the island and drove away those [troops] that Ibn Ṭahir had brought there. Then they marched to the bridge from the East Side and liberated the women’s prison, setting the detainees free. But they were stopped by ʿAlī b. Jahshiyār and his
men of the Ṭabariyyah at the men's prison. Abū Mālik who was in charge of the eastern bridge resisted them, and they fractured his skull. They also injured two of his men's pack animals. He went into his residence, leaving them to loot what they could find in his audience room. The Ṭabariyyah attacked, forcing them to give way until a group of them were pushed beyond the doors, which were locked behind them. Later, Muḥammad b. Abī 'Awn crossed over to them and guaranteed the troops four month's allotments, whereupon they departed. Ibn Ṭāhir then gave orders that Ibn Jahshiyār's men be given two months' salary that very day, and it was done.

During those days, Abū Aḥmad sent out five vessels laden with flour, wheat, barley, fodder and straw to Ibn Ṭāhir, and they arrived. On Thursday, the fourth of Dhū al-Hijjah (December 27, 865), the populace learned how Ibn Ṭāhir had deposed al-Musta'īn and rendered the oath of allegiance to al-Muʿtazz. They also learned that he had sent his commanders to Abū Aḥmad to obtain the oath of allegiance to al-Muʿtazz. They were each given four cloaks of honor. The common people thought that peace had been achieved with al-Musta'īn's permission and that al-Muʿtazz was appointed as his heir apparent.

On Wednesday, Rashīd b. Kawūs, who was in charge of the Salāmah Gate, left (the city) with a commander by the name of Nahshal b. Ṣakhr b. Khuzaymah b. Khāzim and with 'Abdallāh b. Maḥmūd. He sent word to the Turks that he is on his way to join them. About one thousand Turkish cavalry came to him, and he went out to them intending to greet them with the understanding that peace had been concluded. He greeted them, embracing those that he knew and they, in turn, took the reins of his mount and led him and his son behind him. On Monday, Rashīd went to the Shammāsiyyah Gate and said to the people, "The Commander of the Faithful and Abū Ahmad send you their greetings. Their message to you is, whoever obeys us, we will promote him and reward him. Anyone who does not, will learn his lesson." The populace responded by cursing him. Following that, he circumambulated all the gates of the East Side and repeated his offer; at each gate he was...

---

cursed as was al-Mu'tazz. When Rashid did that, the people knew what Ibn Tahir had been up to and went to the island facing his palace. They screamed at him and cursed him in the ugliest terms. Then they went to his door and did the same. Râghib al-Khâdim came out to them and urged them to continue what they were doing in their support of al-Musta'în. But, following that, he went to the army barracks and brought along the troops and another group; altogether there were some three hundred armed men. They marched to Ibn Tahir's door, dispersed those who were there and pushed them back. They kept on fighting the populace until they pushed them into the (open) corridor (dihlîz) of the palace. They attempted to burn the entrance gate but could not find fire. Instead, they spent the whole night at the island cursing and reviling him.

Ibn Shuja‘ al-Balkhi (reported the following). I was with the amîr. While talking to me he heard everyone's curse against him. When they mentioned the name of his mother, he laughed and said, “Abû ‘Abdallâh! I can't figure out how they came to know the name of my mother, since it was not known to many of the slave girls of Abû al-'Abbâs ‘Abdallâh b. Tahir.” I then responded, “O amîr, I have never seen anyone with more forebearance than you.” He then said to me, “O Abû ‘Abdallâh! I do not see anything better than being patient with them; besides, I have no alternative.” When they awoke, the populace came to the door and cried out. Ibn Tahir went to al-Musta'în and asked him to go out and calm them and tell them of his condition. He appeared above the gate clad in the Prophet's cloak (burdah) and the lance tawîlah, with Ibn Tahir at his side. He swore to them invoking God's name, “I do not accuse him. I am indeed well and suffering no distress on his account.” He also indicated that he was not deposed, and promised that he would come out the next morning and lead them in the Friday prayers while making his appearance. Most of them departed; several had already been killed. On Friday morning, the people arose early screaming for al-Musta'în and then looted the pack animals of 'Ali b. Jahshiyâr, which were at the ruins by the Bridge Gate on the East Side. As 'Ali

201. The exact architectural meaning is uncertain. According to Lisân, V, 349, it is any space between the outer door and the inner house. All sources agree, however, that it is a Persian word. See Shir, Loan-Words, 68, where it is translated as vestibule (qantarâh).

202. The reference is to the harbah. See n. 7, above.
fled, they took everything they could find in his residence and continued to behave in this fashion well into the day. Then Waṣīf, Bughā, their children, mawlās, officers and the maternal uncles of al-Musta‘īn arrived. The entire populace then went to the door of (Ibn Tāhir’s) palace. Waṣīf, Bughā and their retainers entered together with al-Musta‘īn’s uncles, reaching the (open) corridor where they remained mounted.

Ibn Tāhir was told about the uncles, and gave them permission to dismount, but they refused, saying, “We will not dismount this day until we and the populace know the truth of the matter.” Although messengers were continuously sent to them, they still refused. Finally, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh himself emerged, and asked them to dismount and go in to see al-Musta‘īn. They informed him, “The common people became agitated because of the news that reached them. They have been able to verify your deposition of al-Musta‘īn, and your swearing of allegiance to al-Mu‘tazz. They have also verified your having sent the commanders to swear allegiance to al-Mu‘tazz, as well as your intention to use intimidation in order to turn the rule over to him and allow the Turks and the Maghāribah to enter Baghdad. They know you would allow the latter to deal with them in the same fashion as they dealt with those who rebelled against them in al-Madā‘īn and the villages. The people of Baghdad are suspicious of you, and they accuse you of being against the Caliph and unmindful of their possessions, their children and themselves. They have asked for the Caliph to come out to them, in order that they see him and refute the news reaching them about him.” When Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh confirmed the truth of their remarks and saw for himself the size of the mob that had gathered and its agitated state, he asked al-Musta‘īn to go out to them. The Caliph then left for the Public Audience Hall. A chair was set aside for him there, and a group from the populace entered and looked at him. Then they left to tell those behind them that he was in good condition. But they were not convinced of this. When he realized that they would not be calmed without his going out to them—he had known of the large crowd—he ordered the exterior iron gate locked. This was done.

Following that, al-Musta‘īn, his paternal uncles, Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Munajjim, and Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh all ascended the
stairs that lead to the roofs of the Public Audience Hall and the arsenals. Ladders were then set up for them against the roof of the audience hall where Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh and al-Fath b. Sahl held sessions. (From there) al-Musta‘in appeared to the people. He was wearing a black garment covered by the Prophet’s cloak and he carried the scepter (al-qā‘id).<sup>203</sup> He spoke to the people and pleaded with them, asking them, for the sake of the cloak’s (original) owner, to leave, for he (that is, al-Musta‘in) was safe and sound and was not under any distress on account of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh. They asked him to ride out with them away from the palace of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh, as they were not certain of his safety. He informed them that he was about to transfer to the residence of his maternal aunt Umm Habib, the daughter of al-Rashid, and that he would leave after his quarters were prepared and after plans to move the treasury, the arsenals and all his belongings from the palace of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh were completed. Most of the people departed, and the Baghdadis calmed down.

When the populace of Baghdad continued to behave as they did, gathering time after time and addressing Ibn Ṭāhir in vituperative language, he sent word to the men of the city police to requisition every camel, mule and donkey that they could lay hold of so he could use them for moving. They say that he wanted to make for al-Madā‘in, but a group of notables (mashā‘yikh) from al-Ḥarbiyyah<sup>204</sup> and the suburbs all gathered at his door to apologize to him and to ask him to forgive them their offenses. They pointed out that those deeds were committed by the rabble and the riffraff on account of the grievous conditions they had to contend with and the poverty that had afflicted them. According to reports, he responded in a beautiful way, speaking kindly to them, forgiving them, and then praising them. He ordered them to approach their youth and their riffraff and to restrain them, and he agreed to set aside his departure. He then wrote to the city police to stop requisitioning the animals.

In the early days of Dhu al-Ḥijjah (December 24, 865 – January 21, 866), al-Musta‘in moved from the palace of Muḥammad b.

---

<sup>203</sup> Simply as a symbol of authority. See Qalqashandī, Șubh, III, 468.
<sup>204</sup> For this quarter of Baghdad, see Le Strange, Baghdad, 122–135, and Lassner, Topography.
"Abdallah, and he rode to the residence of Rizq al-Khādim in al-Ruṣafah. On his way, he passed by the palace of 'Ali b. al-Mu'tasim, who came out and asked him to stay. Instead, al-Musta'in asked 'Ali to ride along. When he reached the house of Rizq al-Khādim, he took up residence there. He reportedly arrived in the evening, and at that time ordered ten dinārs for each horseman and five for each footman. Ibn Ṭāhir rode in al-Musta'in's train carrying the lance of authority in front of him, and the commanders followed behind. It was also reported that the evening al-Musta'in moved to the residence of Rizq, Muhammad b. 'Abdallah stayed with al-Musta'in for one third of the night and then departed. As for Waṣif and Bughā, they stayed until the morning and then left for their own residences.

The morning following the night al-Musta'in moved from the house of Ibn Ṭāhir, the populace gathered at al-Ruṣafah, and the commanders and the Banū Ḥāshim were ordered to go to Ibn Ṭāhir. They were to greet him and ride with him to al-Ruṣafah if he went there. They marched to him, and by late morning Ibn Ṭāhir rode (out) at the head of all his commanders in military formation surrounded by archers on foot. When he left his house, he waited for the people and then scolded them, swearing that he intended no evil against the Commander of the Faithful, may God strengthen him, nor against any of his supporters, nor any of the populace. He only wanted to improve their condition and ensure that they would continue to be favored. Moreover, he complained that he had no knowledge of the things they accused him of. Ibn Ṭāhir continued until he had the populace in tears. One of those present prayed for him, and he then crossed the bridge. Upon coming to al-Musta'in he sent for the neighbors and the notables of the western suburbs. He spoke to them reproachfully and then apologized for the news that had reached them. Waṣif and Bughā sent someone to circumambulate the gates of Baghdad with the news and appointed Šāliḥ b. Waṣif over the Shammāsiyyah Gate.

It was reported that al-Musta'in did not care to move from Muhammad's palace, but he did so because the people sailed in skiffs carrying fire hurlers, intending to fire at Ibn Ṭāhir's balcony.
when they found it difficult to open the gate on Friday.

It was also reported that a group, including Kanjūr, stood at the Shammāsiyyah Gate on behalf of Abū ʿAḥmad and requested that Ibn Ṭāhir talk to him. The latter wrote to Waṣīf about these people and asked him to inform al-Mustaʿin so that the Caliph might issue orders as he saw fit. Al-Mustaʿin responded by giving him full charge of the matter and instructed him to proceed as he saw fit.


Saʿīd b. Ḥumayd (reported that) Ahmad b. Isrāʿīl, al-Ḥasan b. Makhład and ʿUbaydallāh b. Yahyā met privately with Ibn Ṭāhir and persisted in beguiling him (yaftulānahu bi-l-dhurwah wa-l-ghārib)207 and counselling him to conclude peace. Whenever (other) people were with him, they would launch conversation opposing peace, whereupon he would frown at them and turn aside. But whenever these three came he would receive them and hold consultations with them.

It was reported that one of them said he told Saʿīd b. Ḥamīd one day that Ibn Ṭāhir must have been filled with treason from the very beginning. But he responded, "I wish it were so. No, by God, I think it was only after his men were routed from al-Madāʾin and al-Anbār that the group wrote to him and he responded to them in earnest."

Ahmad b. Yahyā al-Nahwī, who was the tutor of Ibn Ṭāhir's sons (reported that) Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh was sincere in his support of al-Mustaʿin until he was dissuaded by ʿUbaydallāh b. Yahyā b. Khāqān, who told him, "May God prolong your life. Indeed, the one you support and on whose behalf you strive is the most sacrilegious of people and the most deviant in observance, for he had given orders to Waṣīf and Bughā to kill you. They didn't act, as they were appalled. And if you doubt what I have described, ask and you will be told. As to his obvious sacrilegious behavior, during the prayers at Samarrā he never pronounced the basmalah208 aloud; he only said it aloud when he came to you, in order to appease you and to

---

207. Lane, Lexicon, I, 2244, col. 2.
208. That is to say: bism Allāh al-Rahmān al-Rahīm, "In the name of God the Merciful and the Compassionate."
persuade you to cease from supporting your benefactor, your in-law [ṣuhr], and the youth that you reared." When he was told more of the same, Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh responded, "May God defeat the one who is no good for this world nor for the hereafter." During that session, the first one to attempt to dissuade Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh from supporting al-Musta'in was Ḥabīb b. Yaḥyā. Only then, did Ḥabīb b. Yaḥyā gain the support of Āḥmad b. Isrā'il and al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad, and they did not desist with Ibn Ṭāhir until they succeeded in changing his mind in regards to his support for al-Musta'in.

On the day of the Adha feast this year (January 2, 866), al-Musta'in led the Adha prayers on the island facing Ibn Ṭāhir's palace. The Caliph rode out with 'Ubaydallāh b. 'Abdallāh in front of him, carrying Sulaymān's lance. Al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā'il carried the lance of authority (sultān), while Bugha and Waṣīf flanked the Caliph. Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir did not ride along, however, and 'Abdallāh b. Ishāq stayed to pray at al-Ruṣāfah.

On Thursday, Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh rode out to al-Musta'in, who was attended by some jurists and judges. He reportedly told al-Musta'in, "You have previously promised to execute anything I considered proper. I have a document [ruq'ah] in your own handwriting testifying to that." Al-Musta'in responded, "Produce the document!" He brought it. It mentioned peace, but there was no mention in it of deposing (the Caliph). Al-Musta'in then said, "Yes! start with the peace." At this, al-Khalanjī rose and said, "O Commander of the Faithful! He is asking you to shed [takhla'a] the shirt [qamīs] that God has granted you." 'Alī b. Yaḥyā al-Munajjim also spoke and addressed Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh crudely. After that incident, Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh rode out in the middle of Dhu al-Ḥijjah (January 7, 866) to meet al-Musta'in in al-Ruṣāfah, and then he departed with Waṣīf and Bugha. They all went to the Shammasiyyah Gate, where Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh waited on his mount while

---

209. That is, related by marriage, for ṣuhr could be the husband of the sister or the daughter. See Lisān, IV, 471.
210. The 'Id al-Adha, "Sacrificial Feast," falls on the tenth of Dhu al-Ḥijjah, and is the day when those who have made the pilgrimage to Mecca sacrifice at al-Minā. See El², III, 1007.
211. Note the use of khala'a, "to take off the cloak" of authority, to mean "to depose," "to abdicate," etc.
Wasif and Bugha went to the residence of al-Hasan b. al-Afshin. The Mubayyidah and the riffraff came down from the defense wall, and no one was allowed to open the gates; for earlier, a large group left [the city] for the camp of Abū Aḥmad where they bought what they wanted. When those whom we previously mentioned left for the Shammāsiyyah Gate, an announcement was made that the followers of Abū Aḥmad were not to trade with any of the Baghdadis; they were thus forbidden to sell (to them).

A large red canopy (madrāb) had been erected for Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh at the Shammāsiyyah Gate. Ibn Ẓahir was accompanied by Bundār al-Tabarī, Abū al-Sanā, some two hundred cavalry and a like number of infantry. Abū Aḥmad drew close to the canopy in a fast skiff. The latter then disembarked and entered the canopy with Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh. The troops accompanying each of them stood aside while Ibn Ẓahir and Abū Aḥmad negotiated for a long time. Following this they exited, and Ibn Ẓahir departed for his palace in a fast skiff. As soon as he disembarked, he rode off to al-Mustaʿīn to tell him what transpired between himself and Abū Aḥmad. He stayed with the Caliph until afternoon and then departed. It was reported that when Ibn Ẓahir left Abū Aḥmad, he left him with the impression that al-Mustaʿīn was to pay [the army] fifty thousand dinārs and set aside a revenue of thirty thousand dinārs a year for them. Moreover, he was to reside in Baghdad until enough money to pay the troops had been collected. Furthermore, he was to appoint Bughā as governor of Mecca, Medina, and the Hijāz, and Wasīf as governor of al-Jabal and the neighboring regions. One third of the revenue was to be given to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh and the Baghdad army, while the remaining two thirds were for the mawlās and the Turks. When Aḥmad b. Isrāʾīl came to al-Muʿtazz, the latter reportedly appointed him in charge of the postal service and he left with the understanding that he would [later] be appointed as wazīr. Īsā b. Farrukhānshāh was given charge of the bureau of taxation, Abū Nūḥ was entrusted with the privy seal and signatures (tawqī').

Thus they divided the administrative tasks among themselves.

When the pouch of the pilgrimage season (kharīṭat al-mawsim)
arrived safely at Baghdad it was sent to Abū Aḥmad. Ibn Ṭāhir reportedly rode out to al-Musta‘īn on the sixteenth of Dhū‘ al-Ḥijjah, 251 (January 8, 866). He did so to negotiate the (Caliph’s) deposition. He held discussions with the latter, but refused [to step aside], thinking that Bughā and Waṣif were with him. When they revealed the truth to him, al-Musta‘īn responded, “Here is my neck, the sword and the throat.” When Ibn Ṭāhir saw that al-Musta‘īn refused, he left. Thereafter, al-Musta‘īn sent ‘Alī b. Yaḥyā al-Munajjim and some of his [the Caliph’s] trustworthy associates to Ibn Ṭāhir saying, “Tell him ‘Fear the Lord. I came to you so that you would defend me. If you do not wish to do so, just let me be.’” Ibn Ṭāhir retorted, “I will sit in my home, but, whether you like it or not, you have to abdicate.”

‘Alī b. Yaḥyā reported that Ibn Ṭāhir told him “Tell him [al-Musta‘īn], ‘If you take off the [cloak of authority], by God, there is no harm in that. For it is already shredded beyond repair. You will give up nothing by doing this.’” When al-Musta‘īn realized his weakness and the betrayal of his supporters, he agreed to abdicate.

On Thursday, the eighteenth of Dhu al-Ḥijjah (January 10, 866), Ibn Ṭāhir sent Ibn al-Kurdiyyah, that is, Muhammad b. Ibrāhīm b. Ja‘far al-Asghar b. al-Mansūr, al-Khalanjī, Mūsā b. Ṣāliḥ b. Shaykh, Abū Sa‘īd al-Anṣāri, Aḥmad b. Isrā‘īl and Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Munajjim to Ibn Ahmad’s camp. They were to deliver Muḥammad’s letter to him; the letter concerned the things al-Musta‘īn requested when he was urged to voluntarily abdicate. They delivered the message and Abū Aḥmad granted whatever he had requested. The response came in the form of a letter indicating that al-Musta‘īn was being granted an estate (yuqṭa‘), that hence he would reside in the city of the Prophet (i.e., Medina), and that he would only be allowed to move back and forth between Mecca and Medina. Al-Musta‘īn agreed to those conditions, but he would not be fully convinced until Ibn al-Kurdiyyah took his request to al-Mu‘tazz, discussed it with him and then obtained al-Mu‘tazz’s written approval, signed by his own hand. Al-Musta‘īn thus sent Ibn al-Kurdiyyah to him.

213. The fact that the pouch was sent to him is an acknowledgement of his authority.
214. The text reads lā buḍḍa laka min khaḷ‘ihā, “You have no alternative but to take off the cloak,” referring to the Prophet’s cloak as an insignia of the caliphate. See n. 211, above.
The Events of the Year 251

The reported reason why al-Mustā’īn decided to abdicate [is as follows]. Waṣīf, Bughā and Ibn Ṭāhīr held discussions with al-Mustā’īn and advised him to abdicate, but he answered them harshly. Waṣīf then said to him, "You ordered us to kill Bāghar and that led us to this. You also urged us to kill Utāmīsh and said that Muḥammad is unreliable." They kept on frightening him and beguiling him. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh said, "You also told me that our affairs were not going to be set right until we were rid of those two." When he saw that they spoke as one, he acknowledged their request for him to abdicate, and he stipulated in writing his conditions that were incumbent upon them. This was on the nineteenth of Dhū al-Ḥiijjah (January 11, 866). On Saturday, the twentieth of Dhū al-Ḥiijjah (January 12, 866), Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh rode to al-Ruṣāfāh with all the judges and the jurists. He brought group after group of them to al-Mustā’īn to witness that the former had entrusted Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhīr with his affairs. Following that, he brought in the doormen and the servants, and the jewels of the caliphate were taken from him. He stayed with him for part of the night, and the next morning the populace spread all kinds of alarming news.

Ibn Ṭāhīr sent for his commanders; each was to bring ten of his leading men. When they came to him he had them enter and then raised their hopes with promises saying, "I did what I did for your good and safety and to avoid further bloodshed." He then commissioned a delegation to go to al-Mu’tazz with the conditions that he had set for al-Mustā’īn, for himself, and for his commanders. Al-Mu’tazz was to sign (the document) in his own hand. Following that, Ibn Ṭāhīr sent them off to al-Mu’tazz. When they arrived, they obtained his signature, securing everything requested by al-Mustā’īn and Ibn Ṭāhīr. The delegates acted as witnesses to his approval of all that. Al-Mu’tazz, in turn, bestowed cloaks of honor upon them and gave them swords as gifts, but they left without any monetary rewards or personal favors. He sent along with them a group of his own men to secure al-Mustā’īn’s allegiance to him, but

216. That is, Waṣīf and Bughā.
217. This date is uncertain, for the tenth day before the end of Dhū al-Ḥiijjah was not a Saturday.
he gave no orders concerning the (loyalist) army. The mother, sister and family of al-Musta'in were returned to him after they had been searched and some of their belongings that were with Sa'id b. Šāliḥ had been confiscated. Having left al-Mu'tazz, the emissaries entered Baghdad on Thursday, the third of Muḥarram, 252 (January 24, 866).

It was reported that when the emissaries of al-Mu'tazz passed al-Shammāsiyyah, Ibn Sajjādah said, “I fear the Baghdadis. Let al-Musta'in be brought to al-Shammāsiyyah or to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh's palace. He can render the Caliphal Oath to al-Mu'tazz there and formally abdicate, giving up the cloak and the scepter.”

In Rabi' I, 251 (April 2–May 1, 865), the one called al-Kawkabī rebelled in Qazwīn and Zanjan. He conquered those places and expelled the Ṭāhirids. Al-Kawkabī’s full name is al-Ḥusayn b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il b. Muḥammad b. Ismā'il al-Arqat b. Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Tālib.

In this year the Banū 'Uqayl cut the Jiddah Road and were fought by Ja'far in Shāshāt, where three hundred Meccans were killed. It was one of the Banū 'Uqayl who said:

You have two garments and my mother is nude
cast me your cloak! You son of an adulteress!

When the Banū 'Uqayl did what they did, prices rose in Mecca and the bedouins attacked the villages.

In this year, Ismā'il b. Yūsuf b. Ibrāhīm b. 'Abdallāh b. al-Ḥasan b. 'Alī b. Abī Tālib rebelled in Mecca, forcing Ja'far b. al-Faḍl b. 'Īsā b. Mūsā, the governor, to flee. Ismā'il b. Yūsuf looted Ja'far's residence as well as the residences of the government's men, killing the troops and a group of Meccans. He also seized the funds that had been brought for repairing the water fountain, the gold kept at the Ka'bah, and the gold, silver, perfumes and coverings of the Ka'bah which were in the city treasure house. He also confiscated some two hundred thousand dinars from the people, opened Mecca to looting, and burned some of it during Rabi' I of this year (April 2–May 1, 865).[218] Fifty days later he left the city and went to Medina where 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā'il, its governor, had gone into hiding. But Ismā'il returned to Mecca and laid seige to it until its population began to die from starvation and thirst. Prices reached as high as one

[218. See Fāsi, Akhār Makkah, II, 195.]
dirham for three /facebook\text{āwāq} of bread and four dirhams for a /facebook\text{ratl} of meat; the price of a drink of water reached three dirhams. After inflicting all kinds of calamities on the populace of Mecca, he left for Jiddah fifty-seven days later. He withheld food from the people there, seized the possessions of the merchants and boat owners, and carried off wheat and mace from the Yemen to Mecca. The boats from the Red Sea arrived later. On the day of ʻArafāt (January 1,866), Ismā‘īl b. Yūsuf came to the place of assembly (al-mawqif). At the place of assembly were Muḥammad b. Ḥāmid b. ʻĪsā b. al-Manṣūr, known as Ka‘b al-Baqar, and ʻĪsā b. Muḥammad al-Makhzūmī, commander of the Mecca garrison. Both had been sent by al-Mu‘tazz to the city. He engaged them in combat, causing the death of some eleven hundred pilgrims. Subjected to robbery, the people fled to Mecca and did not assemble at ʻArafāt during the night or day; however, Ismā‘īl and his followers did assemble there before returning to Jiddah and looting everything there.

220. See n. 64, above.
The Caliphate of al-Muʿtazz
Among the events taking place, al-Musta'in, Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Mu'tasim, abdicated and rendered the oath of allegiance to al-Mu'tazz, Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Mutawakkil b. Muhammad al-Mu'tasim. Prayers were said for al-Mu'tazz from the pulpits of both Friday mosques at Baghdad, the one on the East Side and the one on the West Side. This took place on Friday, the fourth of Muharram, 252 (January 25, 866). In addition, the oath of allegiance was obtained from the troops who were in Baghdad that day.

Ibn Tahir reportedly came to al-Mustain along with Sa'id b. Humayd when the latter had written a document guaranteeing the Caliph's safety. Ibn Tahir then said to him, "O Commander of the Faithful! Sa'id has set down the conditions [for your safety] in unambiguous language. May we read the document for you to hear?" Al-Musta'in then said, "Never mind that, why don't you disregard it, Abū al-'Abbās? Those people know God no more than you do. You gave assurances before they did, and you know what has happened." Muhammad did not reply.

When al-Musta'in swore allegiance to al-Mu'tazz, he did so in Baghdad. The act was witnessed by the Banū Hāshim, the judges, the jurists and the commanders. He then moved from the place where he stayed at al-Ruşāfah to the palace of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl in al-
Mukharrim. That is, he moved there together with his family, his children, and his servant girls. They were all housed there under the supervision of Sa‘īd b. Rajā’ al-Ḥidārī and his men. The signet, the cloak and the scepter were taken from al-Musta‘īn, who sent along the following message with ʿUbaydallāh b. ʿAbdallāh:

Now, Praise the Lord, the One Who sees that benefits [niʿam] are granted through His mercy, and Who rightly guides [men] to express gratitude to Him through His benevolence. God’s prayers and salutations be upon Muhammad, His servant and Messenger, unto whom He granted all the virtues that were distributed to all the previous Messengers, and Who, in turn, passed Muhammad’s inheritance to whomever He selected for succeeding him. My letter to the Commander of the Faithful, now that God has established his rule, is: “I had received the inheritance of the Messenger of God from the one who had it, and now I hand it over to the Commander of the Faithful with ʿUbaydallāh b. ʿAbdallāh, the mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful and his servant.”

Al-Musta‘īn was not allowed to go to Mecca, so he chose to take up residence at al-Baṣrāh. Sa‘īd b. Ḥumayd (reported that) Muḥammad b. Mūsā b. Shākir exclaimed, “Al-Baṣrāh is ridden with plagues. Why do you choose to live there?” Al-Musta‘īn then retorted, “Which is more plague-ridden, al-Baṣrāh or abdication?”

It was also mentioned that Qurb, Qabīḥah’s slave girl, brought a message to al-Musta‘īn from al-Muʿtazz. In it the latter asked him to give up three of al-Mutawakkil’s slave girls that he (al-Musta‘īn) had married. He consented and gave the girls the freedom to choose for themselves. Al-Musta‘īn had also withheld two (caliphal) rings; one was called al-Burj, the other al-Jabal. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh then sent Qurb, the intimate of al-Muʿtazz, and a group of people over to him. Al-Musta‘īn gave them the rings, and they returned to Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh who, in turn, sent them on to al-Muʿtazz.

---

221. For the palace of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl on the East Side of Baghdad which later became the caliphal residence, see Lassner, Topography, 85f.
222. Qabīḥah was the mother of al-Muʿtazz.
223. For the history of this ring, see Bayhaqi, Maḥāsin, 470–471.
On the sixth of Muḥarram (January 27, 866), more than two hundred boats laden with all kinds of merchandize and large numbers of sheep arrived at Baghdad. Al-Musta‘īn was sent to Wāsiṭ with Muḥammad b. Muṣṭafā b. Sayṣal and Ibn Abī Ḥafṣah, along with four hundred cavalry and infantry. Following that, ‘Īsā b. Far-rukhānshāh and Qurb came to Ibn Ṭāhir and told him that Aḥmad b. Muḥammad had withheld a ruby (yāqūt) belonging to the caliphal jewels. Ibn Ṭāhir then sent al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl who retrieved it. It was a beautiful ruby, four fingers long, four wide. He (Ahmad) had already written his name on it. It was given to Qurb who, in turn, took it to al-Mu‘ṭazz.

Al-Mu‘ṭazz made Aḥmad b. Isrā‘īl his wazīr and granted him cloaks of honor and also placed a crown on his head. On the twelfth of Muḥarram (February 2, 866), Abū Aḥmad headed back to Sāmarrā accompanied by Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh and al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh was given five cloaks of honor and a sword, and then returned from al-Rūdhabār.

One of the poets composed the following about al-Musta‘īn’s deposition:

Aḥmad b. Muḥammad had been deposed from the caliphate and his successor will either be killed or deposed.

The rule of his ancestors will vanish without seeing anyone who rules and enjoys it.

O Banū ‘Abbās! Your way of dealing with the death of your servants is now a beaten track.

You’ve mended your earthly world but shredded your life so much beyond repair.

One of the Baghdadis recited:

I see that you are afraid of separation.
But the Imām has been expelled and deposed.

On his account the world used to be smiling for he was the spring for those who sought a spring.
Don't you ever deny fate's upsets and vicissitudes
for fate separates those who were brought together.

He wore the caliphate and lovingly undertook
conducting all of the Muslim's affairs.

But the hand of fate afflicted him by dealing him
a war when he was as far as he could be from war.

The Turks broke away from him in mutiny
leaving him frightened after he had been full of courage.

He attacked them and they him as
brave hands and heads were covered with the same blood.

Destiny had moved him from the highest ranks
to settle in Wāsiṭ feeling he could not return.

They took him by surprise, beguiled him and betrayed him
and left him to keep to his bed perpetually asleep.

They encircled Baghdad from all its sides
and overcame what used to be impregnable.

Had he faced wars by himself
clad in his shields as he met them head on,

Facing brave men with his own brave [men]
in order to kill anyone who intended to fight,

He would have been beyond reach of fate's vicissitudes;
he would have been impregnable to the assault of the traitors.

But he refused the advice and reproof of the compassionate,
and obeyed, instead, the orders of traitors.

Authority can only be wielded by a ruler
who does not lay waste to genuine advice.
He kept on betraying himself by fooling himself until he was betrayed from his rule.

Ibn Tāhir sold his religion for an allegiance that had made the imām impregnable.

He disowned the caliphate and the subjects and fed himself, thus disowning the religion of Muḥammad’s God.

May he drink a bitter cup on that account, and let him become a follower of those who follow him.

Muḥammad b. Marwān b. Abī al-Janūb b. Marwān recited the following when al-Musta‘īn was deposed and went to Wāsiṭ:

\[
\text{Al-Mu‘tazz is now in charge of all affairs while al-Musta‘īn has gone [back] to his old self.}
\]

He already knew that he was not cut out for rule. It was yours all along, but he fooled himself.

The real ruler is the one who grasps rule and clasps it, and now rule is brought to you, having been grasped from him.

The caliphate did not agree with him, it was like a free wife given away in a temporary marriage mut‘-ah.\textsuperscript{224}

How hateful it was for the people to offer allegiance to him! How beautiful it was for them to say he’s been deposed.

Would that a ship had pushed him till the end of the world [qāf]. I’d have sacrificed myself for the sailor pushing him off.

How many a king controlled the affairs of men before you; burdened with your load, he would have been crippled.

\textsuperscript{224. For this kind of temporary marriage, see Schacht, Introduction, 163.}
With you, people see affluence after distress; 
God always grants a respite after crisis.

May God protect you, O King! from all evil 
as He has protected us from distress by your aid.

My praise for you has never disappeared [dā‘ā] nor has 
your patronage [ištīnā’];
I, thank God, have found my patron.

Give me back the estate [dāy‘ah] in Najd that was seized 
from me;
it is the likes of you who grant estates to the likes of me.

If you were to give me back its revenue, O imām of justice, 
God would let me cut off the noses of those who envy me.

He also said in praise of al-Mu‘tazz after the deposition of 
al-Musta‘īn:

The world has returned to its original state, 
and God has gladdened us with its fortunes.

A world which God has relieved through you 
of the terrible horrors it once held.

It had been ruled by an ignorant ruler, 
and the world will never be right for ignorant ones.

The world had been locked by him, 
until you became the key to its locks.

What you have succeeded to, in spite of him, 
has been returned to its favored state.

A caliphate you were well deserving of, 
God preferred you with its [ceremonial] garb.
God returned him to his original place
and returned it [i.e., the caliphate] to its former state.

It was not the first borrowed thing
that was returned by force to its owner.

By God, if he were to rule over a village
he would not be fit to rule any of it.

He inserted a shaking hand into the rule,
but soon pulled it out after inserting it.

God has exchanged him with a real master for us,
one who calmed the world after it had been badly shaken.

The community had been given this one for that
as if it had been living in the times of its false prophet.

He shouldered rule and its responsibilities
and shouldered war and its burdens as well.

What frustrated the dreams of the enemies
was your charge with horsemen and heroes.

You muster a cavalry that was always successful,
no cavalry could replicate its deeds.

Concerning the deposition of al-Musta‘īn, al-Walid b. ‘Ubayd al-
Buḥturi recited the following in the praise of al-Mu‘tazz:

Hasn’t he come to take command, and the darkness of the night
has been dispersed and life has been eased!

With shame we have returned the borrowed [one]
to its owners, and truth has been restored by its master.

I am amazed by this life; its misfortunes are debilitating.
But life is nothing other than misfortunes and miracles,
When the arrogant [man] hopes to be uncontested concerning the bonds of the crown, or [hopes] that the turban will be placed on his head.\textsuperscript{225}

How a usurper claimed the right of the caliphate while the relatives of the Prophet have more right than he to his inheritance.\textsuperscript{226}

The eastern pulpit cried when above it there bellowed an ox revealing its dewlaps at the people.

Sluggish, but constantly by the side of the food, watching the table, ready to pounce on it.

Once stuffed with whatever food he could find, he cared little if the star of royalty shone or not.

The waiter who comes early to report [what he heard] you find him slim on praise, fat on blame.

He bounded into an affair he couldn't control—at times he flirted with it, other times he fought it.

Don't you see how truth has finally been established and the fruits of injustice finished with completely?

Once al-Mu'tazz billah marched out he couldn't be defeated, as long as al-Mu'tazz remained the seeker.

He was forced to cast away the scepter obligingly and the cloak of the Prophet was removed from his shoulders.

I rejoiced when I heard that he was sent quickly towards the East with his caravans and mounts driven by chants.

\textsuperscript{225} The text is difficult: \textit{yuthnā ʿalayhi ʿasāʾib (al-tāj)}, which seems to imply "securing the crown," as \textit{shadd al-tāj} in Qalqashandi, \textit{Ṣubḥ. III.} 480.

\textsuperscript{226} That is, inheritance of the Prophet.
To Kashkar behind the chicken for he was
not capable of fiercely attacking anything but the chicken.

The fluffy beard of the cloth washer
does not bring good to anyone equal in rank to him.

Ibn Khallâd\textsuperscript{227} becomes his choice poet
and is considered courageous while he writes foolishness.

I swear by the sacred valley with all the sacred things
in its low lands and high mountains,\textsuperscript{228}

That al-Mu’tazz has urged the community of Aḥmad\textsuperscript{229}
unto paths that lead to the clear highway of truth.

He rescued God’s religion after its identifiable features
had been erased in us and its stars had set.

And he embraced the shining lights of rule
until it all gathered unto him from east and west.

Abū al-Sāj Dīwdād b. Dīwdast came to Baghdad on the twenty-third of Muḥarram, 252 (February 13, 866). Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh then appointed him to supervise all the land irrigated by the Euphrates. This Abū al-Sāj sent one of his lieutenants by the name of Karbah to al-Anbār, and (he sent) some of his men with another lieutenant to Qaṣr Ibn Hubayrah. Abū al-Sāj also sent al-Ḥarīth b. Asad at the head of five hundred cavalry and infantry to look into his domain and expel the Turks and the Maghāribah, who had been running wild and looting the countryside. On the third of Rabi‘ I (March 24, 866), Abū al-Sāj set out from Baghdad and distributed his men throughout the administrative districts (ṭassūj) of the Euphrates. He camped at Qaṣr Ibn Hubayrah, and from there he went to al-Kūfah.

After having departed from his camp, Abū Aḥmad came to Sāmarrā on the nineteenth of Muḥarram (February 9, 866). Al-

\textsuperscript{227} He is probably the judge al-Ḥasan b.’Abd al-Raḥmān b. Khallād who was also “a poet” according to Ibn al-Nadîm, \textit{Fihrist}, 172.

\textsuperscript{228} That is, Mecca.

\textsuperscript{229} That is, the Prophet Muḥammad.
Muʿtazz bestowed six cloaks of honor upon him and gave him a sword, a golden crown—in the form of a tall hat (qalansuwaḥ)—decorated with precious stones, and two golden sashes with precious stones. He was then given another sword encrusted with precious stones, and he was seated on a throne while the leading men of the commanders were given their cloaks of honor.

In this year, Sharih al-Ḥabashi was killed. The circumstances were as follows. When peace was concluded, he ran off with a group of Abyssinians and cut the highway lying between Wāsiṭ and the area of al-Jabal and al-Ahwāz. He camped at one of the villages belonging to the mother of al-Mutawakkil—it was called Dayrī. Sharih took up residence in its caravanserai together with fifteen men. Once they had eaten and drunk, the villagers pounced on them. They bound them and then carried them off to Mansūr b. Naṣr at Wāsiṭ, who took them to Baghdad. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh, in turn, sent them off to the army camp. When they arrived there Bāyakbāk met Sharih and cut him in half with the sword. He was subsequently hung in public at Khashabat Bābak. His companions were administered from five hundred to one thousand lashes.

During the month of Rabiʿ II 252 (April 21–May 19, 866), ʿUbaydallāh b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān died in Madīnat Abū Jaʿfar. Also in this year, al-Muʿtazz wrote to Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh ordering him to drop the names of Bugḥa and Waṣif and those they had registered from the diwāns. Muḥammad b. Abī ʿAwn, one of Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh’s commanders reportedly discussed killing Bugḥa and Waṣif when Abū Ahmad arrived at Sāmarrā. Ibn Abī ʿAwn promised that he would kill them. When al-Muʿtazz sent a banner to Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh and tied one for Muhammad b. Abī ʿAwn signifying the latter’s authority to rule al-Baṣrah, Yamāmah and al-Ḥarayn, some followers of Bugḥa and Waṣif wrote to them about this, warning them against Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāh.

On Tuesday, the twenty-sixth of Rabiʿ I (April 16, 866), both Bugḥa and Waṣif rode off to Ibn Ṭāhir. Bugḥa spoke, “We have learned, O

---

230. The reading is uncertain.
231. For Bābak, the leader of the Khurramite sect, and the place in Sāmarrā that carried his name, see EI², I, 844. For the quarter of Khashabat Bābak, see Yaʾqūb, Buldān, 259.
232. That is, the Round City.
amīr, of Ibīn Abī 'Awn's contract to kill us. That group has already betrayed us and broken their promises. If, however, they wish to kill us, by God they won't be able to do so." Ibīn Ṭāhir, in turn, swore to them that he knew nothing of the matter. Bughā continued to talk tough, but Waṣīf tried to stop him, saying to Ibīn Ṭāhir, "O amīr, that group has already betrayed us, but we intend to do nothing. We would rather stay put in our residences until someone comes to kill us." The two had come with a group of followers, and now they returned to their residences. They gathered their troops and mawławās, and started to prepare—buying weapons and distributing funds in their neighborhoods until the end of Rabī' I (April 20, 866).

When Qurb arrived, Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh sent his secretary Muhammad b. 'Isā to them. They went with him until they came to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh's palace near the bridge. There they were met by Ja'far al-Kurdi and Ibn Khālid al-Barmakī, each of whom took a bridle (of their horses) and said, "You were brought in to be taken to the army camp, where a group has been preparing to kill you." They turned back and gathered a force, paying each man two dirhams a day, and then stayed at their residences. Waṣīf had already sent his sister Su'ād to al-Mu'ayyad who had grown up in her care (fi hīrihā). She brought out a million dinārs that had been buried at Waṣīf's palace and turned them over to al-Mu'ayyad, and he, in turn, spoke to al-Mu'tazz concerning a pardon for Waṣīf. Al-Mu'tazz responded positively in writing, whereupon Waṣīf pitched his tents by the Shammāsiyyah Gate with the intention of leaving the city. Abū Aḥmad b. al-Mutawakkil spoke for Bughā, and al-Mu'tazz responded in the same fashion for him. However, their situation had worsened while they resided in Baghdad.

The Turks later gathered with al-Mu'tazz and asked him to send for the two of them saying, "They are our elders and our chiefs." He sent them a written invitation with Bāyakbāk who brought the letter, accompanied by some three hundred men. The latter positioned himself at al-Baradān and sent the letter to them on the twenty-third of Ramadān, 252 (October 7, 866), but he also wrote to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh to detain them. They, in turn, sent their secretaries Ahmad b. Śāliḥ and Dulayl b. Ya'qūb to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh to ask for his permission to leave. A Turkish contingent came for them and encamped at the prayer platform (al-
Wilāyat al-Musâlâ). Waṣîf and Bughâ now came out with their children and about four hundred of their horsemen, leaving behind in their residence the immovable property and their households. The people of Baghdad wished them well, and they reciprocated.

Ibn Ṭâhir had already sent Muhammad b. Yahyâ al-Wâthiqî and Bundâr al-Tabarî to the Shammasiyyah and Baradân Gates to detain them. But they went through the Khurâsân Gate without their secretaries even knowing. Muhammad b. 'Abdallâh asked Ahmad and Dulayl, "What have your patrons done?" Ahmad b. Sâlih responded, "I left Waṣîf in his residence." When told (that Waṣîf) had just departed, he responded, "I had no idea." Once at Sâmarrâ, Ahmad b. Isrâ'il came to Waṣîf very early on Sunday, the twentieth of Shawwâl, 252 (November 3, 866), and visited him for a long time. He then departed and did the same with Bughâ. Following that, Ahmad returned to his residence, whereupon the mawlâs gathered and asked him to return the two of them to their ranks. They received an affirmative reply, and he sent for the two of them. When they came, they were returned to the ranks they held before they went to Baghdad. An order was issued for their estates to be returned to them, and they were given the cloaks designating their rank. Al-Mu'tazz then rode to the Public Audience Hall and appointed Bughâ and Waṣîf to their administrative positions. He also reappointed Mûsâ b. Bughâ the Elder over the postal bureau and the latter consented.

In Ramaḍân of this year (September 15 – October 14, 866), a skirmish took place between the Baghdad garrison and the troops of Muhammad b. 'Abdallâh. Commanding the garrison at the time was Ibn al-Khalil. The circumstances leading to that were reportedly as follows. Concerning the harvest of 252, al-Mu'tazz wrote to Muhammad b. 'Abdallâh asking him to sell the harvest of Bâdurâyâ, Qâṭrâbbl, Maskîn and other districts at an average rate of thirty-five dinârs for two kûrrs. Al-Mu'tazz had given the post of Baghdad to a man by the name of Şâlih b. al-Haytham. The latter's brother had been in the service of Utâmish during the reign of al-

---

233. Originally, any prayer platform would be called a musâlâ. Here it seems to have become associated with a specific place. See Le Strange, Baghdad, 204. For similar places in other cities, such as Damascus, see Dhahabi, Duwal, 105, n.4.

234. That is, they went east instead of north.

235. A kurr is about 2.9 tons. See Hinz, Masse, 43.
Mutawakkil. This Šāliḥ rose in rank during the days of al-Musta‘īn. He was one of those who took up residence at Sāmarrā, although he was from the Mukharrim section (of Baghdad). His father had started out as a weaver before he took to selling spun wool. His brother joined him at a later time, when his fortunes rose.

While at Baghdad, Šāliḥ received a letter which was to be read to the Baghdadi commanders such as ‘Attâb b. ‘Attâb, Muḥammad b. Yahyā al-Wāthiqi, Muḥammad b. Harthamah, Muḥammad b. Rajā’, Shu‘ayb b. ‘Ujayf and their like. After he read it to them, they went to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh and told him about it. Orders were then issued by Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, and Šāliḥ b. al-Haytham was brought to him. Muḥammad asked him, “What made you do that without my knowledge?” He threatened Šāliḥ and cursed him. Then he said to the commanders, “Wait until I decide what to do, and then issue orders concerning my intentions.” They left with that understanding. Following that, on the tenth of Ramaḍān (September 24, 866), the recruits, the Shākirīyyah, and the reserves gathered at Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh’s door asking for their allotments. He responded by telling them about the Caliph’s letter, which had come to him in answer to one sent by him concerning the service pay of the Baghdad garrison. The Caliph’s letter went, “If you recruited men to serve you, pay them. If you recruited them for us, we have no need of them.”

When this letter reached him, the army had been rioting for a full day. He therefore took out two thousand dīnārs and paid them, whereupon they quieted down. But on the eleventh of Ramaḍān (September 25, 866), they gathered, carrying banners and drums, and pitched their various tents by the Ḥarb Gate and the Shammāsiyyah Gate among others. They also built huts of matting and cane, and spent the night there. Their numbers increased as the morning came. Ibn Tahir, on the other hand, had some of his elite troops stay the night at his palace, giving each of them one dirham. But as the morning came, they went out and joined the rioters. Ibn Tahir then called in the troops who had come with him from Khurāsān and gave them two months pay. He also paid the veteran Baghdadi troops two dīnārs for a cavalryman and one for a footsoldier, thereby filling his house with men.

On Friday, a great number of rioters gathered by the Ḥarb Gate bearing arms, banners and drums. They were under the command of
a man called 'Abdān b. al-Muwaffaq—his patronymic was Abū al-Qāsim. He was one of the regular fighters (athbāt) in the command of 'Ubaydallāh b. Yaḥyā b. Khāqān; however, his listings were in the payroll register of Waṣīf. Abū al-Qāsim had come to Baghdad, sold one of his residences for one hundred thousand dinārs and set out for Sāmarrā. When the Shākirīyyah rebelled at the Public Gate he went along with them. As a result, Saʿīd al-Ḥājib gave him five hundred lashes and imprisoned him for a long time before finally releasing him. Abū al-Qāsim then came to Baghdad during the disturbances in the reign of al-Mustaʿīn, and he attracted these rioters, urging them to demand their allotments and their back pay. He also assured them that he would lead them and manage their affairs. They consented to that, and on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday he spent about thirty dinārs to supply them with food. Those who were self-sufficient had no need for his largesse for they went home (to eat), as usual. On Friday, a large number of them gathered and decided to go into the city (madinah) and make their way to the imām in order to prevent him from leading the prayer and from calling for allegiance to al-Muʿtazz.236 They marched in military formation through the quarter of the Ḥarb Gate until they reached the city gate in the Damascus Gate quarter. At every street they passed, this Abū al-Qāsim placed a group of rioters consisting of lancers and swordsmen. They were to secure the streets lest someone emerge from them to offer combat. When he reached the city, a large group of people entered along with the rioters and went to the area between the two gates and the arcades.237 They stood there for a while and then dispatched about three hundred armed men to the court (raḥbah) surrounding the city's Friday Mosque.238 A large throng of the general populace went with them and positioned themselves in the court. They came to the imām Jaʿfar b. al-ʿAbbās and told him that they did not object to his praying, but they would object if he

---

236. This is the imām of the Friday Mosque in the Round City. See Le Strange, *Baghdad*, 33–37.
237. Arcades is a translation of ṭaqāt. For the exact location, see the diagram showing the doors and the ṭaqāt in Creswell, *Architecture*, 171, and Lassner, *Topography*, Appendix E, 207–213.
238. It is not clear whether raḥbah here refers to that by the gate, near the small ṭaqāt, or to an open space around the mosque itself.
called for allegiance to al-Mu'tazz. Ja'far responded that he was sick and would not be able to lead the prayer, whereupon they left him alone and made for the street of Asad b. Marzuban, filling the quarter (shārī') connecting it with Darb al-Raqîq.239

The rioters appointed a group to take charge of the gate to the street of Sulaymân b. Abî Ja'far and then made for the (upper) bridge by way of the blacksmiths' quarter240. Ibn Tahir dispatched a number of his commanders against them, including al-Husayn b. Ismâ'il, al-'Abbâs b. Qârin, 'Ali b. Jahshîyâr and 'Abdallâh b. al-Afshîn. They rode at the head of a group of cavalry. The commanders negotiated with them and pushed them back gently, but the Shâkirîyyah and the troops charged them, whereby several of Ibn Tahir's commanders were wounded. One of Ibn Qârin's pack animals was taken together with Ibn Jahshîyâr and a Syrian, one of 'Ubaydallâh b. Yahyâ's recruits by the name of Sa'd al-Ḍabâbî. They also wounded one known as Abû al-Sânâ, and forced the troops all the way back from the bridge, to the gate of 'Amr b. Mas'âdah's residence.241

When those on the East Side saw that their friends had managed to repulse Ibn Tahir's men from the bridge, they exclaimed "God is Great!" and charged, in an attempt to cross over to their friends. Ibn Tahir had already prepared a boat with thorns and reeds to be set on fire and pushed in the direction of the upper bridge. He carried out his plan, and the boat burned most of the bridge's pontoons, cutting it off to traffic. The boat then made its way to the other bridge,242 but the rioters on the West Side caught up with it and sank it; then they put out the fire that had spread to the bridge's pontoons.

A large group then crossed from the East Side to the West, pushed Ibn Tahir's men from the vaulted passageway (sâbât) of 'Amr b. Mas'âdah's residence, and stormed the gate of Ibn Tahir's palace. The Shâkirîyyah and the troops went to the passageway of 'Amr b. Mas'âdah's residence, and by noon some ten men from both factions were dead. A group of rabble and common people went to the assem-

---

239. For the location of these activities along the main road near the Damascus Gate, northeast of the Round City, see Ya'qûbî, Buldân, 248–249.
240. This is probably in the Ḥarbiyyah quarter, going northwest from the Round City.
241. For this residence on the West Side, see Lassner, Topography, 70.
242. That is, to the other two bridges spanning the Tigris.
ably hall (majlis), known as the Police Headquarters (Majlis al-Shurtah), on the western side of the bridge.\textsuperscript{243} From there, they went to a building known as the Bayt al-Rufū', and broke the door down. They looted whatever they found in it—it was filled with all sorts of wares. They fought over it, leaving nothing behind of its rich contents. Ibn Ṭāhir burned both bridges when he saw that the (Baghdad) garrison were winning against his men, and he ordered that the shops lining Sulaymān Street in the Bridge Gate section be set aflame right and left. That was done, and in the shops the fire consumed great amounts of merchandise belonging to the merchants. The walls of the Police Headquarters collapsed as well. When the shops were set ablaze, the fire separated the two factions. The garrison then proclaimed aloud “God is Great!” and left for their camp at the Ḥarb Gate, while al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl and some of the Shākirīyyah commanders went to the merchants and the populace. They chastized them for supporting the troops saying, “Those [troops] have fought for their bread and, thus, could beexcused. But you, being the neighbors of the amīr, those obligated to support him, why did you do what you did? You aided the Shākirīyyah against him and threw rocks when the amīr was preoccupied.” Muḥammad b. Abī ‘Awn then went to them and told them similar things before going to Ibn Ṭāhir. The rioting troops remained in their positions and camps, but a contingent of regular warriors joined Ibn Ṭāhir. He now gathered all of his followers, positioning some of them in his palace, others in the thoroughfare connecting his palace to the bridge. He assembled them in battle formation, fearing that the Baghdad garrison might attack him again.

But that did not happen. Instead, one day two of the rioters asked him for safe conduct and came to (see) him—this was when Ibn Ṭāhir was afraid they would return—and the two informed him of the breaches in their companions’ defences. He ordered that these men be given two hundred dinārs and then commanded al-Shāh b. Mikāl and al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl to march out to the Harb Gate after the second evening prayer, at the head of a group of their men. They were to speak gently to Abū al-Qāsim, the commander, and Ibn al-Khalil, who was a companion of Muḥammad b. Abī ‘Awn. When

\textsuperscript{243} That is, at the west end of the main bridge. See Le Strange, \textit{Baghdad}, map facing p. 107, n. 7.
they got there, Abū al-Qāsim and Ibn al-Khalil had already gone off in opposite directions. They feared for their safety after the two men had gone to Ibn Tāhīr together with a third man called al-Qummī. Moreover, they were afraid because the Shākiriyah had broken away from them. Al-Shāh and al-Husayn pursued them until they left the Anbār Gate, en route to the Baṭāṭiyah Bridge. Ibn al-Khalil reportedly met them before they reached the Baṭāṭiyah Bridge and called out to them and their companions, asking them to identify themselves. Then they called out to him. As soon as he recognized them, he attacked, wounding several of them. But they managed to surround him, and, as he was in the middle of the group, he was stabbed by one of al-Shāh’s men who threw him to the ground. ‘Alī b. Jahshiyār ripped him open with a sword while he was on the ground. He was carried off on a mule, hardly breathing, and died before reaching Ibn Tāhīr. Al-Shāh ordered that his body be cast away in an enclosure by the entrance corridor to the palace until it could be transported to the East Side.

As for ‘Abdān b. al-Muwaffaq, he had already gone to his residence and from there into hiding. The authorities were guided to him, and he was subsequently captured and carried off to Ibn Tāhīr. The Shākiriyah, who were at the Ḥarb Gate, dispersed and went to their homes. ‘Abdān b. al-Muwaffaq was shackled with two chains weighing thirty ṡā‘lās. Later, al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl came to the prison in the Public Audience Hall where ‘Abdān was being held. Al-Ḥusayn sat on a chair and summoned him. He asked if he was an agent for someone or if he did what he did on his own. ‘Abdān responded that he was no one’s agent; he was one of the Shākiriyah who simply demanded his bread. When al-Husayn returned to Ibn Tāhīr and informed him of this, Tāhīr b. Muḥammad went out with his brother to the interior wing of the Public Audience Hall. The two sat down and called in those commanders who slept in the building, as well as al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl and al-Shāh b. Mikāl. They also sent for ‘Abdān who was carried in by two men. Al-Ḥusayn was the one who addressed him saying, “You are the leader of the people.” To which he retorted, “No! I am only one of them, seeking what they sought.” Al-Husayn cursed him, and Ḥarb b. Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ḥarb called out, “You lie! You are the leader of the people and we

244. For this bridge, see Lassner, *Topography*, 103.
have seen you mobilize them at the Ḥarb Gate, at the city\textsuperscript{245} and at the Damascus Gate.” He continued to insist, “I was not their leader but, rather, one of them, seeking what they sought.” Al-Ḥusayn cursed him again and gave orders that he be slapped; and he was. He also ordered that he be dragged by his fetters; and he was, until he was taken out of the house and cursed by everyone who followed him.

Then Ṭāhir b. Muḥammad went to his father and told him the story; whereupon ‘Abdān was put on a mule and taken to jail, while Ibn al-Khalil was put in a skiff and transported to the East Side, where he was hung and displayed to the public. Orders were also given that ‘Abdān be stripped and administered a hundred lashes with the knotted part of the whip. Al-Ḥusayn, wishing to kill him, said to Muḥammad b. Naṣr, “How about giving him fifty lashes on his waist?” To which Muḥammad answered, “This is a glorious month,\textsuperscript{246} and it is illegal for you to do that to him.” Therefore, Muḥammad ordered that he be put on display while still alive, and this was done. He was carried on a ladder until he was hung on the bridge, to which he was tied with ropes.\textsuperscript{247} ‘Abdān asked for water after he was displayed, but al-Ḥusayn prohibited him from getting any. Al-Ḥusayn was told that if he drank water he would die, to which he replied, “Then let him drink.” He was given a drink and left to hang until mid-afternoon. After that, he was imprisoned for two days; he died on the third with the coming of noon. Orders were given that he be hung and displayed on the same wooden plank where Ibn al-Khalil had been displayed before him. The body of Ibn al-Khalil was given to his faithful followers, and it was buried.

In Rajab, 252 (July 18-August 16, 866) al-Muṭazz removed his brother as heir to the throne.

\textit{The Reason For Removing Him}

The reason for that, according to information we received, is the following. Al-‘Ala’ b. Aḥmad, the governor of Arminiyah, sent Ibrāhīm

\begin{footnotes}
\item[245] That is, the Round City.
\item[246] That is, \textit{Ramadān}, the month of fasting.
\item[247] Bridges, as main gathering points, seem to have been favorite places for displaying criminals and enemies of the State.
\end{footnotes}
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al-Mu‘ayyad five thousand dinārs to settle his affairs; however, Ibn Farrukhānshāh sent for it and took it. Al-Mu‘ayyad therefore incited the Turks against ‘Īsā b. Farrukhānshāh, but the Maghāribah resisted them. It was then that al-Mu‘tazz sent for his two brothers, al-Mu‘ayyad and Abū Ahmad, and imprisoned them at the Jawsaq Palace. He put al-Mu‘ayyad in chains and held him in a small room. At the same time, he paid generous bonuses to the Turks and the Maghāribah. He also imprisoned Kanjūr, al-Mu‘ayyad’s chamberlain (ḥājib), and beat him fifty times with a rod. In addition, he administered five hundred lashes to Abū al-Hawl, Kanjūr’s deputy, and had him paraded around on a camel. Afterwards, he expressed satisfaction with him, as well as Kanjūr, and Abū al-Hawl returned to his residence.

It was also reported that he had his brother al-Mu‘ayyad beaten forty times with a rod. He was deposed later, in Sāmarrā on Wednesday, the seventh of Rajab (July 24, 866),248 and in Baghdad on Sunday, the eleventh of Rajab (July 28, 866). This was after al-Mu‘ayyad signed a document in which he abdicated his position. On the twenty-fourth of Rajab—some say the twenty-sixth—(August 10 or 12, 866),249 Ibrāhīm b. Ja‘far, who was known as al-Mu‘ayyad, died.

The Cause of His Death

One of the Turkish women reportedly came to Muḥammad b. Rāshid al-Maghribī and told him that the Turks were seeking to get Ibrāhīm al-Mu‘ayyad out of prison. Muḥammad b. Rāshid then rode off to al-Mu‘tazz and told him about that, and he, in turn, summoned Mūsā b. Bughā and interrogated him. The latter denied it, saying, “O Commander of the Faithful! They wanted to free Abū Aḥmad b. al-Mutawakkil on account of their feelings for him during the past conflict. As for al-Mu‘ayyad, [what you have been told is] not true.” But on Thursday, the twenty-second of Rajab (August 8, 866), the Caliph summoned the judges, jurists, witnesses and nota-

248. The text says Friday; read Wednesday. The next date is correctly given as Sunday, the eleventh of Rajab.
249. This must be the date when his death was announced publicly, for the next paragraph details the account of his death, which was certified by witnesses, judges, etc., on the twenty-second of Rajab (August 8, 866).
bles, and the dead body of Ibrāhīm al-Mu’ayyad was brought to them containing no mark or wound. 250 He was taken on a donkey to his mother, Umm 251 Ishāq; she was also the mother of Abū Ahmad. Carried along with him were the shrouds and the funerary perfumes (ḥunūt). Orders were subsequently given to have him buried. Abū Aḥmad was then transferred to the cell where al-Mu’ayyad had been.

It was reported that al-Mu’ayyad was inserted into a fur wrapper whose sides were (tightly) wrapped until he died (of suffocation). Other reports say that he was seated on an ice block and his body was covered with ice until he died from cold. 252

In Shawwāl of this year (October 15–November 12, 866), Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Musta‘īn was killed.

The Report of His Death

It was reported as follows. When al-Mu’tazz intended to kill al-Musta‘īn, he wrote to Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir urging him to execute the former caliph and to dispatch his lieutenant’s men to the outlying districts (ṭassūj). Later, a letter was also sent to Ibn Ṭāhir with a servant, called Simā, in which the amīr was ordered to write to Manṣūr b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, the governor of Wāsiṭ. The latter was to deliver al-Musta‘īn to Ibn Ṭāhir. Al-Musta‘īn was residing there in the charge of Ibn Abī Khumaysah, Ibn al-Muẓaffar b. Saysal, Manṣūr b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah, and the postal chief. Muḥammad wrote demanding that al-Musta‘īn be delivered to him. It was said that he subsequently dispatched Aḥmad b. Tūlūn the Turk at the head of a contingent of troops. The latter brought al-Musta‘īn out (of Wāsiṭ) on the twenty-fourth of Ramaḍān (October 8, 866), and he arrived with him at al-Qāṭūl 253 on the third of Shawwāl (October 17, 866).

250. The intention is to have legal witnesses to the fact that al-Mu’ayyad was not murdered, and that he did not die a violent death, but rather of natural causes.

251. The text is ummihi; it should be read umm as in Ms. C.

252. Such fanciful methods of execution seem to be the work of the chroniclers themselves. See, e.g., the account of al-Mu’tazz’s death, p. 1711 of the Leiden edition, and the account of the death of ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Alī, the uncle of Caliph al-Manṣūr (754–775) in Lassner, Shaping, 41.

253. See n. 92, above, for the location of Qāṭūl.
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It was also said that Ahmad b. Tulūn was in charge of al-Musta‘īn, and that it was Sa‘īd b. Šālih who was sent to bring al-Musta‘īn from al-Qāṭūl after he had been brought there by Ibn Tulūn. Still other reports are at variance with these two. Some said that it was Sa‘īd who killed him at al-Qāṭūl, and that on the day after he killed him he brought in al-Musta‘īn’s slave girls and told them, “Look at your master. He is dead.” Others said that Sa‘īd brought him to Sāmarrā together with Ibn Tulūn, and the former went with him into his residence where he tortured him to death. Another report said that he sailed with al-Musta‘īn in a skiff filled with supplies until they reached the mouth of the Dujayl. At that point, he tied a stone to al-Musta‘īn’s feet and cast him into the water.

A Christian physician by the name of Faḍlān, who was in the company of al-Musta‘īn, reported the following. I was with him when he was carried off. He was taken along the Sāmarrā Road, and when he reached a waterway he saw a procession, a group of people carrying banners. He told me, “Go ahead and take a look. If it is Sa‘īd I’m finished.” I went up to the lead men of the force and asked them. They said, “It’s Sa‘īd the gate keeper (bawwāb).” I then went back and reported this. He was in a domed litter accompanied by a woman. He said, “We are God’s, and unto Him we shall return. By God, I am dead.” I lagged a bit behind him. The lead men of the force met him and charged. Forcing him and his nurse down, they struck him with their swords. He and his nurse screamed, and then he was killed. At that point the troops departed. I reached the spot, and behold, he was dead in his drawers with no head. The woman was also dead with several stab wounds. We ourselves cast the river’s earth upon them until we covered them, and [then we] departed. When his head was brought to al-Mu‘tazz, the latter was playing chess. Told that it was the head of the deposed, he said, “Put it there.” Then he finished playing. Following that, the Caliph had it brought to him. He looked at it and, subsequently, ordered that it be buried. Fifty thousand dirhams were given to Sa‘īd, and he was put in charge of the security (ma‘ūnah) of al-Baṣrah.

One of al-Musta‘īn’s pages (ghulām) said that when Sa‘īd received al-Musta‘īn, he had him dismount and ordered one of the Turks to

254. The text is manzilan lahu; it should be read manzilihi, as suggested by Ms. O.
Al-Musta‘īn asked Sa‘îd to grant him time until he finished two prostrations in prayer. Sa‘îd asked the Turk to demand the cloak that al-Musta‘īn was wearing before killing him; this he did. When al-Musta‘īn bowed down for the second prostration, the Turk killed him and severed his head. Sa‘îd then had him buried and hid the place of his burial.


You are the one who holds the world fast if it ever trembles.
You can, indeed, hold both the world and religion if they tremble.

Your subjects, may God keep you for them,
    wish that with your justice you’ll be preserved for generations.

You had to deal with a very difficult war,
    but your arrows were of hardwood, not of willow.

You were never the first head to be betrayed by a tail,
    for you were indeed the head, and the traitor [was] the tail.

If he had succeeded in accomplishing what he plotted,
    both Islam and royalty would have vanished.

He wanted to destroy our world and to devastate it.
    But it was religion that he really wanted to destroy.

As soon as he rose in rebellion with his impudence,
    he found the imām of justice having already risen against him.

He shot an arrow at you but missed with it.
    Whoever shoots his arrow at you, it boomerangs at him.

You had seen to it that he was well respected,
    while he was ungrateful and disrespectful [to you].
No brother had ever done so much good for another brother as you did.
   We were always there to witness that.

You were always busy and drained by a war.
   While he was playing, and you never charged him with any responsibility.

O generous one, he was always given without asking.
   And you, generous one, always gave him with no requests.

You were more faithful to him than his own father;
   you were indeed a father in faithfulness, not a brother.

His seat was always close to the throne of rule,
   but it was he who pulled away after he had been close.

He had several benefits that are now gone; he also had
   an open house and was visited, but now he is secluded.

He is now isolated, after his processions,
   twenty thousand men in groups were seen behind him.

Where are the ranks who used to rise with him
   every time he came and every time he went?

Now he is humiliated after his transgressions and insolence
   just like a big fish whose water has suddenly dried.

You have set every neck free from his allegiance.
   no preacher would call his name if he ever preaches.

You gave him a title after elevating his rank,
   as if God has exchanged his command for his title.

You dressed him with robes of glory, but he neglected it;
   it was disregarded and thus was seized from him.
How many a time you shared with him your benefits,  
but God now removed him from grace because of his deeds.

He is just like a lamp which had lots of fire,  
but now you've left for him no light or flame.

The land grants [qaṭīʾah] to Ibrāhīm had already  
severed [qaṭaʿat]  
the rope of peace and cut the rope of love.

You, generous one, never took anybody to task  
without succeeding in defeating them and exposing their faults.

I build my fame on praising the Banū ʿAbbās,  
and praise of the Banū ʿAbbās is now my name.

The fear of God, Banū ʿAbbās, has become your way of life,  
so much that Quraysh learn to conduct themselves from you.

Anyone who is brief in regard to your praise,  
I am not with him, Thank God, nor am I brief.

Abū ʿAbd al-Rahmān al-Fazārī,255 reported what a young man  
from Sāmarrā had told him about the deeds of the people of Sāmarrā  
concerning the Turks: When the caliphate came to al-Muʿtazz and  
God gave him command over His servants wherever and whoever  
they might be, namely, the east, the west, on land, on sea, nomads  
or urban dwellers, in the plains or on mountains, he was displeased  
with the ill-advised choices of the people of Baghdad and with their  
rebellion. Al-Muʿtazz thus called for a group of clear-minded people  
who were good tempered, kind in their presumptions, sound in na-  
ture, of perceptive instincts and perfect for rational council. The  
Commander of the Faithful then said:

Won't you look at this band of lowly and foolish barbarians  
whose hypocrisy has become famous and whose aspirations  
have become base. There is no way to restrain them; they have

---

255. The reading is uncertain. It could also be read as Qānī, Fānī, etc., or probably Qāyīnī.
no discernment or scrutiny. Their rush into folly has extolled their evil deeds; thus, they became a minority, in spite of their numbers, and are always cursed whenever they are mentioned. I have come to know that the only man who could lead armies, buttress the frontiers, carry out decisions and oversee the provinces is a man who has four main traits; namely, firmness with which to scrutinize things when the truth behind them is revealed, wisdom that restrains him from rashness and from taking risks without being certain of their results, courage that does not fail in times of repeated crises, and a generosity that regards as trivial the expenditure of great sums of money when they are called for. But if he were to have only three traits they ought to be: quick recompense for good deeds, harsh punishment against transgressors, and readiness for ominous events, for one is never certain of calamities. If there were to be only two traits, let them be: complete openness with subjects and fair judgement between the weak and the strong. If it were but a single trait, let that be: readiness for administering affairs, that is, never postponing a day’s work until the next day. What do you think of that? I have already chosen men from among my mawlas possessing these traits. Each of them is known for his strength of will and determination. Each among them does not become arrogant in good time nor befuddled at times of crises. He is never frightened by what comes up behind him nor by that which confronts him, for he is like a crawling insect at the root of a bitter tree—it endures attacks and bites to kill. He is always prepared and his vengeance is stiff. He meets an army with a heart stronger than iron although his company may be few. He seeks revenge and cannot be subdued by armies. He is courageous and relentlessly (muqtaḍib al-anfās) endures hardships. He is never dissuaded from what he seeks, and there is no refuge for anyone escaping from him. He is ready of mind and highly esteemed. He is not self-indulgent nor is he incapacitated by turns of fortune. When he rules, he does so completely, and when he promises, he always fulfills his promises. In war he is a genuine hero, and when he threatens he lives up to his

256. That is, short of breath; as if to say he can hold his breath as a sign of endurance.
threats. His shadow is indeed protective of all those who seek it, and his courage distinguishes him in battle. He overcomes those who vie with him, vanquishes those who rise against him, and is superior to anyone competing with him. He energizes those who are faithful to him.

One of those present stood up and said:

God has gathered for you, O Commander of the Faithful, all the virtues of eloquence [adab] and He has singled you out with the legacy of prophecy. He handed you the reigns of wisdom and favored you with the gift of dignity. He has granted you excessive discernment and He has enlightened your heart with the most precious knowledge and the clearest mind. Eloquence definitely flows from your heart. By God, your acumen, O Commander of the Faithful, is evident even to those who are not as gifted as you are with magnificent graces, immense generosity, praise-worthy virtues and nobility of character. Wisdom expresses itself in your speech. What you have perceived is the truth itself, and what you have comprehended is the right that has no blemish. By God, you, O Commander of the Faithful, are unique in character and the hero of your time. There is no description that does justice to your virtues and there are no adjectives that can delineate the particulars of the excellence of your nobility.

Following that, the Commander of the Faithful appointed his own supporters over the various districts, entrusting them completely with the fates of their enemies, and giving them the power of life and death over those who oppose them. When word reached Muhammad b. `Abdallah about the orders the Caliph issued regarding the districts, he composed the following letter (to the incumbents):

Indeed, whimsical error has led you astray from solid thinking, and thus entangled you in falsehood. If you had allowed the truth to rule you, you would have become discerning and would have been saved from ignorance and confusion. But now, if you lean towards peace, you will save yourselves and improve your lot. The Commander of the Faithful will forgive the crimes of the offenders amongst you, and he will single you out for the choicest benefits. But if you continue in your error and hope for
your worst deeds, then you invite God's war and that of His Prophet. All excuses made on your behalf will be disregarded, for you will be associated with the wrong cause. Moreover, when battles begin, the flame of war is set ablaze, and war must run its full course. Swords begin to dismember their protectors, lances begin to quench their thirst, combat is called for, and heroes lock in battle. War exposes its jaws and teeth and totally unmask its face. The necks of horses become tangled, and people of courage charge against those of folly. You will indeed come to know at that time which of the two factions finds it easy to challenge death, and which is mightier in enduring battle. Excuses will not be allowed, nor will ransom be accepted. Those who warn (of this) will surely be forgiven, and soon the unjust will surely know what change of fortune they will experience.  

When Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh’s letter reached the Turks they wrote to him the following answer:

The embodiment of falsehood has revealed itself to you in the garb of truth. Thus folly appeared to you as wisdom, just like a mirage that appears as water to the thirsty but vanishes as one reaches it. And if you were to refocus the sharpness of your mind, it would enlighten you with rational proof and dispell the elements of suspicion. But you have strayed from the path of truth and swung back on account of your confusion, a confusion which has taken your full attention and obsessed you. You are just like one who has been enchanted by devils, perpetually wandering over the face of the earth. By your life, Muḥammad, your threats and admonishing have reached us, but they did not bring us any closer to you nor did they estrange us from you. For a close investigation has revealed your inner secrets and found you as if you were satisfied with the guidance of lightening—if it lights [in the sky] you would march, otherwise you would stay put. By your life, if your folly is to persist, and if you delve in the mist of hope, you will find yourself in total darkness, and we would come to you with troops, the likes of which you have never seen before. Be sure that you will come out of this totally

humiliated and downtrodden. If we had not been waiting for the letter of the Commander of the Faithful concerning what we should do with the likes of you, we would have brought death with our whips, piercing flesh even with our dull swords. We would have turned everything upside down and made your dwellings a refuge for ostriches, serpents and owls. We addressed you very clearly to make sure you heard us if you had any life in you. If you pay heed, you will be saved, but if you persist in your folly we will humiliate you, and you will soon be sorry.

On the first day of Rajab, 252 (July 18, 866), a battle took place between the Maghāribah and the Turks. For on that day the Maghāribah gathered under Muḥammad b. Rāshid and Naṣr b. Saʿīd. They overcame the Turks at the Jawṣaq Palace and expelled them saying, "Every day you kill one caliph, depose another and kill a wazīr." The Turks had previously attacked ʿĪsā b. Farrukhānshāh, had him beaten and took his pack animals. When the Maghāribah expelled the Turks from the Jawṣaq Palace and overcame them at the treasury, they seized fifty mounts from them. The Turks then gathered and sent for their compatriots in al-Karkh and al-Dūr. Following that, they encountered the Maghāribah and killed one of them; however, the Maghāribah succeeded in capturing the killer. The Shākiriyyah and the rabble now assisted them and they wore down the Turks who capitulated to the Maghāribah. A reconciliation between the two groups was arranged by Jaʿfar b. ʿAbd al-Wāḥid. They both agreed that they would not initiate any further action, and wherever a man of one group was to be stationed, a man from the other was to be with him. They kept that arrangement for a while, but when the Turks heard that the Maghāribah were gathering to Muḥammad b. Rāshid and Naṣr b. Saʿīd, they gathered under the command of Bāyakbāk saying, "We seek only those two heads and no one will say anything if we succeed in getting them." The morning of that day on which the Turks intended to attack them, Muḥammad b. Rāshid and Naṣr b. Saʿīd had already met and were on

258. Balaghnā bi-l-siyāṭ al-niyāt, to be understood with the sense of bi-lā sawt wa-lā nawt, which is said of something done voluntarily "without [the threat of] a whip or hanging."

259. See n. 39, above.
their way home when they heard that Bâyakbâk had come to the resi-
dence of Ibn Râشîd. Muḥammad b. Râşîd and Naṣr b. Saʿîd then
changed course and went, instead, to the residence of Muḥammad b.
'Azzûn, where they intended to wait until the Turks calmed down.
Following that, they would go back to their troops. A man betrayed
them to Bâyakbâk and guided him to them. It was said that Ibn
'Azzûn sent the man who guided Bâyakbâk and the Turks to them.
The Turks seized them and then killed them. When al-Muʿtaţz
heard about that he wanted to kill Ibn 'Azzûn. But words were spo-
ken on his behalf, and the Caliph exiled him to Baghdad.

In that year, Muḥammad b. 'Aли b. Khalaf al-ʿAṯṭâr was carried off
from Baghdad to Sâmarrâ together with a group of Ṭâlibîds, includ-
Ḥâsân b. 'Aли b. Abî Ṭâlib and Abû Hâshîm Dâwûd b. al-Qâsim al-
Jaʿfârî. That took place on the eighth of Shaʿbân, 252 (August 24,
866).

The Reason For Their Being Carried Off

The reason was reportedly as follows. A Ṭâlibîd man came up
with a group of regular troops and Shakiriyyah from Baghdad to the
Kûfah district. Al-Kûfah and its environs were then in the charge of
Abû al-Sâj, who was in Baghdad negotiating with Ibn Ṭâhir about his
departure to al-Rayy.260 When Ibn Ṭâhir learned of the Ṭâlibîd
coming from Baghdad to the Kûfah district, he ordered Abû al-Sâj to
return to his post in al-Kûfah. Abû al-Sâj, on the other hand, sent his
deputy 'Abd al-Râḥmân ahead to al-Kûfah while he met with Abû
Hâshîm al-Jaʿfârî and a group of Ṭâlibîds in Baghdad. They spoke to
him concerning the Ṭâlibîd who was going to al-Kûfah. Abû al-Sâj
then responded, “Tell him to stay away from me, and I won’t be
looking for him.” But when 'Abd al-Râḥmân, Abû al-Sâj’s deputy,
reached al-Kûfah and entered the city, he was pelted with stones all
the way until he reached the mosque, for people thought that he had
come to fight the ‘Alîd. When he explained to them (that he was) not
intending to (and that he was) simply a man sent to fight the bedou-
ins, they left him alone, and he took up his position at al-Kûfah.

260. See above, pp. 1656–1657 of the Leiden edition, concerning the appointment
of Abû al-Sâj over the lands irrigated by the Euphrates.
This Abū Ahmad b. Ja'far, the Ṭalibid whom I mentioned among those Ṭalibids carried off to Sāmarrā, had been appointed by al-Mu'tazz over al-Kūfah. This was after Muzāḥim b. Khāqān defeated the 'Alid whom he was sent there to fight. All this is mentioned above in the appropriate place. This Abū Ahmad reportedly devastated the regions around al-Kūfah, causing harm to people while seizing their possessions and estates. When Abū al-Sājī's deputy positioned himself in al-Kūfah, he treated Abū Ahmad, the 'Alid, gently and gained his confidence. Having befriended each other, they shared food and drink. 'Abd al-Rahmān then strolled with him in one of the gardens of al-Kūfah, after alerting his companions (of his secret intentions). He put the 'Alid in shackles, and at night he carried him off in chains on the government service mules (bighāl al-dukhūl).\footnote{The text literally means “mules of the intimate service” and may be a reference to their status in having access to the caliphal complex. For such provisions of security, see Lassner, Shaping, 184f.} He brought him to Baghdad on the first day of Rabī' II (April 21, 866). When he reached Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh, the latter imprisoned Abū Ahmad at his palace. Later, he took a surety from him and set him free. Letters from al-Ḥasan b. Zayd were found in the possession of one of the nephews of Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Khalaf al-'Aṭtār, and when the news about this reached al-Mu'tazz, he sent word ordering him to be sent off with 'Attāb b. 'Attāb. These Ṭalibids were all transported together with fifty horsemen, including this Abū Ahmad, Abū Ḥāshim al-Ja'fari, and 'Alī b. 'Ubaydallāh b. 'Abdallāh b. Ḥasan b. Ja'far b. Ḥasan b. Ḥasan b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib.

People talked about 'Alī b. 'Ubaydallāh, saying that he had asked for permission to go to his residence in Sāmarrā and that it had been granted to him. It was also said that Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh granted him one thousand dirhams, simply because he complained to him of his difficult straits. As for Abū Ḥāshim, he bid farewell to his family. It was said that he was carried away because Ibn al-Kurdiyyah and 'Abdallāh b. Dāwūd b. 'Īsā b. Mūsā had told al-Mu'tazz, “If you write to Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh asking him to send away Dāwūd b. al-Qāsim, he would not do so. Write to him that you wish to dispatch Dāwūd to Tabaristān to set its affairs in order. Once he comes to you, you can do with him as you see fit.” He was carried away as a result, and no harm ever befell him.
In this year, al-Hasan b. Abī al-Shawārib was appointed as chief judge. Regarding the other legal appointments Muḥammad b. ʿImrān al-Ḍabbi, al-Muʿtazz’s teacher, had nominated on the latter’s behalf eight men, including al-Khalanjī and al-Khaṣṣāf. He had already written their letters of appointment, but Shafiʿ b. al-Khādim, Muḥammad b. ʿIbrāhīm b. al-Kurdiyyah, and ‘Abd al-Samīʿ b. Hārūn b. Sulaymān b. Abī Jaʿfar stopped him, saying, “These men are followers of Ibn Abī Duʿād and are among the Rāfīḍites, Qadarites, Zaydites or Jahmites.” Al-Muʿtazz then ordered that they be expelled and exiled to Baghdad. The populace then attacked al-Khaṣṣāf, and the others fled to Baghdad on their own. Al-Ḍabbi was discharged from all his duties except for the court of appeals (maẓālim).

The estimated payments required by the Turks, the Maghāribah and the Shākiriyah for this year reportedly reached two hundred million dinārs, which was equal to two years of taxes (kharāj) collected for the entire realm.

In this year, Abū al-Sāj was sent to the Mecca Road. The reason for that was said to have been as follows. When Waṣīf was restored to his rank, he was given the signet of al-Muʿtazz. He then wrote to Abū al-Sāj ordering him to go out to the Mecca Road and repair it. He also sent him the needed funds. Abū al-Sāj had begun preparations when Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh wrote asking that he be put in charge of the Mecca Road. This request was approved, and Abū al-Sāj was sent on the latter’s behalf.

On the first of Dhu al-Ḥijjah (December 13, 866), ʿĪsā b. al-Shaykh b. al-Salil was appointed governor of al-Ramlah, whereupon he sent his deputy Abū al-Maghraʿ to the city. It was also said that he paid Bughā forty thousand dinārs for the position, or that he guaranteed that sum to him.

In this year, Waṣīf wrote to ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz b. Abī Dulaf, appointing him over al-Jabal. He also sent him the cloaks signifying his ap-

---

263. For these sects, see Nawbakhtī, Firaq, and Shahrastānī, Milal. The reference here is that they are all followers of unorthodox sects, and thus would be dangerous if appointed to official positions. It is also a reflection on al-Ḍabbi himself.
264. The text is damanaha, that is, “he vouched” that he would pay that specific sum for it.
pointment, and 'Abd al-'Aziz ruled on his behalf.

In Dhū al-Qa’dah, 252 [November 13–December 17, 866], one of Ayyūb b. Āḥmad’s lieutenants killed Muḥammad b. ‘Amr al-Shārī in Diyār Rabi’ah.

In this year, Kanjūr fell from favor and was imprisoned at the Jawsaq Palace. Later, he was carried away to Baghdad in chains. Finally, he was sent to al-Yamāmah where he was imprisoned.

|[1686] In (this year), Ibn Justān, the leader of the Daylamites, raided al-Rayy together with Āḥmad b. Īsā al-'Ālawi and al-Ḥasan b. Āḥmad al-Kawkābī. They killed many people and took others as prisoners. ‘Abd al-'Azīz was in the city at the time of their attack, but he managed to flee. The people of al-Rayy made peace with them by paying two million dirhams. When Ibn Justān departed from the city, Ibn 'Azīz returned and captured Āḥmad b. Īsā, whom he sent off to Nāyṣābūr.

Also in this year, Ismā’īl b. Yūṣuf the Ṭālibīd, who did what he did in Mecca, died.

Leading the pilgrimage this year on behalf of al-Mu'tazz was Āḥmad b. Īsā b. al-Manṣūr.
Among these events, al-Mu'tazz appointed Mūsā b. Bughā the Elder governor of al-Jabal on the fourth day of Rajab (July 10, 867). At the time Bughā had an army of Turks and equivalent troops that amounted to 2,443 men. Mufliḥ commanded 1,530 of them.

In this year, on the twenty-second of Rajab (July 28, 867), Mufliḥ, who commanded the vanguard of Mūsā b. Bughā's army, attacked 'Abd al-'Aziz b. Abi Dulaf, who led a force consisting of some twenty thousand vagabonds and others. The battle between them was said to have taken place about one mil (two km) outside Hamadhān. Mufliḥ succeeded in pushing 'Abd al-'Aziz back for some three farsakhs (eighteen km), killing some of the latter's men and taking others captive. Mufliḥ and his men returned unharmed; he dispatched the news of this victory that very day. During the month of Ramaḍān (September 4–October 3, 867), Mufliḥ arrayed his cavalry against al-Karaj266 where he set up two ambushes. 'Abd al-'Aziz, on his part, sent an army of four thousand men, whereupon Mufliḥ engaged them in combat. Mufliḥ's ambushers attacked 'Abd al-'Aziz's men, and the latter fled. Mufliḥ's men put them to the

---

265. One mil is about two kilometers. See Hinz, Masse, 63.
266. In the area of Jibāl, western modern Iran. See Le Strange, Lands, 197–8, where it is simply identified as Karaj Abū Dulaf.
sword, killing and taking prisoners from among them. ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, who came to the aid of his followers, fled along with them. He then left al-Karaj and went to one of his fortresses nearby, called Dīz, where he fortified himself. As for Mufliḥ, he went into al-Karaj and took a group from the family of Abū Dulaf as prisoners. He also seized some of their womenfolk, including, it is said, the mother of ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, and had them bound. Mufliḥ reportedly sent seventy loads of heads to Sāmarrā and a great number of banners.

In this year, Mūsā b. Bughā left Sāmarrā for Hamadhān and set up camp there.

Also in this year, al-Mu’tazz bestowed cloaks of honor upon Bughā al-Sharābī and dressed him with a crown and two sashes. He wore the sashes from the time he left (the Caliph’s chambers) until he reached his residence.

On the twenty-sixth of Shawwāl, 253 (October 29, 867), Waṣīf the Turk was killed. The reason for his death was reportedly as follows. The Turks, the Farāghinah and Ushrūsānīs rioted, demanding four months of their allotments. Meeting them were Bughā, Waṣīf, Sima al-Sharābī and about a hundred of their followers. Waṣīf addressed them saying, “What do you want?” They responded, “Our allotments.” He then said, “Take dust. Do we have any money?” Bughā said, “Yes. Let’s ask the Commander of the Faithful about this and then negotiate in the residence of Ashnās. But let those who do not belong to your group depart.” Then they went into the residence of Ashnās, and Sima al-Sharābī left for Sāmarrā. After that, he was followed by Bughā, in order to secure the Caliph’s permission concerning their pay. Waṣīf was left to their mercy, and one of them attacked him twice with a sword while another stabbed him with a knife. Nūshārā b. Ṭājībak, who was one of his officers, then carried Waṣīf to his residence. When Bughā was late in returning, they thought that the Turks were mobilizing against them. Therefore they brought Waṣīf out of Nūshārā’s residence and hit him with axes until they broke his arms and decapitated him. Then they placed his head on top of a stick which was used to stir bread ovens. The common people of Sāmarrā rushed to loot the residence of Waṣīf and those of his sons, but the sons had already returned to defend their houses. Following this episode, al-Mu’tazz entrusted Bughā al-Sharābī with all of Waṣīf’s duties.
On the day of the Fiṭr (Feast) this year (October 4, 867), Bundār al-Ṭabarī was killed.

The Reason For His Death

The circumstances were as follows. A Khārijite (muḥakkim) known as Musāwir b. 'Abd al-Ḥamīd rebelled (ḥakkama) in al-Bawāzīj during the month of Rajab, 253 (July 7–August 5, 876). Al-Muʿtazz dispatched Sātikin against him during the month of Ramaḍān (September 4–October 3, 867). The rebel then headed towards the Khurāsān Road, and Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh was dispatched against him. The latter had entrusted the Khurāsān Road to the armed men of Bundār and Muẓaffar b. Saysal. When they reached the Daskarat al-Malik they took up positions. Bundār reportedly went out to hunt on the last day of Ramaḍān (October 3, 867). He went far in search of his prey, indeed, he passed about a farsakh (six km) beyond the houses of al-Daskarah. While preoccupied with this, he saw two banners being carried by a group of people on their way to the city. He therefore sent some of his men to find out about the banners. They were told by the leader of the group that he was the governor of Karkh Juddān, and that he had heard of a man by the name of Musāwir b. ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd, one of the diḥqāns of al-Bawāzīj, the latter had risen in rebellion and the governor had received word that Musāwir was on his way to Karkh Juddān. Upon hearing that, the governor fled to al-Daskarah to take solace near Bundār and Muẓaffar. Bundār immediately went to Muẓaffar and told him, “The rebel is on his way to Karkh Juddān and will be seeking us next. Let’s go after him first.” Al-Muẓaffar retorted, “It’s evening and we wish to pray the Friday prayer. Moreover, tomorrow is the holiday (‘īd). Once the Fiṭr is over, we will go after him.” Bundār refused (to wait) and set out immediately, hoping to defeat the rebel by himself without Muẓaffar. As for Muẓaffar, he remained at al-

267. In the eastern part of the Jazīrah area. See Le Strange, Lands, 91.
268. The next station on the Khurāsān Road after Nahrawān, northeast of Baghdad. See Le Strange, Lands, 62.
269. Close to the border between Iraq and Iran. See Yāqūt, Muʿjam, IV, 449.
Daskarah. The city itself was eight farsakhs (forty-eight km) from Tell 'Ukbara and the distance between 'Ukbara and the battlefield was four farsakhs (twenty-four km).

Bundar set out to Tell 'Ukbara, reaching it at dusk on the eve of the Fitr (October 3, 867). He fed his animals, and following that he rode off until he overlooked the rebel camp. This was during the night while the people were praying and reading the Qur'an. Some of his followers and associates suggested that he let them sleep without knowing of his presence. But he refused, saying, "Not until I face them and they face me." He then sent out two or three horsemen to bring back information. When they came close to the rebel camp, the latter gave warning, and cried out, "To arms!" Then they mounted their horses and stood for battle waiting for morning, when the battle was waged. Bundar's men were unable to shoot a single arrow, although they were (a force of) about three hundred cavalry and infantry. He therefore arrayed them in a formation consisting of right and left flanks and a rear, while he himself was positioned in the center. Musawir and his followers charged them, but Bundar and his followers stood firm. The rebel then moved away from their camp and their night shelter, in order to entice Bundar and his followers into looting. But Bundar and his followers stayed away from the camp. Following that, the rebels counterattacked with swords and lances; there were about seven hundred of them. Neither party gave way. The rebels resorted to swords and disregarded lances, thus losing some fifty of their men. A similar number of Bundar's men were killed. Then, in one charge, the rebels managed to isolate about a hundred of Bundar's followers. The latter gave battle for a while, but soon all of them were killed. Bundar and his men retreated and the rebels isolated groups of them, one group after another, and then killed them. Bundar continued to flee and was persistently sought. The rebels caught up with him near Tell 'Ukbara, about four farsakhs (twenty-four km) from the battlefield. They killed him and fixed his severed head (to a pole). Only about fifty men, some say a hundred, of Bundar's followers managed to escape by wandering away from the battlefield at a time when the Kharijites were occupied in cutting them in groups. News of Bundar

270. See n. 90, above.
reached Mużaffar—he was still in al-Daskarah. He therefore pulled out from the city and drew closer to Baghdad.

The news of Bundār’s death reached Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh the day after the Fitr. It was said that he did not drink or amuse himself, as he used to do, for he was struck with grief on account of the news of Bundār’s death. Musāwir went immediately to Ḥulwān, where he was met by the populace who fought him. He killed some four hundred persons while they killed a group of the rebel’s followers. Moreover, a group of pilgrims from Khurāsān who were in the city were also killed; they had supported the people of Ḥulwān. Following that, the pilgrims departed.

During the night of the fourteenth of Dhū al-Qa‘dah, 253 [November 15, 867], there was an eclipse of the moon that was either total or almost so.271 Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir reportedly died by the end of the eclipse, having succumbed to ulcers that appeared on his head and throat. The ulcers on his head and throat were reportedly big enough for wicks to be inserted into them. When he died his brother 'Ubaydallāh and his son Ṭāhir vied with one another for who would pray over him. His son finally said the prayers; it was said that this was Ibn Ṭāhir’s wish. Later, a dispute arose between 'Ubaydallāh b. Ṭāhir, the brother of Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh, and the latter’s household retinue. It reached the point of their drawing swords against him, and he was pelted with stones. The rabble, the common people, and the mawlās of İshāq b. İbrāhīm sided with Ṭāhir b. Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir and shouted, “Victory to Ṭāhir [Ṭāhir yā mansūr]!” As for 'Ubaydallāh, he crossed over to his residence on the East Side. The commanders sided with him, for Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh had deputized 'Ubaydallāh in his will. 'Ubaydallāh was to take over (Muḥammad’s) functions. Muḥammad had also written of this to his governors. Following that, al-Mu’tazz sent 'Ubaydallāh robes of honor and the document signifying him to be the governor of Baghdad. The latter was said to have ordered that fifty thousand dirhams be given to the person who brought the robes on behalf of al-Mu’tazz.

The following is a copy of the letter of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh to

271. This eclipse did indeed take place. See Oppolzer, Canon, No. 3206, Julian Day 2038048.
his governors, in which he deputized his brother 'Ubaydallāh to succeed him:

Now, God has decreed death for those of his creatures who still live, as it was for those who are gone. Anyone who has been granted some of God's grace should prepare himself at all times to meet the unavoidable and the inescapable. I write now while I am afflicted by a terrible illness that causes despair to almost overshadow hope. If God were to cure me, it would be because of His might and His usual benevolence. But if I were to be struck with the same fate as those dead and alive, I would deputize 'Ubaydallāh b. 'Abdallāh, the mawlā of the Commander of the Faithful, my brother, and the one trusted to follow my example and to execute what I am entrusted with by the Commander of the Faithful until he receives his orders to act accordingly. You shall obey the commands dispatched to you by 'Ubaydallāh, if God so wills it. Written on Thursday the thirteenth of Dhū al-Qa‘dah [November 14, 867].

In this year, al-Mu’tazz exiled Abū Ahmad b. al-Mutawakkil to Wāsiṭ and then to al-Baṣrah. Later, he was brought to Baghdad and made to reside on the East Side in the palace of Dīnār b. ‘Abdallāh.272

In this year, ‘Ali b. al-Mu’taṣim was also expelled to Wāsiṭ and later brought to Baghdad.

Also in this year, Muzāḥīm b. Khāqān died in Egypt during the month of Dhū al-Ḥijjah (December 2–31, 867).

Leading the pilgrimage this year was 'Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Zaynabi.

In this year, the Muslims raided in the district of Malāṭiyah, under the command of Muḥammad b. Mu‘ādh. They were, however, routed, and Muḥammad b. Mu‘ādh was taken prisoner. This took place in Dhū al-Qa‘dah [November 2–December 1, 867].

In this year, Mūsā b. Bughā met the Ṭālibīd al-Kawkabī in battle, one farsakh (six km) from Qazwin. This was on Monday the last day of Dhū al-Qa‘dah [December 1, 867]. Mūsā routed al-Kawkabī, who

272. For more information on Dīnār b. ‘Abdallāh, see Sourdel, Vizirat, 223, and FHA, 357, 445, 456. The exact location of his residence in East Baghdad can not be determined any further.
then linked up with the Daylamites. Mūsā b. Bughā then entered Qazwīn.

I was told the following by those who witnessed the battle. The Daylamites who were with al-Kawkabi stood in rows when they met Mūsā and his followers, and they held their shields in front of them to avert the arrows of Mūsā’s men. When Mūsā saw that the arrows of his men were ineffective, he ordered that all the oil that he had be poured on the ground on his side of the battlefield. Then he ordered his men to retreat, pretending that they were defeated, which they did. When al-Kawkabi and his followers thought that Mūsā’s men had been routed they took after them. When Mūsā learned that al-Kawkabi’s followers had reached the middle of the oil, he called for torches and a fire was subsequently lit. The flames took to the oil and reached the ground under al-Kawkabi’s men where it incinerated some; the others fled. It was at that point that they were defeated, and Mūsā entered Qazwīn.

In Dhū al-Ḥijjah of this year [December 2–31, 867], Khūṭārmish met Musāwir the Khārijīte in battle in the district of Jalūlā’. He was defeated by Musāwir.
Among those events was the killing of Bughā al-Sharābi.

The Circumstances Leading to His Death

The circumstances were reportedly as follows. Bughā al-Sharābi used to urge al-Muʿtazz to go to Baghdad, but the latter would refuse him that. One day, when Bughā was occupied with the wedding of his daughter Jumʿah to Šāliḥ b. Waṣīf—they were married in the middle of Dhū al-Qaʿdah [November 5, 868]—al-Muʿtazz rode off at night together with Aḥmad b. Isrāʾīl to Karkh Sāmarrā. They were seeking Bāyakbāk and his men because he was estranged from Bughā. The cause of this estrangement was that one day, while they were sharing a drink, the two quarreled and parted ways. As a result, Bāyakbāk was fleeing from, Bughā and hiding from him. Now when al-Muʿtazz and his company reached al-Karkh the people of al-Karkh and al-Dūr gathered around Bāyakbāk and proceeded with al-Muʿtazz to the Jawsaq Palace in Sāmarra. When the news reached Bughā he set out with his pages, who were around five hundred men, and a similar number drawn from his sons, his followers and his officers. He proceeded until reaching the river Nayzak. Later on, he
shifted to other places before arriving at al-Sinn.\textsuperscript{273} He carried with him coins—nineteen pouches of dinārs, and one hundred pouches of dirhams. He had taken the money from his own treasury and that of the government, and he had barely spent any of it when he was killed.

When Bughā heard that al-Muʿtazz had come to al-Karkh with Ahmad b. Isrāʿīl, he reportedly marched with those commanders closest to him to Tell ʿUkbarā’, and from there he went to al-Sinn. His men began to complain to one another about the hardship that they were facing, for they had not moved out with tents nor with anything to keep them warm from the winter cold. While Bughā was in a small tent that he had pitched for himself by the Tigris, Sātikīn came to him and said, “May God favor the amīr. The people in camp have already discussed such and such a thing and I am their messenger to you.” Bughā then asked, “Do they all say what you say?” He answered, “Yes. If you wish, send for them; they will speak as I do.” To this Bughā responded, “Let me look into this tonight; I’ll send word out to you in the morning.” In the darkness of the night, he called for a skiff and sailed away with two of his servants, taking along some of the money. But he took no weapons, not even a knife nor a club. Nor did he inform the people at his camp of this. From the time of Bughā’s absence, al-Muʿtazz slept in his clothes, fully armed. Moreover, he would drink no wine, and he entrusted all of his maids to one man. Bughā reached the bridge in the first third of the night. As the skiff drew near, the men in charge (of the bridge) sent someone to look into the skiff, but the young man turned back as Bughā yelled at him. Bughā escaped into the garden of the Khāqānī\textsuperscript{274} Palace. Having been followed by a group of them, he halted for them and said, “I am Bughā.” Walīd al-Maghribī, who had followed him, then replied, “What’s the matter with you? I am at your service.” Bughā replied, “Take me to the residence of Sāliḥ b. Waṣīf or accompany me to my house so that I can reward you.” Al-Walīd al-Maghribī put him in someone’s care and galloped off to the Jawsaq Palace, where he sought an audience with al-Muʿtazz. When

\textsuperscript{273} He seems to have gone in the direction of Jazīrah, for Sinn was on the postal route from Baghdad to Mosul on the eastern bank of the Tigris. See Le Strange, \textit{Lands}, 125, 90, 91, 133, and Yaʿqūt, \textit{Muʿjam}, III, 268–9.

\textsuperscript{274} For more information, see Yaʿqūt, \textit{Muʿjam}, III, 175; Yaʿqūbī, \textit{Buldān}, 258; and n. 21, above.
it was granted, he said, "My Lord, I have captured Bughā and put someone in charge of him." To which the Caliph exclaimed, "Woe unto you if you don't bring me his head." Walid then returned to those in charge of him and said, "Stand aside, so I can deliver the dispatch to him." When they moved away, he struck him a blow on the forehead, and then the head. Then he went on to his hands, cutting them off. He kept striking Bughā until he felled him and then killed him. He carried Bughā's severed head in the folds of his garment and brought it to al-Mu’tazz, who granted him ten thousand dinārs and a robe of honor. The head was hung first in Sāmarra, then in Baghdad. The Maghāribah, pounced on his corpse and put the torch to it. As for al-Mu’tazz, he sent immediately for Ahmad b. Isrā’īl, al-Ḥasan b. Makhład, and Abū Nūḥ. He invited them into his presence and then told them the news. In Baghdad, ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdallāh b. Tāhir pursued Bughā's sons one after another. They had fled to the city with some people they had trusted, and were now hiding at their homes. Fifteen of his children and followers were reportedly imprisoned in the Gold Palace, and ten at the Maṭbaq Prison.275

It was also said that when Bughā went to Sāmarra on the evening he was seized, he consulted his companions about his trip. He indicated that he would go to the residence of Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf, and at the approach of the holiday ('īd), the troops would enter (the city) while he and Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf and his men would march against the Maghāribah and al-Mu’tazz.

In this year, Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf appointed Dīwdād governor of Diyār Muḍar, Qinnasrin and the ‘Awāṣim.276 This took place in Rabī’ I (February 29–March 29, 868).

In (this year) Bāyakbāk appointed Aḥmad b. Ṭūlūn over Egypt.

In Rabī’ I, 254 (February 29–March 29, 868), Muṣliḥ and Bajūr at-
tacked the people of Qumm\textsuperscript{277} killing a great number of them.

On Monday, the twenty-fifth of Jumādā II (June 21, 868), ‘Alī b. Muḥammad b. ‘Alī b. Mūsā al-Ｒiḍā died. Abū Aḥmad b. al-Mutawakkil prayed over him in the quarter named after Abū Aḥmad,\textsuperscript{278} and he was buried in his own residence.

In Jumādā II [May 28–June 25, 868], Dulaf b. ‘Abd al-‘Azīz b. Abi Dulaf arrived at al-Ahwāz because his father ‘Abd al-‘Azīz had sent word for him to go there and to Jundī Sābūr and Tustar.\textsuperscript{279} Dulaf collected two hundred thousand dinārs in taxes at these places and then departed.

During the month of Ramadan, 254 (August 24–September 22, 868), Nūsharā marched against Musāwir the rebel. Upon encountering Musāwir he routed him, killing a great number of his followers.

Leading the pilgrimage this year was ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl b. al-‘Abbās b. Muḥammad.

\textsuperscript{277} That is, in northwest Iran. See Le Strange, \textit{Lands}, 209, 210, 227, 229.

\textsuperscript{278} For this quarter of Sāmarrā, see Ya‘qūbī, \textit{Buldān}, 260, 261.

\textsuperscript{279} Jundī Sāpūr was a pre-Islamic city in western Iran, known for its hospital and for its intellectual life. Most of the physicians of the early ‘Abbasid caliphs, like the Bakhtishū‘ family, came from this city. See Schoffler, \textit{Akademie}. For its location, as well as that of Tūstar, see Le Strange, \textit{Lands}, 233, 238, 247 and 233–236.
The
Events of the Year

255
(DECEMBER 20, 868—DECEMBER 8, 869)

Among the events taking place were Mufliḥ’s entry into Tabaristān and the battle that he fought with al-Ḥasan b. Zayd the Ṭālibid. In this battle Mufliḥ defeated al-Ḥasan b. Zayd, who then linked up with the Daylamites. Following that, Mufliḥ entered Āmul and burned the residences of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd. Having done that, he set out for al-Daylam in pursuit of al-Ḥasan b. Zayd.

In this year, Ya’qūb b. al-Layth fought a battle with Tawq b. al-Mughallis outside Kirmān. In this battle Ya’qūb took Tawq prisoner. The circumstances of that event were reportedly as follows. ‘Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh b. Shibli wrote to the central authorities asking for the governorship of Kirmān. He had previously served as governor for the Ṭāhirids. He wrote complaining about the weakness of the Ṭāhirids and how little control they exercised over the regions that they were responsible for. Moreover, he mentioned that Ya’qūb b. al-Layth had taken over Sijistān from them, and that he had been lax in sending the tax revenues of Fars to the central authorities. The authorities wrote back, appointing him over Kirmān, but they also wrote to Ya’qūb giving him the same appointment, thus hoping to incite one against the other. Should this have come to pass, the central authorities would only have to supply the victorious of the two, thereby saving the share of the other. For both were
at war with the authorities, and none displayed any obedience. When they did that to the two of them, Ya'qūb b. al-Layth marched from Sijistān seeking Kirmān. But as soon as 'Ali b. al-Ḥusayn received word that Ya'qūb was marching with a large army from Fārs to Kirmān, he dispatched Ṭawq b. al-Mughallis who managed to reach Kirmān before Ya'qūb. Ṭawq entered the city as Ya'qūb approached from Sijistān, one day's journey from Kirmān.

I was told by those who witnessed what went on between the two that Ya'qūb remained in the position that he had established for himself, about a day's journey from Kirmān. He stayed there for about a month or two, spying on Ṭawq, and interrogating anyone who came out of Kirmān towards him. Also, he would not let anyone going to Kirmān pass through his army in this sector. But neither he nor Ṭawq would march against one another. After some time, Ya'qūb gave indications that he left his camp to journey in the direction of Sijistān. He had, in fact, put a day's journey between himself and the camp. When word of his journey reached Ṭawq, he thought that it had become evident to Ya'qūb what it would cost to fight him, and that Ya'qūb had thus conceded Kirmān to him and to 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn. He then put aside the implements of war and started drinking while calling for entertainment. Through all this, Ya'qūb continuously sought information about him. When he learned that Ṭawq had put away the implements of war and had started to drink and entertain himself at his alleged departure, he swung back covering two day's journey in one. As Ṭawq was still drinking and playing about, he only noticed towards the end of daylight that a cloud of dust had risen outside the city of Kirmān in which he was staying. He asked the villagers, "What is this cloud of dust?" He was told, "It is the dust of the villager's cattle returning to their owners." But very soon after that (lam yakun illa kalā walā) Ya'qūb and his men arrived and surrounded him and his followers. When surrounded, Ṭawq's followers thought of protecting their lives. Ya'qūb then ordered his men, "Make room for them." This they did; whereupon, Ṭawq's men fled before them, leaving behind in their camp all that they had with them. Ya'qūb then took Ṭawq as prisoner.

Ibn Ḥammād al-Barbarī (reported that) when 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn dispatched Ṭawq, he sent him off with several coffers. Some of them
contained necklaces and bracelets of honor to be granted to those men who fought valiantly at his side; some contained money to be dispensed as rewards to those of his men who were deserving, while still others contained chains and shackles to bind those men of Ya'qūb who would be seized. When Ya'qūb took Tawq prisoner along with the army commanders accompanying him, he ordered that everything belonging to Tawq and his men was to be confiscated. This included money, household items, animals and weapons. All this was confiscated and assembled before him; the coffers that were brought remained locked. Ya'qūb ordered that some of them be opened, and when it was done, he discovered the chains and shackles. He then addressed Tawq, "O Tawq! What about these chains and shackles?" He replied, "'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn had given them to me for binding the prisoners." Ya'qūb then ordered one of his men, "Hey you, see which is the biggest and the heaviest of them, place it on Tawq's feet and lock it." He did the same to the prisoners he had taken from among Tawq's men.

Following that, he called for the other coffers. They were opened, and he discovered the necklaces and bracelets. He then asked Tawq, "O Tawq! And what is that?" He replied, "They were given to me to decorate those of my men who fought valiantly." Ya'qūb then ordered one of his men, "Hey you, see which is the biggest and the heaviest of them, take from that one such a necklace and such a bracelet and give them to so and so." He began to decorate his own followers, and he did the same with the other coffers.

When Ya'qūb ordered Tawq to extend his hand so that he could shackle him, he discovered a bandage on his forearm; whereupon he asked, "What is that, O Tawq?" He replied, "May God favor the amir, I had felt some fever, and had it bled." Ya'qūb then summoned one of his men and ordered him to slip off his boots. When he did, dried crumbs of bread fell out. He then said to Tawq, "I have not taken these boots off for two months. I carry the bread which I eat in them and have not slept on a bed. And you sit and drink and act frivolously in this way, hoping for a battle in which to fight me?" When Ya'qūb b. al-Layth finished with Tawq, he entered Kirmān, taking possession of the region. Along with Sijistān it became one of his provinces.

In this year, Ya'qūb b. al-Layth entered Fārs and took 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh prisoner.
Why and How He Captured Him

Ibn Ḥammād al-Barbarī (reported the following). At that time I was in Fārs with ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Quraysh. Word reached him about the battle between Yaʿqūb b. al-Layth and his (ʿAli's) follower Tawq b. al-Mughallis and how Yaʿqūb had entered Kirmān and annexed the region. When the defeated army reached him, he was certain that Yaʿqūb would be coming to Fārs. ʿAlī himself was at that time in Shīrāz in the province of Fārs. He mobilized his army as well as the infantry of Tawq's defeated army among others. After arming them he emerged from Shīrāz to a narrow reservoir (kurr) outside the city. The open area between the reservoir, which is contiguous to the city, and the opposite mountain was wide enough for only one man or one animal. Its narrowness did not allow more than one man to pass at a time. He then established a position there and set up camp on the bank of the reservoir close to Shīrāz. He brought along the market people and merchants of Shīrāz to his camp saying, "If Yaʿqūb comes he will find no way to reach us through the desert; for he has no avenue of approach except this open area between the mountain and the reservoir, and it is wide enough for only one man. Were but a single man positioned there, he would be able to prevent anyone from passing through. And if he is unable to pass through to us, he will be left out in the open plain where there is no food for him and his men, nor will he find fodder for their animals."

Ibn Ḥammād (continued). Yaʿqūb proceeded until he approached the reservoir. On the first day, he ordered his followers to set up camp about a mil from the reservoir on the Kirmān side. Then he proceeded alone, carrying a ten-cubit lance (rumḥ `ushārī). I can still picture him proceeding alone on his mount accompanied by only a single man. He examined the reservoir, the mountain and the road. Drawing close to the reservoir, he examined the camp of ʿAlī b. al-Ḥusayn as well. ʿAlī's followers started cursing him saying, "We will send you back to the people of copper caldrons and boilers, you copper worker." He remained silent, offering no response.

280. The translation of kurr as a reservoir follows Firūzābādi, Qāmūs, II, 130, where it is identified as a water well. The sense of a water reservoir is the only one that makes sense of this difficult passage.
281. See n. 265.
282. Saффār is a reference to the fact that Yaʿqūb b. al-Layth was a founder of the Saффārid dynasty that ruled for centuries over a large part of Persia, centered around
After examining what he had come to see, he returned to his men. When it was noon of the following day he came forward with his companions and men until they reached the bank of the reservoir which is on the Kirmān side. He then issued orders for his men to dismount and remove their baggage. He then opened a chest that he had brought along.

Ibn Ḥammād (continued). I can still picture them. They let loose a wolfhound and then mounted their steeds bareback, holding lances in hand. Before that ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn had arrayed his troops in battle formation and arranged them in rows to guard the passage between the mountain and the reservoir, seeing that there was no other way for Yaʿqūb to cross through. They brought the dog and threw it into the reservoir while he and ʿAli’s followers watched and laughed. When they threw the dog in the reservoir, the dog started to swim to the side where ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn’s camp was situated. Yaʿqūb’s men then urged their animals to follow behind the dog. Carrying their lances in their hands, they started across after the dog.2

When ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn saw that Yaʿqūb had already crossed most of the reservoir, and was coming towards him and his men, he became confused and failed to devise another plan. Yaʿqūb’s men soon came out of the reservoir in pursuit of ʿAī b. al-Ḥusayn’s followers. As soon as the lead troops came out (of the water), ʿAli’s men fled seeking the city of Shirāz. For, once the army of Yaʿqūb came out of the water, they would be trapped between the army and the reservoir and would find no refuge if they were routed. After Yaʿqūb’s troops came out of the reservoir, ʿAli b. al-Ḥusayn fled along with his men, but his mount stumbled and threw him to the ground. One of the Sijistānīs encountered ʿAli, intending to strike him with his sword, when one of his servants called out, “The amīr.” The Sijistānī dismounted and wrapped his scarf around ʿAli’s neck, and then he dragged him to Yaʿqūb. When he came with him to Yaʿqūb, the latter gave orders that ʿAli be bound and that all the implements of war that were in ʿAli’s camp, including, among other things, the weapons and animals, be brought to him. He held his position well

[1705]

_Sistān. See Bosworth, *Dynasties*, 104. Yaʿqūb himself gave this name to the dynasty because he traded as a coppersmith._

_283. The text seems to imply that the dog’s swimming encouraged the animals to swim after it, hence allowing main divisions of the army to cross over, and not come out one at a time._
into the night and then marched from that place. Ya'qūb entered the city of Shirāz at night as his men beat the drums. No one in the city moved (to oppose him). When he greeted the morning, he allowed his followers to loot the residences of ‘Ali b. al-Ḥusayn and his followers. After that, he looked at everything that had been gathered at the treasury, including the tax and estate revenues. He confiscated it, and levied a tax (of his own), which he collected. Ya'qūb then left for Sijistān, taking along with him Ibn Quraysh and those of his commanders that were taken prisoner.

In this year, Ya'qūb b. al-Layth sent to al-Mu’tazz several steeds, hawks, some musk and various garments as presents.

In this year, Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ṭāhir was appointed Chief of Security in Baghdad and the Sawād. This took place on the sixth of Rabi’ II (March 24, 869). Having come from Khurāsān, he reportedly arrived at Sāmarra on Thursday, the eighth of Rabi’ I (February 24, 869), went straight to al-Ītākhiyyah, and presented himself for an audience with al-Mu’tazz on Saturday. Al-Mu’tazz bestowed robes of honor upon him and Sulaymān then departed.

In this year, 255 (869), a battle took place between Musāwir, the rebel, and Yārjūkh. The rebel routed Yārjūkh, who then fled to Sāmarra.

Al-Mu‘allā b. Ayyūb died during the month of Rabi’ II, 255 (March 19–April 16, 869).

In this year, Śāliḥ b. Waṣīf seized Aḥmad b. Isrā’īl, al-Ḥasan b. Makhlad, and Abū Nūḥ ʿİsā b. Ibrāhīm. He put them in chains and demanded money for them. The reason for that was reportedly as follows. These secretaries had gathered to drink on Wednesday, the second of Jumādā II, 255 (May 18, 869). On Thursday morning, Ibn Isrā’īl rode off at the head of a large contingent to the Public Audience Hall (Dār al-Sultan) where he held audiences, while Ibn Makhlad rode off to the residence of Qabīḥah, the mother of al-Mu’tazz, for he was her secretary. Abū Nūḥ, in turn, was present at the palace while al-Mu’tazz was asleep. When he woke up towards the middle of the day he gave them permission to enter. Śāliḥ b. Waṣīf then attacked Aḥmad b. Isrā’īl, saying to al-Mu’tazz, “O Com-

284. For this area of the Sāmarra region, see Yaqūṭ, Mu’jam, III, 175 and Ya’qūbī, Buldān, 264.
285. It is not clear which palace. It was probably the residence of al-Mu’tazz.
mander of the Faithful, the Turks are not being paid, and there is no money in the treasury. Ibn Isrā‘īl and his companions have carted away all the money in the world.” Aḥmad retorted, “O you disobedient son of a disobedient father.” They continued to exchange words until Ṣāliḥ fell unconscious, whereupon water was sprinkled on his face. When his followers, who were at the door, heard about that, they cried out in unison and unsheathed their swords, entering upon al-Mu‘tazz with weapons drawn. When al-Mu‘tazz saw that, he left them and entered (his chamber). It was then that Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf seized Ibn Isrā‘īl, Ibn Makhlad and ʻĪsā b. Ibrāhīm. He shackled them with heavy iron chains and carried them off to his residence. Before they were taken away, al-Mu‘tazz interceded with Ṣāliḥ saying, “Grant me Aḥmad, for he is my secretary, and he raised me.” But Ṣāliḥ refused and then hit Ibn Isrā‘īl until his teeth were broken. Ibn Makhlad was thrown to the ground and administered one hundred lashes. ʻĪsā b. Ibrāhīm had been phlebotomized and he was now cuffed about until blood began to run from the sides of his neck (mahajīm). They were not released until they had signed (a statement) promising large sums of money that were to be collected in installments. A group of Turks went to Iskāf to fetch Ja‘far b. Maḥmūd, but al-Mu‘tazz said, “As for Ja‘far, I have nothing to do with him, nor will he work for me.” They went off and al-Mu‘tazz sent for Abū Ṣāliḥ ‘Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. Yazdād al-Marwāzī. He was brought to the Caliph so that the latter might appoint him wazir. He also sent for Ishaq b. Mansūr, and he was brought into the Caliph’s presence. Qabihah interceded with Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf on behalf of Ibn Isrā‘īl, saying, “You either bring him to al-Mu‘tazz, or I will ride to you myself on his behalf.”

The following reasons were also reported for this turn of events. The Turks had requested their allotments and made that the pretext for their (actions). Messengers went back and forth between them and those secretaries until Abū Nūḥ said to Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf, “This is your plotting against the Caliph.” It was then that Ṣāliḥ fell unconscious on account of the furious anger that overtook him; he remained unconscious until they sprinkled water on his face. When

286. Also known as Iskāf Banū Junayd, and located east of Baghdad on the Nahrawān Canal. See Le Strange, Lands, 59–60.
he came to, there were many words exchanged in front of al-Muʿtazz until they all went out to pray, and Šāliḥ remained alone with al-
Muʿtazz. Then the people were summoned, and shortly thereafter they entered a pavilion in the courtyard. Then Abū Nūḥ and Ibn Makhlad were summoned, and their swords and caps (qalansūwah) were taken away while their garments were torn. Ibn Isrāʾīl now encountered the Turks and threw himself at their mercy, but they made him their third victim.287 The secretaries were then taken out into the hallway and mounted on donkeys and mules, each accompanied by a Turk who rode behind him. They were all sent to Šāliḥ’s residence along the Ḥayr Road.288 When Šāliḥ left after a while, the Turks dispersed and went on their way. Several days later, each man was shackled with thirty raṭl of iron on his feet and twenty on his neck, and they were asked for the money. None of them had any response. Their situation went unresolved until the arrival of Rajab (June 15—July 14, 869), when the Turks sent (word) to seize their estates and their residences, the estates of their relatives, and their property. They were labeled “the treacherous secretaries (al-kuttāb al-khawanah).” Jaʿfar b. Maḥmūd arrived on Thursday, the tenth of Jumādā II (May 26, 869), and was given supreme command.


On the twenty-seventh of Rajab, 255 (July 11, 869), al-Muʿtazz was deposed. His death was made public on the second of Shaʿbān (July 16, 869). The circumstances of his deposition were reportedly as follows. When the Turks acted as they did to the aforementioned secretaries and the latter did not acknowledge them, they went to al-Muʿtazz asking for their allotments. “Give us our allotments and we will kill Šāliḥ b. Waṣif for you,” they said. Al-Muʿtazz then sent to his mother asking her to give him the funds to pay them. She responded, “I have none.” The Turks and the regular army at Sāmarrā saw that the secretaries had refused to give them anything. At the same time, they found nothing in the treasury, and al-Muʿtazz and

287. The text is thullitha bi-hi, that is, “he was made their third.”
288. For this road in Sāmarrā, see Yaʿqūbi, Buldān, 263.
his mother had already refused and denied them completely. The Turks, the Farāghinah and the Maghāribah therefore united and agreed to depose al-Muʿtazz.

They went to him on the twenty-seventh of Rajab. One of the Sulṭān’s relations mentioned that he was with Niḥrir al-Khādīm in the palace of al-Muʿtazz on the day they came for him. The Caliph was shaken only by the shouts of the people of al-Karkh and al-Dūr. Then suddenly, Ṣāliḥ b. Waṣīf, Bāyakbāk and Muḥammad b. Bughā, the one known as Abū Naṣr, entered with their weapons and stood by the door of the residence where al-Muʿtazz was staying. They sent word to him, “Come out to us.” He sent word back, saying, “I took a medicine yesterday which has caused me to panic twelve times. I am so weak that I cannot talk. If it is an urgent matter, let one of you enter and inform me of it; let him see for himself why the matter which interests you is at a standstill.” A group of the people from al-Karkh and al-Dūr, the lieutenants of the commanders, came in and dragged him to the room’s door.

The source of this account also reported the following. I thought that they had already beaten him with clubs, for he came out with his shirt torn in several places and traces of blood on his shoulders. They stood him in the sun in the palace at that time when the heat is oppressive. I saw him lift his foot time and again due to the heat of the place where he had been made to stand. I also saw some of them slap him, as he tried to protect himself with his hand. They started to call out, “Take it off.” Then they brought him into a room connected by a door to his own room—this was the room where Mūsā b. Bughā used to stay when he was there. Following that, they sent for Ibn Abī al-Shawārīb, who was admitted together with a group of his men. Ṣāliḥ and his followers then said, “Write a letter of deposition for him.” He responded, “I can’t do a good job of that.” An Iṣbahānī who was accompanying him said, “I will write it.” He wrote the letter, and they witnessed it and then departed. Ibn Abī al-Shawārīb now said to Ṣāliḥ, “They bore witness that his sister, his son and his mother shall be given safe conduct.” Ṣāliḥ either sig-

289. For this usage of the term sulṭān to indicate a specific person rather than authority in general, see Tyan, Institutions, II, 12f.
290. Reference is to the Prophet’s cloak as part of the caliph’s symbols of authority. See n. 211, above.
naled with his head or answered, “Yes.” They appointed men to
guard the Caliph’s company (majlis) and some women to watch
over his mother. It was reported that Qabihah had dug a tunnel in
the house in which she lived, and that she managed to leave through
this tunnel together with Qurb\textsuperscript{291} and the sister of al-Mu’tazz. The
troops had blocked the roads around her, preventing people from
passing through as of the day they did what they did to al-Mu’tazz.
That was from Monday until Wednesday, the last day of Rajab [July
11–13, 869].\textsuperscript{292}

After he had been deposed, he was reportedly given over to some-
one who tortured him, and he was forbidden food and water for three
days. Even when he requested a mouthful of well water, it was de-
nied to him. Finally, they plastered a small vault with heavy plaster,
put him in it and shut the door behind him.\textsuperscript{293} By the next morning
he had expired. That took place on the second day of Sha’bān, 2 5 5
(July 16, 869). When he died, Banū Hāshim and the generals bore
witness to his death and testified that he had no scars on his body.
He was buried with al-Muntaṣīr in an area of the Șawāmī’ Palace.

His caliphate, from the day when the oath of allegiance was rend-
ered to him in Sāmarrā until he was deposed, was four years, six
months and twenty-three days. He was twenty-four years of age
when he died.

He was tall in stature. He had a white complexion and thick dark
hair. His eyes were beautiful, his face was narrow and handsome,
and he had reddish cheeks. He was born in Sāmarrā.

\textsuperscript{291} Qabihah’s maid. See p. 1647, 1658 above of the Leiden edition.
\textsuperscript{292} There is some discrepancy in this date, for the last day of Rajab fell on a Friday,
the fifteenth of July 869. July 11–13, 869 are retained, however, on the assumption
that the events took place during that week.
\textsuperscript{293} See n. 252, above.


*Lisān*, see Ibn Manzūr.
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'Abdallah b. Tahir (Abū al-‘Abbās) 6 n. 20, 99.
'Abdallah b. Wandāmid 24.
'Abd al-Rahmān [deputy of Abū al-Sāj] 141, 142.
'Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb Wajh al-Fals 17, 19, 44, 46, 47.
'Abd al-‘samad b. ‘Ali, son of 87.
Abiq, see Canal, Aniq.
Ablaj 74, 75.
Abnā‘ 10, 60, 63.
Aboulfeda, see Géographie
Abū al-‘Abbās, see al-Musta‘īn, see also ‘Abdallāh b. Tahir and Muhhammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Tahir.
Abū ‘Abdallāh, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh (lieutenant of Mutawakkil) 3.
Abu ‘Abdallāh, see al-Muṭ‘azz.
also see Ibn Shuja‘ al-Balkhi.
Abū Ahmad, see Muḥammad b. Ja‘far.
Abū Ahmad, see ‘Ubaydallāh b. ‘Abdal-lāh b. Tāhir.
Abū Ahmad b. al-Mutawakkil 43, 44, 45, 49, 53, 58, 67, 68, 72, 73, 95, 97, 98, 103, 104, 106, 121, 122, 123, 131, 132, 150, 155.
Abū Ahmad b. al-Rashīd 38, 39 n. 77.
Abū al-‘Amūd al-Tha’labī 6.
Abū Hāshim, see Dāwūd b. al-Qāsim al-Ja‘fari.
also see Dāwūd b. al-Haytham al-Ja‘fari.
Abū al-Hawl 131.
Abū al-Himār (head of Bureau of Taxation) 39.
Abū al-Husayn 93.
Abū al-Husayn (maternal uncle of Abū al-Sānā) 19.
Abū al-Husayn, see Yahyā b. ‘Umar.
Abū al-Husayn b. Quraysh 91.
Abū Ismā‘īl al-‘Alawi 89.
Abū Ja‘far 73, 82.
Abū Ja‘far, garden of 63.
Abū Ja‘far Muhammad b. Jarir al-Ṭabarī, see al-Ṭabarī.
Abū al-Maghra‘a 143.
Abū Mālik 98.
Abū Muḥammad Bāghar, see Bāghar.
Abū Muslim al-Khurasānī 19 n. 55.
Abū Muzāḥyā b. Yahyā b. Khāqān, son of 86.
Abū Namlah 66.
Abū Naṣr Muḥammad b. Bughā 76, 84, 93, 164.
Abū Naṣr, see Muḥammad b. ‘Alī al-Armanī.
Abū Nuḥ ‘Īsā b. Ibrāhīm 90, 105, 154, 161, 162, 163.
also see ‘Īsā b. Ibrāhīm.
Abū al-Qāsim, see ‘Abdān al-Muwaffaq.
Abū al-Sāj 46, 71, 72, 73, 75, 79, 90, 93, 94, 96, 141, 142, 143. Also see Diwdād.
Abū Sa‘īd al-Anṣārī 97, 106.
Abū al-Salāsīl 96.
Abū al-Sānā Muḥammad b. ‘Abdūs al-Ghanawī 17, 19, 47, 68, 69, 80, 81, 82, 85, 105, 127.
Abū ‘Umar (head of Bureau of the Turkish army; also secretary of Simā al-Sharābī) 39.
Abū Uṣārah 66.
Abyssinia, King of 3 n. 7.
Abyssinians 122.
Aḏhā, feast of 104.
Index

'Adharbayjân 7.
'Adl [ransom] 38.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj [Ibn Fazzârî] 17.
Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah al-Yamâmî [poet] 32.
Ahmad b. 'Isâ al-'Alawi 144.
Ahmad b. Abî Du‘âd (Mu‘tazilite qâdî) 143.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj (Ibn Fazzârî) 17.
Ahmad b. al-Afshin (brother of Rashîd b. Kâwûs) 76.
Ahmad b. Abî Du‘âd (Mu‘tazilite qâdî) 143.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj (Ibn Fazzârî) 17.
Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah al-Yamâmî [poet] 32.
Ahmad b. 'Isâ al-'Alawi 144.
Ahmad b. Abî Du‘âd (Mu‘tazilite qâdî) 143.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj (Ibn Fazzârî) 17.
Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah al-Yamâmî [poet] 32.
Ahmad b. 'Isâ al-'Alawi 144.
Ahmad b. Abî Du‘âd (Mu‘tazilite qâdî) 143.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj (Ibn Fazzârî) 17.
Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah al-Yamâmî [poet] 32.
Ahmad b. 'Isâ al-'Alawi 144.
Ahmad b. Abî Du‘âd (Mu‘tazilite qâdî) 143.
Ahmad b. al-Faraj (Ibn Fazzârî) 17.
Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah al-Yamâmî [poet] 32.
Ahmad b. 'Isâ al-'Alawi 144.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 172</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aniq Canal</strong>, see Canal, Aniq.</td>
<td><strong>Bāb</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Arafāt, see Mount 'Arafāt.</td>
<td>al-Jisr 10, 20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Arafāt, day of 26, 109.</td>
<td>al-Anbār 43.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardabil 65.</td>
<td>al-Shammāsiyyah 40, 42 n.88, 44, 45, 46, 47, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 69, 71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'arīf (officer, commander) 19, 41.</td>
<td>See also gate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'arradah (ballista) 40, see also weapons.</td>
<td>Bābak 122 n. 231.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish, see Bureau of.</td>
<td>Bāghar al-Turkī, Abū Muhamad 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 107.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also see athbat, nā'ibah</td>
<td>Baghdad [madinat al-Salam] 3, 6 n. 20, 8 n. 27, 10, 11, 12 n. 39, 13, 14 n. 42, 15, 18, 20, 27, 31, 32, 33, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46 n.96, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 71, 72, 74 n.145, 75, 76 n.150., 77, 78 n.160, 79, 80, 81 n.167, 82, 83, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98 n.200, 100, 102, 106, 108, 113, 114 n.221, 115, 116, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 131, 136, 141, 142, 143, 144, 147 n.268, 149, 150, 152, 153 n.273, 154, 161, 162 n.286.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnājūr the Turk 35.</td>
<td>[New Baghdad] 47 n.98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arz of Marj al-Usquf 9.</td>
<td>Baghdad gate, see gate, Baghdad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-arzzāq [allotments] 5.</td>
<td>Baghwarayā, see gate, Baghwarayā.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asad, [Banū] 18, 88, 93.</td>
<td>al-Bahrayn 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asad b. Dāwūd Siyāh 43, 69, 70.</td>
<td>al-Bahrīyyah 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asad b. Marzubān, street of 127.</td>
<td>Bakhtishū' family 155 n.279.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ašḥāb al-maratib (men of rank) 3, also</td>
<td>Bākusāyā 87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see men of rank.</td>
<td>Balad 59, 65.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'āshīrah (kinsmen) 78.</td>
<td>Balfardal 92, 93, 94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Minor 9 n. 28.</td>
<td>Balkājūr 27, 61, 62, 87, 91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aslihāh (armor) 55 n.106.</td>
<td>ballista, see weapons, armor and implements of war.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Āsnānah 79.</td>
<td>banān, see Ja'far b. Ahmad al-Bayān.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'atā' [military pay] 5, see also military pay.</td>
<td>Al-'Ammah [Public Gate] 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>athbāt [regular forces] 93, 126. Also see army.</td>
<td>Al-Bahrayn 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Attāb b. 'Attāb 39, 125, 142.</td>
<td>Al-Bahrīyyah 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'awārid (cross beams) 40.</td>
<td>Bakhtishū’ family 155 n.279.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayyūb, see Armash b. Abī Ayyūb.</td>
<td>Balfardal 92, 93, 94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayyūb b. al-Ḥasan b. Mūsā b. Ja’far b.</td>
<td>ballista, see weapons, armor and implements of war.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulaymān (governor of Kūfah under al-Musta’īn) 16.</td>
<td>banān, see Ja’far b. Ahmad al-Bayān.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Azzūn, (boon companion of al-Mu’taṣīm) 4, also see darb of.</td>
<td>Bandaniyān 74.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

Banū, see second element.

‘Aṣṣāb 115, 136.

Asād 18, 88, 93.

Hāšim 2, 78, 86, 87, 89, 102, 113, 165,

also see Hashimites

‘Ijil 18.

Shaybān 75.

Taghlib 87.

Ṭāhir 20.

al-Baradan 123.

Baradan gate, see gate, baradan.

barīd [postal service] 6, also see postal service.

Barqah 6.

Bārusma 28.

Bashāḥāt, see Jaʿfar b. al-Fadl.

basmalah 103.

Baṣra 26, 27, 44, 63, 114, 122, 133, 150.

Baṭṭitīyah Bridge 129.

bath attendants 5.

bathq [dam] 78.

Baṭḥq al-Qatūfah 78.

bawārīj [deep water vessels] 63.

bawārī [shields of tar-covered mats] 41,

see also weapons.

al-Bawāzij 147.

bawwāb [gate keeper] 133.

Bīyākbāk 34, 35, 72, 87, 90, 122, 123,

140, 141, 152, 154, 164

al-Bayhaqī, see Mahāsin.

al-Baynūq al-Farghānī 42.

bayt al-māl [public treasury] 3, see also public treasury and treasury.

Bazūghā 66.

bedouin[s] 13, 16, 27, 46, 47, 61, 75, 76,

80, 89, 90, 108, 141.

bīghāl al-dukhāl [government service mules] 142.

Bihlāyā [a masonry bridge] 83.

Bilyān, see Yūnār.

al-Birūnī, see Chronology.


Ṭāhir] 11, 21.


Bridge Gate [bāb al-jīsr in Baghdad], see gate, bridge.

Bughā the Elder xi, xii, 1, 2 n. 3, 6.

daughter of xii.

Bughā al-Sharābī 8, 28, 44, 64, 92, 146,

152, 153, 154, see also Bughā the Younger.

Bughā the Younger 1, 2, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13,

28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 45, 46, 64, 67,

78, 95, 96, 99, 102, 103, 104, 105,

106, 107, 122, 123, 124, 143, 146.

Buldān [see Yaʿqūbī in Bibliography] 3 n.

4, n. 5, n. 8, n. 9, 5 n. 16, 6 n. 21, 11

n. 35, n. 36, 12 n. 39, 14 n. 43, 39 n.

78, 43 n. 92, 91 n. 189, 122 n. 231, 127

n. 239, 153 n. 274, 155 n. 278, 161

n. 284, 163 n. 288.

Bundār b. Mūsā al-Ṭabarī 42, 45, 48, 49,

56, 105, 124, 147, 148, 149.

Bureau of Correspondence [Rasāʾil] 13.

Estates 12, 39.

Post 39, 124.

taxation 13, 39, 84, 105.

Turkish army 39.


al-Būrij [caliphal ring] 114.

Buṣrā 61.

Bustān 16, 17.

Bustān Ibn al-Harūrī 82, 83.

Byzantine emperor 9.

territory [Byzantium] 8, 9, 87, 154

n. 276.

Byzantines 9, 11.

C

Canal

Sarsar 93.

Karkhāyā 81.

Aniq 80, 84.

Īsā 77.

Nahrawān 162 n. 286.

Rufayl 80.

caravanserais 122.

the Chosen One 18, 26.

Christians,

secretaries of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b.

Ṭāhir 11.
Index

Christians (continued)
soldier 32.
physician 133.
cloaks of honor, see robes of honor.
correspondence (rasā’il) see bureau of correspondence.

Crete 7.
caliphate, jewels of 107, 115, see also al-Burj and al-Jabal.
caliphal oath 108.
Chronology 84 n. 172, also see al-Bīrūnī in bibliography.

D

Damascus 124 n. 233.
dabīq (Egyptian embroidered brocade) 59.
Damhāl, see Dimhāl.
Dār al-‘Ammah (Public Audience Hall) 2,
also see Public Audience Hall.
Dār al-Sultān 3 n. 4, 161.
darb (Road) of
‘Azzūn and Zurāfah 4.
al-Raqīq 127.
Ṣāliḥ al-Miskin, see Gate.
Zurāfah 5.
Also see road.
darwands (household items) 31.
al-Daskarah 147, 148, 149.
Daskarat al-Malik 147.
dawlah (victory) 94.
Dāwūd b. al-Qāsim al-Ja‘fari (Abū Hāshim) 88, 141, 142.
dāyat (nurse) 133.
day‘ah (estate) 118.

Daykawayh 67.
al-Daylam 21, 63, 156.
Daylamites 22, 23, 24, 144, 151, 156.
Dayr 122.
al-Dhahabi, see Duwal
dihliz (corridor) 99.
dihqāns 38.
Dimhāl 66.
Dimimmāh 80, 81, 82, 84.

Dinār b. ‘Abdallāh, palace of 150.
diyā‘ (estates) 7.
Dīwdād b. Dīwdast Abū al-Sāj 87, 121, 154.
Dīz 146.
dorānī, see darwand.
dorowanj, see darwand.
Dujayl 14, 133.
Dulayl (supervisor of the Bureau of Estates under al-Musta‘īn) 12.
Dumhāl, see Dimhāl.
Dūnāl 66.
al-Dūr 12, 13, 30, 35, 140, 152, 164.
al-Durghumān al-Farghānī 48, 60, 61, 72.
Duwal (see al-Dhahabi in bibliography) 124 n. 233.

E

Egypt 8, 150, 154.
Estates, see Bureau of, also diiyā‘.
Euphrates 18, 59, 75, 76, 79, 81, 85, 89, 93 n. 193, 121, 141 n. 260.

F

al-Fadl b. Muḥammad b. al-Fadl 78.
al-Fadl b. al-Muẓaffar al-Saba‘ī 84.
al-Fadl b. Qārīn 7, 27.
Fadlān (Christian physician) 133.
al-Falūjah 16.
al-Fānī, see Abū ‘Abd al-Rahmān al-Fāzārī.
Farāghinah 12, 32, 43, 47, 48, 90, 146, 164.
Fāris b. Bughā 29.
Fārs 6 n. 20, 11, 27, 58, 156, 157, 158, 159.
Farūriyyah 8.
al-Fāsi, see Akhbār Makkah.
Fawā, see Kutubi in Bibliography, 3 n. 11, 62 n. 121.
Index

fawj (battalion) 78.
Fief of Umm Ja'far 48, 70 n. 140. Also see Gate of.
Fihrist, see Ibn al-Nadim in Bibliography, 121 n. 227.
Firaq, see al-Nawbakhtî in Bibliography, 17 n. 46, 88 n. 182, 143 n. 263.
al-Firûzabâdî, see Qâmûs.
fitr (feast), day of 147, 148, 149.
Friday Mosque 50, 113, 126.
fuqâhâ' (jurists) 7.
fuqqâ' (barley juice) 5 n. 16.

G

Galâr 21, 22, 23, 24.
Gate
al-Anbâr 91, 92, 129.
Baghdad 93.
Baghwârayâ 91, 92.
Baradân 40, 60, 124.
Baṣra 154 n. 275.
Bridge 10, 20, 99, 128.
Damascus 126, 127 n. 239, 130.
of Darb Sâlih al-Miskin 91.
of the fief of Umm Ja'far 40, 48, 49, 70, 95.
Golden 20.
Ḥarb 72, 125, 126, 128, 129, 130.
Iron 91.
Khurâsân 47, 124.
Kûfah 154 n. 275.
Madâ'in 91.
Public 4, 5, also see Bâb al-'Ammah.
Public (Sâmarrâ) 19, 126.
Qâtrabbul 46, 56, 61, 67, 69, 70.
Ṣabât 93.
Salâmah 72, 98.
Shammâsiyyah 92, 95, 98, 102, 103, 104, 105, 123, 124, 125.
Yâsirîyyah 82.
Also see Bâb
Gehenna 57.
Géographie, see Aboulfeda in Bibliography, 6 n. 22.
ghallâh (revenues) 6.
gharab (arrow) 70.
ghilmân (slaves) 62.
ghilmân al-khîdmah (bodyguards) 34.
ghulâm (young boys, pages) 90, 133.
Gifts of Honor
bracelets 47, 49, 67, 69, 80, 85, 158.
cloaks, see robes of honor.
crown (galansuwah) 122.
necklaces 47, 49, 69, 80, 85, 95, 158.
robes, see robes of honor.
sashes 122, 146.
swords 67, 71, 90, 107, 115, 122.
Golden Gate, see Gate.
Gold Palace (Qâṣr al-Dhahab) 20 n. 57, 154.
Gulf Street (Sha'ri' al-Khalîj) 14 n. 43.

H

Habash, [brother of Ya'qûb Qûsarrâh],
residence of 5.
Habshûn b. Bughâ the Elder 71.
Habûs, see Yahyâ b. Ḥâfîs.
al-Ḥâdî 71.
al-Ḥâdidi (the Iron One - name of a boat) 95.
Hafandâ 17.
hâjîb (chamberlain) 131.
Hamad b. al-Wâzîr 27.
Hamadhân 25, 48, 62, 145, 146.
al-Ḥamdûnî, poet, 13.
hammâm (bath house) 70.
Hammâm Bâb al-Qaṭî'ah 70.
hâram (Muslim strongholds) 9.
Ḥaramayn 6.
Ḥarb b. Muḥammad b. 'Abdallâh b. Ḥarb 129.
Harb Gate, see Gate.
harbâh (spear of the Prophet) 3, 99 n. 202, also see Prophet, spear of, and tâwilâh.
al-Ḥarbiyyah 72, 101, 127 n. 240.
al-Ḥârîth b. Ḥâsîd, lieutenant of Abû al-Sâj 79, 80, 90, 121.
harrâqâh (fire-boat) 31.
Ḥârûn b. Ma'mar 87.
Index

Hārūn b. al-Mu’tasim al-Wāthiq (Caliph 842-847).
Hārūn b. Shu’ayb 67.
Hārūnī Palace 1, 4, 30.
al-Ḥasan b. Abī al-Shawārib (chief judge) 143.
al-Ḥasan b. al-Afsīn 27, 43, 44, 47, 96, 105.
al-Ḥasan b. Aḥmad al-Kawkābī 144.
al-Ḥasan b. ’Alī (Banū Shaybān) 74, 75.
al-Ḥasan b. ’Amr b. Qīmāsh 44.
al-Ḥasan b. Makhlād 7, 103, 104, 154, 161, 162, 163.
al-Ḥasan b. Sahl, palace of 113, 114 n. 221.
Hashīm (Banū) 2, 78, 86, 87, 89, 102, 113, 165.
Hāshīm b. al-Qāsim, see Ibn Abī Dulāf.
Hashimites 4, 5, 20, 34, 67, 86.
hawziyyah (thugs) 24.
Hayawān, see Jāhiz in Bibliography, 3 n. 10.
Hayr Road 163.
Ḥijāz 105.
Ḥimṣ 7, 27, 43.
Ḥimyārī, see Rawd.
al-Hindawānī, poet 86.
Hishām b. Abī Dulāf 88, 90, 93.
hudūd al-kalām (protocol) 35.
Hulwān 8, 48, 149.
Ḥumayd b. ’Abd al-Ḥamīd, palace of 40.
ḥunūt (funerary perfumes) 132.
al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl, chief of staff 47.
Also see al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘īl b. Ibrāhīm.
al-Ḥusayn b. Makhlād 83.
al-Ḥusayn b. Yazīd al-Ḥarrānī 90.
Ḥuzām (brother of Muḥammad b. Ya‘qūb) 78.

I

Ibn Abī ‘Awān, see Muḥammad b. Abī ‘Awān.
Ibn Abī Dulāf Hāshīm b. al-Qāsim 80.
Ibn Abī Ḥafṣah 115.
Ibn Abī Khālid 91.
Ibn Abī Khumayšah 132.
Ibn Abī al-Shawārib 27, 164.
Ibn Abī Ṭalīb 16.
Ibn Aḥmad 106.
Ibn ’Ajūz 34.
Ibn Asād b. Dāwūd Siyāḥ 91.
Ibn Al-Ṭhir, see Kāmil.
Ibn Aws, see Muḥammad b. Aws al-Balkhī.
Ibn Bābak 58, 59. Also see Layth b. Bābak.
Ibn Farāšah, see Ismā‘īl b. Farāshah.
Ibn Fazzārī, see Aḥmad b. al-Faraj.
Ibn Isrā’īl, see Aḥmad b. Isrā’īl.
Ibn Justān, see Wahṣūdām b. Justān.
Ibn Khabbāzah, see Ja‘far b. Aḥmad al-Bayān.
Ibn Khālid al-Barmakī 123.
Ibn Khallad, see al-Hasan b. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Khallad.
Ibn Khallikān, see Wafayāt.
Ibn Makhlad, see al-Hasan b. Makhlad.
Ibn Manzūr, see Lisān.
Ibn Mārīmrah 28, 29, 32, 33.
Ibn Mkhwnfl 92.
Ibn al-Nadim, see Fihrist.
Ibn Quraysh, see 'Ali b. al-Ḥusayn b. al-Quraysh.
Ibn Sājādāh 108.
Ibn Sarjawayh 29.
Ibn al-Ṣūfī 16.
Ibn al-Su‘šāl, see 'Ali al-Ḥusayn b. al-Su‘šāl.
Ibn Ṭahīr, see Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭahīr.
Ibn Yazīd, see Muḥammad b. Khālid b. Yazīd.
Ibn Yūsuf al-Barm 86.
Ibn Zanjūr, secretary of al-Ḥusayn b. Ismā‘il 82.
Ibrāhīm al-Dayraj 20, 39, 43.
Ibrāhīm b. Ḥārūn, Christian secretary of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Ṭahīr.
Ibrāhīm b. Isḥāq, lieutenant of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh 3, 20, 45, 67.
Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad b. Ḥātim 91.
Ibrāhīm b. Muṣ‘ab 91.
Ibrāhīm b. Sahl al-Dārīj 12.
‘Id al-Adhā, see Aḏḥā.
'Ijl (Bānū) 18.
intiqād (uprising) 65.
Iran 145, 147 n. 269, 155 n. 277.
Iraq 6, 16, 41, 147 n. 269.
Irman al-Farghānī 78.
al-‘Irsh b. 'Urāhīm 19.
'Īsā, lieutenant of Yulnār 35.
'Īsā Canal, see Canal.
'Īsā b. Farrūkhānshāh (head of Bureau of Taxation) 13, 105, 115, 131, 140.
'Īsā b. Ibrāhīm b. Nūh 86, 162.
Also see Abū Nūh.
'Īsā b. Ja‘far b. al-Ḥasan 163.
'Īsā b. al-Shaykh 65.
'Īsā b. al-Shaykh b. al-Salīl (governor of Ramlah) 143.
Iṣbahānī 163.
Iṣfahān, see Iṣbahānī.
Iṣfarāyini, see Tabsīr.
Iṣḥāq b. Ibrāhīm 11 n. 36, 20, 149.
Iṣḥāq b. Maṃṣūr 162.
Iṣḥāq b. Yahyā 11 n. 36.
Iṣḥāqi Road 76.
Iṣḥāqiyyah 17.
ishtiyām (shipbuilder) 63.
Iṣkāf Banū Junayd 162.
Iṣmā‘īl b. Farāshah 25, 48, 62, 72, 75, 87.
iṣṭinā (patronage) 118.
Itākh 29.
al-Itākhīyyah 161.
Ja'far b. al-Fadl b. 'Isā b. Mūsā Bāshāshāt, governor of Mecca, (leader of pilgrimage in 250 H.) 27, 108.
Ja'far al-Khayyāt 34.
Ja'far al-Kurdi 123.
Ja'far b. Maḥmūd, wazīr 39, 162, 163.
Ja'far b. Mūḥammad b. 'Ammār al-Burjumī 14.
Ja'far b. Rustam 22, 23.
Jāhid, see Ḥayawān.
Jahmites 143.
Jalūlā' 151.
jarā'īd (lists) 78.
Jarjarāyā 87, 93.
Jārūdiyyah 88.
Jawsaq Palace 6, 7, 13, 14 n. 43, 31, 35, 131, 140, 144, 152, 153.
Jāyā 24.
al-Jazīrāh 9, 43, 59, 87, 147 n. 267, 153 n. 273.
jewels, see caliphate.
Jībāl 10, 145.
Jiddah 109.
Jiddah Road 108.
al-Ṭif 64 n. 127.
jīlīd 55 n. 106.
jiṣr (pontoon bridge) 85 n. 176.
Ju'lān al-Turkī 87.
jūlīd 55 n. 106.
Jum'ah bt. Bugha 152.
Junbulā' 16.
jund (regular army) 27.
Jundi Sābūr 155.
Jurjān 25, 63.

K

Ka'b al-Baqar, see Mūḥammad b. 'Aḥmad b. 'Isā b. al-Mansūr.
Ka'bah 108.
Kābu'l 27.
Kaffartūthā 6.
kāfīrkūbāt (clubs fashioned with iron nails) 66.
al-Kalb 13, 27.
Kalbātikīn al-Turkī 20, 21, 34, 35, 43.
Kāmil, see Ibn al-Athīr in Bibliography 3 n. 12, 24 n. 61, 28 n. 68, 45 n. 95, 64 n. 126, 68 n. 137, 79 n. 161, 105 n. 212.
Kanjur (chamberlain of al-Mu'ayyad) 103, 131, 144.
al-Karaj Abū Dulaf 145, 146.
Karbah (lieutenant of Abū al-Sāj) 121.
al-Karkh 12, 13 n. 40, 30, 140, 152, 153, 164.
Karkh Juddān 147.
Karkh Sāmarra 152.
Karkhāyā Canal, see Canal, Karkhāyā.
Kashkar 121.
Kaydar b. 'Ubaydallāh (lieutenant of Hīmṣ under al-Muṣta'in) 7.
al-Khalanjī 104, 106, 143.
Khālid b. 'Īmrān 17, 18, 42, 48, 49, 57, 69, 80, 83, 84, 85.
Khālid b. 'Īmrān al-Ṭā'ī al-Māwṣīlī 72.
al-khālīfah (lieutenant) 34.
Khāqānī Palace, garden of 153.
kharāj (tax revenues) xii, 143.
Khārijīte (muḥakkīm) 147, 148, 151.
kharīṭat al-mawsim [pouch of the pilgrimage season] 105.
Khashabat Bābak 122.
al-Khaṣṣāf 143.
Khayr b. Khālid b. 'Īmrān 18, 19.
khazz (silk) 49. Also see silk.
Khurāsān 3 n. 11, 5, 10, 15, 17, 18, 23, 27, 34 n. 74, 41, 48, 67, 85, 86, 125, 149, 161.
Gate, see Gate, Khurāsān.
Road 147.
Khurramite sect 122 n. 231.
Khurramiyyah 19.
Khusāf 13.
Khusāf 151.
al-Khwārizmī, see Mafātīḥ.
al-Kindi 2 n. 3.
Kirmān 156, 157, 158, 160.
Kitāb al-Zinah, see al-Rāzī in Bibliography 19 n. 55.
al-Kūfah 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 28, 83, 88, 89, 90, 93, 121, 141, 142, 163.
Bridge 17, 89.
al-Kunīsah 92, 93.
Kūrds 75.
kurr (reservoir) 159.
al-Kūtubī, see Fawāt.
Limyān, see Yulnār.
Līsān, see Ibn Manṣūr in Bibliography 5 n. 16, 12 n. 38, 63 n. 123, 99 n. 201, 104 n. 209.
M
al-Madāʾin 75, 93, 94, 100, 101, 103.
Gate, see Gate, al-Madāʾin.
madinah (administrative center) 59, 126.
Madinat Abī Jaʿfar 78, 122. Also see Round City of al-Mansūr.
maḍrāb (canopy) 105.
Mafātīḥ, see al-Khwārizmī in Bibliography 3 n. 7.
Maghāribah 1, 2 n. 3, 4, 11, 14, 31, 32, 39, 42, 43, 44, 48, 49, 50, 53, 55, 60, 61, 63, 67, 69, 70, 72, 77, 79, 82, 90, 91, 96, 100, 121, 131, 140, 143, 154, 164.
al-Maghrib 8.
Mahāsīn, see al-Bayhaqī in Bibliography 114 n. 123.
maṯāmir (storage depots) 9.
Māṭbaq Prison 154.
maṭūnāh (security) 133.
mawlā[s] 1, 2 n. 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 13, 28, 44, 64, 71, 95, 96, 99, 105, 123, 124, 149, 150.
mawāt (state lands) 22.
al-mawqīf (place of assembly) 109.
Maysān 32.
Māyyāfāriqin 10.
maẓālim (court of appeals) 143.
Māziyār b. Qārīn 27, 64.
Mecca 6 n. 19, 14, 27, 41, 65 n. 130, 104 n. 210, 105, 106, 108, 109, 114, 121 n. 228, 144.
Road 46, 71, 80, 143.
Medina 6 n. 19, 105, 106, 108.
men of rank (ašḥāb al-maṭārib) 3, 4.
Mesopotamia 10, n. 29.

Majlis al-Shurṭah (Police Headquarters) 128.
maḵhālīn (nosebags). Also see weapons.
Malāṭiyah 9, 76, 77, 81, 150.
Mālik b. Țawq 39.
al-Maʾmūn, Caliph (813–833) 74, 143.
mangonels, see weapons.
Mankajūr b. Qārīn al-Ushrusani 58, 93.
Mankajūr b. Ḥandarūs 90, 91.
al-Mansūr, Caliph (754–775) 132 n. 252.
Mansūr b. Naṣr b. Ḥamzah (governor of Wāsīt) 122, 132.
al-Mansūriyyah 79.
al-Maʿashi, see ʿAli b. ʿAbdallāh.
Marj al-Uṣqṭ 9.
Markets
jewelers 61.
money exchangers 61.
swordsmsmiths 61.
Māsabadhān 8.
masʿad al-Hajī (ascent of the pilgrims to ʿArafāt) 65 n. 130.
Mashmughān 24.
mashāyikh (notables) 101.
masonry bridge (qantarah) 10, 42, 76, 83, 85.
al-Maṣʿūdi, see Murūj.
Maskīn 124.
matāmir (storage depots) 9.
Māṭbaq Prison 154.
maṭūnāh (security) 133.
mawlā[s] 1, 2 n. 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 13, 28, 44, 64, 71, 95, 96, 99, 105, 123, 124, 149, 150.
mawāt (state lands) 22.
al-mawqīf (place of assembly) 109.
Maysān 32.
Māyyāfāriqin 10.
maẓālim (court of appeals) 143.
Māziyār b. Qārīn 27, 64.
Mecca 6 n. 19, 14, 27, 41, 65 n. 130, 104 n. 210, 105, 106, 108, 109, 114, 121 n. 228, 144.
Road 46, 71, 80, 143.
Medina 6 n. 19, 105, 106, 108.
men of rank (ašḥāb al-maṭārib) 3, 4.
Mesopotamia 10, n. 29.
Index

Mihrajān Qadhaq 8.
Milal, see al-Shahrastānī in Bibliography
17, n. 46, 88 n. 182, 143 n. 262.
military pay ('atā') 5. Also see allotments; payments.
mīzāllāt (pavillons) 40.
Mosul 19, 36, 43, 153 n. 273.
Mount 'Arafāt 65 n. 130.
Mount Firim 24.
mu'āwin (vice-ruler) 6.
Mubārak al-Maghribi, residence of 5.
al-Mubarnā'ash, see al-Mar'ashi.
al-Mubarta'ash, see al-Mar'ashi.
Mubayyidah 4 n. 13, 47, 48, 49, 50, 68, 105.
Mudar 154.
Muflih 86, 145, 146, 154, 156.
Muḥakkīm [Khārijite] 147.
Muhammad, see Prophet.
Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh, see Abū al-'Abbās.
Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Bishr b. Sa'd al-Marthidī 44.
Muhammad b. 'Abdūs al-Ghanawī, see Abū al-Sanā.
Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Armani Abū Naṣr 62.
Muḥammad b. 'Alī al-Khalaf al-'Aṭṭār 141, 142.
Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Ṭāhir 26.
Muḥammad b. 'Amr al-Shārī 144.
Muḥammad b. 'Azzūn 141.
Muḥammad b. Bughā, see Abū Naṣr Muḥammad b. Bughā.
Muḥammad b. Ḥamad b. Manṣūr al-Sa'dī 42.
Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Jaylawayh 42.
Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. al-Qārīn 27.
Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm 23.
Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm Muqār (Head of Bureau of Taxation) 39.
Muḥammad b. Imrān al-Ḍabbī (al-Mu'tazz's teacher) 143.
Muḥammad b. 'Īsā (secretary of Muḥammad b. 'Abdallāh) 85, 123.
Muḥammad b. Ja'far 25, 26, 65.
Muḥammad b. al-Jārīr al-Ṭabarī, see al-Ṭabarī.
Muḥammad b. Mu'ādh 150.
Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Munajjīm 2 n. 3, 45, 100, 106.
Also see next entry.
Muzāḥim b. Khāqān Urtūj 67, 68, 69, 72, 80, 88, 89, 90, 142, 150.

**N**
nafar (troops) 12 n. 37.
naffāṭin (fire hurlers) 11, 102.
Nahr al-Malik 28.
al-Nahrawān 47, 48, 59, 87, 147 n. 268.
Nahrawān Canal, see Canal, Nahrawān.
nā‘ibah (reserves) 93.
Najd 118.
na‘jar (carpenter) 63.
Namārī 86.
Nasā 34.
al-Nasā’ī 34 n. 74.
al-Nasawī 91.
Naṣr b. Sa‘īd 140, 141.
Naṣr Salḥab 96.
Naṣr b. Mālik, prison of 10.
nātif (dried fruits) 5.
Nawākī arrow 61.
bow 95.
al-Nawbakhtī, see Firaq.
Naysābūr 144.
Nayzak river 152.
nazzārah (onlookers) 68.
New Baghdad, see Baghdad, New.
n’ām (benefits) 114.
Nihrīr al-Khādīm 164.
Ninawa 90, 93.
Nūshārā b. Tājibak 146, 155.

**O**

occupations
bath attendants 5.
blacksmiths 127.
boys who deliver groceries 5.
butchers 19.
coppersmith (ṣaffār) 159, 160 n. 282.
market people 84 and n. 171.
sellers of barley juice (fuqqā’) 5.
dried fruits (nātif) 5.
slaughtermen 19.
water bearers 5.
wool manufacturers (zaydi) 88, 89.

**P**

Palestine 13.
payments (military) 78, 88. Also see allotments.
phlebotomy 162.
post master 16.
of al-Kūfah 21.
Postal Bureau, see Bureau, Postal.
postal service (barid) 6.
privy seal 25, 39, 105.
the Prophet 3 n. 7, 18, 20, 26, 37, 58, 74, 99, 106, 114, 117, 120, 121 n. 229, 139.
Spear of (ḥarbah, insignia of the Caliphate) 3.
Public Audience Hall, 2, 4, 27, 62, 63, 100, 101, 124, 129, 161.
Also see Dār al-‘Ammah and Dār al-Sulṭān.
Public Gate, see Gate. Also see Bāb al-‘Ammah.
public treasury 3. Also see treasury.

**Q**

Qabīḥah (mother of al-Mu’tazz) 114, 161, 162, 165.
Qadarites 143.
al-qadīb (scepter) 101.
Qādūsba 24.
qāf (end of the world) 117.
qalansuwah (tall hat; cap) 122, 163.
al-Qalqashandī, see Subh.
qamīṣ (shirt) 104.
Qāmūs, see al-Firūzābādī in Bibliography 159 n. 280.
Index

al-Qānī, see Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Fazārī.
qantārah (masonry bridge) 10, 42, 76, 85.
   Also see masonry bridge.
qantārah (vestibule) 99 n. 201.
Qārīn b. Shahriyār 24, 64.
Qaṣr al-'Abdawayh 76.
Qaṣr Ibn Hubayrah 17 n. 47, 77, 80, 88, 93, 121.
Qaṣr al-Tīn 47.
Qaṣr al-'Umāri 3 n. 5. Also see Umāri palace.
qaṭī’ah (fief; land grants) 40, 70, 136.
al-Qaṭi’ah 81, 84.
Qaṭrābul 48, 49, 50, 67, 69, 84, 124.
   Gate of, see Gate.
   Road of 69.
al-Qāṭūl 43, 132, 133.
al-Qāyīnī, see Abū ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Fazārī.
Qazwin 26, 108, 150, 151.
Qinnasrin 154.
al-Quḍs 45, 49, 50.
Qumm 155.
al-Qummi 129.
Qūrān 52 n. 105, 57 n. 108, 148.
Quraysh 136.
Qurb (slave girl of Qabīḥah, mother of al-
   Mu’tazz) 114, 115, 123, 165.
Qussīn 17.
Qustantinah (slave girl of Umm Ḥabīb) 71.

R

Rablah, see Ratlah.
Rādān 42.
Rāfiḍites 143.
Rāghib al-Khādim 99.
raḥbah (court) 126.
Rahinah Cemetery 91.
ra’is al-rukkāb (chief of the passengers) 63 n. 123.
al-Ramlah 143.
al-Raqīq, see Darb.
al-Raqqah 59, 67, 72, 79.
Raqqat al-Shammāsiyyah 40, 46.
rasā’il [correspondence], see Bureau of Correspondence.
al-Rashīd, Daughter of 101.
Rastan 27.
Ratlah 48.
Rawd, see Ḥimyāri in Bibliography 6 n. 21.
al-Rayy 14, 23, 25, 26, 62, 65, 141, 144.
al-Rāzī, see Kitāb al-Zinah.
Red Sea 109.
al-Riḍā (the Chosen One) 18. Also see the Chosen One.
Rizq al-Khādim 102.
road, see second element.
   Hayr.
   Ishāqī.
   Jiddah.
   Khurāsān.
   Qaṭrābul.
   Sāmarrā.
   ‘Umāri.
   Also see Darb.
Robe[s] (Cloaks) of Honor 30, 39, 43, 46, 59, 62, 67, 71, 72, 75, 77, 78, 90, 98, 107, 115, 121, 122, 146, 149, 154, 161.
Round City of al-Manṣūr 20 n. 57, 47 n. 98, 78 n. 159, 122 n. 232, 126 n. 236, 127 n. 239, 154 n. 275.
Rūḍhabār 95, 115.
Rufayl Canal, see Canal.
al-Ruṣāfah 102, 104, 107, 113.
Rustam, Sons of 22, 23, 24.
Rustam b. Qārīn 64.
Rusūm, see al-Ṣābi’ in Bibliography 3 n. 6.
Rūyān 23, 24.

S

ṣa‘ālīk (wretches; vagabonds) 10, 24.
ṣābāt (vaulted passageway) 127.
Ṣāḥet Gate, see Gate, Ṣāḥet.
al-Ṣābi’ 89.
al-Ṣābi’, see Rusūm.
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Sa'd al-Ḍadābī 17, 19, 127.
ṣaffār (coppersmith) 159, 160 n. 282.
Ṣaffārīd dynasty 159 n. 282.
ṣāḥīb al-ḥarb (chief of staff) 47.
ṣāḥīb khabar (intelligence officier) 44.
Sahl b. al-Sughdi 19.
Sa‘īd al-Ḥājib 126.
Sa‘īd b. al-Haytham 124.
Sa‘īd b. Ḥamīd, see Sa‘īd b. Ḥumayd.
Sa‘īd b. Ḥumayd (head of Bureau of Correspondence) 13, 50, 58, 59, 103, 113, 114.
Sa‘īd b. Raja‘ al-Hidari 114.
Sallam Gate, see Gate.
Salāmah b. Sa‘īd al-Nasrānī (secretary of al-Mustā’in’s mother) 12, 31, 32.
Ṣāliḥ b. al-Haytham 124, 125.
Ṣāliḥ b. Wasīf xii, 102, 152, 153, 154, 161, 162, 163, 164.
al-Sāliḥin, see al-Saylahayn.
Sallām (lieutenant of Wasīf) 34.
Sālūs 21, 22, 23, 24 n. 61.
al-Samā‘īnī, see Ansāb.
Sāmarrā 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 27, 28, 34, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 43, 46, 48, 49, 55, 59, 61, 66 n. 135, 67, 68, 70, 71, 72, 76, 79, 90, 95, 103, 121, 122, 124, 125, 126, 131, 133, 136, 141, 142, 146, 152, 154, 155 n. 278, 161, 163, 165.
Road 133.
saqāt (spices) 40.
Ṣarāt 77.
sarāb (arched way) 46.
sarf (repentance) 38.
al-Sarijah 11.
Ṣāriyāh 24, 25, 64.
Sarsar Canal, see Canal.
Sassanian Emperor 75.
Sawād
of Iraq 6, 59, 161.
of al-Kūfah 16.
Ṣawāmī’ Palace 165.
Sawaqah 84 n. 171.
al-Saylahayn 76.
sceptor 108, 114. Also see qadib.
shabbārah (river boats) 49, 68.
shaddākhāt (war engines) 40.
Shafi‘ al-Khādīm 143.
shāgird (Pers. apprentice) 3 n. 10.
Shāhak al-Khādīm 8, 12, 34.
Shāhī 17, 18, 88, 89.
al-Shahrastānī, see Milal.
Shākiriyāh 3, 4, 7, 10, 16, 26, 27, 31, 39, 46, 63, 71, 72, 77, 80, 90, 92, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 140, 141, 143.
Shālus, see Sālus.
Shammāsiyyāh 58 n. 110, 63, 102 n. 205, 108.
Shammāsiyyāh Gate, see Gate, and Bāb. shamsah (royal canopy) 42.
Shārī’ 91, 127.
Shārī’ al-Khālīj (Gulf Street) 14 n. 43.
Shāriḥ al-Ḥabashi 122.
al-Shariḥah, see al-Sarijah.
Shāsh 47.
Shāshāt 108.
Shaybān (Banū) 75.
Shi‘ītes 6, 16 n. 46, 18 n. 53, 19 n. 55, 88 n. 182.
Shirāz 159, 160.
Shu‘ayb b. ‘Ujayf 6, 125.
shuhūd ([legal] witnesses) 7.
Shujā‘ b. al-Qāsim (secretary of Utāmish) 12, 13.
shurtāh (security) 6.
Sib 17.
sīb (water courses) 80 n. 163.
al-Sībān 80.
signet ring 19, 114, 143.
Sijistān 156, 157, 158, 160.
sikr (dam) 83.
silk (khazz) 49, 59.
silāḥ (grant) 15.
al-Silsilah 10.
Simā 132.
Simā al-Sharābī 39, 41, 146.
Simā al-Sharābī (head of Postal Bureau) 39.
al-Sinn 153.
Sistān 159, 160 n. 282.
stipends (military) 87.
Su‘ād (sister of Waṣīf) 31, 123.
Suḥḥ, see al-Qalqashandi in Bibliography 101 n. 203, 120 n. 225.
sufun (vessels) 68 n. 137.
suḥr (in law) 104.
Su kayr 87.
Sulaymān, Lance of 104.
Sulaymān b. ‘Abdallāh b. Tāhir (governor of Tabaristan; chief of security in Baghdad and the Sawād) 6 n. 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 63, 64.
Sulaymān b. Abī Ja’far, Street of 127, 128.
Sulaymān b. Yaḥyā b. Mu‘ād 34.
Su‘lān (authority) 104.
sūq (market) 84 n. 171.
Sūq al-Thalatha’, see al-Thalatha’, Suq.
sugi (market people) 84 n. 171.
Sur (Turkish commander) 69.
Sura 17.
Syria 36, 43, 154 n. 276.
Syrian frontier 7, 62.

T

Ṭabarī xi, xii, 3 n. 11, 18 n. 53, 20 n. 56, 45 n. 95, 61 n. 119, 64 n. 126, 72 n. 142, 84 n. 173.
Ṭabaristan 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 142, 156.
Ṭabarīyyah 3, 49, 98.
al-Ṭabbān, see Ja‘far b. ‘Amīn b. al-Bayān.
Tabšīr, see al-Isfarāyīnī in Bibliography 4 n. 13.
Ṭaff 17.
Taqlīd (Banū) 87.
Ṭāhir (Banū) 20.
Ṭāhir b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir 5.
Ṭāhir b. Muḥammad b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir 129, 130, 149.
Ṭāhirids 6 n. 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 44, 46 n. 96, 156.
Ṭalḥah b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir 6 n. 20.
Ṭalḥids 3, 15, 16, 20, 23, 25, 26, 64, 65, 88, 141, 142, 150, 156. Also see ‘Alids.
Ṭalmaṣūr 36.
Taxation, see Bureau of.
tax revenues see khardj.
tāqāt (arcades) 126 n. 237.
Tarsūs 61.
Tashkand 47 n. 99.
tassūj (districts) 42, 121, 132.
Ṭawq b. al-Mughallis 156, 157, 158, 159.
tawqi (signatures) 105.
tawīlah ([the Prophets] spear) 99. Also see harbah.
Ṭayghaj 34.
Tell ‘Ukbarā 148, 153. Also see ‘Ukbarā.
thaghrī bridles 4.
Tha‘labah 46.
al-Thalāthā’, Sūq 40, 72, 75.
Tibetan coat of mail 18. Also see weapons.
Tigris 3 n. 8, 10 n. 32, 13 n. 40, 14 n. 43, 34, 40, 42 n. 88, 43, 46, 48, 49, 56, 93 n. 193, 94 n. 195, 95, 127 n. 242, 153.
treasure house, of Mecca 108.
treasury 12, 36, 39, 162, 163.
Tunis 6 n. 22.
al-Turkī 34 n. 74.
Turks 1, 2 n. 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13 n. 40, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50, 53, 55, 58, 59, 60, 63, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 98, 100, 105, 116, 121, 123, 131, 136, 139, 140, 141, 143, 145, 146, 162, 163, 164.

Tustar 155.
Tyre (al-Sūr) 65.

U

‘Ubaydallāh (brother of Bandār al-Ṭabarī) 68.
‘Ubaydallāh b. ʿAbdallāh b. Ṭāhir Abū
Index

'Ubaydallāh b. 'Abdallāh b. Tāhir Abū (continued)
    Ahmad 6 n. 20, 50, 67, 68, 70, 78, 83, 104, 114, 149, 150, 154.
'udul (notaries) 7.
'Ukbarā 42, 44, 61, 68, 148.
'Umar b. Faraj (builder of the 'Umari Palace) 3 n. 5, 15.
'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb 19.
'Umar b. 'Ubaydallāh al-Aqta' 9, 10.
'Umari Road 3.
    Palace 3 n. 5, 4.
Umayyads 26.
Umm Ḥabīb bt. al-Rashīd (maternal Aunt of al-Muṣta'īn) 101.
Umm Ja’far, see Gate of the Fief of, or Fief of.
Umm Isḥāq (mother of al-Mu‘ayyad and Abū Ahmad) 132.
al-‘Umud 16.
Unūjūr the Turk 6.
al-Ushrusānī, see Mankūjār b. Qārin.
Ushrusāniyyah 1, 3, 4, 43, 146.
Utāmish 1, 2 n. 3, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 107, 124.
‘Uṭhmānids 27.

W

Wafayāt, see Ibn Khallikān in Bibliography 3 n. 11, 4 n. 14, 143 n. 262.
Wajh al-Fals, see ’Abd al-Rahmān b. al-Khaṭṭāb.
Wājīn 26.
    Wājīn al-Ushrusānī 3, 4.
wakīl (agent) 96, 97.
Walīd b. al-Maghribī 153, 154.
Walīd b. ‘Ubayd al-Buḥtūrī (poet) 119.

Waṣīf xi, xii, 7, 11, 12, 13, 15, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 45, 46, 62, 67, 78, 92, 95, 96, 100, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 122, 123, 124, 126, 143, 146.
Son of xii.
Wāṣīt 17, 115, 116, 117, 122, 132, 150.
waterwheel workers, Street of 91.
al-Wāṭiq, sons of 30, 62, 86, 87. Also see Hārūn b. al-Muṭaṣīm al-Wāṭiq.
wazīrate 25.

weapons, armor and implements of war
    ballistas (‘arrādah) 32, 40, 47, 60, 63, 68, 91, 95.
    breastplate 45.
    clubs with iron nails (kāfirkūbāt) 66.
    coats of mail 45, 66.
    fighting machines 55.
    iron sleeve 45.
    mangles (majānīq) 40, 46, 47, 59, 60, 63, 64, 73, 91, 95.
    nawākī arrow 61.
    bows 95.
    nosebags (makhālin) filled with rocks 41.
    shields of tar-covered mats (bawārī) 41, 47, 67.
thāqri bridles 4.
ten-cubit lance (rumh ‘Ushārī) 159.
Tibetan coat of mail 18, 19.
war engines (shaddākhāt) 40.
Also see yatba’ al-suyūf.

Y

Yahyā b. al-‘Akki 61.
Yahyā b. Ḥāfṣ (Ḥabūs) 48, 59, 72, 75.
Yahyā b. Harthamah 47, 91.
Yamāmah 122, 144.
Yantawayh Abū Ja’far (commander of vagabonds) 41, 66, 67.
Ya’qūb b. Isḥāq 86.
Ya‘qūb Qūṣarrah 5.
Ya‘qū b. Ṣāliḥ b. Murshid 86.
al-Ya‘qūbi, see Buldān.
yāqūt (ruby) 115.
Yāqūt, see Mu‘jam
Yārjūkhi 161.
al-Yāsirīyyah 78, 83, 92.
Yāsirīyyah Gate, see Gate.
yatba‘ al-su‘ūf (forging swords) 18.
Yemen 109.
Yulnār 36.
yuqta‘ (estate) 106.
Yūṣuf b. Ismā‘īl 65.
Yūṣuf b. Manṣūr b. Yūṣuf al-Barm 78.
Yūṣuf b. Ya‘qūb Qūṣarrah (the mawla of al-Hādi) 71, 72, 92.